Roche, Megan

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: City Clerk Friday, June 25, 2021 8:23 AM Agendadesk; Roche, Megan Fw: Charter Review Commission Ad Hoc committees and the Brown Act Ad Hoc committees-0.pdf

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Roland Lebrun < Section Sent: Friday, June 25, 2021 1:45 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Charter Review Commission Ad Hoc committees and the Brown Act

[External Email]

Dear Chair Frederick-Ferrer and Commissioners,

The intent of this email is to substantiate and elaborate on the comment I made at the May 17 Commission Meeting, specifically that **ad hoc committees** <u>appointed by a legislative body</u> ARE subject to the Brown Act: <u>https://sanjose.granicus.com/DocumentViewer.php?file=sanjose_d5953acd3e2c38716e432aff65d8d4e4.</u> pdf&view=1 (page 87)

See attached opinion to this effect by the League of California Cities: <u>https://www.cacities.org/Member-</u> <u>Engagement/Professional-Departments/City-Attorneys-Department/Publications/Open-Public-IV -A-Guide-to-</u> <u>the-Ralph-M-Brown-Act-(.aspx</u> (page 10).

"Groups advisory to a single decision-maker or appointed by staff are not covered. **The Brown Act applies only** to committees created by formal action of the legislative body and not to committees created by others. A committee advising a superintendent of schools would not be covered by the Brown Act. However, the same committee, if created by formal action of the school board, would be covered." 56 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 14 (1973)

In summary, the question is whether the Charter Commission ad hoc committees were established by a formal action of the Charter Commission and, if not. by which entity and/or individual(s).

Please ask staff to provide a written response clarifying the matter one way or the other for the record.

Thank you in advance.

Roland Lebrun.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

• Certain kinds of hospital operators. A lessee of a hospital (or portion of a hospital) first leased under Health and Safety Code subsection 32121(p) after Jan. 1, 1994, which exercises "material authority" delegated to it by a local agency, whether or not such lessee is organized and operated by the agency or by a delegated authority.¹⁶

■ WHAT IS <u>NOT</u> A "LEGISLATIVE BODY" FOR PURPOSES OF THE BROWN ACT?

- A temporary advisory committee **composed solely of less than a quorum** of the legislative body that serves a limited or single purpose, that is not perpetual, and that will be dissolved once its specific task is completed is not subject to the Brown Act.¹⁷ Temporary committees are sometimes called *ad hoc* committees, a term not used in the Brown Act. Examples include an advisory committee composed of less than a quorum created to interview candidates for a vacant position or to meet with representatives of other entities to exchange information on a matter of concern to the agency, such as traffic congestion.¹⁸
- Groups advisory to a single decision-maker or appointed by staff are not covered. The Brown Act applies
 only to committees created by formal action of the legislative body and not to committees created
 by others. A committee advising a superintendent of schools would not be covered by the Brown Act.
 However, the same committee, if created by formal action of the school board, would be covered.¹⁹
 - **Q.** A member of the legislative body of a local agency informally establishes an advisory committee of five residents to advise her on issues as they arise. Does the Brown Act apply to this committee?
 - A. No, because the committee has not been established by formal action of the legislative body.
 - Q. During a meeting of the city council, the council directs the city manager to form an advisory committee of residents to develop recommendations for a new ordinance. The city manager forms the committee and appoints its members; the committee is instructed to direct its recommendations to the city manager. Does the Brown Act apply to this committee?
 - **A.** Possibly, because the direction from the city council might be regarded as a formal action of the body notwithstanding that the city manager controls the committee.
- Individual decision makers who are not elected or appointed members of a legislative body are not covered by the Brown Act. For example, a disciplinary hearing presided over by a department head or a meeting of agency department heads are not subject to the Brown Act since such assemblies are not those of a legislative body.²⁰
- County central committees of political parties are also not Brown Act bodies.²¹

Endnotes

- 1 Taxpayers for Livable Communities v. City of Malibu (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1123
- 2 California Government Code section 54952(a)
- 3 California Government Code section 54951. *But see:* Education Code section 35147, which exempts certain school councils and school site advisory committees from the Brown Act and imposes upon them a separate set of rules.
- 4 Torres v. Board of Commissioners (1979) 89 Cal.App.3d 545
- 5 71 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 96 (1988); 73 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 1 (1990)
- 6 McKee v. Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force (2005) 134 Cal.App.4th 354
- 7 California Government Code section 54952.1
- 8 Joiner v. City of Sebastopol (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 799
- 9 California Government Code section 54952(b)
- 10 79 Ops. Cal.Atty.Gen. 69 (1996)
- 11 Frazer v. Dixon Unified School District (1993) 18 Cal.App.4th 781
- 12 California Government Code section 54952(c)(1)(B). The same rule applies to a full voting member appointed prior to February 9, 1996 who, after that date, is made a non-voting board member by the legislative body. California Government Code section 54952(c)(2)
- 13 California Government Code section 54952(c)(1)(A); International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union v. Los Angeles Export Terminal (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 287; Epstein v. Hollywood Entertainment Dist. II Business Improvement District (2001) 87 Cal.App.4th 862; see also: 81 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 281 (1998); 85 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 55
- 14 International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union v. Los Angeles Export Terminal (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 287, 300 fn. 5
- 15 "The Brown Act," California Attorney General (2003), p. 7
- 16 California Government Code section 54952(d)
- 17 California Government Code section 54952(b); see also: Freedom Newspapers, Inc. v. Orange County Employees Retirement System Board of Directors (1993) 6 Cal.4th 821
- 18 Taxpayers for Livable Communities v. City of Malibu (2005) 126 Cal.App.4th 1123
- 19 56 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 14 (1973)
- 20 Wilson v. San Francisco Municipal Railway (1973) 29 Cal.App.3d 870
- 21 59 Ops.Cal.Atty.Gen. 162 (1976)

Updates to this publication responding to changes in the Brown Act or new court interpretations are available at www.cacities.org/opengovernment. A current version of the Brown Act may be found at www.leginfo.ca.gov.