

Fw: Agenda Item 3.7 - Proposition 16 - Oppose

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Min Zhuo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 5:56 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Cc: CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeet[REDACTED]>
Subject: Agenda Item 3.7 - Proposition 16 - Oppose

[External Email]

Hi,

I am San Jose resident. I strongly oppose P 16. Thanks
Min

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Drop Prop16 from today's agenda

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Jennifer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:16 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Drop Prop16 from today's agenda

[External Email]

Hello there,

I've been waiting whole day on line trying to voice out my OPPOSE position to Prop16, 10 hrs later, still waiting. Suggest you drop this topic from today's agenda to have some consideration for people who have kids.

And frankly, it's not your city council 's job to express opinion on a specific ballot issue. You are wasting tax prayers money to manipulate votes in Nov.

Jen L

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: No Prop16

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Jennifer Liu <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:19 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: No Prop16

[External Email]

Hi City Clerk:

Please drop Prop16 and stop telling people how to vote. Don't violate US voting system.

Tiffany Luc

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Proposition 16

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Anna Kramer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:22 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeet[REDACTED]>
Subject: Proposition 16

[External Email]

Dear City Council Members and City Clerk:

It is unfortunate for City Council to not consider the public and switch the order of a published agenda repeatedly as many members of the public have been waiting all day to oppose Agenda Item 3.7. Accordingly, we request that you take no action on this item and DO NOT VOTE FOR SUPPORT ON Proposition 16. This is a discriminatory piece of legislation and warrants significant discussion if it is to be voted on.

Therefore, I respectfully request that this agenda item 3.7 be removed from further discussion.

Thank you,
Anna Kramer

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City Council Meeting Agenda Today Item 3.7 Prop16! Illegal for the City to endorse a Ballot Proposition!

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:44 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: 金金陈 <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:23 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: City Council Meeting Agenda Today Item 3.7 Prop16! Illegal for the City to endorse a Ballot Proposition!

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City Office,

Please drop item 3.7 Proposition 16 from San Jose city agenda! It is illegal for the city, funded by tax payers, trying to influence voters on how to vote! Your office is using public resources to pursue your political agenda. We are consulting with our lawyers on this matter! Please stop this activity! Thank you.

Very concerned citizens!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Drop prop 16 Discussion

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:44 AM

To: Agendadesk <

From: ric sf333 <
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:24 PM
To: City Clerk <
Subject: Drop prop 16 Discussion

[External Email]

Hi,

My name is Richard Mathieson and a resident of San Jose. I have been in the meeting for many hours and waited for Prop 16 section to start.

It is too late now and it is improper for city council to tell people how to vote.

I demand you to drop Prop 16 from discussion. Voting is a citizen's individual decision.

Richard Mathieson.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Please drop Prop16

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:44 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Jennifer Liu <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:27 PM

To: CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeeting@[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Please drop Prop16

[External Email]

Hello there,

As a opponent to Prop16, I'm quite puzzled why city council will express your supporting position and try to tell people how to vote. It's a policy issue to be decided by VOTERS in November, not by you. Please drop this topic from your today's meeting agenda, unless you plan to talk about all 12 ballots? Why single out Prop16, your political motive?

Jennifer

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Can we be more considerate for kids!!! No on Prop16

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:45 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Selena Chu <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 9:29 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Can we be more considerate for kids!!! No on Prop16

[External Email]

Hello,

I am a concerned parent that has been waiting since 11am today to speak about 3.7- Prop16! Why is this speak last? Especially when we all have children and there's school tomorrow? Why we cannot alway about Prop16 first or sometime during the day? Why are we waiting to speak it last? It's now 9:30pm and this would possibly run into 12am? Come on, we can be more considerate and do better than this. I hope you saw the 300+ comments on your website this morning, majority of SJ residents are against, strongly opposed Prop16!

I hope you can be more considerate of the time, please! We all have families and kids. I didn't even go dare to use the restroom because Prop16 is so important. I strongly Prop16!!!!

Thank you!

Selena

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Agenda Item 3.7 - Proposition 16 - Oppose

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:45 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Min Zhuo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 10:17 PM
To: CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeeting@[REDACTED]>
Cc: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Agenda Item 3.7 - Proposition 16 - Oppose

[External Email]

Prop 16 violates Constitution. It promotes discrimination based on racial, color and gender and etc. Please vote No to Prop 16.

Thank you,

Min

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Agenda Item 3.7 - Proposition 16 - Oppose

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:45 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: Li Yan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 10:24 PM
To: CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeeting@cityofsanjose.org>
Cc: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Agenda Item 3.7 - Proposition 16 - Oppose

[External Email]

Dear Council members,

I am a San Jose resident and writing to oppose Proposition 16, with reasons as listed below:

- 1) As to socioeconomic disparity, there are disadvantaged people in all ethnic groups, if we focus more on the factors such as race and gender, rather than the individual situation, and further treat people differently based on race and gender, that's unfair for those who need help but not in the preferred group.
- 2) Proposition 16 is not addressing the root cause of the issue, what we need to do is to fix the K-12 education. As we all know that California is facing a huge deficit, if the budget would allow, to improve K-12 education should be of higher priority.
- 3) With prior experience and data, policy like Proposition 16 will lead to mismatch in colleague admission and less qualified candidate for government hiring and contracting, this will hurt the people it intends to help, and have a negative impact on our economy.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Yan Li

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Agenda Item 3.7 Proposition 16 - Oppose

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:46 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: ric shar <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 12:12 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeet[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Agenda Item 3.7 Proposition 16 - Oppose

[External Email]

What a group of liars and cheaters! You made so many people waiting for hours and you just go through processes with predefined decisions.

There is nothing which is more shameful than this.

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 9:25 PM ric shar <[REDACTED]> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: ric shar <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 8:58 PM
Subject: Re: Agenda Item 3.7 Proposition 16 - Oppose
To: <[REDACTED]>

Hi, City Clerk,

It is very late now and it is not the job of city council to tell people how to vote. We should cancel Prop 16 in the meeting agenda.

Ric Shar.

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 4:27 PM ric shar <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi,

In the meeting memo, I just saw a fat lie from Lee Wilcox. He blames Prop 209 for the decline of admission rates for UC across racial groups.

It is true that admission rates have declined across the board. However such decline is due to

- (a) increasing applicants as the result of population growth in CA and
- (b) sharp increase of international students and out-of-state students from 2pct to nearly 20pct.

Admission rate decline has nothing to do with Prop 209. Prop 16 won't fix the problem as well. We will have to increase capacities in UC to fix this problem.

Stop lies and stop misleading voters! By the way, Isn't voting an individual decision of voters? Why does San Jose city waste taxpayers money to take a stand on this , one way or the other, to influence elections?

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 9:56 AM ric shar <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi, My name is Ric Shar.

I strongly oppose Prop 16. The following is at stake if Prop 16 is passed:

1. Prop16 destroys commonly accepted merit- based system.
2. Prop16 grants more powers for gov. and public universities to pick up winners and losers.
3. Prop16 legalizes discrimination in the form of preferential treatment to some.
4. Prop16 Instills more racial tension, social uncertainties, and distrust of Gov. and Univ.
5. Prop16 puts ANYONE at risk of being discriminated at some point in some areas.
6. Prop16 may actually hurt intended beneficiaries.
7. Prop16 averages down all and hurts CA greatness.
8. Prop16 is a WRONG solution for a right problem.

It is a wrong solution for a right problem. The root cause of our social disparity lies in disparity in community service especially K-12. The society needs to invest more in underserved communities for better housing, better K-12, lower crime rate so that all kids start strongly and are able to compete on equal footing without preferential treatments per race. Prop 16 does not help address root problems. It is a quick patch work on the surface at the best and it does a lot more harm than good.

Pls do NOT endorse Prop 16. It is simply illegal to legalize racial discrimination against anyone or any group at any excuse!

Simple and pure!

Ric

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Stop Item 3.7 Proposition 16. We will sue the City!

City Clerk

Wed 8/26/2020 7:46 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

From: 金金陈 <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 12:12 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeet[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Stop Item 3.7 Proposition 16. We will sue the City!

[External Email]

Lies lies lies from Maya Esparza! You live on our tax paying money and completely ignored us! Shame on Liccardo! We will kick all of your liars out of the office!

On Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 9:29 PM 金金陈 <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear San Jose City Office,
Please drop item 3.7 Proposition 16 from San Jose city agenda! It is illegal for the city, funded by tax payers, trying to influence voters on how to vote! Your office are using public resources to pursue your political agenda. We are consulting with our lawyers on this matter! Please stop this illegal activity!
Thank you.

Very concerned citizens!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Proposition 16

City Clerk

Thu 8/27/2020 2:23 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
Main: 408-535-1260
Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: kathryn hedges <biolart@[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2020 12:25 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <[REDACTED]> District3
<[REDACTED]> District10 <[REDACTED]> District9 <[REDACTED]> District1
<[REDACTED]> District4 <[REDACTED]> District5 <[REDACTED]> District6
<[REDACTED]> District7 <[REDACTED]> District8 <[REDACTED]> District2
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Proposition 16

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and Council Members:

I write today to thank you for taking a brave stand to promote racial equity in the City of San Jose and State of California in the face of criticism from many constituents. Supporting Proposition 16 is the right thing to do because so-called "colorblind" policies have not worked. Racial inequities have continued to deepen, as evidenced by the statistics on homelessness and COVID-19 broken down by race. I apologize for not taking the time earlier to commend the City's decisions on the Racial Equity office and adjustments to City commissions to allow more equitable representation, even though only time will tell how these work in practice.

It seemed ironic that most of the callers supporting white supremacy were not actually white, but Asian or South Asian immigrants. I am sorry you had to deal with their abuse. I am sorry they think that decisions are solely made on the number of people who call to support a position. (I wonder how many of them also called a few years ago to say that Just Cause Eviction is Communism and went home disappointed?)

Thank you for doing the right thing. As I already mentioned, I hope you will support any reparations to the Black community or land return (or land tax) to the Ohlone. I don't remember if San Jose was one of the cities supporting the Amah Mutsun claim to Juristac, but that is a good start too.

I don't agree with all the City Council decisions, but I agree with your support of Proposition 16.

Kind regards,
Kathryn Hedges