

Fw: Item 3.4 oppose Covid19 Vaccine mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Mon 8/23/2021 11:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)***From:** Joanne Sun <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Sunday, August 22, 2021 12:03 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Item 3.4 oppose Covid19 Vaccine mandateYou don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear San Jose city,

Mandates of this magnitude will destroy already struggling performing Arts businesses. As is already being seen in New York, customers are choosing not to go to places that are requiring proof of vaccine mandates and small businesses are paying the ultimate price.

Shen Yun performing arts is one of my favorite shows. <https://www.shenyun.com/sj/center-for-the-performing-arts> I missed it. But I could not make it if I have to get a toxic jab or painful useless PCR test.

According to the Democrat's own words, showing an ID of any kind to vote is racist, and therefore this same ideology applies to having to show a vaccine passport to attend an event, or be a member of normal society, especially since data proves that the black and Latino communities have a statistically smaller vaccination rate.

Covid Vax is not scientific. It is not safe neither effective.

- ✓ Pfizer's vaccine efficacy has moved from 95% to 16% in six months in Israel.
- ✓ And 85% of Israel's hospital admittance right now are vaccinated individuals.
- ✓ All vaccines currently being used are Leaky Vaccines NOT Perfect Vaccines.
- ✓ Perfect Vaccines don't allow the virus to transmit and evolve.
- ✓ Vaccinated Individuals are showing signs of ADE (antibody dependant enhancement).
- ✓ Ivermectin has now 63 RCTs which have shown a significant reduction in deaths.

PCR test is for something else. As CDC is recalling it. https://www.cdc.gov/csels/dls/locs/2021/07-21-2021-lab-alert-Changes_CDC_RT-PCR_SARS-CoV-2_Testing_1.html

You cannot sue vax manufacturers for any incidents related to the vax. <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/12/16/covid-vaccine-side-effects-compensation->

[lawsuit.html](#)

if I got stroke, heart failure, epilepsy, blurred vision, abortion or die, they all means it works. Can San Jose city take the responsibility if the vaccine kills me?

The vaccine is like a religion which I have to believe brainlessly. Please do not force this vaz religion to everyone.

Thank you for your time and I truly hope you will take my concerns as a citizen of San Jose seriously and stop all discussions of mandating any type of vaccine or passport.

Best regards,

Joanne Sun

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: To be put on the RECORD for Tuesday August 24, 2021 City Council meeting

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 8/23/2021 1:05 PM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

📎 1 attachments (67 KB)

Public Records Request - CDPH.pdf;

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: staci lares <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 12:58 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: To be put on the RECORD for Tuesday August 24, 2021 City Council meeting

[External Email]

August 23, 2021

Dear Mayor Liccardo and San Jose City Council Members,
I am writing in regarding Item 3.4, 21-1917 on your agenda for
Tuesday August 24th's meeting.

First, you are all violating state laws, the Brown Act, by now allowing
the public to comment in person. Please remember you work for us.

We pay your paychecks.

[https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?
division=2.&chapter=9.&part=1.&lawCode=GOV&title=5.](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=2.&chapter=9.&part=1.&lawCode=GOV&title=5)

Speaking of paychecks, you do know that thousands of people in this
county including myself are STILL unemployed right? While you
have all collected your biweekly paychecks a lot of us are struggling
to pay our rent, bills, etc.

How can you sleep at night?

I vehemently oppose your supposed Urgency Ordinance regarding a Vaccine mandate.

The “vaccine” is not a traditional vaccine at all. It is an experimental gene therapy injection which has harmed and killed thousands. Please look up the data on VAERS. (CDC)

You might say that Pfizer is FDA approved, as of today, but the Pfizer trials will not be completed until May 2, 2023, therefore it is still experimental.

Why on earth do any of you think you can mandate anything medical? Are you all a sudden healthcare providers instead of politicians?

I heard something recently that is absolutely, 100% true. This is all POLI TRICKS and you guys are a bunch of clowns.

Your “Vaccine Mandate” Memorandum on August 18, 2021, Mayor Liccardo is a laughing stock.

Sars covi2 has NOT been isolated, purified or identified therefore it does NOT exist nor does any idiotic variant.

Is it going to be the United variant next? The Southwest variant? The Lambada variant?

Please stop lying to the public.

You specifically noted Florida and Texas in your memorandum. Why is that Mayor? Because those states are open and are doing fine?

No one believes the fear porn Dr. Cody, you people or msm puts out.

You are trying to suck the joy out of all our lives, but we aren't having it.

Do you all think this is WW2 Nazi Germany? It appears that you want to make Santa Clara County a totalitarian state just like that but again, we do not and never will consent and we do not and never will comply.

SCCO is promoting discrimination and coercion.

You will all be in a Nuremberg 2.0 Court. Bank on it.

1. “The voluntary consent of the human subject is essential.

This means that the person involved should have the legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of FORCE, FRAUD, DECEIT, DURESS, OVER-

REACHING, or other ulterior form of CONSTRAINT OR COERCION: and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the effects upon his health or person, which may come from his participation in the experiment.”

We the people of Santa Clara County are **NOT** your human guinea pigs.

We have God given rights which are protected under the U.S. Constitution as well as the Ca Constitution.

We also have medical freedom and will **NOT** accept MEDICAL TYRANNY!!!

Former Pfizer VP Michael Yeadon says that “vaccine” passports are without question “the gates to hell”.

You state the following.

Such a discussion should be informed by what we have learned from San Francisco’s experience,

Seriously? Have any of you been to San Francisco lately?

It’s disgusting and why you would want to do any kind of comparison is ridiculous.

Most of us will not go to DTSJ anymore, or ever again because it’s just full of homeless, drug addicts, filth, and mentally unstable people as well as many businesses closed permanently thanks to you.

SHAME ON ALL OF YOU!!

SHAME ON YOU!!

There is a special kind of hell for all of you and believe me, you’ll be going there.

Are you all getting bonuses from the CCP or something because it sure appears so?

There must be motivation for you trying to implement medical tyranny but again, we the people of Santa Clara County **WILL NEVER CONSENT AND WE WILL NEVER COMPLY!!!!**

Resign immediately.

You have all broken the oaths that you signed.

You are 100% harming the people of this county.

You are all criminals and will be behind bars.

You are all complicit in committing crimes against humanity.

The attached proves that there is no covid19 and a year and a half of “2 weeks to flatten the curve” is all lies, lies and more lies.

Stop with the daily fear porn.

Staci Lares

District 5

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/aKQzqRZNO7M0/?fbclid=IwAR3A7uLLVavN8e>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



August 19, 2021

RE: Public Records Act Request, Reference Number P013484-081021

On August 10, 2021, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) received your request for the following records under the Public Records Act:

I request all white papers describing the isolation of the COVID-19 aka SARS-CoV-2 virus in human beings, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material.

Note: The word "isolate" indicates a thing is separated from all other material surrounding it.

I am not requesting white papers where "isolation" of SARS-CoV-2 refers to:

the culturing of something, or

the performance of an amplification test (PCR), or

the sequencing of something

To clarify, I am requesting via disclosure all white papers showing isolation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in human beings in the possession of the California Department of Public Health.

CDPH has completed a diligent search and has determined that it is not in possession of records that are responsive to your request. For this reason, this concludes CDPH's response to your request, which will now be closed.

Thank you,

Chloe Guidera

Fw: oppose vaccine mandate for San Jose

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Mon 8/23/2021 11:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Melissa Smith [REDACTED]**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 9:45 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]> CouncilMeeting <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** oppose vaccine mandate for San Jose

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Please do not impose a vaccine mandate on SJ. There are many deaths and disabilities from the vaccine that have not been recognized or addressed. My chiropractor's daughter got a seizure after her first dose. She had no history of seizures prior to the jab. Also, people who have already gotten Covid likely have stronger immunity than those who have been vaccinated. Please allow America to continue to be built upon the liberty provided by the Constitution by not instituting a vaccine mandate.

Thank you,
Melissa Smith

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Tuesday item 3.4

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Mon 8/23/2021 11:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: selina bravo [REDACTED] >**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 12:31 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Cc:** CouncilMeeting <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Re: Tuesday item 3.4You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Correction! We will not comply with "vaccine" mandate!

<https://forbiddenknowledge.tv/there-is-no-variant-not-novel-no-pandemic-dr-david-martin-with-reiner-fuellmich/>

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 23, 2021, at 12:27 AM, selina bravo <[REDACTED]> wrote:

To whom it may concern!

San Josians will not stand for mask mandates! So unless you want protests in the streets of San Jose, don't even think of this unconstitutional mandate! We will win this fight!

S. Bravo

Sent from my iPhone

FW: Vax Mandates - vote NO!

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Mon 8/23/2021 2:19 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: striderbrave [REDACTED]**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 2:12 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Vax Mandates - vote NO!

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

[External Email]

Hi:

I strongly oppose a Vax mandate and any restrictions for those who may choose to not get vaccinated. This virus is not deadly to 99.9% of those who are currently healthy, younger than the median life span age of ~78, and without lifestyle created co-morbidities. If you must insist on anything, insist on a debate discussing higher premiums for obese individuals...obesity is the major trait/demographic for the worst COVID complications.

Thank you, Doug

Sent with [REDACTED] Secure Email.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: August 24, 2021 City Council Agenda Item 3.4 - Vaccine Mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Mon 8/23/2021 4:08 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Peter Lindstrom [REDACTED]**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 4:01 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** August 24, 2021 City Council Agenda Item 3.4 - Vaccine MandateYou don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear City Council of San Jose:

I am an American citizen and a resident of San Jose of over 20 years. I have owned and operated a law practice in San Jose since 2004.

I am writing this letter to oppose Agenda Item 3.4, the Vaccine Mandate. I understand that the FDA has just approved one of the Covid-19 vaccines, however, this does not mean that the facts, and the law, are settled on the issue of the safety, and effectiveness, of the Covid-19 vaccines. In fact, I contend that the facts, and the law, are quite unsettled on the controversy surrounding the Covid-19 vaccines, and thus submit that to pass a Vaccine Mandate at this time will lead to unnecessary infringement of the rights of the people of San Jose and will lead to legal challenges against the City of San Jose. As you may know, a challenge has already been filed against the mayor of New York City by small business owners regarding the vaccine mandate.

First, the facts surrounding the Covid-19 vaccines, and alleged pandemic, are unsettled and disputed. Even from the CDC's own admission, the PCR test that was used to test people "positive" for Covid-19 in the year 2000 has been found to be unreliable and has been withdrawn from its emergency use authorization. This means that all of those Covid-19 cases you thought were counted in the year 2000 are now called into question. If it cannot be determined from the PCR test that the tested person had Covid-19, the flu, influenza, or the common cold, then we did not then, and do not now, have a sufficient factual basis that there was or is a Covid-19 pandemic. Therefore, there cannot be a sufficient factual basis for a Covid-19 emergency or a vaccination mandate.

In addition, there is considerable factual dispute surrounding the nature, safety, and efficacy of the alleged Covid-19 vaccines. The VAERS system has been used to report a substantial number of deaths and side effects by those who have taken the Covid-19 vaccines. Here is the VAERS data as of July 30, 2021:

DEATHS: **12,366** (as of July 30, 2021)ADVERSE REACTIONS: **545,337** (as of July 30, 2021)

Though these numbers do not appear to be important enough to the FDA or the CDC, this does not mean that this information is not significant if a due value is placed on human life. As City Council Members of a city of living and breathing individuals, you as its Council Members should not ignore this data but instead should in your vote on this Agenda Item carefully consider the health, safety, and welfare of your citizens. Due to these numbers of deaths and adverse reactions, I am requesting that the city hold off on a vaccine mandate until a free, fair, open, and public inquiry is made into the safety and effectiveness of these vaccines. Please recall that the death rate of the alleged Covid-19 virus has been at less than 1%, depending on age and presence of co-morbidities. Therefore, in a careful weighing of the relative risks of the vaccine mandate vs. no vaccine mandate, the balance weighs against a vaccine mandate until more investigation is conducted.

Second, the law surrounding these Covid-19 vaccines is also unsettled and untested. At this time, there are legal controversies surrounding the pandemic and the vaccines that are in the legal system and are pending a final resolution. As you likely know, cases have been filed in Federal court to oppose the vaccination of school children and previously-infected persons with natural immunity. A case has also been filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, et al., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00702-CLM. To my understanding, this civil action was filed to stop the approval of the Covid-19 vaccines, and is thus relevant to your Agenda Item of today regarding the Vaccine Mandate. As this matter is still pending, I submit that the law remains unsettled and disputed as far as the Covid-19 vaccines are concerned.

Last, I appeal to each of you as not only a member of the City Council of San Jose, but also as a fellow American citizen. As there is much about the alleged Covid-19 pandemic and Vaccines that remains unsettled and disputed, I ask that you note "NO" for the Vaccine Mandate. Instead, I request that you consider for a future vote a resolution that there be a free, fair, open and public inquiry into the safety and effectiveness of the Covid-19 vaccines before putting any kind of Vaccine Mandate into place.

As far as the Covid-19 alleged pandemic and the vaccines are concerned, there remain more questions than answers. There is also much confusion and division among the people over these issues. I thus am asking you, imploring you, that you vote according to your conscience, based upon what you believe to be right and for the good of the citizens of the City of San Jose, and that you bear in mind that we all were born or naturalized into a free country, a nation that was founded upon principles of freedom, liberty, and justice for all. Please do not forget that at the foundation of our nation was a Declaration of Independence which stated that we the people are endowed by our Creator with certain inalienable rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.

O City Counsel Members, please do not vote to take away the very lives, liberties, and happiness of your citizens without there being a free, fair, open and public hearing on these issues. Please let the doctors debate in an open and public forum. Please let there a public debate where no information is suppressed or censored; let the truth prevail. I ask you, City Council members, to have the courage to stand up for your fellow American citizens, to stand up for their rights and for their liberty. Please let the City of San Jose, capital of the Silicon Valley, leader of the Information Age, to be a beacon of light in this country, where not one citizen's life, liberty, or happiness was taken away without a full and fair investigation into the truth of the matter.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my letter.

Sincerely,

Peter A. Lindstrom

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

NO VACCINE MANDATES!!!

Mon 8/23/2021 9:04 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

I strongly urge you to vote NO! on Liccardo's absurd proposal to require proof of vaccination to participate in normal life in San Jose.

This used to be a free country and anyone proposing such draconian, authoritarian measures would have been tarred and feathered and ridden out of town on a rail. Today, this country is following in the footsteps of Nazi Germany in the late 1930's, and San Jose seems to be leading the way.

We know now that the PCR test dramatically overstates the number of cases. Even the CDC has admitted that 94% of the deaths attributed to Covid had other causes – heart attacks, strokes, cancers, drownings, auto accidents, even homicides. The actual deaths from Covid nationwide are on the order of 50,000. And most of these are in the elderly or the obese.

A number of scientists from all over the world, far more reputable than the CDC, WHO, NIH or Sarah Cody have told us that the vaccines are more dangerous than the virus. VAERS reports over 13,000 Americans killed by the vaccines since December. A Medicare/Medicaid whistle blower is prepared to testify that these number are vastly understated with the actual vaccine deaths being in excess of 45,000. We know now that the vaccines cause micro-clots in 80% of the people vaccinated. These are detected with the d-dimer test and will cause heart failure in most of these people within a few years. We know that many of our young men, who would not be harmed by the virus, will succumb to serious inflammatory heart diseases which may be lifelong. We know that the spike protein produced by the vaccines accumulates preferentially in the ovaries, causing women to become infertile, and also in the bone marrow. Dr. Robert Malone, inventor of the mRNA technology has warned that massive numbers of cases of leukemia and other bone cancers will show up within the next few years.

The vaccines are far more dangerous than the virus. To know this and to ignore it is homicide.

There are thousands of us who understand the danger and will refuse to patronize any business that requires proof of the jab. You will do more damage to the economy beyond what you've already done with your lockdowns. All in the name of virtue signaling.

Ed Turner

FW: AGENDA 3.4

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:45 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: AP4274 <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:40 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: AGENDA 3.4

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

If you'd like the city of San José to make even less revenue off events in both city and public places, this mandate will put the city at an even lower deficit.

I OBJECT AGENDA ITEM 3.4!!!!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Covid mandate and passports

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:46 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Kolby Rousseu <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:36 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Covid mandate and passports

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Hello,

I object to the San Jose City Councils agenda item 3.4 for today's meeting. No one should be mandated to take a vaccine or have to use a "passport" to enter city or privately owned businesses.

Kolby Rousseu

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Item 3.4 Oppose Vaccine mandates

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:46 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Cheryl Jaques <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:35 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Item 3.4 Oppose Vaccine mandates

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Imposing a vaccine card on San Jose residents, or any residents, **will promote a false sense of security due to the lack of efficacy (only 40%!)** the vaccine gives against immunity. As more time passes we see that booster shots are now recommended because the early adopters are no longer carrying enough antibodies. There is also no provision for those who have already had Covid and have natural immunity. Why not spend whatever money was budgeted for vaccine passports toward development and distribution of a value able antibody test? Then there is no guesswork.

Just take a look at how many vaccinated people are landing in the hospital in Israel. The vaccine, which is a misnomer, is not going to stop the disease, as it is mutating very quickly among the vaccinated. The city council will lose all credibility of standing up for its citizens by putting in place such a draconian measure for no real benefit. It will just appear that the council wants to flex its muscles against its citizens as opposed to helping them.

Respectfully,

Cheryl Jaques
San Jose Resident,
Small Business owner
Wife, mother, and concerned citizen

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: ICAN DEMANDS EVIDENCE SUPPORTING FAUCI AND WALENSKY STATEMENTS

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:47 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: manu.hipkins <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 5:58 PM**To:** CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeeting@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; j.reed@sanjoseca.gov; jeff.smith@ceo.sccgov.org; county.counsel@cco.sccgov.org; jrosen@dao.sccgov.org; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** ICAN DEMANDS EVIDENCE SUPPORTING FAUCI AND WALENSKY STATEMENTS

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

I am submitting this supporting evidence in OPPOSITION to ITEM 3.4, "Vaccine Mandate" on the Agenda: "It seems incredibly misleading to tell the country that all hospitalizations and deaths are in the unvaccinated when the CDC itself does not appear to be capturing or analyzing data to support that assertion. ICAN will continue to fight to reveal the hard truths our health authorities are plainly reluctant to admit and will not stand by idle when those authorities make claims without any support."

The [emergency use authorizations](#) for the COVID-19 vaccines require that providers of the vaccines report all COVID-19 cases in vaccinated individuals who get COVID-19 and are hospitalized or die. Healthcare professionals have reported to ICAN, stating in no uncertain terms, that this is not happening.

Cases of COVID-19 in vaccinated individuals are called "breakthrough cases." Understanding the true rate of breakthrough cases is critical in understanding the real-world effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccines -- especially when compared to cases in the unvaccinated. The CDC [acknowledges](#), "no vaccines are 100% effective at preventing illness in vaccinated people. There will be a small percentage of fully vaccinated people who still get sick, are hospitalized, or die from COVID-19." The only way to know that "small percentage" is to ensure all

breakthrough cases where the vaccinated person is either hospitalized or dies are reported.

The CDC originally [reported](#) all breakthrough cases in the vaccinated, but after initial reports from January 1 to April 30, 2021 showed 10,262 breakthrough cases, the CDC abruptly stopped publicly reporting these cases. The CDC [announced](#) that: "As of May 1, 2021, CDC transitioned from monitoring all reported vaccine breakthrough cases to focus on identifying and investigating **only hospitalized or fatal cases...**"

Incredibly, the number of breakthrough [hospitalized cases](#) reached almost 5,000 reported cases and the number of reported breakthrough [deaths](#) reached 988 despite the fact that **many hospitals are not properly reporting breakthrough cases**. ICAN has been contacted by healthcare professionals from hospitals across the country who are reporting that breakthrough cases, along with adverse events, are simply not being reported by hospitals.

Conveniently, after the CDC stopped reporting all breakthrough cases, and as hospital systems fail to report such cases, Dr. Fauci and Dr. Walensky have recently been claiming that the current hospitalizations and deaths are in the unvaccinated. On July 4, 2021, Dr. Fauci [stated](#): "if you look at the number of deaths, about 99.2% of them are unvaccinated. About 0.8% are vaccinated. No vaccine is perfect. But when you talk about the avoidability of hospitalization and death...it's really sad and tragic that most all of these are avoidable and preventable."

The CDC Director, Dr. Walensky, likewise [stated](#) recently that COVID-19 vaccination is so effective that "nearly every death, especially among adults, due to COVID-19, is, at this point, entirely preventable," and called such deaths "particularly tragic," the *Associated Press* reported. Dr. Walensky also [said](#) in a White House Press briefing that, "preliminary data from several states over the last few months suggests that 99.5 percent of deaths from COVID-19 in the United States were in unvaccinated people. Those deaths were preventable with a simple, safe shot."

ICAN submitted [inquiries](#) to Dr. Fauci and Dr. Walensky requesting the data supporting such statements. The individual responding on behalf of Dr. Fauci said that we "may wish to contact the CDC" or "review[] the [] White House [press briefing](#) that discusses mortality COVID-19 data among unvaccinated individuals." Neither the CDC nor the White House press briefing -- which simply quotes Dr. Walensky citing the same statistic without any references or support -- have an analysis which justifies these statements.

The CDC itself, which Dr. Fauci's spokesperson referred us to, has [not estimated](#) what percentage of hospitalizations and deaths are in fully vaccinated people,

citing limitations in the data. To that end, the CDC [acknowledges](#) that "the number of COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infections reported to CDC likely are an undercount of all SARS-CoV-2 infections among fully vaccinated persons. National surveillance relies on passive and voluntary reporting, and data might not be complete or representative."

ICAN has not received any response from Dr. Walensky to date.

It seems incredibly misleading to tell the country that all hospitalizations and deaths are in the unvaccinated when the CDC itself does not appear to be capturing or analyzing data to support that assertion. ICAN will continue to fight to reveal the hard truths our health authorities are plainly reluctant to admit and will not stand by idle when those authorities make claims without any support.

To share this legal update, please use this link: https://www.icandecide.org/ican_press/ican-demands-evidence-supporting-fauci-and-walensky-statements/

* * *

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Regarding Covid

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:47 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Tanya BURT <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:33 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Regarding Covid

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to voice my concern to City Council as to why we are not doing more to help abate Covid.

As a concerned citizen of Evergreen (San Jose), I am very surprised that the City of San Jose and Santa Clara County have not implemented the same city/county wide mandate, as San Francisco has when it comes to fighting Covid.

Recently my family thoroughly enjoyed, without worry or fear, a night out to dinner in San Francisco... **they now require that ANYONE entering any restaurant provide both PROOF OF VACCINATION and PHOTO ID.** We were all so happy that we did not have to worry and knew everyone who enters these establishments are healthy and vaccinated!

Going forward, unless San Jose/ Santa Clara County implements such a mandate, my family and I will be spending our money in San Francisco.

Thank you for taking the time to hear us on this matter, and we hope you will adopt such a mandate here.

Sincerely,

Tanya Burt



FW: Item 3.4 vaccine passport

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:47 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Lori Joseph <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, August 23, 2021 6:18 PM

To: CouncilMeeting <[REDACTED]>

Cc: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Item 3.4 vaccine passport

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City Council,

I am firmly against any vaccine passports. These injections are dangerous and experimental. I personally have had serious reactions to vaccines in the past and cannot take this shot. According to VAERS reporting system, at least 12,000 people have died and another 200,000 injured and this is said to be only 1% of the actual deaths and injuries. Moreover, people who get the shot can still get covid. It is morally wrong to force people to risk their lives taking this injection in order to participate in society. Listen to your constituents right now. Vote no on vaccine mandates. We need freedom to choose, not control.

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Agenda Item 3.4

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:48 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 11:16 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Agenda Item 3.4

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello,

I am writing today to strongly oppose a vaccine mandate. You have no right to dictate how other people live their lives. A vaccine mandate is so overreaching and invasive. If you do not understand the dangers of implementing something like this, you do not deserve to be in the positions you are in. If there is a choice between safety and freedom, I choose freedom because the alternative is tyranny. Your health, your choice. Coercion is not consent. Freedom, not force.

Elle F.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Agenda Item 3.4 - August 24

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:49 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Melanie Dolan <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 11:25 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Agenda Item 3.4 - August 24

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Council Members.

This has nothing to do with health, this is all about control and you are obviously bought and paid for. We the people are saying no to vaccine passports and we are saying enough is enough. Our rights come from God. You are not God, so stop playing God. Equality and inclusion for all!

Mel Dolan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Agenda Item 3.4 - EMAIL CONFUSION

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:49 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 11:50 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Agenda Item 3.4 - EMAIL CONFUSION

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello,

It seems as though there was some confusion regarding email addresses. I have a few friends who accidentally sent their public comment to the email address that is supposed to be used DURING the meeting. Please have someone check for those messages as they were sent BEFORE the 10am deadline.

Thank you,

Elle F

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: ITEM 3.4

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:49 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: lydmaz <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:17 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]> CouncilMeeting <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** ITEM 3.4

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Representatives of the people of San Jose:

I am respectfully including information below I believe you might not have been made aware of, particularly if your inclination is to vote to remove our human rights and freedoms by mandating a harmful substance be injected in to all people simply to enjoy our Constitutional and God-given RIGHTS as Americans and Californians. It is astounding how many people have not been exposed to these facts, as our media and others driven by profit over actual health CARE, have chosen to HIDE it from the public. I trust you would want to be fully informed before making such a life-altering decision for so many who will be affected by your vote.

Before you vote, I beg of you, PLEASE review the DEATH & INJURIES information below, and at least skim through the information on the long 'known to be safe' - and is now inexpensive - TREATMENT (Ivermectin) that could have SAVED MANY LIVES but for agreements with Pfizer, etc., and if at all possible, watch at least the shorter of the videos, tho content is very different in each. WHAT DO THEY NOT WANT US TO KNOW???

After reviewing any of the options presented, better yet all, **PLEASE, ask yourselves WHY are people pushing so hard to steal our Constitutional liberties by forcing a substance that many TOP Doctors (including the inventor of mRNA, Dr. Malone), Scientists, the CDC, & the Patent office agree is NOT a VACCINE!! - certainly not by traditional standards! And why are the ACTUAL number of deaths & vaccine injuries being HIDDEN from the public?**



<https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org/frontlinenews/information-security-expert-on-revealed-pfizer-agreements-theres-good-reason-pfizer-fought-to-hide-the-details-of-these-contracts/>

Shorter video: What has been learned about ingredients in so-called vaccines:

VAXXED patients' blood examined, horrific findings revealed by German physicians!

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/iNeJFqQ0TxYS/>

Note Dr. Ruby herself survived the virus known as Covid.

This discussion is with German Attorney (Fuellmich) and TOP USA Doctor and Patent expert, Dr. Martin, explaining data points that tell us why he is filing a LEGAL CASE against Anthony Fouci & others for lying and misrepresenting so much information, starting with how many YEARS ago they started seeking patents and planning this "disease" that proves to be nearly identical to viruses we've had around for DECADES! (thus NOT a 'Novel' one!). Instead of truth, those in power - Gov and Media - chose to cause such fear that it drives people to suicide; made so many small businesses go broke; and, worst of all, continues to HARM our CHILDREN in so many ways!

<https://rumble.com/search/video?q=Dr.%20David%20Martin%20%20%2B%20Reiner%20Fuellmich>

A bit more information is included below, however, what I have shared only touches the surface of all that is available to find for anyone who takes the time to look.

Additional information:

White Paper on "vaccines"

https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/6076e4fd8bde421370729e47_Vaccine-PP.pdf

Doctors are not being allowed to freely treat their patients with safe and effective treatments!

<https://americasfrontlinedoctors.org/frontlinenews/when-doctors-lose-their-way-opinion/>

I hope I was able to help. Thank you so much for your time and consideration. I pray you find peace in your decision.

Lyjem

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Reference 3.4: 21-1917

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:50 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Gerald Caligaris <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:02 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Reference 3.4: 21-1917

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello,

I strongly oppose the proposed use of "vaccination passports" to allow access to city events, as an intolerable and unconstitutional policy of discrimination based upon what ought to be privileged medical information. Moreover, you have indicated that your next overreach will be a mandate on private business owners forcing them to enact the same discriminatory policies and lose portions of their customer base, regardless of the personal beliefs of those business owners or of their respective financial considerations.

What is your plan to enforce either of these provisions? Because I guarantee that private business owners will not, and should not.

Thank you,

-- Gerald C.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Agenda Item Number 3.4

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 8/24/2021 8:50 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachments (15 KB)

City Council stop vaccine passports.docx;

From: kuni.shira [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:23 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Agenda Item Number 3.4

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

[External Email]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

August 24, 2021

Members of the San Jose City Council,

Mandating vaccine passports would be impractical, unnecessary, irresponsible, wrong, and illegal.

Impractical because the past year has proven how long it takes to provide enough vaccine for the entire population. Every new vaccine or booster required would invalidate a passport until all are able to receive it, leaving large groups of the population without access to necessities for months.

Unnecessary because many have already had covid. Those who have antibodies are far more immune than those who have received the vaccine. Also, many who have received the vaccine continue to get covid, vaccine warning inserts even state the vaccine may not protect recipients. In all the language regarding the vaccines, the CDC and FDA used the words volunteer or elective, not mandatory.

Irresponsible because vaccine trials strictly excluded covid recovered individuals and those suspected to be covid recovered (those with antibodies,) pregnant women, and women who could be pregnant, any unhealthy individuals including those with the following risk factors: high blood pressure, asthma, diabetes, smokers, the obese, and more. Therefore, vaccine effects cannot be known for a significant portion of the population. Additionally, vaccine warning inserts say the possible side effects of the vaccine are still being studied in clinical trials.

Wrong because vaccine warning inserts specifically state anyone who has had an allergic reaction to any covid vaccine components or to vaccines in the past should NOT get the covid vaccine and that there is insufficient data to know risks associated during pregnancy or to breastfed infants. They also list blood clots, paralysis, inflammation of the heart, and death as known possible reactions. Hundreds of thousands of such reactions have been reported to VAERS.

Illegal because the Principle of Autonomy in the Nuremberg code states that the individual gets to decide what happens to their body without pressure, coercion, or threat of reprisal – not being able to enter any business to shop for food is definitely pressure and coercion, as are being denied access to education such as college or the workplace to earn a living.

The CDC and FDA recommend many things to promote health and well-being – daily exercise, eating less fat and sugar, breastfeeding babies, brushing your teeth, reducing your number of sexual partners, sleeping 7 or more hours a night, limiting alcohol intake, quitting smoking, not eating raw oysters, cookie dough, or lukewarm food from restaurants, making sure a pool drain is visible before you swim, and how much vitamin B women should take daily – just to name a few. And if many of these recommendations were mandatory, our city would be healthier and safer. Over 2.8 million people die in the U.S. each year, and 44% of those deaths are from heart disease and cancer – the top 2 leading killers every year. And yet there are no mandatory exercise and diet routines to keep people healthy and safe. That would be impractical, unnecessary, irresponsible, wrong, and illegal.

Kuniko Shira, San Jose resident

FW: Aug. 24, 2021 City Council Meeting, Agenda Item 3.4 21-1917 Vaccine Mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Buster B <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:08 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Aug. 24, 2021 City Council Meeting, Agenda Item 3.4 21-1917 Vaccine Mandate

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Attn: City Clerk
City of San Jose, City Council

This letter is in regard to today's (Aug 24, 2021) agenda item 3.4, 21-1917, Vaccine Mandate. Please do not issue a mandate for the following six reasons:

1. This will be damaging to the economy, especially small businesses, and unfairly burden those who work in the low wage service industry.
2. My body, my choice. Forcing medicines on people may be good for the pharmaceutical industry, but it is a violation of personal bodily integrity.
3. In practice, it is discriminatory towards people of color. Many people of color have chosen not to get the vaccine, and creating a class of unvaccinated people who will be denied goods, services and jobs will be a discriminatory action.
4. The current vaccines have proven to be leaky, and do not protect the vaccinated from becoming infected or transmitting the virus. An unvaccinated person is not a greater risk to others, and no mandates are justified under these circumstances.
5. When COVID-19 first arrived, there were many unknowns. The death rate was unknown, and proper treatment protocols were not understood. We now know much more about the virus, and it is not much different from a bad annual flu. Mandates are not necessary or justified for the annual flu, and are no longer justified for COVID-19. It has been with us almost two years, and is no longer a novel or unknown virus. It is no longer an emergency.

6. The Delta variant of COVID-19 has peaked, and numbers are dropping rapidly. It will naturally pass in a matter of weeks. The potential damage and lawsuits from a mandate could last years.

Mandates can be very costly to the economy, businesses and individuals. They can also be very destructive of civil liberties. They should not be undertaken unless absolutely necessary, and under a very urgent emergency. Please consider refraining from any new COVID related mandates at this time. I urge a "no" vote on mandates.

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue.

Sincerely, Buster
San Jose, California

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Oppose Vaccine mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Delores K Cook <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 6:12 PM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Oppose Vaccine mandateYou don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

The attached information is coming from: www.lc.org under press release August 23.

No individual should be forced to violate there religious convictions. The governor & state cannot override or nullify federal laws.

Even though the EUA law no longer applies to the Pfizer two-shot dosage, state and federal law regarding medical and religious exemptions are effective and not diminished by this FDA change of status. Individuals continue to have medical and religious exemption options.

However, this FDA approval does not mean the Pfizer shots are safe, as evident by the [VAERS data](#) that shows

595,620 adverse events, including 13,608 deaths as of August 13, 2021, from the COVID injections. The deaths in this data includes approximately 3,079 deaths after receiving the Pfizer shot. (* Liberty Council)

Title VII OF THE Civil Rights Act does provide for religious exemptions & accommodations that employers provide them.

The Johnson & Johnson (Janssen Pharmaceuticals) COVID shot “did require the use of fetal cell cultures, specifically PER.C6, in order to produce and manufacture the vaccine. The vaccines contains aborted human fetus’ dna. This is directly against the Christian value of human life at conception.

All health care workers are protected by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act which does provide for religious exemptions and accommodations and mandates that employers provide them. Furthermore, COVID shots cannot be mandatory under Title VII.

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 3.4 21-1917 Vaccine Mandate.

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:20 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Chris Morris <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:17 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Cc:** CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeet[REDACTED]>**Subject:** 3.4 21-1917 Vaccine Mandate.

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Laccardo and City Council members,

I object to the imposition of a vaccine mandate (agenda item 3.4 21-1917) for public places and private businesses. This is an infringement on our civil liberties and restricts access to public to places that should be accessible to all. While the COVID 19 vaccines may be appropriate and advisable for some it may not be advisable for others; in either case, this is a personal decision for individuals and their medical providers. The right to make private medical decisions should never be taken away by the City Council or others without an individuals direct consent.

Regards

C. Morris
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Agenda 3.4 21-1917 Vaccine Mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:42 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: gary w <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:56 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Agenda 3.4 21-1917 Vaccine Mandate

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

I am concerned about the loss of freedom if San Jose will mandate vaccine passport to attend events in city owned buildings.

The mandate could dissuade some people from visiting the city.

It will be more fair if you require negative testing of Covid-19 in order to attend the events, as some people who are vaccinated and could still test positive.

Thank you!

Gary Wang

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: 8/24/2021 Agenda item 3.4

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:42 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Vaughn Shira <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:10 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** 8/24/2021 Agenda item 3.4

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello City council members.

"Those who give up freedom for safety will neither deserve nor get either." Benjamin Franklin. I am here today to keep both freedom and safety.

Ignorance can kill. I am here to show people how a vaccine passport is a terrible idea, because: it destroys American freedom, and the cost outweighs the reward.

Primarily, this mandate kills the core value of America- individualism. You and I make our own choices. Mandating vaccine admissions is inhuman and discriminatory. Does this sound familiar: 'My body, my choice.' This opinion is highly popular in California. The city doesn't choose what I eat for breakfast, so it can't choose what medicine I put in- it's the same principle. Even if everyone wants you to get it- it's your choice. If the unvaccinated can't go into markets or hospitals- how will they live?

Additionally, a mandate would cause more problems than solutions. Covid's death rate is 1.7% according to Johns Hopkins university- that's **tiny**. This city council's own website claims that "even fully vaccinated individuals can spread the virus to others." Note: In many places, you can get a vaccine for 'free.' But nothing is free!

Usafacts.com says 33% of California is unvaccinated, or 13 million. If they all get shots for each new variant- the hassle that would cause is **enormous**. **Listen:** I went to the funeral of a previously healthy man under 30. He died right after getting **it**. I know healthy people who've gotten chills, rashes, blood clots, and a stroke right after they took the vaccine. If it can't stop covid from spreading, then it causes more harm than help.

Truth matters. Why should the many be judged because of a few? What matters more: freedom, or safety? The patriots knew the answer was freedom. That's why they died for it. I believe in you council- don't give in to what others say or want. Make the smart, right choice- be heroes like our founding fathers. Vote for the economy, vote for this: my body, my choice.

--

Von, a San Jose resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Vaccine Passports

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:42 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Kate DiGiorgio <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:20 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vaccine Passports

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Good morning,

I am writing in regards to today's City Council meeting. I am opposed to the vaccine passports. It is unethical and illegal to force medical procedures or treatment on anyone. This is my health and my choice. So many businesses have closed this year due to all of the lockdowns. This will put an even bigger strain on those business that are struggling to stay open when you restrict their ability to feed their families by telling them who they can and cannot serve.

Please vote no on this issue.

Thank you,

Kate DiGiorgio

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: I object to today's emergency vote

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:42 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Kevin Brahney <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:24 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** I object to today's emergency vote

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

I object to vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, and requiring proof of vaccination to enter public buildings.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Opposition to Vaccine Mandates of Any kind in San Jose

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:42 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Kelley Rodrigues <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:25 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Opposition to Vaccine Mandates of Any kind in San Jose

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Hello. My name is Kelley Rodrigues and I am 52 years old. I have lived in San Jose all my life.

I object to the vaccine passports! This city does not need to get involved in my healthcare. When I had Covid at the beginning of this year I isolated for 2 weeks and then I was fine. I am perfectly able to take care of myself. What this will do is make people not trust the healthcare community. I am not an anti-vaxer and I am up to date with all my vaccines but I cannot help loosing faith in our medical community as well as our city for even thinking of this. Even more, this council is not bothering to address the thousands in our city who have had Covid and now have immunity. Why have we forgotten about that? They don't need a shot!!!! Our free country will take a major hit if you start playing doctors and mandate this vaccine. If you vote this in I will take it upon myself to get on a board to vote you out of office!

Kelley Rodrigues

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Agenda Item Number 3.4; OPPOSED

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Aidan Shira <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:27 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Agenda Item Number 3.4; OPPOSED

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

8/24/2021

San Jose City Council, imagine a city where people are discriminated against because of their race, their ideologies, and their beliefs. From what I've heard, the city of San Jose is trying to work against discrimination. The city's overseers are telling citizens of their own kind that those people are a danger to their society. Truly, they aren't dangerous, but the overseers believe they are, so they require the victimized people to show passports wherever they go. Imagine those people with those passport papers crumpled, weary from how many times they've unfolded them for the guardians of the city. They can't pass go until they provide those papers; those papers that remind them who they are; unwelcome, unapproved, and unwanted outcasts.

In actuality, you don't have to imagine this. Simply look to Nazi Germany, and the Jews that were pushed, pounded and pierced for those papers. Those papers allowed them to get food. Acquire clothes. Take a stroll. Those papers brought pain, tears and agony to those people, and eventually concentration camps.

I pulled this quote from an online article titled: GERMAN SPECIAL ISSUED ID'S FOR JEWS. **Quote:** "After...November...10th of 1939, the German authorities implemented a new set of identity papers for its citizens: ID cards for its "German" citizens and a set of papers for the Jews...Jews were not permitted in public places, Jewish doctors were not allowed to treat non-Jews and Jewish lawyers and teachers were banned from every aspect of normal integration..." What we're discussing today is whether or not we should mandate proof of vaccination in public AND private spaces, correct? From what I've just read, I equate proof of vaccination with Nazi-Jewish identification papers. A 9 year old I know could see the connection. *Would you like to enter this grocery store sir? I'm sorry, you'll have to provide proof of vaccination—I mean Jewish ID, sir.* You propose treating me like a 1930's Jew if I don't show a vaccine passport? Here's some more history for you. "In 1933...a general boycott of all Jewish-owned businesses was proclaimed...Jews could not hold jobs in (any of the arts)...mass bonfires were ignited throughout Germany...Books written by Jews were burned...Jews were prohibited from owning land..." Our society is already seeing these things! See the smoke rising from Denver, Portland, and Minneapolis? See the businesses of the un-vaxxed being bashed? See the cancel culture cremating conservative and anti-vax views? Hear the unvaccinated or unmasked screaming as they're dragged out of stores, airports, and stadiums? You must not. Otherwise, surely you wouldn't be proposing such a tyrannical, Nazi-like mandate. I want to be clear: This mandate's reasoning is the same as the Nazi's. The Nazi's said the Jews were genetically, physically, and intellectually unfit for their society, and for the WORLD. This mandate deems the vaccine-passport-less as genetically, physically, and intellectually unfit anywhere. You claim to care for people's safety. If you do,

then DO NOT pass the ordinance of Section 3.4. By approving this legislation, you won't be securing anyone's safety. You'll be securing the freedoms of so many Americans in a coffin.

Thankyou for reading my letter.

In the name of Truth and Love,

Aidan Shira
San Jose Resident

Sources:

<http://ourpassports.com/german-special-issued-ids-for-jews/>

<https://www.adl.org/sites/default/files/documents/assets/pdf/education-outreach/nazi-germany-and-anti-jewish-policy.pdf>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: 3.4 Urgency Ordinance - Vaccine Mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 9:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Maria Rigazio <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:37 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 3.4 Urgency Ordinance - Vaccine Mandate

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Please read my letter in the City Council meeting today.

I am writing to let you know that I oppose your proposal for instituting vaccine mandates in San Jose. It is extremely inconsiderate towards people who are unable to receive the injection. I must say that I'm very much disappointed that instead of trying to consider all of the citizens in San Jose, that this city Council instead chooses to implement mandates that frankly come across as tyrannical and are intentionally designed to alienate members of our community. You were elected to do a job of serving the people of San Jose. You are not our rulers or our kings. I have a close family member who received the injection, yet has gone to the hospital this week with Covid pneumonia in both lungs. He was told the injection would help him. Obviously it did not do much. There are countless "breakthrough" cases. We unfortunately have a media that is not being honest about all of the side effects of the injections and all of the breakthrough cases happening. Why is it being called a vaccine when people can still get Covid? A vaccine is supposed to enable someone to develop immunity. Clearly people who get this "vaccine" do not all have immunity. So why would you force us to receive an injection that is not going to help us?? I urge you to stop acting like tyrants and be considerate of your entire community!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Urgency Ordinance Item 3.4 Agenda

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

-----Original Message-----

From: Yvette Doublet-Weislak <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:48 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Urgency Ordinance Item 3.4 Agenda

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at [REDACTED]]

[External Email]

Please read in your meeting: I absolutely oppose a passport requirement in San Jose in order to go inside and outside businesses. This is unconstitutional and unAmerican. No long term studies have been done on the covid vaccine. Just because the FDA recently approved the Pfizer covid vaccine doesn't mean it's safe. FDA had also approved Accutane, Baycol and Bextra which have all been recalled. Say no to vaccine passports in San Jose. This is unlawful, unconstitutional and unAmerican. Thank you.

Yvette

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: August 24, 2021 City Council Agenda Item 3.4 - Vaccine Mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Marisa Bejarano <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:49 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]> CouncilMeeting <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** August 24, 2021 City Council Agenda Item 3.4 - Vaccine Mandate

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

City Council of San Jose,

I'm writing because I am **against** your proposed vaccine mandate for "all attendees and staff at events at City facilities".

Do not vote to bring the tyranny we are seeing elsewhere in California to San Jose.

We are able to make decisions for ourselves - it's called freedom of choice. Even with the FDA approval of Pfizer's vaccine yesterday there is ZERO liability for these vaccines - is the City prepared to stand as liable for the employees and attendees of the many events held at City facilities? Are there really no questions that the Pfizer vaccine was approved when clinical trials aren't scheduled to be completed until May of 2023?

In addition, vaccine efficacy is in question - look at Israel. A highly vaccinated population now suffering from another wave of infections. <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/8/23/israel-struggles-to-cope-with-surge-of-covid-infections-despite-v>

I'll also point out that people can have; meningitis, strep throat, hepatitis, shingles, the flu, or a common cold (among other illnesses), and still be out and about in society. Where will the mandates stop?

This virus has over a 99% survival rate - why should anyone be mandating anything related to it?

Thank you,
Marisa Bejarano
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: To be put on the record, City Council Mtg, today, August 24th, OPPOSE Item 3.4, 21-1917

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: staci lares <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:48 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo

<TheOff

District1 <[REDACTED]>

District2

<[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]>

District4 <[REDACTED]>

District5

<[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]>

District7 <[REDACTED]>

District8

<[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]>

District 10 <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Cody, Sara (Health Officer) <[REDACTED]>

Michele Seaton

<[REDACTED]> Jeff Smith <jeff

Subject: To be put on the record, City Council Mtg, today, August 24th, OPPOSE Item 3.4, 21-1917

[External Email]

Hello Mayor and City Council,

I am emailing in again to **VEHEMENTLY OPPOSE Item 3.4 on your agenda for today's meeting. (21-1917)**

The State, the County and the City **DO NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO TELL US WHAT TO PUT IN OR ON OUR BODIES!!**

Hear that loud and clear.

We are not human guinea pigs.

People who are well do not spread illness.

This is NOT WW2 Nazi Germany but it sure appears as if you want to make our amazing county a totalitarian state.

WE WILL NEVER CONSENT!

WE WILL NEVER COMPLY!!

You all go ahead and take the poison needle. (it is not a vaccine by the way. It's an experimental gene therapy shot which will mess with people's DNA)

You can have all of our doses in fact.

You clearly ONLY care about CARES ACT and FEMA money, not the people of this county.

We are NOT in a state of emergency therefore all "Mandates", "Orders", etc are null and void.

A year and a half of "2 weeks to flatten the curve" is laughable! This whole thing is theater as a matter of fact. Fear porn and propaganda.

When my doctor recommends that I take the flu shot I say no thank you and go about my day.

That is how medicine works.

Each individual is responsible for their own health.

WE THE PEOPLE SAY NO TO MEDICAL TYRANNY!

Why doesn't the State, County and City promote good health with things like a healthy diet, exercise, fresh air, sunshine, vitamins, fresh fruits and veggies,

keeping the stress down, etc?

You all know perfectly well that a majority of people who are in the hospital for this bio weapon are obese and have many other comorbidities!!

In fact Mayor Liccardo it appears as if you've been maintaining a cookies and ice cream quarantine diet. It might be good to run from your house to City

Hall to shed some of that extra fat.

Just sayin.

All of the people of Santa Clara County have God given rights which are protected under the U.S. Constitution as well as the California Constitution.

WE ARE ALL 100% AGAINST THIS UNLAWFUL, ILLEGAL AND IMMORAL URGENCY ORDINANCE!!!

Do the right thing.

Thank you,

Staci Lares
District 5

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: NO Vaccine Passports

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: M Bernard <matt[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:00 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** NO Vaccine Passports

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

City of San Jose - I am writing this email to voice my opposition of vaccine passports. It should go without saying that this measure is illegal, unconstitutional, and unconscionable. This sickness has clearly been politicized, it doesn't take a virologist or a mathematician to add that up. The CDCs own numbers show this virus has a survival rate of well over 99%, with most age groups sitting at 99.9% or higher. The average age of death is in the 80s.

Regarding the vaccine, which was rushed through FDA approval, and will not have complete clinical trials until 2023: this is not a vaccine, this is a gene therapy drug. It put my mom in the hospital with a heart condition and killed one of my wifes high school friends. I have more stories than I care to know about of people who have been damaged by this fake vaccine.

Stop and think. Do not force this on the people. God will judge you.

-Matt

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Opposing urgency ordinance, Item 3.4 "Vaccine Mandate"

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Teresa Krockenberger <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:00 AM**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Opposing urgency ordinance, Item 3.4 "Vaccine Mandate"

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Good morning,

I am writing to express my opposition to item 3.4 in today's city council meeting. If Vaccines work why should such a thing be mandated? Everybody who wants to get a vaccine has gotten one and is therefore protected and such a mandate is unnecessary. The ones who didn't receive the vaccine are well aware of their potential risk of infection. If vaccines don't work a vaccine mandate would be unnecessary as well as there will be no difference of potential infection in vaccinated vs unvaccinated. In this case being injected would only give a false sense of security. Either way, it seems to be putting an unnecessary pressure on venues and employees to enforce such a mandate, creating further conflict and division.

Thank you, Teresa

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Item 3.4 21-1917 vaccine mandate

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Tue 8/24/2021 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Jennifer Morris <jenn_f[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:05 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Item 3.4 21-1917 vaccine mandate

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Please stop this vaccine madness.
We as Americans have choices. Some of us are very strong and healthy.
Plus, we have the right to choose therapeutics to cure this virus.
The city government does not have this right to require vaccines.
Thank you,
JL Mo

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.