

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:40 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Karie Fraley <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 12:08 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Karie Fraley, 95112

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:40 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Linds Mang <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:42 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lindsey Mangan, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:41 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Devanshi Mehta <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:53 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Devanshi Mehta, 94536

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:41 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Marduke Yousefpor <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:53 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Marduke Yousefpor, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:41 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mary Matoula Webb <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:57 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mary Webb, 95118

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: Item 3.4 data centers and PG&E partners status report- public comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:46 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Elizabeth Cacciaroni <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:08 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 data centers and PG&E partners status report- public comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Elizabeth Cacciaroni, 95138

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

Re: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

---

From City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
Date Fri 4/17/2026 8:46 AM  
To Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Kim Nguyen <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:11 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kim Nguyen, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:46 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Ivette Deltoro <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:13 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, water quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve, at minimum, a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ivette Deltoro, 95111

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:47 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Evelyn Park-Doob <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:32 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Evelyn Park-Doob, 95133

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Evelyn Park-Doob (she/ her)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:47 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Cynthia Boman <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:43 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Cynthia Boman

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:47 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** A N <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:46 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens and water financial impact on residents.

If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning by constituents on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Amanda Navarro, 95123

Thank you,

Ms A. Navarro

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:47 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Michelle <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:54 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thanks,  
Michelle Siller  
San Jose

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:47 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Nox Ngo <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:33 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Priscilla Nox Ngo, 95148

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:48 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Consuelo Raquel R Martinez <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:57 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sincerely,  
Raquel Martinez, 95127  
District 5 Constituent

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:48 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Kevin Huang <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:58 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sincerely,

Kevin Huang,  
95131

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:48 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** L Zamora <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:00 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers

- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Luz Zamora, 95112

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:48 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Jasper H <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:00 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jasper Ho, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 8:48 AM  
**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Susan <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:02 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Susan Nguyen, 95121

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:48 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mel Aberin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:36 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Hello,

I am a San Jose resident and concerned community member. Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mel Aberin, 95133

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:49 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Jaimie I <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:22 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jaimie Imanil, 95138

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:49 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Ak Ra <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:26 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thank you for your consideration

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:49 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Valecita Taylor <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:31 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Valecita Taylor, 95138

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:50 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Rita Baldwin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:34 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Rita Baldwin, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 8:50 AM  
**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Lindsay Cimoli <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:39 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

To whom it may concern,

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lindsay Cimoli, 95135

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:50 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Richard Wellington <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:40 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Richard Wellington, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:51 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Catherine Terry <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:41 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Status Report Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following: Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long term cost risks to ratepayers Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality,

noise, and heat - Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards - A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth.

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting.

That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence. A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thank you,  
Catherine Terry, 95051

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000> [2]  
<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:51 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Athena Genise <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:02 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Athena Genise, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:51 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** patricia murillo <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:06 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Patricia murillo, 95121

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:51 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Bhuvana Betini <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:18 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Bhuvana Betini, 95148

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:51 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Fawn Vinh <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:18 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Trung Vinh, 95135

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:51 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Susan Minor <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:21 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: [URGENT] Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers + PG&E Partnership Status Report**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:52 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Meryl Imperial <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:20 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** [URGENT] Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers + PG&E Partnership Status Report

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear City Council Committee Members,

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed loop system

blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing

- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

meryl imperial, 95118

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:52 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Monique Serrano <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:36 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Monique Serrano, 95125

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:52 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Arturo Bravo <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:41 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Arturo Bravo, 95116

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 8:52 AM

**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mica <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:47 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

If the council believes in truly serving the people, please read on:

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mica Vo, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:52 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Tomas Velazco <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:49 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Tomas Y, 95136

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:53 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Guadalupe Marquez <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:22 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Guadalupe Marquez, 95122

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:53 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Vanessa Nguyen <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:01 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Vanessa Nguyen, 95121

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:53 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Nan Choi <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:07 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Yong Nan Choi, 95121

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:53 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Patricia Tran <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:36 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Patricia Tran, 95135

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

null

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:53 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Tamar Sasson <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:33 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Tamar Sasson, 95124

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:55 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Malavvika <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:54 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Malavika Kulashekar, 95032

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Malavika Kulashekar

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:55 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Leah Phipps <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:56 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Leah Phipps, 95139

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:55 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Frozen Ninja Turtle <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:58 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lauren McDermott, 91304

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:56 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** moses Guarneros <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:38 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Moses Guarneros, 94544

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:56 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Kasturi Malaviya <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:16 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Dr. Kasturi Malaviya, 95119

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Kasturi

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 8:56 AM

**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Sergio Trejo <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:22 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear San Jose,

Re:April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sergio Trejo, 95119

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 8:56 AM

**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Swathi <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:34 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Swathi R, 95119

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:56 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mona Wang <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:38 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mona Wang, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:56 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Jenny Huynh <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:41 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jenny Huynh, 95122

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report %202020 Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:57 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Kimiko Ramirez <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:42 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report %202020 Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kimiko Ramirez, 91204

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: Fwd:**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:58 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mariel Hall <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:18 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Fwd:

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Marisel Hall, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Due diligence needed for data centers**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:58 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Linda Ross <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:43 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Due diligence needed for data centers

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Linda Ross  
95138

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:58 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mary Sanchez <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:41 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mary Bravo, 95126

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:59 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Nghi Tran <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 12:52 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Nghi Tran, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 8:59 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 1:18 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Melissa Estrada, 95132

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:03 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Lace Lancaster <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 1:24 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lace Lancaster, 95136

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

--

**Sincerely Yours,  
Lace Lancaster**

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:03 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Lilliana.belle <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 1:31 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lilliana Aguirre, 95825

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report %202020 Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:04 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Caroline Ngo <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:33 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report %202020 Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Caroline Nguyen, 95133

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:04 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Michelle Tran <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:14 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Michelle Tran, 95122

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:04 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Nicolete Noto <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:11 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:04 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Carrie <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 3:54 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Carrie Genise, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:04 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Thao Pham <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:32 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thao Pham, 94080

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:04 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Laura Wilson <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:07 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Laura Wilson, 95133

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM  
**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Zoe Escorza <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:21 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Zoe Escorza, 95116

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Daniel Rios <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:21 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Daniel Rios, 95132

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Mallory von Kugelgen <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:22 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Cc:** District3 <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** RE: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear City Council:

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

As a public health nurse for over 30 years, I am strongly opposed to data centers - they are a financial burden to residential citizens, they are absolutely terrible for the environment, and they are hazardous to society. Approving these things are detrimental to quality of life in the Santa Clara Valley. How many more spare the air days can we tolerate? How many lost jobs with nothing to replace them? Who will pay for the increased negative health impacts to our citizens? Please don't support this

Mallory von Kugelgen, 95112

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Ketaki Malaviya <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:27 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report**

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed loop system

blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing

5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates

6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers

7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat

8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards

9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ketaki Malaviya, 95124

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

--

**Ketaki Malaviya** (*she/they*)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Ashish Keshan <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:31 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ashish Keshan, 95014

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** jessica Perrow <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:44 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jessica Smith, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:05 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Sherly Mathew <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 6:55 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sherly Mathew, 95037

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:06 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Kieran Alcumbrac <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 7:17 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kieran Alcumbrac, 95139

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:06 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 7:38 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Maya Changaran Kumarath, 95128

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:06 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Henry Sim <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 7:57 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Hello,

As a concerned citizen who has grown up in San Jose and spent most of my adult life here. I'd like to send this email to further emphasize the importance of reviewing health concerns we have with possibly bringing in more data centers. Thank you for your time.

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Henry Sim, 95121

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

**Henry Sim**

[henry](#)



---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:06 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Lan Danielle Nguyen <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:00 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lan Danielle Nguyen, 95111

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: NO Data Centers here!!!!**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:06 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Barb Lindsay <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:08 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** NO Data Centers here!!!!

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Barbara Lindsay, 95136

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:07 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** shazia mohammed <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:19 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Shazia Raza, 95116

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri 4/17/2026 9:07 AM

**To:** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Virginia <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:33 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Virginia Guttenbeil, 95125

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:07 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** John Thomas <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:44 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

John Thomas, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:07 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Adrienne Krawetz <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:49 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Adrienne Krawetz, 95037

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

Adrienne Krawetz,

[REDACTED]  
eda  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:07 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Makkenah Leanne <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:50 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Makkenah Dottai, 95816

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:07 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Emily Munoz <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 8:54 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Emily Munoz, 95133

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:08 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 9:04 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Susi Baillif, 95120

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 9:19 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**  
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Main: 408-535-1260  
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Hope Rios <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 9:18 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Sent RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Hope Rios, 95132

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>  
from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership - Protest STOP Current Plan to Move Forward**

---

From City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Date Fri 4/17/2026 9:48 AM

To Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** alohachan hotmail.com <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 9:38 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership - Protest STOP Current Plan to Move Forward

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

The purpose of this email is to protest San Jose's aggressive move to rapidly establish data centers in our city communities. We strongly urge the City Council to defer going forward with committing to establishing these Data Centers, pending further deep analysis and community input (re: the positive vs. negative effects of this move). As residents of a top costliest city to live in the US, it behooves our city leadership to factor into their mindset going forward, how these untested and expensive Data Centers will actually benefit the residents of San Jose. We pray that all the "wining and dining" which normally occurs between special interest groups and city leaders is not occurring. But we must be realistic. Elected officials who will vote on this move must Do No Harm. Leave the selfish attitude of "What's in it for me?" Because in the end Karma will catch up. Once built there is no going back. Please do the right thing. You all must take the approach of Wait and See. Take the time to follow and assess what others have done, made mistakes, and analyze those situations first. There is really no rush. In the blink of an eye, these Data Centers can become a thing of the past (just like the dotcom boom). Our city is currently in a deficit. We cannot afford for San Jose to be an example of continued poor decision making that led to negative consequences. It is highly possible that in the end San Jose will be a staunch example of a failed dream that robbed its residents of millions of dollars that could have been

better spent on the improvement of its infrastructure, the education and enlightenment of its children, an a grass roots movement promoting an improved quality of life for all.

See below for the link to an important article in Cal matters 12/2025 that speaks to this concern.

**Data centers for AI could nearly triple San Jose's energy use. Who foots the bill?**

<https://calmatters.org/environment/2025/12/data-center-energy-san-jose/>

Regards,

Robert and Loretta Brackett

[REDACTED]

email:

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 10:02 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Nicholle <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 9:52 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

**[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]**

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

NICHOLLE MORECI, 95135

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment**

---

**From** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Date** Fri 4/17/2026 10:04 AM

**To** Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

---

**From:** Lori Range <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2026 10:03 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting  
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing this many data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- 1 Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- 2 An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- 3 A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- 4 Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- 5 Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- 6 Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- 7 Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- 8 Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- 9 A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lori Schultz Range, 95123

---

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Lori

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.