

**FW: Correspondence Received on Agenda Item 10.2**

---

**From** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Date** Tue 12/2/2025 9:18 AM  
**To** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

 46 attachments (1 MB)

Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 45.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 44.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 43.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 42.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 41.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 40.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 39.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 38.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 37.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 36.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 35.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 34.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 33.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 32.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 31.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 30.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 29.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 28.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 27.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 26.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 25.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 24.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 23.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 22.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 21.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 20.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 19.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 18.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 17.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 16.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 15.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 14.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 13.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 12.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 11.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 10.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 9.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 8.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 7.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 6.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 5.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 4.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 3.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks! 2.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Right size the historical preservation ordinances.eml; Agenda Item 10.2- Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!.eml;

---

**From:** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 2, 2025 9:15 AM  
**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Cc:** Provedor, Jennifer <jennifer.provedor@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Subject:** Correspondence Received on Agenda Item 10.2

Please see the received correspondence on today's agenda Item 10.2.

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Josephine Hogin <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Mon 12/1/2025 11:13 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Josephine Hogin



San Jose, California 95126

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Right size the historical preservation ordinances**

---

**From** Alan Williams <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Mon 12/1/2025 9:57 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects.

The historical preservation ordinance has been used to bludgeon developers and owners to consider completely inappropriate remediations (Bank of America, Lawrence Hotel (San Fernando), Japantown businesses, and many others).

The historical preservation ordinance should be used sparingly. We don't need to preserve everything. Otherwise, San Jose will never progress. It's akin to conservatism where only the old stuff is good and new stuff is bad.

I do not support PAC SJ's many attempts to overreach in San Jose. There is obviously a need to protect some landmarks, but not every existing building deserves to be protected.

Please make changes so San Jose can develop progressively instead of leaving inappropriate legacy buildings where we need to build up for transit, culture, residences, and business.

Alan Williams  
[REDACTED]

San Jose, California 95112

---

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Karen Kienzle [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Mon 12/1/2025 8:17 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City's draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague "overriding considerations." This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts and public space activation. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José's historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ's call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Karen Kienzle  
[REDACTED]

San Jose, California 95112

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Amanda Rawson - [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Mon 12/1/2025 8:16 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City's draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague "overriding considerations." This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space, and activation. But I DO NOT support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José's historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ's call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Amanda Rawson  
District 3 Resident  
District 3 Small Business Owner

Amanda Rawson

  
San Jose, California 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

---

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Jacob Yoder-Schrock [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Mon 12/1/2025 8:16 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City's draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague "overriding considerations." This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts and public space activation. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José's historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ's call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Jacob Yoder-Schrock  
[REDACTED]

San Jose, California 95112

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Evelyn Robinson <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Mon 12/1/2025 12:03 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Evelyn Robinson



San Jose, California 95110

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Gayle Frank [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Sun 11/30/2025 10:06 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Gayle Frank



San Jose, California 95128

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Meredith Muller <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Sun 11/30/2025 9:25 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Meredith Muller



San Jose, California 95126

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Viviana Cois <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Sun 11/30/2025 5:08 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Viviana Cois



San Jose, California 95110

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Vivian Kramp [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Sun 11/30/2025 2:53 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Vivian Kramp



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Sandra Ketchum [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Sat 11/29/2025 11:01 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Sandra Ketchum



[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

---

**From** Bill Pfahl [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Sat 11/29/2025 11:49 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Bill Pfahnl



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Stan Ketchum <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Sat 11/29/2025 10:17 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Stan Ketchum



[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

---

**From** Celeste Martin Melehan [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Fri 11/28/2025 10:17 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Celeste Martin Melehan



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Farrell Podgorsek <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Thu 11/27/2025 10:20 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Farrell Podgorsek



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Connie Rogers [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:30 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Connie Rogers



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Lisa Ruder <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 8:08 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Lisa Ruder



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Kay Gutknecht <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 7:41 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Kay Gutknecht



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Angela Elsey <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 7:23 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Angela Elsey



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Lisa Hettler-Smith <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 4:14 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Lisa Hettler-Smith



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Heidi Delgros <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 3:36 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Heidi Deloros



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Mike Sodergren [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 3:07 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to modify the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Mike Sodergren



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Andre Luthard <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 2:53 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Andre Luthard



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Joe Baronessa [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 12:24 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Joe Baronessa



---

[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

---

**From** Connie Brookner [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 12:06 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

This is about progress and the past merging comfortably and that can happen with a team of people who look at different perspectives, not just special interest. There is room enough for both when input is given from people with all different backgrounds, etc. California is a newer state in the union to begin with--let's preserve the unique history with well thought out plans to retrofit older buildings with the modern inventions of understanding how to retrofit buildings, use safe paint, etc. but not demolish them willy nilly. I have noticed more young generations appreciating the history too! How cool to see and enjoy the lovely buildings and

not lose sight of this when "modernizing" areas and planning the city with a well managed blend.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Connie Brookner

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the majority of the page content below the name 'Connie Brookner'.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Keely Berg [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 12:03 PM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Keely Berg



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Ana Vargas-Smith [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 11:49 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Ana Vargas-Smith



[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

---

**From** Lawrence Ames [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 11:45 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

As a longtime resident of one of San Jose's older neighborhoods, I appreciate the preservation of the various historic structures that add to the character of an area. Willow Glen, for example, is richer thanks to the preservation of the Garden Theater, the Buffington House, and that quaint little store-front on Cherry Avenue, among others. But if the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance is relaxed too much, then practically any excuse could justify the demolition of a historic structure, and the efforts of the City's Historic Landmarks Commission would be meaningless. Accordingly, I join many others in urging you on the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks: I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José's historic places for decades.


I join others in supporting PAC\*SJ's call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Lawrence Ames



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Paul Boehm <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 11:39 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Paul Boehm



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Ken Davis   
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 11:11 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Ken Davis



---

[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

---

**From** Sara Bruce <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 10:58 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I wish to voice my support for the recommendations of PAC\*SJ regarding Historic Preservation Ordinances in San Jose. We need to strive to keep a sense of the history and soul of our city. Too much has already been lost to soulless modern buildings.

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Sara Bruce  
[REDACTED]

San Jose, California 95110

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Ed Von Runnen <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 10:50 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Ed Von Runnen



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Diane McQuillen <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 10:31 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Diane McQuillen



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Bart Narter <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 10:12 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Bart Narter



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Christine Armstrong <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 10:02 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Christine Armstrong



---

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Susan Edman <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:32 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

Hello, I stand with PACSJ to advocate for preserving tender oversight over our precious historic landmarks in San Jose.

Per PAC: I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Susan Edman  
[REDACTED]



San Jose, California 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Lisa Runde <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:31 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Lisa Runde



---

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Patt Curia [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:28 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

This City needs a strong historic component to make it interesting, a destination, and more livable. Please keep San Jose's history.

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City's draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague "overriding considerations." This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José's historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ's call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Patt Curia  
[REDACTED]



San Jose, California 95112

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Samantha Emmanuel <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:25 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Samantha Emmanuel



[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

---

**From** Vicky Gardner [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:24 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Vicky Gardner



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Suzanne Morrone [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:22 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Suzanne Morrone



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Kathleen Lawson [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:22 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Kathleen Lawson



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Sally Zarnowitz <[REDACTED]>  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:22 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Sally Zarnowitz



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Jeffrey Lawson [REDACTED]  
**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:21 AM  
**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.


I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Jeffrey Lawson



**[Draft] Agenda Item 10.2: Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!**

---

**From** Lucinda Lawson 

**Draft saved** Wed 11/26/2025 9:20 AM

**To** Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>

**[External Email]**. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

Director Chris Burton,

Mayor Mahan and Council Members:

I urge the San José City Council to fix the proposed changes to the Historic Preservation Ordinance (PP25-005) so they support vital public projects—like the Levitt Pavilion—without opening the door to unnecessary demolition of our historic landmarks.

The City’s draft ordinance creates a sweeping new override that would let Council waive landmark protections for any public or private project based on vague “overriding considerations.” This goes far beyond what the recent Sainte Claire court decision requires and puts more than 200 designated City Landmarks and Landmark Districts at risk.

San Jose should support the arts, public space activation, and the Levitt Pavilion. But I do not support weakening the very Ordinance that has protected San José’s historic places for decades.

I support PAC\*SJ’s call to:

- 1) Keep the useful clarifying definitions in the draft ordinance; and
- 2) Limit the new override to projects that demonstrate a compelling public interest, not speculative private development proposals that threaten to erase our architectural and cultural heritage.

This simple fix allows the Levitt Pavilion to move forward and keeps our landmark protections strong.

Support the Arts AND Protect Our Landmarks!

Lucinda Lawson

