

From: Agendadesk
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2026 12:26 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Blair Beekman. Wednesday, February 25, 2026.....SJ Public Record.

From: b. beekman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2026 9:59 AM
To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Aguilar, Daniel <Daniel.Aguilar@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Blair Beekman. Wednesday, February 25, 2026.....SJ Public Record.

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear San Jose community,

A very much of a congratulations, and a good luck, in the divestment items, that San Jose community advocacy, initially helped bring to the Rules & Public Safety committees, in August 2025. And that can now be better addressed, within the 2027 San Jose budget agenda item, at the SJ city council public meeting, March 10.

These are ideas in how to develop, a better principled, more ethical investment portfolio, for the future of San Jose. This will include, how San Jose can continue, to better divest from corporations, connected to questionable immigration rights practices. And to also begin, to better consider, how to divest from corporations, supporting Israel practices of war, instead of peace & dialogue. Current U.S. corporations, being questioned include - Alphabet, Microsoft, Amazon, and Caterpillar.

Since 2018, and the first Trump administration - California local govts, have already been developing, good divestment practices, that can well address, in how to better separate themselves, and to end their investments, in corporations supporting private detention centers, and the fossil fuel industry.

These divestment practices, have become very helpful, regular, good examples. And can have a political will & backing, that should make it fairly easy, to better develop a plan, and a good community consensus, at this time. To note, these for-profit prison/detention developers, can often have, other domestic & international connections. This can possibly help in updating, our current local divestment best practices, at this time. And can also be of help, with more difficult, new questions, of San Jose divestment, from corporations supplying war products, and its technical support, to Israel, at this time.

Since the shambolic, horrific events, of 9/11/01, we should be returning to a time, and to what used to be, a more regular way of diplomatic relations - that retaliation & defending ones country, through more war & violence, is not acceptable. And that, Israel should be smarter, than offering continual war &

bloodshed, upon the innocent people of Gaza. As most people of Gaza, were simply not a part of, the October 7, 2023, Hamas massacre.

And from this, the U.S. should be trying to return, to its pre 9/11, good standing in the world. And to work towards dialogue & negotiation, to resolve differences. And with less u.s. military armaments.

The people of San Jose, at this time, are trying to develop, this decent thinking, with better principled, local practices & local control. Thoughtful, coordinated, well principled practices, at the local level, such as divestment, along with more responsible, community tech accountability policies, can simply offer good examples & best practices, towards ideas of peace, open democracy, and better human reasoning. And that all levels of govt, and their local communities, should better reflect upon.

From this, as part of our good system, of checks and balances - local govt, simply should have the ability, to ask for, and to better define best practices, in concepts towards peace, better reasoning, and open democracy. And certainly before, the current federal concepts, of continual war, and its needs of secrecy, opacity, harm, and the prison military industrial complex, in its policy making.

To conclude this section - good beliefs in Judaism, should allow us, to work towards a future of Israel, that is based on ideas of peace, dialogue, and better reasoning, before war & bloodshed, as how to solve issues, in defending a nation. A good luck to ourselves, that future u.s. divestment, from the Israel war machine, can actually have the political will, to be considered practices, 'of the good fight'. And the ideas, of peace before war. And not as an attack, on Israel or Judaism itself.

Oakland City Council, has recently approved, a compromise plan, to end their ALPR vendor contract with Flock, in two years time. And in the next two years, look for a new ALPR vendor. Oakland city council, is now open to criticism, superstition, and innuendo, that they have been, a sellout or unethical, in their compromise deal. When in fact, Oakland city council, seems to be addressing larger questions, in how to invite, all parts of the Oakland community, to this process. And to address, both, Oakland neighborhood Public Safety, and better local control, of their surveillance data collection, within this current federal administration.

All of the Oakland community, is also trying to better understand important lessons, at this time, in how to end shipments, of war equipment to Israel, from its Oakland airports & seaports. All of this, can be important lessons, for current San Jose divestment questions.

Perhaps, focusing on the concepts, of how to leave war, the importance of dialogue, and to not supply war technologies to Israel, can be an important focus, for San Jose divestment, at this time.

I hope these two Oakland examples, and the work of Alameda County, and its local cities, at this time, can also be of help to San Jose, in their own decision making, on the difficult questions of divestment, in the current war practices of Israel. And in what should be, easier questions to answer, in divestment planning for San Jose, to move away from, corporations supporting ICE, and questionable immigration practices & policies, from the corporate level, at this time.

In this current, well-intentioned divestment process, by the San Jose community, I hope, the recent, well intentioned compromise plan, of the city of Oakland, to leave Flock, in 2 years time, can be acknowledged. As this Oakland compromise plan, can also be good examples, of how local communities, can now be able, to more clearly question & address, the future of surveillance & data collection tech practices & placement, in their local neighborhoods.

In San Jose , in working towards a future of peace & sustainability, please don't forget - San Jose is currently being sued, for SJPD overreach, in their use, of SJ neighborhood surveillance technology. And what simply has to be considered as, an over-abundance of surveillance tech, that has been placed, in local SJ neighborhoods, in the last decade.

The local community level, working towards peace, and open participatory democracy, can create local good standards, and a good reasoning, that can begin to ask - perhaps collectively, with other local communities - Can we all be allowed, to more openly address, the current practices of the federal level, and the prison military industrial complex, of this country. These are questions, that can be larger, than current partisan issues. And bigger than the questionable practices, of the current federal administration. And from this, it can be easier, for all of us, to question together.

This letter, and the current efforts, of both, San Jose & Oakland, are the ideas, of our better human reasoning, open community participatory democracy, and ideas of peace, that allows for, better local control & local autonomy. These new San Jose divestment questions, can be a part of, new, interesting, collective efforts, at the local level, at this time. And in asking, larger questions, in how to end, the continual use of war & harm, by our federal government & the u.s prison military industrial complex.

Again, a congratulations to the San Jose community, and to good luck, in our combined efforts.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Blair Beekman. Thursday, March 5, 2025.....City Council public meeting. Item 3.3. March 10, 2026.

From Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Thu 3/5/2026 3:31 PM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas

City of San José | Office of the City Clerk

200 East Santa Clara St. – Tower 14th Fl.

San José, CA 95113-1905

Phone 408.535.1260 | Fax 408.292.6207

rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov

****Memos, items, and attachments must be submitted to rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov before 12PM on the Thursday before the intended Rules meeting* Holidays may impact this schedule; revised deadlines will be noticed as applicable.***

From: b. beekman <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, March 5, 2026 3:29 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Aguilar, Daniel <Daniel.Aguilar@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Blair Beekman. Thursday, March 5, 2025.....City Council public meeting. Item 3.3. March 10, 2026.

[External Email]. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Dear San Jose, community,

My previous, Letter to the Public, on Item 3.3, was written before, the recent events, of the surprise U.S/ Israel air strikes. To note, there was a respected & constructive, nuclear negotiation process, that was taking place, between the U.S. & Iran, mediated by Oman - making the current US air strikes, all the more surprising. It may be an important reminder, in how often the U.S., can break agreements of dialogue, negotiation & trust, at the international level.

The organization, clarity, and safety, in the process of, continual dialogue and negotiation, in how to reach consensus decision making, for all sides - must simply be noted & respected, at this time. And in comparison to, these current u.s. swings, of violence, war, chaos, and the deaths of many innocent people, as how to create, current dialogue & understandings, between nation states, all of its people, and its entities.

From this, the sorrowful events of 9/11, and it's ideas of continual war, towards a new world order, is simply being better questioned, by local US communities, at this time. As more open conversation , dialogue, and best practices, are being better developed, at the local community level. And to not overly affect, the day to day practices, of the local community level. In this current, possibly new time of war, it is simply again the time, San Jose city govt & community, has to better consider, how open dialogue & best practices, are simply helpful good ways, to keep local neighborhoods, safe, peaceful, & harmonious. And that this thinking, can actual be ideas, 'of the good fight ', within our current u.s. society.

Current San Jose community asks, of SJ divestment from Israel, and within agenda item 3.3, may be difficult, to better negotiate, at this time. But divestment, can also be long term goals. And simply a part of, many current practices, of peace & better reasoning, that can be very helpful, needed, and available, at the local community level, at this time. And as, a part of good examples, overall, that the national level, can rely less on war, and its harm. And instead, learn how to better work towards, negotiation, dialogue, pesce, and to not harm innocent people, in how to solve issues, in future poliicies & decision making.

sincerely,
blair beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

RE: Council Meeting 3/24, Item #3.3 Investments

From Dave Poeschel [REDACTED]

Date Tue 3/17/2026 12:41 PM

To The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear Mayor Matt Mahan,

It's long past due that the City of San Jose expresses its humanitarian values on behalf of its large and diverse SF South Bay population through financial investment policy wherever clear and convincing facts indicate an ethical need, broadly and robustly, including divestment from Israel, Russia, the UAE and not limited to ICE.

When I was in kindergarten in 1968-69, our playgrounds were filled with little boys wrestling and playing war as cowboys and Indians. The Indians were extra imaginary since we *all* wanted to be cowboys. We were racists. Most of us weren't mean spirited but we were very ignorant; we were steeped in colonial propaganda and pervasive TV westerns. But with the Vietnam War experience, we began to question authority as it became quite apparent that our brilliant leaders were also fools and liars. Many of us learned to think more critically about history. And, despite being called pinkos and commie sympathizers, the mostly older draft age kids protested and eventually stopped that foolish war.

When I was in college, many of us questioned the formerly accepted white supremacy of the apartheid system in South Africa. We were cast as naïve communist sympathizers by our racist presidential fool and liar Ronald Reagan. But with the stigma and economic pressure brought on by boycott, divestment, and sanctions (BDS), driven primarily by students, the white South African leaders freed the "terrorist" (as he was often called), Nelson Mandela, dismantled Apartheid, and transitioned to true democracy.

And from that time when I was in kindergarten a long time ago, our people have also been steeped in a one-sided perspective, rightfully called propaganda, about the Israeli cowboy and Palestinian Indian conflict. Instead of educating our people about the history of Israel/Palestine, our leaders call the protesters against what the UN, genocide scholars, and NGOs call genocide, "anti-Semitic". Instead of educating our people about the difference between anti-Zionism and anti-Semitism, our leaders push unconstitutional bans on First Amendment rights on the peaceful BDS movement. War and violence against Jews and Muslims is the result.

You may argue that BDS is ineffective but keep in mind the case of Palestinian American teenager Mohammed Ibrahim who (along with thousands of others) had been held by Israel without charge for months. With widespread international pressure and a media campaign, it became too costly for Israel

so they released him. Israel clearly protects its image, banning foreign journalists from Gaza, killing several hundred Palestinian journalists in Gaza and, for example, recently arresting journalist Ayman Ghraieb for documenting the ethnic cleansing of the Jordan Valley. Regardless, it is right for the residents of San Jose to have our money and voice on the side of justice and human rights.

When I think of what others have been through to provide us with our constitutional rights including my father and father-in-law fighting the fascist architects of the holocaust in WWII -- my father-in-law as an R.O.T.C. student in Honolulu during the Pearl Harbor attack going on to fight in Italy with the task of driving a reconnaissance vehicle until the German army revealed their positions by firing on him, for example -- it sickens me that my Mayor is either so ignorant or cowardly that he "strongly rejects" even the right to BDS. BDS seeks to peacefully encourage the Israeli government to end genocide in Gaza and the ethnic cleansing in the West Bank and parts of Lebanon and Syria. And now, Israel's leaders are even promoting the mythical idea of "Greater Israel" that would include much of Egypt, Syria, Jordan, Lebanon, and Iraq.

I am intensely ashamed of my "best and brightest" (as we said about the fools and liars who brought us the Vietnam War) Mayor.

With great sincere disappointment,

Dave Poeschel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: CITY INVESTMENT POLICY

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Tue 3/17/2026 4:39 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Ruth Callahan [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 4:06 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: CITY INVESTMENT POLICY

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear Honorable Members of the City Council,

I am writing regarding the proposed expansion of corporations subject to the ‘social responsibility’ clause within the city’s investment policy [agenda item 3.3 March 24 City Council Meeting [council agenda 24 March](#)].

When San José first introduced a social responsibility component to its investment policy, there was understandable concern that it might gradually grow to encompass an ever-expanding list of companies from which San José would be asked to divest. The Public Safety, Finance and Strategic Support Committee meeting that placed this item on the council agenda entertained public comment that demanded the city divest from five additional companies [Microsoft, Amazon, Alphabet/Google, Honeywell and Caterpillar]. This raises an important question: how many more companies will the city be asked to divest from in the future?

The companies referenced are not marginal actors; they are among the largest and most successful enterprises in the United States. Several are also major local employers. Hundreds—if not thousands—of San José residents work for these firms. Tens of thousands—including, likely, some members of this Council—hold shares in these companies through their retirement accounts. And hundreds of thousands of city residents rely on their products and services every single day. Are we to implicitly condemn our neighbors who work for, invest in, or depend upon these companies?

Municipal operations rely on Microsoft platforms, and the city has signed a major development partnership with Alphabet/Google. In practical terms, San José cannot responsibly nor realistically divorce itself from such an important segment of the modern economy.

Equally important is the city's fiduciary responsibility. As noted in the staff memo, the city is obligated to safeguard public assets by seeking the highest rate of return consistent with safety, diversification of risk, and liquidity. Annual investment earnings from public companies account for approximately \$22 million—representing a significant proportion of the City's roughly \$50 million annual budget deficit.

Given these fiscal realities, it is essential that investment decisions remain grounded in financial criteria alone. This approach ensures that city resources are managed prudently and equitably for the benefit of all residents.

As the staff memo clearly and appropriately states:

“City does not make investment decisions based on political positions or foreign policy considerations, but rather on prudent risk management and financial considerations consistent with its fiduciary obligations.”

I respectfully urge the Council to maintain this disciplined, financially focused approach and to avoid policies that would compromise the City's fiscal stability, lead to service cuts or create unintended consequences for our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

R.A.Callahan

--

Ruth A Callahan

[REDACTED]
San Jose CA 95118

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Social Responsibility Investment Policy 3/24 Agenda Item

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 3/18/2026 10:35 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Brenda Dohmen [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2026 9:59 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Social Responsibility Investment Policy 3/24 Agenda Item

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear Honorable Members of the City Council,

Regarding: The proposed expansion of corporations subject to the 'social responsibility' clause within the city's investment policy [agenda item 3.3 March 24 City Council Meeting [council agenda 24 March](#)].

When San José first introduced a social responsibility component to its investment policy, there was understandable concern that it might gradually grow to encompass an ever-expanding list of companies from which San José would be asked to divest. The Public Safety, Finance and Strategic Support Committee meeting that placed this item on the council agenda entertained public comment that demanded the city divest from five additional companies [Microsoft, Amazon, Alphabet/Google, Honeywell and Caterpillar]. This raises an important question: how many more companies will the city be asked to divest from in the future?

The companies referenced are not marginal actors; they are among the largest and most successful enterprises in the United States. Several are also major local employers. Hundreds—if not thousands—of San José residents work for these firms. Tens of thousands—including, likely, some members of this Council—hold shares in these companies through their retirement accounts. And hundreds of thousands of city residents rely on their products and services every single day. Are we to implicitly condemn our neighbors who work for, invest in, or depend upon these companies?

Municipal operations rely on Microsoft platforms, and the city has signed a major development partnership with Alphabet/Google. In practical terms, San José cannot responsibly nor realistically divorce itself from such an important segment of the modern economy.

Equally important is the city's fiduciary responsibility. As noted in the staff memo, the city is obligated to safeguard public assets by seeking the highest rate of return consistent with safety, diversification of risk, and liquidity. Annual investment earnings from public companies account for approximately \$22 million—representing a significant proportion of the City's roughly \$50 million annual budget deficit.

Given these fiscal realities, it is essential that investment decisions remain grounded in financial criteria alone. This approach ensures that city resources are managed prudently and equitably for the benefit of all residents.

As the staff memo clearly and appropriately states:

“City does not make investment decisions based on political positions or foreign policy considerations, but rather on prudent risk management and financial considerations consistent with its fiduciary obligations.”

I respectfully urge the Council to maintain this disciplined, financially focused approach and to avoid policies that would compromise the City's fiscal stability, lead to service cuts or create unintended consequences for our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Brenda Dohmen

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Council Meeting 3/24, Item #3.3 Investments

From Dave Poeschel <[REDACTED]>

Date Fri 3/20/2026 1:42 PM

To The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachment (713 KB)

Palestinian and Israeli massacres.docx;

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

While it should not be difficult to find further information re: Council Meeting 3/24, Item #3.3 Investments, as to why Israel, Russia, and the UAE should be subject to boycott, divestment, and sanctions, here is a start.

UAE: "It is clear that the UAE is responsible for the commission and continued support of a genocide, prompting Sudan to take the case to the International Court of Justice (ICJ) in March of 2025. Specifically, Sudan claims that the UAE is in breach of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Genocide Convention) of 1948.", European Centre for Democracy and Human Rights, <https://www.ecdhr.org/the-role-of-the-united-arab-emirates-in-the-genocide-in-sudan/>

Russia: "Russia continued its war of aggression against Ukraine. The rights to freedom of expression, peaceful assembly and association remained severely restricted. Dissenters faced arbitrary prosecutions, unfair trials, heavy fines and lengthy prison terms under a plethora of laws that failed to meet international human rights standards." Amnesty International, <https://www.amnesty.org/en/location/europe-and-central-asia/eastern-europe-and-central-asia/russia/report-russia/>

Israel: The United Nations Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner finds, "Israel has committed genocide in the Gaza Strip" <https://www.ohchr.org/en/press-releases/2025/09/israel-has-committed-genocide-gaza-strip-un-commission-finds>

Israel's ethnic cleansing also continues in the West Bank, Lebanon, and Syria but books have been written on the subject. The act of genocide in Gaza should be sufficient for the City of San Jose to

choose divestment.

The problem is anti-Semitism is real and it is made worse by media and politicians who have mischaracterized anti-Zionism, often portraying it as anti-Semitism with headlines, "Biden and Democratic Leaders Condemn Protest Outside L.A. Synagogue as Antisemitic" and statements like "'Intimidating Jewish congregants is dangerous, unconscionable, antisemitic, and un-American," Mr. Biden said in a statement" when in reality "In this case, demonstrators were protesting a real estate fair at Adas Torah synagogue, where attendees were invited to meet "representatives of housing projects in all the best Anglo neighborhoods in Israel" on Sunday afternoon."

NYTimes, <https://www.nytimes.com/2024/05/05/us/usc-pro-palestinian-encampment.html>. Many argue that these are illegal land sales of land taken one way or another from Palestinians.

Months of peaceful protests by pro-Palestinian rights activists on hundreds of college campuses were vilified with headlines like, "Violence erupts at UCLA as protests over Israel's war in Gaza escalate across the U.S." Capradio, <https://www.capradio.org/news/npr/story?storyid=1248433624> Yet, in reality the major outbreak of violence was committed by counter protesters and police. "On April 30th, counter-protesters at the University of California, Los Angeles conducted what can only be described as a full-frontal assault on their university's student encampment. Wielding physical and chemical weapons, a pro-Israel mob attempted to dismantle the walls of the UCLA encampment. A video investigation by The New York Times found that much of the violence was instigated by the counter-protesters, who threw lit fireworks into groups of students and violently attacked everyone from individual protesters to student journalists. Attempting to defend their encampment, pro-Palestine students were seen repairing the walls where possible and shouting at others to not engage." Michigan Daily, <https://www.michigandaily.com/statement/the-anatomy-of-an-encampment/>

Attached is a brief history of the Israel/Palestine conflict.

Regardless of individual acts, San Jose should not be doing business with a countries committing genocide.

-- Dave Poeschel, San Jose

On Tue, Mar 17, 2026 at 12:41 PM Dave Poeschel <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Mayor Matt Mahan,

It's long past due that the City of San Jose expresses its humanitarian values on behalf of its large and diverse SF South Bay population through financial investment policy wherever clear and convincing facts indicate an ethical need, broadly and robustly, including divestment from Israel, Russia, the UAE and not limited to ICE.

When I was in kindergarten in 1968-69, our playgrounds were filled with little boys wrestling and playing war as cowboys and Indians. The Indians were extra imaginary since we *all* wanted to be cowboys. We were racists. Most of us weren't mean spirited but we were very ignorant; we were steeped in colonial propaganda and pervasive TV westerns. But with the Vietnam War experience, we began to question authority as it became quite apparent that our brilliant leaders were also fools and liars. Many of us learned to think more critically about history. And, despite being called pinkos and commie sympathizers, the mostly older draft age kids protested and eventually stopped that foolish war.

When I was in college, many of us questioned the formerly accepted white supremacy of the apartheid system in South Africa. We were cast as naïve communist sympathizers by our racist presidential fool and liar Ronald Reagan. But with the stigma and economic pressure brought on by boycott, divestment, and sanctions (BDS), driven primarily by students, the white South African leaders freed the “terrorist” (as he was often called), Nelson Mandela, dismantled Apartheid, and transitioned to true democracy.

And from that time when I was in kindergarten a long time ago, our people have also been steeped in a one-sided perspective, rightfully called propaganda, about the Israeli cowboy and Palestinian Indian conflict. Instead of educating our people about the history of Israel/Palestine, our leaders call the protesters against what the UN, genocide scholars, and NGOs call genocide, “anti-Semitic”. Instead of educating our people about the difference between anti-Zionism and anti-Semitism, our leaders push unconstitutional bans on First Amendment rights on the peaceful BDS movement. War and violence against Jews and Muslims is the result.

You may argue that BDS is ineffective but keep in mind the case of Palestinian American teenager Mohammed Ibrahim who (along with thousands of others) had been held by Israel without charge for months. With widespread international pressure and a media campaign, it became too costly for Israel so they released him. Israel clearly protects its image, banning foreign journalists from Gaza, killing several hundred Palestinian journalists in Gaza and, for example, recently arresting journalist Ayman Ghraieb for documenting the ethnic cleansing of the Jordan Valley. Regardless, it is right for the residents of San Jose to have our money and voice on the side of justice and human rights.

When I think of what others have been through to provide us with our constitutional rights including my father and father-in-law fighting the fascist architects of the holocaust in WWII -- my father-in-law as an R.O.T.C. student in Honolulu during the Pearl Harbor attack going on to fight in Italy with the task of driving a reconnaissance vehicle until the German army revealed their positions by firing on him, for example -- it sickens me that my Mayor is either so ignorant or cowardly that he “strongly rejects” even the right to BDS. BDS seeks to peacefully encourage the Israeli government to end genocide in Gaza and the ethnic cleansing in the West Bank and parts of Lebanon and Syria. And now, Israel's leaders are even promoting the mythical idea of “Greater Israel” that would include much of Egypt, Syria, Jordan, Lebanon, and Iraq.

I am intensely ashamed of my “best and brightest” (as we said about the fools and liars who brought us the Vietnam War) Mayor.

With great sincere disappointment,

Dave Poeschel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



CARTOGRAPHIC REGRESSION

For nearly a century, the Jewish community in what is now Israel and Palestinian Arabs have battled over a 10,000-square-mile territory between the Mediterranean Sea and the Jordan River. Both claim historic and religious ties to the land, so the conflict has become a geopolitical war with far-reaching implications. Throughout the years of fighting, Israel's share of the land has grown from about 3 percent in 1917 to 87 percent today.

1917

Palestine before the creation of Israel.



1947

Proposed borders under the U.N. Partition Plan.



1948

Israel is formed.



1967

After the Six-Day War.



PRESENT



A BRIEF HISTORY OF HOW THE BORDERS CAME TO BE

1897

The World Zionist Organization is created to advocate for the establishment of a Jewish homeland in Palestine.

1917

The Balfour Declaration: British Foreign Secretary James Balfour approves the creation of a national homeland for Jews in Palestine.



1947

U.N. Partition Plan: The U.N. successfully passes a plan to separate western Palestine into two states. Jewish leaders accept the proposal while Palestinian Arab leaders reject it, prompting riots.



1948-1949

Arab-Israeli War: The Jewish community declares itself independent in the new state of Israel. War breaks out between Israelis and forces from nearby Arab nations.

February-July 1949

Israel and the Arab states agree to an armistice that results in Israel controlling 78 percent of Palestine. The U.N. estimates that more than 700,000 Palestinian Arabs become refugees during this period.



1967

Six-Day War: Using air, sea, and ground combat, Israel captures the Sinai Peninsula from Egypt and Golan Heights from Syria. Although the Israeli Army does not annex the West Bank of Jordan, it begins to occupy the region.

1982

Israel returns Sinai to Egypt and the two countries sign a peace treaty, making Egypt the first Arab nation to officially recognize Israel as a state.

1987-1993

First Intifada: Palestinians begin a mass uprising in Gaza. Civil disobedience and riots soon spread to the West Bank.



1993-1995

The Oslo Accords: Palestine and Israel sign the Declaration of Principles, in which both nations seek recognition as autonomous governing bodies. The West Bank is split into three zones: One fully controlled by Palestinian Authority; one that's joint-controlled; and one that stays in Israeli hands.

2000

Camp David Summit: The Israeli Army withdraws from Lebanon. The Camp David Summit aims to help the two sides finally agree on a settlement; the talks eventually fail and the violence leads to The Second Intifada (2000-05).

April 2003

Road Map for Peace: The European Union, U.N., U.S., and Russia release a road map, which outlines a clear timetable toward a Palestinian state.



2005

Gaza Expulsion Plan: Israel's defense forces leave the Gaza Strip and four settlements in the northern West Bank as part of a unilateral disengagement plan.

September 2011

Palestine submits a bid to the U.N. for statehood and membership.



SOURCES: BBC.CO.UK, CNN.COM, ALJAZEERA.NET, ISRAELIPALESTINIAN.PROCON.ORG, LONDONTIMES.COM, NYTIMES.COM, WSJ.COM, UNITED NATIONS

A COLLABORATION BETWEEN GOOD AND COLUMN FIVE

Palestinian and Israeli massacres

In the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the term "massacre" is used by both sides to describe acts of violence resulting in a large number of deaths, often against civilians, which are seen as grave breaches of international humanitarian law

Massacres attributed to Israeli forces/Zionist militias

- Deir Yassin (1948): Zionist paramilitary groups Irgun and Lehi attacked the village, leading to the deaths of between 107 and 140 Palestinian civilians, including women and children, according to a BADIL Resource Center report. The event is considered a pivotal moment in the 1948 Palestinian exodus, known as the Nakba.
- Al-Dawayima (1948): Israeli forces killed between 80 and 300 Palestinian civilians, including women and children, during and after the village's capture.
- Tantura (1948): During the 1948 Arab-Israeli War, Israeli forces attacked the coastal village after its surrender, killing nearly 200 Palestinians.
- Kafr Qasim (1956): During the Suez Crisis, Israeli Border Police enforced a curfew, killing 49 Palestinian villagers returning home from work, including children, according to Al Jazeera.
- Khan Yunis (1956): Israeli forces killed approximately 275 to 400 Palestinians during house-to-house searches in Khan Yunis refugee camp, [notes the Middle East Eye](#).
- Sabra and Shatila (1982): During the Israeli invasion of Lebanon, Israeli forces allowed the Phalangist militia to enter the Palestinian refugee camps, where the militia massacred between 460 and 3,500 Palestinian and Lebanese civilians.
- Ibrahimi Mosque (1994): Baruch Goldstein, an Israeli extremist, opened fire on Palestinian Muslims praying at the Ibrahimi Mosque, killing 29 and injuring 125.
- Gaza War (2008-09): The UN Fact-Finding Mission found that Israel's military assault on Gaza during this period was a "deliberately disproportionate attack designed to punish, humiliate, and terrorize a civilian population," resulting in the deaths of approximately 1,166 to 1,417 Palestinians.
- Gaza War (2023-present): A UN document describes over 42,000 Palestinians, including children, women, and men, having been killed by Israeli forces in Gaza in the first year alone. [Doctors Without Borders notes](#) that attacks on civilians and civilian infrastructure have led to recurrent mass casualty events in Gaza's Middle Area.

Massacres attributed to Palestinian groups

- Hadassah medical convoy (1948): Arab forces attacked a Jewish medical convoy, killing 79 Jewish doctors, nurses, Haganah members, and scientists, and 1 British soldier.
- Kfar Etzion (1948): Arab forces killed 157 Jewish residents and Haganah soldiers in Kfar Etzion.
- Ma'alot (1974): The Democratic Front for the Liberation of Palestine attacked a school, killing 29 people, mostly children, and injuring 68.
- Coastal Road Massacre (1978): The Palestine Liberation Organization killed 38 people, including 13 children, on a bus near Tel Aviv.
- **October 7 Attacks (2023): Hamas-led militants killed 1,163 Israelis** and foreign nationals, two thirds of whom were civilians, during a surprise attack on communities, a music festival, and military bases in southern Israel.

It is important to note that accounts and interpretations of these events can differ depending on the source and perspective.¹

¹ Google AI Mode search for "Palestinian and Israeli massacres"