

## SAN JOSE Request for Policy Analysis (Council Referrals)

Department Department Rep. Name/Ext. Policy/Ordinance Subject			Financ	JE .			Rules Date				Item C.1			
		Maria	Oberg x 5-7	011		Councilmember Sponsorship			Ortiz	<u>z</u>				
		4th Qu	arter Financ	cial Report										
. 55,7 5														
Staff Re	commendation													
GREEN Adopt based or outlined on nex		ed or	tradeoff	s YELLO	OW Defer to a late	r designated	RED Recommend (		Council not		t NEEDS CLARIFICATION OR			
		xt page date or the annual Budget I			Process adopt nominated ide			MORE TIME TO EVALUATE						
Staff Ev	aluation													
Is this already underway in a c			lepartme	nt work plan	? Is this time crit	car or arrespensy.				is require substantial resources, staffing, budget,				
Yes √No				Yes ✓				Ye	gic support, or reprioritizing existing work plan? es No					
	n to Determine S	Scale	of Projec	t Complexity		INO			1 116	5 <b>V</b>	INO			
				<u> </u>	oject in each of the	e 3 criterions	below and the	en summin	g the sc	ore.				
,,,,,,,	a. Low Complex				.,				9					
	b. Medium Complexity is a sum of $7-9$ . Total Score = 5													
	c. High Complexity is a sum of 10 or greater.													
				Low Comple			Medium Complexity				High Complexity			
	Estimated Duration			6-9 months $= 1$			9 - 18 months			More than 18 months $\square = 3$				
		ation												
ion	Organizational Complexity	ation	Can easi	onths ly be absorbe ting work pla	ed ×= 1		ork (future)		□= 2 □= 2			tly proposed	□= 3	
riterion	Organizational Complexity	ation	Can easil into exis Have sta	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir	ed ×= 1	Planned we	ork (future)			Work	not current	tly proposed	□= 3 □= 3	
g Criterion	Organizational	ation	Can easil into exis Have sta skillset/k	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir nowledge	ed ×= 1	Planned wo	ork (future) with required a noderate resea		□= 2	Work Do no skillso	not current ot have staff et/requires	tly proposed with required significant res	□= 3 □= 3	
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity	ation	Can easil into exis Have sta skillset/k	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir nowledge n or equal 2	ed ×= 1	Planned we	ork (future) with required a noderate resea		□= 2	Work Do no skillso	not current	tly proposed with required significant res	□= 3 □= 3	
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity	ation	Can easilinto existinto ex	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir nowledge n or equal 2 uired	ed	Planned we Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r	ork (future) with required anoderate researequired	olved;	□= 2 □= 2	Do no skillso More	not current ot have staff et/requires than 5 staf more depart	tly proposed  with required significant res frequired tments and/o	□= 3  d □= 3  search □= 3  r external □= 3	
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity (Internal)	ation	Can easilinto existinto ex	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir nowledge n or equal 2 uired	ed	Planned we Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r	ork (future) with required a noderate resea required	olved;	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skillso More	not current ot have staff et/requires than 5 staf more depart ers involved	tly proposed  with required significant reserved treatments and/od; significant of	□= 3  d □= 3  search □= 3  r external □= 3	
	Organizational Complexity (Internal)	ation	Can easilinto existinto ex	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir nowledge n or equal 2 uired	ed	Planned we Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r	ork (future) with required anoderate researequired	olved;	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skillso More	not current ot have staff et/requires than 5 staf more depart	tly proposed  with required significant reserved treatments and/od; significant of	□= 3  d □= 3  search □= 3  r external □= 3	
	Organizational Complexity (Internal)	□ Auc	Can easilinto exis: Have sta skillset/k Less than staff req 1 Addition	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir nowledge n or equal 2 uired	ed	Planned we Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r	ork (future) with required anoderate researequired	olved;	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skillso More	not current ot have staff et/requires than 5 staf more depart ers involved	tly proposed  with required significant reserved treatments and/od; significant of	□= 3  d □= 3  search □= 3  r external □= 3	
DEPT. Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity (Internal)  (External)	-	Can easil into exis: Have sta skillset/k Less thar staff req 1 Additio commun	ly be absorbe ting work pla ff with requir mowledge n or equal 2 uired onal departmentity outreach	ed	Planned we Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r 2 Other de some come	ork (future) with required anoderate researequired epartments Inventity outread	olved; ch required	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skillso More 3 or r partr outre	not current ot have staff et/requires than 5 staf more depart ers involved ach require	tly proposed  with required f required  tments and/o d; significant o	□= 3 d □= 3 search □= 3 r external □= 3 ommunity	
DEPT. Required	Organizational Complexity (Internal)  (External)	□ Auc	Can easil into exis: Have sta skillset/k Less than staff req 1 Addition communities.	ly be absorbeting work pla ff with requirenced on or equal 2 uired onal departmently outreach	ed	Planned we Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r  2 Other de some come	with required anoderate researequired epartments Inventoring Fire	olved; ch required	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 1 □= 1 □= 1	Do no skillso More 3 or r partr outre	ot have staffet/requires than 5 staffer more depart ers involved ach require	with required significant restricted and/od; significant od	□= 3  d □= 3  dearch □= 3  r external □= 3  ommunity □ Retirement	

Analysis
Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.
GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this nominated idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to department work plan. (1) How will the idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Council Focus Area or to a department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the idea forward and reduce its complexity?
Administration was requested to return to Council in March 2026 with an investment analysis of the trade-offs associated with divesting from corporations that invest in U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). If the requested analysis is limited to companies contracting with ICE from the time of the inauguration of President Trump (January 2025) and onward, the Finance Department will be able to review the list of contracting vendors and compare it to the corporate medium-term note holdings in the City's investment portfolio. Staff can then analyze what divesting from any identified holdings would mean in terms of interest earnings and sales price of those holdings compared to interest earnings and purchase price of replacement securities. Staff will bring this analysis to the PSFSS Committee in February 2026 for discussion and cross-reference to a subsequent Council meeting in March 2026.
YELLOW LIGHT: Administration recommends Council defer this nominated idea to a later designated date or the annual Budget Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors)
RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not to adopt this nominated idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).