



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
August 20, 2020 – August 27, 2020

DATE: September 2, 2020

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

1. Letter from Verizon Wireless, dated August 24, 2020, regarding Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_110 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_094 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_207 – A, SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LPLetter.
2. Letter from Kathryn Hedges, dated August 25, 2020, regarding Emergency planning.
3. Letter from blair beekman, dated August 27, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. Public Record-1. ab 1436.
4. Letter from blair beekman, dated August 27, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. Public Record-2. Body Cams.
5. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated August 27, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. Public Record-3. Body Cams.
6. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated August 27, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. August 27, 2020. Public Record. AB 1436. Tenant & Owner forgiveness.

Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

Aug 24, 2020

PUBLIC RECORD: 1

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_110 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_094 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_207 - A

SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_110 - A		3102 LUDLOW CT, San Jose , CA95148		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'0.452"N	121°48'0.541"W	565326	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	10/24/2019	

Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_094 - A		1511 TOWNSEND AVE, SAN JOSE , CA95131		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°22'55.442"N	121°53'12.851"W	541895	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	07/26/2019	

Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_207 - A		907 WILLOW ST, SAN JOSE , CA95125		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'36.771"N	121°53'50.601"W	543145	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	08/30/2019	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							

FW: Emergency planning

CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeeting@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 8/25/2020 1:59 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

For the public record.

From: kathryn hedges [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2020 11:55 AM
To: CouncilMeeting <CouncilMeeting@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Emergency planning

[External Email]

I would like to thank Dev Davis for bringing up the topic of how the City would respond to needs of Disabled people who can't evacuate on their own. I also thank Magdalena Carrasco for pointing out the inappropriate use of jargon and maps without streets.

I am concerned that VTA paratransit vehicles won't be sufficient if there is a citywide emergency, such as Anderson Dam collapsing or the SCU fires reaching San Jose's developed areas and spreading. We have enough people in wheelchairs in my apartment building alone to fill one paratransit van. There are nursing homes and board and care homes where all the residents will need paratransit. There are also people who aren't disabled but don't have a car or friends who live in the neighborhood.

Are there plans for the City to utilize private transportation services? There are wheelchair non-medical transports as well as the buses used to shuttle employees.

I'm also concerned with the distribution of the warnings. I have been receiving evacuation warnings, as well as smoke warnings, throughout the event and I live near City Hall. Unless you want to deal with tens of thousands of people evacuating who are not at risk, taking resources from people who need to evacuate, the warnings need to be more specific. I don't know if I should be packing my car with irreplaceable items and possibly losing them theft or disregarding the warning as irrelevant.

I agree that making a distinction between SCC vs. San Jose City boundaries is irrelevant to a natural disaster.

Thank you for planning ahead of time and considering the needs of all San Jose residents.

Kind regards,
Kathryn Hedges
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Blair Beekman. Public Record-1. ab 1436.

b. beekman [REDACTED]

Thu 8/27/2020 11:50 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

Dear San Jose community, and city govt.,

Thank you, for an informative, city council item, on the eviction moratorium. August 25, 2020.

It had many honest questions and facts.

To understand the eviction moratorium process, tenant rent forgiveness, and owner mortgage forgiveness, through this fall. And into 2021.

I hope AB 1436, and similar bills, can work to be open and flexible, in the ideas, of full rental forgiveness, for tenants. And full mortgage forgiveness, for both owners.

I hope AB 1436, can be sure, to work, to be open & flexible, to the ideas of 2 years, 5 years, and 10 year, full rent forgiveness plans.

I hope AB 1436, and similar bills, can be a part of, an overall concept, at this time, that every day tenants, should not have to be blamed, and carry a debt burden, for any possible, intl. planning and beginnings, of Covid-19/Sars-2

sincerely,
blair beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Blair Beekman. Public Record-2. Body Cams.

b. beekman [REDACTED]

Thu 8/27/2020 11:59 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

Dear San Jose community and city govt,

Aug. 26, RaOG item, on body cams/protests.

I hope the current questions, of what can be, public access, to police dept. body camera footage, during the recent protests, can also help develop -

How the everyday public, can eventually have better access, to police body camera footage, in day-to-day needs, as well.

The January 22, 2020, Rules and Open Government meeting, had a public hearing -

Can an everyday person, from San Jose, who is currently making a court appeal - have body camera footage, to help his defense case.

It was ruled that day, that he could not have, the body camera footage.

It also felt in the room, that day, this judgement, could be very questionable.

To bring out these questions, into the open - of what can be, the public's right, to body cam footage - seemed the initial intention, of San Jose City govt.

And why they had, this Jan. 22, public hearing, in the first place.

City Attorney, Richard Doyle offered, some of his usual, good minded, legal direction. And where this conversation, can eventually be headed.

So overall, this is an issue, that has already been on people's minds, within San Jose city govt. and community, for some time now.

The question - How can the everyday public, be allowed more rights, to see body camera footage.

And in order to prepare for, their own court trials. Along with, similar important needs & reasons.

I hope, these day to day legal questions, can make for, some decent, good connections, with the current public asks, of police body camera footage, in the extra-ordinary circumstances, of community protest.

sincerely,
blair beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Blair Beekman. Public Record-3. Body Cams.

b. beekman [REDACTED]

Thu 8/27/2020 11:58 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

Dear San Jose community, and City govt,

Aug. 26, RaOG item, on body cams/protests.

It is about five years, since the launch, of a more extensive police body camera program, across the country.

The first, serious, cancer rate studies, should be starting to come in.

In a time of Covid-19, protests, and a serious, bureaucratic govt. review of ourselves.

It may time to begin, to more openly acknowledge - that police wearing body cameras all day, can hurt ideas, of positive sustainability, for all persons.

Body Cameras, may simply lead to, long term health issues, & higher cancer rates.

And perhaps, more subtly contribute to, depressed, bad decision making, in the day-to-day work, of police officers.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Blair Beekman. August 27, 2020. Public Record. AB 1436. Tenant & Owner forgiveness.

b. beekman [REDACTED]

Thu 8/27/2020 12:01 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

Dear San Jose community, and city govt,

It seems, both, apt. & commercial owners, are getting, full forgiveness ideas, with future mortgage subsidy plans, from several Ca state bills, at this time.

Along with, a wide range, of other tax and accounting breaks, that ab 1436, and similar, Ca state senate & assembly bills, are offering, at this time.

From all of this good news, how can full forgiveness plans, be given to tenants, as well ?

Most apt. and commercial business owners, know how, financial systems, and continual money making systems, can work.

Yet it is being demanded, tenants be saddled with the burden, to re-pay, a fully re-pay, it's rental debts, to this financial world.

When tenants are people, who tend to have, more limited amounts of money. And do not have, much knowledge, of how financial systems, can work.

And did not have much input, in possible intl. plans, of a global pandemic.

Why is it, that tenants cannot ask for full forgiveness, at this time, the same as owners can.

Ca. State bill, ab 1436, has been gaining in popularity.

I very much hope, it's good intentions & tenant rights ideas, and bills like it, can work to keep the language, of their bills - open - to full tenant forgiveness ideas. And for more than just, one year.

Possibly using, 2 year, 5 year, and 10 year increment ideas.

As it may take, a couple more years, to modify, and slow the spread , of Covid-19, food, shelter, and health, should be important local issues.

With love, care and good reasoning, it is people, at the local & regional level, that will have to find ways to heal, yet another mistake, in long term social planning & engineering, at the intl. level.

Good luck, to all of our continuing good efforts, here at the local level.
And where owners and tenants, can work together, on current California bills .

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.