
Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:37 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Tatiana Villaseñor Carrillo [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:01 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I, approved by voters in 2022, requires the City to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in decision-making (Charter Section 608; Equity Values and Standards Council Policy). Yet to date, there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José.

This lack of analysis is especially concerning given that Latinos make up over one-third of San José's population and are disproportionately impacted by environmental health burdens, including higher exposure to air pollution and related conditions like

asthma. Many Latino families also live in neighborhoods already facing cumulative impacts from industrial uses and transportation corridors.

For a buildout of this scale, Council must exercise due diligence by holding a public study session on cumulative public health impacts. At a minimum, the City must ensure: robust outreach proportionate to the scale of development; an independent cumulative impacts analysis across all projects; comprehensive air pollution modeling from backup power sources; legal assurances on water quality and use; clear enforcement mechanisms; full disclosure of electricity demand and ratepayer risks; ongoing public health monitoring; and enforceable local hire and community benefits.

The City Manager's memorandum outlines a historic expansion tied to 2,000 MW of new transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. Yet it states no additional Council follow-up is expected beyond annual reports, no board or commission input is planned, and public outreach consists only of posting the item on the April 21, 2026 agenda. That is not meaningful engagement for a decision of this magnitude.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. While the City actively markets San José to industry and meets with dozens of developers, residents especially those most impacted are left out of the process. This imbalance is unacceptable for decisions with long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, and utility costs.

Residents deserve a public study session before the City moves forward. If safeguards are sufficient, they should withstand public scrutiny and independent analysis. Anything less fails both the spirit of Measure I and the communities it was designed to protect.

Tatiana Villaseñor Carrillo
Residence 95112
Permanent address 95133

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:37 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: JenniferA Hirsch [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:05 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jennifer Hirsch, 95014

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Signed,
Jennifer Hirsch
95014

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:37 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Aljon Claveria [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:04 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jiro Claveria, 95054

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:38 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Andrea Luna [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:11 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Andrea Luna, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:40 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: JenniferWright [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:16 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jennifer Wright, 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:40 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: hgort [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:25 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Harsha Gorti, 95037

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:41 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: cynthia T [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:32 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Cynthia Toscano, 95122

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:41 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Melissa Garcia [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:35 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

As a lifelong resident of San Jose, I am appalled and completely against a data center being built in our community.

So many cities have experienced droughts, power outages, and negative health effects because of these data centers.

San Jose is drought-prone area. I am livid that these decisions will affect the water availability for my children.

For what? Technology that is slowly killing us and will be the end of humanity eventually.

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:41 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Justin S [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:36 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Justin Sinn, 95124

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:41 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: ALittleTwit [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:40 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ryan Nguy, 95111

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 9:56 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Rachael [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:54 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Rachael Chamberlain, 95139

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 10:28 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Donna Hamane [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 9:59 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

According to news reports, there are two data centers planned within a half mile or two from my school. One will be very close to the Alviso Bufferlands where community members are working to revive the Burrowing Owl population.

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public and environmental health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Donna Hamane, 94089

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Donna Hamane

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 10:29 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Elizabeth Munoz [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:07 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Elizabeth Munoz, 95111

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 10:43 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: David Madrigal [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 10:41 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

David Madrigal, 95122

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 11:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Katherine Carranza <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:13 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Katherine Carranza, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 11:44 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Shreya Vasanth Kumar [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:21 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Shreya Vasanth Kumar, 95118

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 11:45 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: claudia isamar medina [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:21 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Claudia Medina, 95122

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 11:45 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Adi Parige <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:31 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts. At a minimum, the City must address the following: Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long term cost risks to ratepayers Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality,

noise, and heat - Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards - A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence. A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems. Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Aditya Parige, 95135 --- [1]

<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000> [2]

<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Adi Parige

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 11:45 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Lisa Ascarie [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:33 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2], the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date, there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than a fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission, and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026, meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lisa Ascarie, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 12:09 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Jolene Barnett [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:46 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jolene Barnett, 95136

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 12:09 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Alex Contreras [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:51 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Alex M Contreras, 95111

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 12:09 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Hana Tello [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 11:59 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Hana Tello, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 1:29 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Lindsay Silva [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:08 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lindsay Silva, 95046

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 1:29 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Hector Guardado [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:11 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Hector Guardado, 95148

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 1:29 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Chen J [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:16 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sincerely,
Chen Jiang
San Jose, CA 95138

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 1:29 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: masqueradetea <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:28 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

To whom it may concern,

Good afternoon and happy Thursday! I am emailing in regards to data centers for a city I frequent quite often.

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kaitlyn Howden, 90504

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 1:33 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Amy Guzules [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:30 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality,

noise, and heat

- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Amy Guzules, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:05 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Ciera [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:50 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

I'm writing to express deep concern about a new measure to install a data center within a friend's area.

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Please reject this proposal to build a new data center in the corresponding area. This proposal does not serve the community but inhibit its residents and ability to grow.

Ciera Coronado, 90504

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>



Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:05 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Lizzie Miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:58 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more<<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Good afternoon,

The Measure was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lizzie Miller, 95124



Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:25 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Saneer Ibrahim [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:23 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sanee Nashashibi, 95119

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:25 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Celeste Larson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:23 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Celeste Lawrence, 95138

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:36 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Stephanie Chung [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:26 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Stephanie Chung, 95050

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:36 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Brynn Angelo [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:26 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Brynn Angelo, 95666

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:36 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: lori mcquiddy [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:26 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.


Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Iori McQuiddy, 95119

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:36 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Florence Anderson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:29 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Florence Anderson, 95119

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 2:37 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Jamine Zamora Salas [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:31 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jasmine Zamora Salas, 95407

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>



- *Jasmine Zamora*

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 3:08 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Pedro Arenas [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:40 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project

review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Pedro Arenas, 95112

[1]

<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2]

<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/63862781418282934>

2

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 3:08 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Aymie Dang [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 2:50 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Aymie Dang, 95134

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 3:08 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Sreenivas Andra [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:02 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: sreenivas.andra [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sreenivas Andra, 95148

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 3:08 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Kenia Carreon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:05 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

All the best,
Kenia Carreon, 95136

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:01 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Tianna Tran [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:09 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:01 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Deven Mendoza <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:35 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Deven Mendoza, 95125

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:01 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Roberto Uribe [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:37 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

roberto uribe, 95050

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

In Warm Regards,
Roberto Uribe





Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:01 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Michelle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:49 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Michelle Alcantar, 95116

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:01 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Renyi Duan [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 3:51 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Rene Duan, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:15 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Franklin Lee [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:06 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

Public health has to be accorded a high priority. Fresh and clean water is not a free and unlimited resource, and it should be reserved for people's use rather than data centers. As sources of fresh water deplete and California continues to suffer through a drought, it seems particularly foolish to use water in this way. Similarly with air pollution and noise pollution. These all have public health impacts and must not be ignored.

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Franklin Lee, 95129

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

--

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:17 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Changho Choi [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:16 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thanks,
Changho Choi

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:25 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Vicky L [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:21 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Wai Leung, 95110

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:25 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Suman Somasundar <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:22 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Suman Somasundar, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:30 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Fatima P [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:29 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Fatima Panes, 95111

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Fatima M. Panes



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:37 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Annie Abundiz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:32 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Annie Abundiz, 95127

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:37 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Steve Whalen [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:36 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Stephen Whalen, 95119

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:37 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Kenya Bautista [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:36 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kenya Bautista, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Kenya Bautista Barcenas

(she/her/hers)

CSU Stanislaus '23

Communication Studies

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:44 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Angeline Andrade [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:41 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.


Sincerely,

Noelle Andrade (Thousand Oaks neighborhood, 95136)

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:45 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Gina Rose Montarano [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:42 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Gina Rose Montarano, 94116

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 4:53 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Gus Liu [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:48 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sun Liu, 95148

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: NO MORE DATA CENTERS!

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 5:00 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: bayleym [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 4:52 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: NO MORE DATA CENTERS!

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
 - An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
 - A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
 - Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
 - Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term

cost risks to ratepayers

Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat

Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards

- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Bayley Mah, 95135

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

NO MORE DATA CENTERS!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 5:06 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Sasha Klamm <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:03 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sasha Klamm, 95120

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 5:06 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Diana Nguyen [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:05 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve. This is not what we want for our city.

Diana Nguyen, 95111

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.