



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
June 5, 2020 – June 11, 2020

DATE: June 17, 2020

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

1. 14 Form Letters regarding: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot.
2. Letter from City employee redacted, dated June 8, 2020, regarding: * COVID-19 NOTICE * California Governor's Executive Order No. N-29-20, Resolution No. 79485.
3. Letter from Verizon, dated June 11, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for SF SAN JOSE 111 - A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
4. Letter from Blair Beekmn, dated June 11, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj city council. item 2.10.
5. Letter from Blair Beekmn, dated June 11, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj city council. Item 3.6.
6. Letter from Blair Beekmn, dated June 11, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj. council. Item 2.1.
7. Letter from Blair Beekmn, dated June 11, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj.city council. Item 3.6.
8. Letter from Blair Beekmn, dated June 11, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj city council. Item 2.1.

Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

From: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 4:14 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

On 6/4/20, 2:51 PM, "Steven Espinosa" wrote:

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Steven Espinosa

From: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:58 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW:

On 6/5/20, 3:07 PM, "Andrew Demasi" wrote:

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Andrew Demasi

-----Original Message-----

From: Becky Hernandez

Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2020 4:44 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Becky Hernandez

From: Adam Wieteska

Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2020 10:05 AM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Adam Wieteska

From: John Mackey
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 3:03 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

John Mackey

From: Anjee Helstrup-Alvarez
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:54 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Peralez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Anjee Helstrup-Alvarez

From: Alejandra Parra
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:53 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sylvia Arenas,

As a resident of District 8, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Alejandra Parra

From: Joe Mccarthy
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:51 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Joe Mccarthy

From: Darin Compton
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:37 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sergio Jimenez,

As a resident of District 2, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Darin Compton

From: Salvador Bustamante
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:37 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Dev Davis,

As a resident of District 6, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Salvador Bustamante

From: Andrea Cousins
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:32 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: andreacousins@gmail.com

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Andrea Cousins

From: Felipe Juarez
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:31 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Felipe Juarez

-----Original Message-----

From: Peggy Elwell

Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 2:22 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Lan Diep,

As a resident of District 4, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Peggy Elwell

From: Connie Springer

Reply-To: Connie Springer

Date: Thursday, June 11, 2020 at 9:30 AM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

At a time when our Black brothers and sisters are being killed at an alarming rate and we are suffering from a deadly pandemic that disproportionately impacts poor communities of color, the Mayor and Council need to be bold and show that they are hearing the demands of their community — and the community is demanding real systemic change. Instead, the Council has chosen to allow the suppression of thousands of San Jose voters.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Connie Springer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:48 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: * COVID-19 NOTICE * California Governor's Executive Order No. N-29-20, Resolution No. 79485

Hello Mayor and City Council members!

My name is [REDACTED],

and I have worked for the City of San Jose for [REDACTED] as a [REDACTED].

I writing today via this email to ask that you extend the administrative leave for City employees that are considered high risk. Because of my high risk status I was placed on administrative leave in March and upon calling the Human Resources Dept to

clarify the Covid status I was informed I would be paid Administration Leave. Also for

[REDACTED] I have shared a home with my [REDACTED], [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and was told that all these factors make us High Risk.

I have been informed that the administrative leave will be terminated at the end of this month. Terminating the administrative leave will force me to choose between my, our, health

and possibly my life or my [REDACTED] life, and the ability to pay my bills. I am [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and I have no underlying medical conditions, but due for Labs etc. however,

again, my [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] and we are of the same household and she is still

presently receiving treatment for her conditions. All the factors described above do make us

more susceptible for suffering complications due to this highly contagious disease if we were to

contract the Coronavirus.

Thank you for your time. [REDACTED]

Jun 11, 2020

PUBLIC RECORD: 3

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for SF SAN JOSE 111 - A
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		SF SAN JOSE 111 - A		809 N 15th St, San Jose , CA95112		Utility pole/tower	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°21'29.47"N	121°53'15.72"W NAD(83)	448061	Antenna Rad 45.7	47.7	Permitting	04/02/2018	

Project Description: Add an extension on an existing utility pole to install an antenna. Install related equipment on existing utility pole.

Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj city council. item 2.10.

b. beekman

Thu 6/11/2020 11:08 AM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

With item 2.10, in the efforts, of less natural gas use, please consider, more positive, sustainable, local community energy options.

We have talked & planned, for years and decades now, how to make, important, humanistic changes, with city govt./community practices.

To also note, there can be examples, of many positive, sustainability ideas, that were growing parallel, with the evolution of the Covid 19 virus, and it's following pandemic, in 2020.

With the many disaster capitalism practices, of the past 20 years, i hope we are learning, how social change, can take place, simply with a commitment, to continual good dialogue & negotiation.

And that we can end, the drastic use, of state sponsored violence, harm, & mass death, for long-term, social engineering, social planning needs

sincerely,
blair beekman

Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj city council. Item 3.6.

b. beekman

Thu 6/11/2020 11:08 AM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

After many years now, this November ballot, will have a measure, asking for the IPA, to be able to review. SJPD use of force issues.

In a recent, Rules & Open Govt. meeting, there was a public hearing, attempting to clarify, what is the public request process, of SJPD body cam footage.

And we have probably all seen, interesting city govt. ideas and progressive practices, with budget & policies, during this time of Covid-19, and protest.

In respecting, an understandable, initial fear of violence, and of the unknown, Friday May 28, in San Jose,

Police responses to protest, and in how to perceive, the threat level of violence, will have to be reviewed.

The SJPD use, of flash grenades, tear gas, rubber bullets, and the overall preparation, with new technical equipment, leading up to, a now Covid-19 era, will have to be reviewed.

As will the police practices, around the country, in the past few years.

Including knee holds, choke hold practices, and other use of force issues.

To work to defund & demilitarize the police, makes a clear, decent picture,

And offers, an important direction, and understanding, in how to work towards ideas, of a community whole.

It is along the lines, of how I ask, to look for more peaceful, minimal use practices, to define technology needs, for a city.

And what can be ways, for good civil rights, civil protections, open communication, and the community meeting process.

To help define, what is positive, sustainable, co-operative, and less harmful, for a community future, and for this earth.

sincerely,
blair beekman

Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj. council. Item 2.1.

b. beekman

Thu 6/11/2020 11:08 AM

Dear community of San Jose and city govt.

All three council agendas, being approved today, on item 2.1, along with several items, on the consent calendar, have items of technology & housing considerations, in our now Covid-19 world.

To again try, to make clear,

Minimal use practices, with broadband & technology, can cover, about as much territory - as the over-placement, and over-saturation of technology, in local neighborhoods.

The San Jose community, and local govt., needs to bridge the digital divide, with honest, responsible dialogue.

And needs to develop a language together, in how to better acknowledge - the questionable, massive push of technology, by the federal government, in the time of a pandemic.

sincerely,

blair beekman

Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj.city council. Item 3.6.

b. beekman

Thu 6/11/2020 11:08 AM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

A thank you, to the many things, the mayor and the city govt. of San Jose, will be offering to work on, with police policy and accountability, at this time.

How do we ask, the city govt. of San Jose, to better open up a garden, of good, everyday community ideas, studies, and years of experience, that already has been nurtured and grown.

And for important new ideas, to have a place to grow, in the next few years.

A thank you, to Minneapolis, as they are currently offering, a pressure relief valve, so we can all work on, policing and community ideas, in the next year.

Can the decent ideas, of equity, and deficit-reduction, simply want to be, a more important focus, in how to consider, the issues & tiers, of a now, Covid-19, sj city budget.

Can good civil rights practices, learn to walk hand-in-hand, in the future of community technology, surveillance, and data collection.

And are we ready to commit, to local community energy, and solar power, as positive and sustainable.

These are some of the ideas, to help the individual community process, at this time.

And, of genuine, positive, sustainability, and continual, open, democratic practices,

After years, of study & good practices, the city govt. of San Jose, and the community, should want to be open, and know how to develop, good ideas, from all parts of the community.

I hope we can all take to heart, each idea, that we will be hearing, at this time.

Good structured guidelines, and to continually look for, and learn, better democratic practices, in terms of everyday people,

can very much help the efforts, of govt. bureacracy and community,

And that can make for, a more accessible, easier process, with shorter amounts of time, and less harm, to move forward with needed change.

sincerely,
blair beekman

Blair Beekman. June 9, 2020. sj city council. Item 2.1.

b. beekman

Thu 6/11/2020 11:08 AM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

The approval of council minutes today, on item 2.1, includes one of the last council meetings, in council chambers, before Covid-19.

All three council agendas, being approved today, along with several items, on the consent calendar today, have items of technology & housing considerations, in our now, Covid-19 world.

There is a level of dialogue, around state and federal govt., at this time, that can address, rent forgiveness issues, for both, owners & tenants, in open and positive terms.

I feel, this current level of dialogue, can keep people, from all sides, out of harm & danger.

Please learn to avoid, rash or impatient decision making, in whatever will finally be decided.

To help avoid confusion, it is up to ourselves, to have open, good dialogue, of what is possible, at this time.

Interestingly, this can raise ourselves, as a whole community effort, toward our better human nature.

sincerely,
blair beekman