

Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 4/15/2026 1:50 PM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San Jose

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is Oll's service? Yoll's [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Kristie [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 1:46 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San Jose. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates

- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 4/15/2026 1:39 PM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is Olll' service? Yolll' [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Monica Silva [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 1:19 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more<<http-s://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <http-s:1/aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San Jose. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Monica Silva, 95131

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Monica

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 1:57 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: David Acevedo [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 1:52 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more<<http-s://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <http-s:1/aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San Jose. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

David Acevedo, 95127

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 4/15/2026 2:02 PM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San Jose

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Artemisa Bobst [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 2:00 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San Jose. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Artemisa Bobst, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 4/15/2026 3:33 PM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San Jose

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Alyssa Wigant [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 3:05 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report- Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San Jose. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project by project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing

- hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
 - Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
 - Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
 - Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
 - Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
 - A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Alyssa Wigant, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report - Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 4/15/2026 3:33 PM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San Jose

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: jessica kwong <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 3:07 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report- Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting

Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [I] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San Jose. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing

- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jessica Kwong, 95130

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 4:56 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Kathy Tran <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 4:52 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kathy Tran, 95117

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 4:49 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Nicole Vidmar · [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 4:42 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing

- hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
 - Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
 - Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
 - Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
 - Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
 - A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Nicole Vidmar, 95120

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 4:49 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Arabela Espinoza [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 4:37 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Arabela Espinoza, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 4:50 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Nat Dai <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 4:34 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Fang Dai, 95131

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 4:50 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Janette Nogosek [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 4:32 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers

- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Janette Dass, 95037

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 4:51 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Lana Gomez [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 4:30 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Lana Gomez, 95129

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 5:07 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Danae Griffin - [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:00 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Danae Griffin, 94541

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 5:07 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Victoria Lopez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:04 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Victoria Lopez, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sincerely,

Victoria Lopez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 4/15/2026 5:09 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Charron Myers [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:08 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Charron Myers, 95110

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Charron Sweat-Myers



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report %2◆◆ Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:13 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Aureen Chowdhury [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:36 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report %2◆◆ Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing

- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Aureen Chowdhury, 95135

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:14 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: stephaniebarajas [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:37 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Stephanie Barajas, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:14 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Cassie Valentin [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:46 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Cassandra Valentin, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:14 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Andrew Siegler [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:56 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.


Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Andrew Siegler, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Andrew Siegler (he/they)
Unceded Tamien & Muwekma Ohlone Land | San Jose, CA
Social Justice Advocate & Organizer
TRUST Community Advisory Board Member



Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:14 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Mayeann Acosta <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 9:58 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise **proper due diligence** by holding a public study session on the **cumulative public health impacts**.

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach **proportionate** to the scale of this historic buildout
- An **independent cumulative impacts analysis** covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of **air pollution** from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- **Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use**, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and **accountability** for violations of public health mandates
- Full **public** disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any **long-term cost risks to ratepayers**
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including **impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat**
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with **local hire and labor standards**
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, **not vague promises of economic growth**

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. **That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.**

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers **while residents are treated as an afterthought** and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially **long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.**

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session **before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens.**

If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mayeann Acosta, 95111

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:14 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Jessica Gutierrez [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 9:58 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External] Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jessica Gutierrez, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:14 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: M.C. Mendonca [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 9:46 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

MC Mendonca

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Via Vidal [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 9:46 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Vanessa Ramirez, 95113

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: M E Cuykendall [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 9:31 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ellen Cuykendall, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Delia Lira-Perez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 8:51 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Valeria Castaneda [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 8:37 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Valeria Castaneda, 95127

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Kylie Borges <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 8:33 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

My name is Kylie and I'm a D3 resident of San Jose.

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, water quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thank you,

Kylie, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Marina Gavric [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 8:32 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Marina Gavric, 95136

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:15 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Michelle W [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 8:18 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Michelle Wan, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:16 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: ABHGape [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 8:09 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Anthony Cortez, 95128

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:16 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: miact1998 [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 7:42 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External] Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Mia Thompson, 95124

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Best,

Mia Thompson

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:16 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Angelina Twist [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 7:31 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Angelina Twist, 95127

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:16 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: pbp1320 [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 7:26 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thanks,
Praneetha Potiny, 95135

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Jacqueline Rivera [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 7:16 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jacqueline Rivera, 95133

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Teresa Ponikvar <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 6:45 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.


Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Teresa Ponikvar, 95128

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

 Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Daniella Tapia [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 6:40 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[You don't often get email from daniellatapia@outlook.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Veronica Tapia, 95129

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Ari Perez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 6:20 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ari Perez, 95133

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Laurie <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 6:18 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

No data centers in San Jose. We need every drop of water for humans. We cannot afford higher PG&E bills. We need every inch of open space. We can't afford any more Spare the Air days.

San Jose residents are against data centers. Santa Clara county residents are against data centers.

Stop the billionaire tech takeover.

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Laurie Alaimo, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2]

<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Bernadette Gasga Munoz [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 5:57 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Bernadette Gasga gasga, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:17 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Alyssa Rhaye Graciano [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 6:05 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Alyssarhaye Graciano, 95110

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:18 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Summer Glau <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 6:05 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Devon McNaughton, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:18 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Emily Engle [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 10:06 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Emily Engle, 95136

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:18 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Robert Gonzalez [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 10:18 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout

- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:18 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Berni Palavecino [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 10:21 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Bernardita Palavecino-Prado, 95125

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:19 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: georgette baumgartner [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 10:55 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Georgette Baumgartner, 95111

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:19 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: E A <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 11:03 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing

- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Elizabeth Agramont-Justiniano, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sign Petitions:

Protect Inclusionary Housing Ordinance <https://form.jotform.com/260031040852038>

Symbols of Identity and Belonging at City Hall: <https://form.jotform.com/253548517960062>

Enhance Civic Participation: <https://form.jotform.com/253544303745153>

Here4You Homeless Shelter Hotline: (408)-385-2400

Elizabeth Agramont-Justiniano

she/her/ella/GIRLLL!

My personal brand:

- Creativity**
- Compassion**
- Commitment**
- Consistency**
- Courage**
- Community Centeredness**

Artist/Creative

Expand TRUST in SJJ! Mental Health Matters!

Political Ambassador, Afro UPRIS/Black Democratic Club of SCC: Black Lives and Black Permanency Matters!

Chair Ad-Hoc Committee on Housing and Homelessness, SCCDP

Board of Directors, Human Agenda

Choir+ Member of Urban Sanctuary

Build the Bench 2025 Cohort, Member of SVYD

Civic Leadership 2026 Cohort, Silicon Valley at Home

New Leadership Council SV 2026 Cohort

Housing Justice Advocate

Downtown Resident of District 3: St. James/Julian Neighborhood

Values: Honesty, Kindness, Compassion, Courage, Justice

"Democracy only works when we work for it. When we fight for it, when we demand it."~Stacey Abrams

Thank you!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:19 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Naomi Beirne [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 11:03 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Naomi J Beirne, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Naomi Beirne バーン奈緒美

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:19 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: isa.jaravata [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2026 11:04 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Isabella Jaravata, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from [Proton Mail](#) for iOS.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:19 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Steve Schulz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 1:34 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Steven Schulz, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:20 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Priyanka Naik [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 5:49 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Priyanka Naik, 95124

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:20 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Кэтрин Блек [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 6:29 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Ekaterina Efimova, 95113

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:20 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Valaree Cech <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:07 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Valaree Cech, 95035

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:20 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Alex E <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:40 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)

[REDACTED]
AI is worthless. PG&E is garbage. Neither belong in San Jose.

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Alexander Elsorady, 95123

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:21 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Kevin mckinnon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:25 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Kevin Mckinnon, 95127

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:21 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Claudia Cruz [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 7:50 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Claudia Cruz, 95110

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:21 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Jennifer Labreche <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:17 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Jennifer LaBreche, 95125

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:21 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Iris Amerson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:03 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thank You,
Iris Amerson, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:21 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Estela Lozano [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:10 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Estela Lozano, 95112

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:21 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Sophia Mahoney-Rohrl <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:04 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to wtransform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

It's probably obvious that I'm using a template, and the folks who created this template have done a wonderful job of compiling data and outlining the City's responsibilities, but I want to add a personal note here. I am currently studying public administration, and a class I'm in right now specifically focuses on the ethics of public agencies. According to several prominent organizations that make ethics recommendations, such as the American Society for Public Administration, meaningful and equitable opportunities for public participation are a foundational tenet of ethical government. Do you really want to deny the people of San Jose these opportunities, and face the scrutiny of everyone who knows a thing or two about public administration ethics?

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.


Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sophia Mahoney-Rohrl

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

Regards,
Sophia Mahoney-Rohrl

 Outlook

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:34 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Karen Lynn Ms. [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:28 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. Learn more <<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>>]

[REDACTED]

Hello
RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Thank you,
Karen Lynn, 95126

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:34 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Sunny Atencio [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:32 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review
- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements

- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Sunny A, 95116

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Thu 4/16/2026 8:58 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Alyssa Villa [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2026 8:56 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report – Public Comment

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

[REDACTED]

RE: April 21, 2026 City Council Meeting
Agenda Item 3.4 Data Centers and PG&E Partnership Status Report

Measure I was approved by voters in 2022 to transform public decision-making. Under Charter Section 608 [1] and the Equity Values and Standards Council Policy [2] the City is obligated to center equity, accountability, and meaningful community engagement in its decisions. To date there have been no public study sessions on the cumulative public health impacts of bringing 2,000 MW of data centers online in San José. For a buildout of this scale and consequence, Council must exercise proper due diligence by holding a public study session on the cumulative public health impacts.

At a minimum, the City must address the following:

- Robust public outreach proportionate to the scale of this historic buildout
- An independent cumulative impacts analysis covering all proposed and anticipated data center projects, rather than fragmented project-by-project review

- A comprehensive study of air pollution from all backup power sources, fuel types, annual testing hours, outage scenarios, and emergency operating assumptions with clear mitigation requirements
- Legal assurances regarding water quality and water use, including risks tied to closed-loop system blowdowns, failures, and backup water sourcing
- Clear penalties, enforcement mechanisms, and accountability for violations of public health mandates
- Full public disclosure of projected electricity demand, transmission and distribution upgrades, and any long-term cost risks to ratepayers
- Baseline and ongoing public health monitoring for nearby communities, including impacts related to air quality, noise, and heat
- Concrete job guarantees for permanent jobs, with local hire and labor standards
- A community benefits framework with enforceable public accountability, not vague promises of economic growth

The memorandum from the City Manager's office makes clear that the City is advancing a historic buildout tied to 2,000 MW of new regional transmission capacity and a growing pipeline of large-load projects. At the same time, it states that no additional City Council follow-up is expected beyond annual status reports, that no board or commission input is associated with this action, and that the entirety of the stated public outreach is that the memorandum will be posted on the City Council agenda website for the April 21, 2026 meeting. That is not meaningful public outreach for an item of enormous consequence.

A buildout of this scale demands public engagement proportionate to its impact. It is not enough to market San José to industry, hold press events, attend conferences, and meet with more than 50 potential developers while residents are treated as an afterthought and receive only an agenda posting after key frameworks are already underway. That imbalance is unacceptable, especially for infrastructure and land use decisions with potentially long-term consequences for public health, environmental quality, neighborhood conditions, and utility systems.

Residents deserve a dedicated public study session before San José moves further down a path that could lock in major pollution and public health burdens. If the City believes its safeguards are sufficient, then those claims should withstand public scrutiny, independent analysis, and questioning on the record. Anything less would fail both the spirit of Measure I and the public the Council is sworn to serve.

Alyssa Villa, 95125

[1] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/95973/638574336991130000>

[2] <https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/114681/638627814182829342>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.