



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
May 19, 2020 – June 4, 2020

DATE: June 10, 2020

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

1. BART_15 Step Welcome Back Fact Sheet.
2. Letter from Kevin Plunkett, dated May 23, 2020, regarding Interim Housing Communities.
3. Letter from Edie Brodsky, dated May 24, 2020, regarding Support - Housing for the Homeless.
4. Letter from Lisa Dewey, dated May 29, 2020, regarding HELP! I'm a struggling owner of one small building in Downtown San Jose - Please read...
5. Letter from yan wuyan, dated June 1, 2020, regarding I firmly oppose the "rent suspension".
6. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated June 4, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. June 2, 2020. sj city council. Item 6.1.
7. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated June 4, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. June 4, 2020.
8. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated June 4, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. June 4, 2020.
9. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated June 4, 2020, regarding Blair Beekman. June 4, 2020.
10. 9 Form Letters, dated from May 19, 2020 to May 20, 2020, regarding Fair Election.

11. 16 Form Letters, dated from June 1, 2020 to June 2, 2020, regarding Rent Suspension.



Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

TJT/tt

BART'S 15-STEP PLAN to Welcome Riders Back



1

Cleaning

BART is using hospital-grade disinfectant in stations and on-board trains. Train poles are wiped down with disinfectant at the end of the line during service hours. Crews use electrostatic foggers on train cars that spray disinfecting mist that coats and clings to surfaces. Station touchpoints are wiped down multiple times each day.



2

Run Long Trains

BART will run long trains all day to allow riders to spread out. Riders can maintain social distancing of 6 feet on-board train cars with no more than 30 people per car. Social distancing of 3 feet can be achieved with no more than 60 people per car.

3

Increase Train Frequency

BART will add trains during commute hours in the 15-minute slots once data shows train cars consistently have more than 30 people on board. Riders should expect a 9 pm closure for the time being. Riders are encouraged to check BART's real time departures feature online and on the official BART app before heading to the station as the Trip Planner will show a 30-minute base schedule and may not always reflect 15-minute service the day it becomes available.

4

Pilot New Seat Configuration

BART's Fleet of the Future provides for modular seating. BART will pilot a new configuration of seats that could potentially help create space between riders.



5

Require Face Coverings

BART will continue to require face coverings at all times for all riders ages 13 and older. Currently Downtown San Francisco station agent booths have extra masks for those who need one. We're also supplying officers with extra masks to hand out if necessary. BART Procurement is exploring having face mask vending machines inside stations.



6

Police Enforcement

BART Police will continue their efforts to increase staff presence in stations and on-board trains, including sworn officers, non-sworn personnel, fare inspectors, and ambassadors. When enforcing the face covering requirement, BART Police will center their efforts on education and providing masks for those who need one. Officers will be periodically positioned at fixed posts near fare gates at many of our stations. BART Police's primary focus will continue to be enforcing serious crimes. This strategy has proven effective with crime at BART being down 34% January-April compared with the same four months in 2019. Increased attention will also be paid to keeping station entryways clear and safe for our riders.

7

Visual Indicators

Large decals, posters and banners are being printed and will soon be displayed throughout the system and on-board trains to reinforce social distancing expectations and the face covering requirement. While BART does not plan to use standing markers on the platforms and on trains, there will be plenty of signage on platforms and on-board trains and overhead announcements reminding riders to social distance and spread out.



8

Hand Sanitizer

BART will continue to offer hand sanitizer at every station. We are making large signs, so the dispensers are easy to find.

9

Contactless Payment

Clipper allows for contactless payment at BART. Riders are encouraged to get Clipper and load funds online in advance or get auto-load. BART is speeding up efforts to expand the official BART app parking payment feature to all stations (currently available at five stations).

10

Personal Hand Straps

BART will offer personal hand straps for riders to use and take home for cleaning after each trip. A limited supply will be handed out inside stations to welcome riders back and then will be offered for sale for \$5 plus tax by phone order by calling 510-464-7136, in person at the Customer Service window at Lake Merritt Station (Monday-Friday 7:30 am–4:45pm) and through a soon-to-be-launched online store.

11

Data Transparency

BART will continue to post daily ridership numbers at bart.gov/covid, this will help reassure riders there is no crowding. BART will also share train car loading data based on the number of riders on a specific train and how on average those riders can spread out among the cars. This information is not available in real time, but we plan to communicate it in some form of frequency on our website and through social media.

12

New Technologies and Industry Best Practices

BART will continue to explore new technologies used by transit systems across the world in response to COVID-19. BART is evaluating a variety of new cleaning procedures such as ultraviolet disinfecting to determine if new methods are safe, won't cause damage, and are more effective and efficient than current practice.

13

Business Community Outreach

BART will encourage employers to allow for staggered shifts to help spread out the commute and avoid crowding during peak travel times. BART staff will also participate in virtual town halls with companies to answer questions about BART service and new safety measures.

14

Healthy Workforce

BART's greatest asset is our employees and they must remain healthy to continue to provide service. BART is supplying workers with PPE and supplies and offering COVID-19 testing to employees. Work areas are being disinfected frequently.



15

Rebuild Infrastructure

BART is using this time of record low ridership to accelerate infrastructure rebuilding projects facilitated by the extra work hours made available due to an earlier closing time. The increased level of work will shave off time on some of these projects that can be disruptive to passengers. For example, every six weeks BART single tracks beginning at 7 pm in San Francisco for cable replacement, six months of Sunday single tracking are eliminated.

From: kevin plunkett
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2020 11:07 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: Interim Housing Communities.

Dear Mayor Liccardo:

I am writing to support the three proposed interim housing communities for the homeless in San Jose. I think that this is a fine beginning to enable housing for the homeless of the city and that those who can receive these homes will benefit significantly with a safer and more healthy and stable place in which to live. Thank you very much for your time.

Kevin Plunkett

From: Edie Brodsky
Sent: Sunday, May 24, 2020 11:04 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: Support -housing for the homeless

Mayor Liccardo,

I strongly support the EMERGENCY INTERIM HOUSING COMMUNITIES being developed by the City. The homeless crisis demands action.

Please let me know how we can help you with this project.

Sincerely,

Edie Brodsky

From: Lisa Dewey
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:35 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: HELP! I'm a struggling owner of one small building in Downtown San Jose- Please read...

Dear City Clerk,

I'm writing to plead with you not to extend payment of back rent during the COVID-19 eviction moratorium out to one year and to not extend the eviction moratorium again.

As it is, I was going to evict a non-paying tenant who is still working on June 1st, 2020.

Now, since the eviction moratorium has been extended to August 31st, 2020, I am in a horrible predicament as the owner of one 11 unit building in Downtown San Jose.

My tenant who isn't paying has often paid her rent late and she is "using" this situation to not pay at all, even though she has been working the entire time. I have no recourse, and now she is allowing homeless people to hang out on the front porch of my building at 694 S. 2nd St./Margaret St. and I'm getting complaints from my other tenants (4 have already moved out during April and May).

I am a single person and I have worked for over 30 years to finally own a building and now I am financially strapped because of the eviction moratorium and my inability to have any recourse with my non-paying tenant who is still working. I also have a non-paying tenant who is providing documentation to me and I have been okay with that, under the circumstances.

I have 11 units and 4 are now vacant.

I pay all utilities, landscaping, cleaning of common spaces, water and garbage, mortgage, insurance, city fees and property taxes.

I rent where I live and am continuing to pay my rent even though it's difficult for me to do so.

Please do not extend the eviction moratorium again and please do not extend the period of payment of back rent out to one year. I will not make it through this and it is not fair to small landlords who cannot afford it.

If this continues, I will no longer be able to do business in San Jose and will be forced to sell my building in a down market.

Sincerely,

Lisa Dewey

From: yan wuyan
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:56 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: I firmly oppose the "rent suspension".

Dear Sir / Madam,

Today, I learned that the San Jose government will issue a "lease suspension" policy, so I am writing this letter to the government, and I firmly oppose the "lease suspension".

We know that many tenants have been affected by COVID-19. However, the same is true for owners. We also have to repay mortgages, pay property taxes, water and electricity bills, and the house needs repairs. Our burden is heavier than that of tenants, and the landlord maintains various expenses as rental properties.

If the "rent suspension" is passed, the city should suspend these fees during this period to ensure fairness and reasonableness. If the city wants to help, please pay the tenant from the city's reserve fund. Replacing the city 's reserves with property owner 's money is pure robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibiting landlords from collecting rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and unrealistic. The California government and the federal government have solved this problem very generously. Unemployed people can get 40% of their salary, plus \$ 600 a week from the Federal Reserve. This guide is effective and we are following it to help tenants and landlords.

If the "rent suspension" is passed, the city should suspend these fees during this period to ensure fairness and reasonableness. If the city wants to help, please pay the tenant from the city's reserve fund. Replacing the city 's reserves with property owner 's money is pure robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibiting landlords from collecting rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and unrealistic. This is communism. We know that all communist countries are represented by the Soviet Union, Cuba, and Venezuela, but progress has not been smooth.

thank you very much!

pei tian Liang
[as San Jose real estate owner.]

b. beekman <[REDACTED]>

Thu 6/4/2020 11:31 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas

Blair Beekman. June 2, 2020. sj city council. Item 6.1.

Dear San Jose community, and city govt,

Please consider, thst in the approval, of item 6.1, at the council meeting, of June 2, 2020.

To replace, outdated water meters - with 7m, in new smart meters, simply has to be, a phased-in system, over a few years, and not all at once.

Along with, 4G & 5G, the federal govt, is trying to force onto, local u.s. cities & communities, at this time -

All of this, may create, a massive increase, of RF wavelengths, in local sj neighborhoods.

The physical & mental health, of the everyday people from San Jose, simply has to be taken into account, at this time.

Sincerely,

Blair Beekman.

b. beekman <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>

Thu 6/4/2020 11:31 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas

Blair Beekman. June 4, 2020.

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

For reasons of safety, there is a probable need, for the complete removal, of the Bradford Asian pear trees, in the Post St. alleyway, downtown.

Pear trees, originally native, to Eastern Asia.

Armstrong Maple trees & Forest Pansy Redbud trees, from the northeast of North America, are currently scheduled, to replace the current trees.

There can still be time, to contribute your ideas.

Including, if you feel, a more phased-in approach, can be used.

Or if you feel, a different set of trees, should be planted.

Write to city.arborist@sanjoseca.gov. to add your thoughts.

Sincerely,

Blair Beekman

b. beekman <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>

Thu 6/4/2020 11:30 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas

Blair Beekman. June 4, 2020.

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt,

There is an IoT future, that I hope, San Jose city government, is continually learning, how to prepare, more open & accountable, public policies.

As these are, the better ways, to define the future, of equality, equity, & innovation, for a local, u. s. community, and its local democracy.

Again, to state clearly, good minimal use practices, can do just about as much, as oversaturation with technology, in local san jose neighborhoods, at this time.

The initial intentions, of Measure T public oversight, can be a good example, of what can be, an open, community/commission meeting processes, this summer and fall.

This can help address, many issues.

A community/commission process, this summer, can help us prepare together - questions of the economy, housing, education, and the next few years, with Covid-19.

I think, a good community/commission focus, this summer, can also help work, to bring together, how to address, the future of police practices.

And to also address, the everyday issues of violence, within the community.

We need to learn, together, as everyday community and local govt.,

how to ask, the federal government, to put on the brakes, and to ease their legal justifications,

In their current, strong push, of much, new broadband, and surveillance tech., into local communities, at this time.

While at the same time, being able to address, how minimal uses of tech. can honestly work, to answer questions, of neighborhood stability, and to help bridge the digital divide.

San Jose city govt. can have a good role, how to facilitate more responsible, caring practices, at the local level, in federal over demands, st this time of Covid 19.

I also hope, the Equity task force, and Vision Zero task force, can work to be open, this summer.

And will want to help, with good community questions, this summer and fall, in San Jose.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

b. beekman <[REDACTED]>

Thu 6/4/2020 11:30 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas

Blair Beekman. June 4, 2020.

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

I think, the Cares Act & Heroes Act, and the two letters, to Public Record, this past week, including my own,
Can show, the level of conversation, we need to have, with full rental forgiveness ideas.

Initial good ideas and practices, at the federal level, needs all of us together, to work at, the state and local level.

As recent protests, are hopefully coming around, to our long term, collective questions and goals,

I hope we can return, to what can be, more open, creative ideas, to address urgent, societal needs.

I feel we may be headed towards, a human rights disaster, if we don't work, to fully end, burdensome rental debt, onto everyday tenants & owners, who had no part, in the planning of this pandemic.

As we each try to respect, the current economic & business traditions, of this country.

I feel there can be, a simple, good logic, to help develop a community, as a whole.

And to work together, in developing aid plans, with state and federal govts.

Being open & clear, at this time, allows for, our better ideas & ideals. And important next steps.

It can help people, from being hurt.

And can help, take away the fear & confusion, of tenants taking advantage of the system.

sincerely,
blair beekman

From: Jerry Sweeney
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 7:10 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Johnny Khamis,

As a resident of District 10, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Jerry Sweeney

From: Bill Wallace
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 6:42 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: takineasyst

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Bill Wallace

From: Matt Mason
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 9:07 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Matt Mason

From: James Fox
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 10:08 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: jamesfox1

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Johnny Khamis,

As a resident of District 10, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

James Fox

From: Martin Salberg
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 6:58 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Vice Mayor Charles "Chappie" Jones,

As a resident of District 1, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Martin Salberg

From: Paul Nyhof
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 7:25 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Perez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Paul Nyhof

From: Jen Klem-Myers
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 8:17 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Jen Klem-Myers

From: Teresa Castellanos
Sent: Tuesday, May 19, 2020 11:05 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Perez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Teresa Castellanos

From: Jonathan Karpf
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 2:59 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Perez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Karpf

From: Jessica jiang
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:21 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Strongly opposing" Rent Suspension

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

haiyan jiang as a San Jose property owner.

From: Jiang (Jason) Zhang
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:46 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Jiang Zhang as a San Jose property owner

From: Yi Guan

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:19 AM

To: City Clerk

Subject: I want to saying No !! You can't do this !!!

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours

From: Sue Ho
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:42 AM
To: City Clerk <
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Sue Ho

From: raymond h
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:18 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Wai ming Raymond Ho

as a San Jose property owner.

From: y z

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:47 AM

To: City Clerk

Subject: Opposing "Rent Suspension".

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely Jin yan Wu [as a San Jose property owner]

From: Cindy Li
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 8:46 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Cindy Li
as a San Jose property owner.

From: he geng
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:02 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

HE GENG as a San Jose property owner.

From: Ge Geng <genghgao@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:06 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Lily Geng

From: Ruby Ho
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 2:14 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING "RENT SUSPENSION"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours

Sau Wah Ruby Fung Ho

From: Kevin Chen
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 6:19 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Kevin Chen
From District 4

From: gaoyuanwang
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 6:32 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea.

Regards,

Gaoyuan

From: 许笛 <>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:15 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

"your name" as a San Jose property owner.

From: 133338381
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 11:42 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,
"your name" as a San Jose property owner.

From: Lilly Wang
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 6:46 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Strongly opposing "Rent Suspension "

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

Lidong Guo
Property owner

From: hollie lee
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 11:12 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension"

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter to strongly Opposing "Rent Suspension".

We know a lot of tenants got impacted by COVID-19. However, this is same for property owners. We got mortgage to pay as well. The problem had been addressed by California government and federal government with very generous benefits. People who lost their job can get 40% of their salary, plus \$600 per week from Fed. The guideline works and we are following it to help both the tenants and landlords.

The landlord as all kinds of costs to maintain a rental property. The list a few, mortgage, property tax, utilities, repairs. if the "Rent Suspension" passes, the city should suspend those costs in the meantime time to be fair and square.

If city would like to help, please pay the tenant from city's reserve. Using property owner's money instead of city's reserve is purely robbery and unconstitutional. Prohibit owner to collect rent is purely irresponsible, unreasonable and impractical idea. It is communism, which we know that all the communism countries did not end well, to name a few, the Soviet union, Cuba, Venezuela.

Thank you very much!

Sincerely yours,

"your name" as a San Jose property owner.