



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
May 8, 2020 – May 14, 2020

DATE: May 20, 2020

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

1. Letter from Verizon, dated May 11, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_010 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_028 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_020 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_093 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_146 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_159 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_251 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_174 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_190 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
2. Letter from Verizon, dated May 11, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_083 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_283 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_156 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
3. Letter from Verizon, dated May 11, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_293 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
4. Letter from Verizon, dated May 11, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_168 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_182 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
5. Letter from Verizon, dated May 11, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_225 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_203 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_226 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_257 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
6. Letter from UNITE HERE Local 19, dated May 12, 2020, regarding Unite Here Local 19 Letter about Airport Concessions Financial Relief.
7. 34 Form Letters regarding: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot.

Rules and Open Government Committee

March 25, 2020

Subject: Public Record

Page 2

8. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated May 14, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. RaOG. May 13, 2020. _____ Open Forum.
9. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated May 14, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. S.J. City Council. May 12, 2020. ____ Consent Calendar.
10. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated May 14, 2020, regarding: Blair Beekman. S.J. City Council. May 12, 2020. _____ Consent Calendar-2.



Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

TJT/tt

May 11, 2020

Public Record: 1

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_010 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_028 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_020 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_093 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_146 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_159 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_251 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_174 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_190 - A

SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_010 - A		1644 MIAMI DR, SAN JOSE , CA95122		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'57.201"N	121°50'18.481"W	565373	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	

Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction

• Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet)

• Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_028 - A		1503 DENALI WAY, SAN JOSE , CA95122		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'15.531"N	121°49'57.45"W	565275	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	

Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction

• Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet)

• Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_020 - A		9 Rio Robles West, San Jose , CA95134		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°24'26.371"N	121°56'57.6"W	517717	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_093 - A		761 NORTH THIRTEENTH ST, SAN JOSE , CA95112		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°21'22.432"N	121°53'19 54"WNAD(83)	541951	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_146 - A		1332 COTTERELL DRIVE, SAN JOSE , CA95121		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°17'54.521"N	121°48'55.451"W	573605	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_159 - A		3301 VERDI DRIVE, SAN JOSE , CA95111		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°17'30.941"N	121°49'42.849"W	573589	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_251 - A		478 WHIRLAWAY DR, San Jose , CA95111		Utility pole/tower	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°15'49.594"N	121°48'6.88"W	574711	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Foundation Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_174 - A		1041 ST ELIZABETH DR, SAN JOSE , CA95128		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'22.911"N	121°54'59.29"W	541878	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Founda ion Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_190 - A		1219 RAVENSCOURT AVE, SAN JOSE , CA95128		Utility pole/tower	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'11.6"N	121°56'22.089"W	541858	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/05/2020	
Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction • Extend (E) Foundation Anchor Bolts (Refer to EQ-4 Sheet) • Install (N) Concrete Slab Per CSJ Standards							

May 11, 2020

Public Record: 2

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_083 - A
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_283 - A
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_156 - A

SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_083 - A		2412 GLEN HANLEIGH DR, SAN JOSE , CA95148		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'41.121"N	121°48'33 56"WNAD(83)	565289	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/06/2020	

Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) CIDH Pile Foundation

• Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_283 - A		378 LYNETTE WAY, San Jose , CA95116		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°20'56.087"N	121°50'55.659"WNAD(83)	573972	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/06/2020	

Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) CIDH Pile Foundation

• Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_WEST_156 - A		2437 WHITETHORN DR, SAN JOSE , CA95128		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'2.47"N	121°56'12.7"W	NAD(83)	541887	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/06/2020
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) CIDH Pile Foundation							
• Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio							
• Install (N) FCC signage							
• Install (N) Disconnect							
• Install (N) Smart Meter							
• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							

May 11, 2020

Public Record: 3

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_293 - A
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_293 - A		201 BONITA AVE, San Jose , CA95116		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°20'33.15"N	121°51'26 87"W NAD(83)	566404	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/08/2020	

Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box

• Repair (E) Concrete Pad w/ Epoxy Injec ions

May 11, 2020

Public Record: 4

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_168 - A
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_182 - A

SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_168 - A		1919 LAS PLUMAS AVE, SAN JOSE , CA95133		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°21'55.401"N	121°51'39.87"W	541927	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/07/2020	

Project Description: • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_182 - A		555 N JACKSON AVE, SAN JOSE , CA95133		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°22'7.691"N	121°51'13.871"W	541952	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	05/07/2020	

Project Description: • Install (N) Street Light Pole on (N) CIDH Pile Foundation

• Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box

May 11, 2020

Public Record: 5

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_225 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_203 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_226 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_257 - A

SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_225 - A		2645 OHLONE DRIVE, San Jose , CA95132		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°23'26.87"N	121°51'33.581"W	566350	Antenna Rad 25.6	30.7	Permitting	04/28/2020	
Project Description: (3) Antenna RRUs Mounted on the city street light pole centerline 25.6.							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_203 - A		451 RIVER VIEW DR, SAN JOSE , CA95111		Public Light ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°16'32.098"N	121°49'6.395"W	573587	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/28/2020	
Project Description: (3) Antenna RRUs Mounted on the city street light pole centerline 25.6.							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_226 - A		4318 VISTAPARK DR, SAN JOSE , CA95136		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°16'10.355"N	121°50'53.967"W	573641	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/28/2020	
Project Description: (3) Antenna RRUs Mounted on the city street light pole centerline 25.6.							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_257 - A		688 Chynoweth Ave, SAN JOSE , CA95136		Public Ligh ing Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°15'37.401"N	121°51'24.139"WNAD(83)	574735	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/28/2020	
Project Description: (3) Antenna RRUs Mounted on the city street light pole centerline 25.6.							



Enrique L. Fernandez - Business Manager • Debra Rockwood - Financial Secretary - Treasurer
Raquel Alvarez - President • Rose Rodriguez - Vice President • Sarah Julian - Recording Secretary
Trustees: Majid Barghi, James Kerkstra, Dolores Dominguez • Al Chachra - Inspector • Carlos Cortes - Sergeant-at-Arms
Executive Board: Alejandra Morales, Arturo Garcia, Scott Loberg, Concepcion Garcia, Alma Navarro, Jose Barba

Dear Mayor Liccardo & Councilmembers:

I am writing with a quick update on UNITE HERE Local 19's engagement with airport concessionaires and airport staff regarding the situation of laid off food, beverage, and retail employees at San Jose Mineta Airport.

Our dialogue with concessionaire HMS Host continues with no agreement on extension of benefits. At the same time, there is good news on another front: Retail concessionaire Hudson News *has* agreed to extend health benefits for its employees through June.

Looking forward, we are having good discussions with your airport staff about the upcoming July 1 transfer of many outlets at the airport to new operators. We are working together to ensure that, under the worker retention provisions of the City's Airport Living Wage Ordinance, the experienced (and mostly laid off) SJC concession employees who have worked in PGC/HMS Host outlets will be hired by SSP and other new concessionaires. We hope that concessions employees will be brought back toward pre-crisis numbers at the same pace with which flights are added.

Thanks for your attention to the many worker's concerns at the airport.

Sincerely,

Enrique Fernandez
Business Manager
UNITE HERE Local 19

From: Alex Caraballo

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:47 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Lan Diep,

As a resident of District 4, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Alex Caraballo

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Rodel Redon
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:48 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Dev Davis,

As a resident of District 6, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Rodel Redon

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Manali Souda

Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:53 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Johnny Khamis,

As a resident of District 10, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Manali Souda

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Charlie Hernandez
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 7:54 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Perez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Charlie Hernandez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: John Luebben
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:04 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Perez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

John Luebben

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Salvador Bustamante
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:10 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Dev Davis,

As a resident of District 6, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Salvador Bustamante

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Victor Vasquez
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:12 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Victor Vasquez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Bert Greenberg
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:19 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sylvia Arenas,

As a resident of District 8, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Bert Greenberg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Victoria Partida
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:26 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Maya Esparza,

As a resident of District 7, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Victoria Partida

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Marjorie Hart
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:29 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Dev Davis,

As a resident of District 6, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Hart

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Martha Utz
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:47 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: San Jose Fair Elections Initiative.

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Johnny Khamis,

As a resident of District 10, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Martha Utz

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Genie Bernardini
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 8:48 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Genie Bernardini

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Veronica Amador
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:11 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Magdalena Carrasco,

As a resident of District 5, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Veronica Amador

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Roman Alvarez
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:38 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Vice Mayor Charles "Chappie" Jones,

As a resident of District 1, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Roman Alvarez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Corey Quevedo
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 9:50 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Corey Quevedo

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Maria Salazar
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:27 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,
I personally collected signatures and
I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Maria Salazar

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Kathryn Hedges
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2020 10:44 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Perez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Hedges

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jason Condit
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:05 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Jason Condit

From: Patty Linder
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:53 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Patty Linder

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Rosa De Leon
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 2:05 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Rosa De Leon

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Thomas Hoffman
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:29 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hoffman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Andrea Chavez
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:24 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Fair elections initiative

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Andrea Chavez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Michael Moreno
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:44 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sergio Jimenez,

As a resident of District 2, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Michael Moreno

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: David Bini
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:08 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: [REDACTED]

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

David Bini

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: David Lopez
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:32 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Peralez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

David Lopez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Aurelia Sanchez
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 11:16 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Peralez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Aurelia Sanchez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Brian Wheatley
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:02 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Pam Foley,

As a resident of District 9, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot. Let the voters decide!

Sincerely,

Brian Wheatley

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Jason Condit
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:05 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo,

I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Jason Condit

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Andrea Perry
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:09 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Peralez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Andrea Perry

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Mayra Pelagio
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 10:18 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject:

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Magdalena Carrasco,

As a resident of District 5, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Mayra Pelagio

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Murielle Antoku
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 8:52 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sergio Jimenez,

As a resident of District 2, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Murielle Antoku

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Michael Parmenter
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 3:38 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: San Jose Fair Elections Initiative

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sergio Jimenez,

As a resident of District 2, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Michael Parmenter

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Taryn Ishida
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 1:33 AM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: [REDACTED]

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Raul Peralez,

As a resident of District 3, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Taryn Ishida

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Amelia Post

Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 4:12 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: No voter suppression: Put the Fair Elections Initiative on the ballot

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Sylvia Arenas,

As a resident of District 8, I am writing to voice my outrage that the City Clerk lost 3,000 voters' signatures on the San Jose Fair Elections Initiative petition.

By losing those signatures the City Clerk has silenced the voices of 3,000 people. She has violated the rights of 97,000 voters — over 20% of the City's electorate — who signed the petition, and she has undermined the integrity of San Jose's electoral process.

It is the City's responsibility to fix this violation of voter rights. The only remedy is for the Mayor and Council to put the Fair Elections Initiative on the November 2020 ballot. I support the Fair Elections Initiative, but even if you do not, I hope you will not stand for voter suppression.

I ask you and your Council colleagues to allow San Jose to vote on the Fair Elections Initiative by putting the measure on the ballot.

Sincerely,

Amelia Post

b. beekman

Thu 5/14/2020 11:30 AM

Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

A reminder, that with upcoming, Memorial Day weekend.

A narrative has been developing, that this pandemic, is only the fault, of China & the WHO.

With this thinking, the U.S. has been trying to practice, a new round of warfare, around the world, at this time.

As we are now, in our 3rd month, of what may be, at least a 24 month pandemic.

At some point, it will have to be taken into account, that the U.S. has possibly had, an important supporting role, in how this pandemic has started.

It seems more appropriate, at this time, how to address & prioritize, human suffering. And work to end, continual human impasse.

- Can a responsible process, of several vaccines, be ready soon, for regions of the world.
- What is the current state, of a Covid-19 variation, clotting the blood, of children & young people.
- And can everyday people, not be blamed, and forced into debt, as financial traditions, can almost always be, caring, creative, flexible & adjustable.

I feel with some help, from good technology accountability practices, and other human rights concepts,

For the U.S., to better acknowledge, their own large role, in 9.11.01, and the economic meltdown of 2008, at this time.

This could naturally invite, China, the WHO, and others, to better consider, their own, more positive, long term sustainability practices, at this time.

With these superpowers finding ways, to openly apologize to each other, and to the everyday public.

This could bring, a collective sigh of relief, and better practices, to the people of San Jose, and to local communities, around the world, at this time.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

b. beekman

Thu 5/14/2020 11:30 AM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt,

Item 2.8.

The north San Jose marshland, of this item, may be related to regional Measure B issues.

I feel, a few months ago, there were surprisingly good, negotiations & dialogue, between San Jose, and the VTA, in what can be, good green sustainability concepts, and equity questions.

Again, a thank you, to these recent good efforts, from all parts, of Santa Clara Co., in how to better address the future, of regional Measure B.

Item 2.11

With this street repavement item, I still hope city govt. is considering, how it can better expand, local Measure T, public oversight.

As it can offer, structured, well organized, open community dialogue, for all sides and issues, this summer.

Item 2.13.

This is an item, of much money, going to public art projects, from Berryessa Bart to the Eastridge transit stop,

Please try to spend this money responsibly. And to what its purpose implies.

I am not fully sure, but I felt there was, a strange, gossipy gossip - that previous art funding programs, were partially awarding money, to install the Big Belly trash cans, in downtown San Jose.

These smart, solar powered, trash compactors, also have, a very questionable, secondary function, that can house, many surveillance & data gathering apps.

I feel the art of life, should usually consider, responsible practices before boldness.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

Blair Beekman. S.J. City Council. May 12, 2020. ____ Consent Calendar-2.

b. beekman

Thu 5/14/2020 12:30 PM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.

Item 2.5

With the weekly council approval today, of several council agendas from 2019, with technology items.

It is interesting to note, how good digital inclusion planning, from Civic Innovation, both pre and post, Covid-19, seems to work best, with a slow, cautious, yet steady approach.

And that responsible, minimal use practices, can accomplish just about as much, as the current, federal government drive, of a massive expansion, of 4G and 5G, at this time.

Many people, are currently worried, angry, intimidated, and heartbroken, by intl. govts., and institutions.

I hope, the s.j. community is understanding, there can be health risks, of broadband oversaturation, in local neighborhoods.

And that, responsible, minimal use practices, can work to accomplish, much of the broadband, needed to address, important digital divide issues, in local San Jose neighborhoods, at this time.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman