

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 4:24 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Anitha K <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 3:24 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Anitha (San Jose Property Owner)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 2:59 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation Plan on City Council Agenda May 25, 2021

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Bridget McKay <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 2:29 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation Plan on City Council Agenda May 25, 2021

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers like myself. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its

campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Bridget McKay
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 2:08 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Xiaoyan Lin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 1:53 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]>
Cc: District1 <[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]>
District4 <[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by

the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

Xiaoyan Lin



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:45 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: I Oppose Further Rent Control Measures - and I'm not alone.

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: McPhee, Joanne <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:08 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: I Oppose Further Rent Control Measures - and I'm not alone.

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Joanne McPhee
Landlord, Property Owner, Realtor

Important Note: Please know that I have not verified any of the information in documents that have been prepared by others.

Joanne McPhee
Luxury Properties Specialist
[REDACTED] | www.joannemcpee.com | Lic. No. 01713548

COLDWELL BANKER | GLOBAL LUXURY
The global leader in luxury real estate for over 80 years.
www.coldwellbankerluxury.com

***Wire Fraud is Real*. Before wiring any money, call the intended recipient at a number you know is valid to confirm the instructions.** Additionally, please note that the sender does not have authority to bind a party to a real estate contract via written or verbal communication.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Jeffrey Berg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:21 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> City Clerk
<[REDACTED]> District1 <[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3
<[REDACTED]> District4 <[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6
<[REDACTED]> District7 <[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9
<[REDACTED]> District 10 <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Jeffrey T. Berg
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: NONO-Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: AMY YU <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 11:06 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Amy Yu <[REDACTED]>
Subject: NONO-Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by

the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner
AMY

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 11:03 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Robert Galvez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:58 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

Thank you,



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:40 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's Plan to Expand Rent Control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Carmen Meneses <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:38 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's Plan to Expand Rent Control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is

impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Shone R. Freeman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:34 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in

the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

Shone Freeman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:26 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Ron Nathan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 6:24 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner Ron Nathan
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose’s plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [Redacted]

Fax: [Redacted]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Melinda P. Whitworth <[Redacted]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:03 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[Redacted]> District1
<[Redacted]> District2 <[Redacted]> District3 <[Redacted]> District4
<[Redacted]> District5 <[Redacted]> District 6 <[Redacted]> District7
<[Redacted]> District8 <[Redacted]> District9 <[Redacted]> District 10
<[Redacted]> City Clerk <[Redacted]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose’s plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn’t it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven’t spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.



MELINDA P. WHITWORTH
Project Manager

www.forbesgrouppm.com
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

FORBES
GROUP
Property Management



3190 S. Bascom Avenue, Suite 200, San Jose, CA 95124

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:45 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Opposition to San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Hari <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 5:23 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Opposition to San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Hari Iyer
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:45 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Rent control opposition

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Lydia George <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 5:28 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Rent control opposition

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:45 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: NO to Expanded Eviction Controls in San Jose

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Lucy Kasparian <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 5:30 PM
To: District1 <[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]>
District4 <[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: NO to Expanded Eviction Controls in San Jose

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council Members,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court

and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

*Lucy Kasparian,
CalBRE #00993578
NMLS # [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Olga Dr.
San Jose, CA 95117
[REDACTED] cell*

Oh, by the way, if you know of someone who would appreciate my real estate or lending services, please call me with their name and contact information. I would love to help them!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Opposing San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Collin Forgey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 5:31 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Opposing San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Thank you for your consideration.

--

Collin Forgey

SunArcher Investments Inc

2010 El Camino Real #704, Santa Clara, CA 95050

[REDACTED]
DRE # 01992203



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: oleg levitsky <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 6:03 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Alan Ho <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 7:36 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owners,

Alan & Irene Ho



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Hung X Thich <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 7:47 PM
To: District1 <[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]>
District 10 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4 <[REDACTED]>
District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7 <[REDACTED]>
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Tony Thich
<[REDACTED]> San Jose Public Reptesentatives <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is corrently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommenstions, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to gamer any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they have not spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Thanks,

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: pcurci insiteprop.com <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 8:17 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Thank you for your consideration,
Patrick Curci
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: My property is not YOUR property. Please protect the constitutional rights of property owners.

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Cheryl Lavery <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 8:38 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: My property is not YOUR property. Please protect the constitutional rights of property owners.

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by

the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner
Cheryl Lavery
Citizen of District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: M Chin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 8:55 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Holly Chen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 9:04 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District 10 <[REDACTED]> District8
<[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District3
<[REDACTED]> District4 <[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone

that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: More rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: John Lau <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 9:41 PM
To: John Lau <[REDACTED]>
Subject: More rent control

[External Email]

Dear Sirs:

The rental property traded in San Jose is at lower value than those of neighboring cities, including Campbell, Santa Clara, etc. That affected the property tax revenue and transfer tax revenue. That will affect the quality of the tenant, the quality of the landlord. It will become a vicious cycle, detrimental to the city. Everyone will be loser.

The housing issue is the demand and supply. We fail to build more houses and apartments in past 50 years. The only way to pull us out is to build more apartments. What pro tenant groups has done only make things worse.

For the sake of the people in San Jose, please stop more rent control!!!

John Lau
Sincere Investment
DRE: 01101018 NMLS: 281726
Tel: [REDACTED] FAX: [REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Wu James <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 10:17 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Jaime Gonzalez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 11:34 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Clerk

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Alice Jang <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 11:37 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Anna A. <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 2:25 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

-Anna
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:26 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 8:48 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District4 <[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6
<[REDACTED]> District7 <[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9
<[REDACTED]> District 10 <[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Frank Bommarito
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: yinlai meng <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 10:24 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Sincerely,
Yinlai (San Jose Property Owner)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Rent Control for Duplexes

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: LOUISE MCKEON <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 10:48 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Rent Control for Duplexes

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Louise McKeon
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: NO to Expanded Eviction Controls in San Jose

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Anthony Lee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 11:19 AM
To: District7 <[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]>
District 10 <[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: NO to Expanded Eviction Controls in San Jose

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Housing provider deferrals

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 1:59 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Housing provider deferrals

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose housing provider, Duane Gifford

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:24 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Jacob Sherman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 8:55 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by

them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner,
Jacob Sherman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:24 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: morgan yang <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 9:58 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:24 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: NO to Expanded Eviction Controls in San Jose

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: hermesmh2 <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 10:18 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: NO to Expanded Eviction Controls in San Jose

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the

expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner,
Mona He

Sent from Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:23 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Rent Control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Todd Gummow <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 3:44 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Rent Control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

Thank you,
Todd Gummow
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:23 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Maral <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 1:33 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

- Maral Parsian
San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:21 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Jenfer Clayton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 6:52 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by

the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:21 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Chang Jene-Howard <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 8:27 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner
Howard Chang

Ken Yeung <[REDACTED]> 於 2021 年 5 月 21 日 週五 下午 1:05 寫道:

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Ken Yeung <[REDACTED]>

To: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] kymail

Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021, 1:04:32 PM PDT

Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

Kennith Kwan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:21 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Virginia Lynn <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 8:41 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:21 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent contro

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: David Levinson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 5:11 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent contro

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:20 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Neville Batliwalla <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 7:25 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: SV@Home Letters RE: Items 10.3 and 8.1
Attachments: SVH Letter RE - DSAP + AHIP (City Council).pdf

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: David Meyer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 12:18 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Mathew Reed <[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW: SV@Home Letters RE: Items 10.3 and 8.1

[External Email]

Hello City Clerk,

It appears that SV@Home's letter RE: Items 10.3 and 8.1 was only filed under Item 8.1. Could we please request that this letter also be filed under Item 10.3, since it is focused on that item?

Thank you!

David

David Meyer
Director of Strategic Initiatives

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Silicon Valley Is Home. Join us for [Affordable Housing Month 2021](#)

From: David Meyer

Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 4:28 PM

To: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Jacky.Morales-
[REDACTED] Mathew Reed; Leslye Corsiglia

Subject: SV@Home Letters RE: Downtown West and Diridon Station Area Plan Amendments

Dear Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmembers Arenas, Cohen, Davis, Carrasco, Esparza, Foley, Jimenez, Mahan, and Peralez,

On behalf of Silicon Valley at Home and our members, we write today to provide our comments on the two interconnected Diridon Station redevelopment issues you will be addressing at your Council Meeting next week. We have split our comments into two letters: The first addressing Google's Downtown West proposal and the second addressing the Amendments to the Diridon Station Area Plan and the accompanying Affordable Housing Implementation Plan.

As a member of the Station Area Advisory Group (SAAG), SV@Home has been actively involved in community engagement and policy development efforts around Diridon from the very beginning. We greatly appreciate the efforts of City Staff, especially the Housing and Planning Departments, as well as the commitments by Google, to create a housing-rich vision for the Diridon Station Area, focus attention on affordability needs and displacement solutions, and (in Google's case) put forth a comprehensive project proposal that will catalyze much-needed residential development throughout the Station Area.

We strongly support the City Council's approvals of the staff-recommended Development Agreement with Google, the Amendments to the Diridon Station Area Plan, and the Affordable Housing Implementation Plan.

SV@Home is looking forward to continuing to work closely with the City and the community to ensure that the overall housing and affordable housing goals are met.

Sincerely,

David

David Meyer
Director of Strategic Initiatives

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Silicon Valley Is Home. Join us for [Affordable Housing Month 2021](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Directors

Kevin Zwick, Chair
United Way Bay Area

Gina Dalma, Vice Chair
Silicon Valley Community Foundation

Candice Gonzalez, Secretary
Sand Hill Property Company

Andrea Osgood, Treasurer
Eden Housing

Shiloh Ballard
Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition

Bob Brownstein
Working Partnerships USA

Amie Fishman
Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern CA

Ron Gonzales
Hispanic Foundation of Silicon Valley

Javier Gonzalez
Google

Poncho Guevara
Sacred Heart Community Service

Janice Jensen
Habitat for Humanity East Bay/Silicon Valley

Janikke Klem

Jan Lindenthal
MidPen Housing

Jennifer Loving
Destination: Home

Mary Murtagh
EAH Housing

Chris Neale
The Core Companies

Kelly Snider
Kelly Snider Consulting

Jennifer Van Every
The Van Every Group

STAFF

Leslye Corsiglia
Executive Director

TRANSMITTED VIA EMAIL

May 20th, 2021

City Council
City of San José
200 E. Santa Clara St.
San José, CA 95113

Dear Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmembers Arenas, Cohen, Davis, Carrasco, Esparza, Foley, Jimenez, Mahan, and Peralez:

RE: Diridon Station Area Plan Amendments and Affordable Housing Implementation Plan

On behalf of Silicon Valley at Home and our members, we write today to express our support for the staff-recommended Amendments to the Diridon Station Area Plan, including the Affordable Housing Implementation Plan. As a member of the Station Area Advisory Group (SAAG), SV@Home has been actively involved in all community engagement and policy development efforts around Diridon from the very beginning. We would like to thank staff for their excellent work developing this comprehensive plan and for their cooperative approach to receiving and including community feedback. The Planning and Housing Departments should be commended for this achievement.

The Amendments to the Diridon Station Area Plan set the framework and expectations for development across the 240-acre Station Area, inclusive of Google's Downtown West proposal. And while Downtown West will catalyze development across the Area, the development of a complete, vibrant neighborhood can be made possible only through a forward-looking, ambitious, but achievable, vision. We believe that this Plan accomplishes this, and key to its overall success will be the success of its residential components.

SV@Home strongly supports staff recommendations on the overall land use plan and distribution of uses, the development capacity numbers, and the allowable heights for the entire Station Area. These factors are critical to the Plan's total housing capacity number: 13,519 new homes. When these new homes are added to recent and underway residential development in the Area, the Station Area will approach a total of 15,000 homes, a key goal that tracks with SV@Home's own data-driven capacity analysis. Importantly, this housing-rich vision relies on the other staff recommendations on land use and height allowances. Modifications, especially any reductions, to these allowances would undermine the potential for housing across the Station Area. **Therefore, we urge the City Council to approve staff recommendations on land use, housing capacity, and height allowances to meet San José's overall housing vision for the Station Area.**

We support staff's significant work in developing an assessment, through the Affordable Housing Implementations Plan, of the challenges that lie ahead for both reaching the target of 25% affordable within the Station Area, and responding to the Council and community mandate to address the risks of displacement in adjacent communities. We believe that the extension of the City's current 3Ps approach (production, preservation, and protection) to the local housing crisis is the right frame to craft a response to these challenges, and

May 20, 2021

Re: Diridon Station Area Plan Amendments and Affordable Housing Implementation Plan

Page 2 of 2

support the comprehensive range of policy proposals and recommendations for proactive administrative efforts presented in the Plan. This will not be simple or easy.

Many of the key elements of the plan will require future approval, and City Staff will need the full support of the City Council and the community in assembling the resources and prioritizing policies to implement the Plan. Monitoring its progress will be essential to its success. We believe that that the collective commitment to this effort is both clear and sincere, and that the successful racial and economic integration of the Station Area, and surrounding neighborhoods, will be a gauge for generations of San Joséans in assessing equitable growth in the city.

Overall, we are excited by the opportunity presented by the redevelopment of Diridon Station and are strongly supportive of staff's housing-rich vision. SV@Home is looking forward to continuing to work closely with the City and the community to ensure that the overall housing and affordable housing goals are met.

Sincerely,



Leslye Corsiglia
Executive Director



350 W. Julian Street, Building 5, San José, CA 95110

• www.svathome.org •

Taber, Toni

From: City Clerk
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 8:45 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: Fw: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 5:07 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District2 <[REDACTED]> District3 <[REDACTED]> District4
<[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District 6 <[REDACTED]> District7
<[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District 10
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Oppose San Jose's plan to expand rent control

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

The Diridon Affordable Housing Implementation plan contains several recommendations to assist in meeting the demand for housing. However, there are other recommendations that the Housing Department is pushing forward without any outreach or feedback from people, like me, who are impacted by it.

Many of the recommendations come from the anti-displacement strategies that you approved last year but have not yet been fully vetted. The Community Opportunity to Purchase Act is a prime example of a recommendation being rushed in this document. COPA is currently going through a six-month review process that is tapping into the expertise of property owners and developers. So, wouldn't it make sense for the Housing Department to wait for the feedback from the advisory committees, rather than rushing this policy in the Diridon area?

There are other more troublesome recommendations, such as the housing collaborative court and the expansion of rent control and just cause to duplexes, that have failed to garner any prior vetting by the community.

The Housing Department assumes that these policies will work, but they haven't spoken to everyone that is

impacted by them. The City needs to conduct proper outreach to hear from property owners before enacting such a drastic policy.

I urge you to defer these elements of the plan so the Housing Department has the time to reach out to stakeholders and understand the impact of these proposals. Deferral would not interfere with advancing Google's proposal to develop its campus. And it would demonstrate that no community is being ignored as San Jose embarks on one of the largest economic investments in years.

San Jose Property Owner

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.