

Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND **FROM**:

CITY COUNCIL

l: Nora Frimann City Attorney

SUBJECT: NEIGHBORHOODS DATE: February 9, 2021

COMMISSION APPLICANTS:

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

BACKGROUND

This office routinely reviews applications to City Boards, Commissions, and Committees. Although these applications do not offer complete information, they occasionally disclose potential conflicts of interest or incompatible offices. This memorandum highlights major areas of potential conflict disclosed in the applications. However, this review is limited to the information provided, and is not intended to be a comprehensive investigation of potential conflicts involving the applicants.

COMMISSION DUTIES

The Neighborhoods Commission is an advisory body to the City Council. (SJMC §§ 2.08.3400 *et seq.*) It studies, reviews, evaluates, and makes recommendations to the City Council "regarding issues, policies, and programs affecting the quality of life in San José neighborhoods, focusing on neighborhood safety, transportation, and code enforcement." (SJMC § 2.08.3430.) In addition, it serves as a Citizens' Oversight Board that reports to the City Council about revenue expenditures generated from the November 2016 voter-approved sales tax. (Reso. No. 78016.)

COMMISSION MEMBERSHIP

The Commission is a 20-member body. Each member must reside within the boundaries of the council district for the seat to which he or she was appointed during the term of that seat. (SJMC §§ 2.08.3410, 2.08.3420.) If a member is no longer a resident of the council district, the seat will be deemed vacant.

The City Council appoints Neighborhoods Commissioners after taking into consideration nominations provided through a neighborhood groups caucus process. (SJMC § 2.08.3440.A.) If a vacancy occurs outside of the scheduled caucus process, the Council may fill the vacancy based on the recommendation of the council appointment advisory

February 9, 2021

Subject: Neighborhood Commission Applicants

Page 2

commission. (SJMC § 2.08.3440.D.)

LEGAL CONFLICTS THAT MAY PRECLUDE VOTE OR PARTICIPATION

Certain positions may preclude a commissioner from participating in a commission discussion or from voting on a matter if there is a conflict. While this list is not complete, conflicts usually fall within the following situations:

- An application shows entities that are "sources of income" to a potential commissioner within the 12 months preceding the start of the commission term, as defined under the Political Reform Act.
- An application shows sources of income to a spouse or Domestic Partner of a potential commissioner within the 12 months preceding the start of the commission term.
- An applicant or the spouse or Domestic Partner of an applicant, is an Officer
 or Board Member of an entity and it is foreseeable that the entity could be
 involved in a matter coming before the commission.

In each of these instances, the commissioner may be required to recuse himself or herself if a matter comes before the Commission affecting the above interests. A Commissioner's employer, or the employer of the Commissioner's spouse, is generally considered a source of income to the Commissioner such that the Commissioner will have to recuse himself or herself from taking part in the Commission's decision on a matter if the decision will have a foreseeable financial effect on the employer.

APPEARANCE OF BIAS

There may also be facts which would not amount to a legal conflict of interest, but still require recusal because certain relationships may create an appearance of bias. City Council policy requires commissioners to be free from bias in their decision making and may require recusal if the facts could reasonably lead one to conclude that the commissioner would be biased for or against an entity or entities.

February 9, 2021

Subject: Neighborhood Commission Applicants

Page 3

APPLICANTS

The following applications were reviewed:

COUNCIL DISTRICT 1

<u>Helen Kassa</u>: Applicant applied on January 26, 2021. Applicant is employed with the African American Community Service Agency as a Policy and Advocacy Coordinator.

No incompatible offices or conflicts of interest are disclosed.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

<u>Allie Hughes</u>: Applicant applied on January 10, 2021. Applicant is master's student in the Public Administration Program at San José State University.

Applicant further discloses that Applicant is a delegate for the Santa Clara County Democratic Central Committee and Member Director for the Silicon Valley Young Democrats. It is possible that the Commission could consider an issue that may come within the purview of Applicant's roles with these groups, presenting an actual conflict or appearance of bias. In such a case, Applicant may not be able to participate in the Commission's decision on that matter.

Otherwise, no incompatible offices or conflicts of interest are disclosed.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

<u>Jacqueline Vazquez</u>: Applicant applied on January 16, 2021. Applicant is employed as a Technical Writer with Google. Applicant's spouse is employed as the General Manager at the Continental Bar and Lounge in San José.

No incompatible offices or conflicts of interest are disclosed.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

<u>Anthony Rossello</u>: Applicant applied on January 21, 2021. Applicant is employed as Software Engineer with King Digital (Activision-Blizzard).

Applicant further discloses that Applicant is a volunteer with YIMBY Action and South Bay YIMBY. It is possible that the Commission could consider an issue that may come within the purview of Applicant's roles with these groups, presenting an actual conflict or

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

February 9, 2021

Subject: Neighborhood Commission Applicants

Page 4

appearance of bias. In such a case, Applicant may not be able to participate in the Commission's decision on that matter.

Otherwise, no incompatible offices or conflicts of interest are disclosed.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

<u>Giovanna Erkanat</u>: Applicant applied on January 24, 2021. Applicant is employed as a Management Analyst in the Library Department for the City of Palo Alto.

No incompatible offices or conflicts of interest are disclosed.

CONCLUSION

The Council may wish to consider the above comments in making appointments to the Neighborhoods Commission. Presently, applicants do not appear to have any disclosed incompatible offices or conflicts that would prevent serving on the Commission.

NORA FRIMANN City Attorney

By: <u>/s/</u>

Mark J. Vanni Senior Deputy City Attorney

cc: David Sykes, City Manager Toni J. Taber, CMC, City Clerk