



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
April 10, 2020 – April 16, 2020

DATE: April 22, 2020

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

1. Letter from Sarah McCabe, dated April 9, 2020, regarding: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine.
2. Letter from Kimberly Potts, dated April 9, 2020, regarding: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine.
3. Letter from Robert Towle, dated April 10, 2020, regarding: Prusch Farm Park Encampment.
4. Letter from Raymond Umeda, President of Lincoln High School Foundation, dated April 10, 2020, regarding Lincoln High School Foundation.
5. Letter from Verizon, dated April 13, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_AIRPORT_002 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_005 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_148 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_012 – B, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_064 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_046 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_245 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_035 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
6. Letter from Deborah Miller, dated April 11, 2020, regarding: COVID-19 Nest /Shelter.
7. Letter from Verizon, dated April 15, 2020, regarding: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_180 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_081 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_096 – A, CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_119 – A, SAN JOSE, CA/GTE Mobilnet California LP.
8. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated April 15, 2020, regarding: blair beekman. sj city council items. April 14, 2020.

Rules and Open Government Committee

March 25, 2020

Subject: Public Record

Page 2

9. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated April 15, 2020, regarding: from blair beekman. RaOG. April 15, 2020..Item.Public Record.
10. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated April 15, 2020, regarding: from blair beekman. RaOG.April 15, 2020. Item 1a. Agenda Approval.
11. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated April 15, 2020, regarding: from blair beekman. RaOG April 15, 2020. Item.G2. SJ. Sunshine Ordinance.



Toni J. Taber, CMC

City Clerk

TJT/tt

From: Sarah McCabe
Sent: Thursday, April 9, 2020 10:03 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

Dear Ms. Taber,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on "small cells" and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not "essential."

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed "essential". Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) ("We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be 'necessary' to 'protect the public safety and welfare' or to 'ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.'")

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support "essential" status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on "protection, response, recovery, and restoration"). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Sarah McCabe

From: Kimberly Potts
Sent: Thursday, April 9, 2020 9:12 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

Dear Ms. Taber,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Potts

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 7:19 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Prusch Farm Park Encampment

Dear City Council Members,

I am writing you to express my opposition to the COVID 19 Emergency order to build an encampment on Prusch Farm Park land. This park is one of the only recreational facilities in an already overcrowded area that is in one of the poorest districts in San Jose. The park is used by the community as a safe area for families and is also used by joggers and walkers of all ages on a daily basis. Large numbers of school age children visit and enjoy field trips to the park on a daily basis and having an encampment on this property would deter these activities and also reduce the use of the park by visitors and organizations that hold events at the park. This would reduce revenue to the park that is already underfunded by the City.

I urge you to find a different location for this encampment and let Prusch Farm Park continue to serve the community as Emma Prusch envisioned in her Gift Deed to the City of San Jose in 1962.

Thank you,

Robert Towle
Prusch Farm Park Foundation Member

From: Raymond Umeda
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 6:16 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Lincoln High School Foundation

Dear City Clerk,

The Lincoln High School Foundation wanted to share with you our gratitude and appreciation for SJUSD and Lincoln High School's solution-oriented approach to the Covid-19 pandemic unprecedented crisis. We feel SJUSD and Lincoln High School has made a huge and positive difference in so many lives and deserve public recognition and the support from the City of San Jose.

Attached is your copy of the Foundation's letter and a hardcopy will be mailed as well.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Raymond Umeda

President

Lincoln High School Foundation



LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL FOUNDATION

... supporting academic and enrichment programs for today's and tomorrow's students.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President

Raymond Umeda '72

Vice President

Rachel Bata '93

Secretary

Helen Chapman

Treasurer

Jim Hamner '62

Janet Akin '72

Don Bowden '54

Tom Boyce '57

Hon. Alden Danner '54

Gerry De Young

Susan De Young

Steve Ellenberg

Hon. John Flaherty '56

Oreen Gernreich

Bill Gould

Philip Hammer '52

Paul Kanter

John Kocal '64

Marla Duino Lenz '59

Aaron Lilly '02

Bunny Martz '65

Douglas Moore '56

Julia Moore '57

Elizabeth Neely '93

Hon. Richard Nguyen '02

Susan Salmon '91

Tyler Silva '02

Craig Travis '72

James Washburn II '56

Richard Weeks '63

Joann Williams '65

Cecily Zazueta

Principal

Matthew Hewitson

April 10, 2020

Office of City Clerk

200 E. Santa Clara St., 18th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Dear City Clerk,

As President of the Lincoln High School Foundation, I want to express my support and appreciation for San Jose Unified School District (SJUSD) and Lincoln High School. SJUSD leaders, teachers and staff have and continue to adapt to the challenges of educating, nurturing and supporting students and families during the Covid-19 shelter in place. I hope that you will join me in supporting, appreciating and conveying to the public the good work SJUSD and Lincoln High School are doing to educate and assist kids and families.

Covid-19 is an unprecedented crisis that no one was prepared for. However, SJUSD and Lincoln High School have stepped up to the challenge by finding solutions during an ever-changing and difficult time. Specifically, SJUSD and Lincoln High School teachers and staff have:

- Rapidly built a new online instructional model and trained a faculty of 1400+ teachers in the tools of distance learning.
- Developed a foundation of rich academic content for all subject areas and grade levels, plus a library of online resources for families. Using this foundation, teachers are distributing engaging lessons, reaching out to their students, providing feedback on student work, and supporting special needs students.
- Provided over 100,000 meals to the community in just the past two weeks. Many teachers, administrators, and classified staff have volunteered to be on the front lines of this crisis serving meals directly to the community.
- Taken steps to close the digital divide by distributing laptop devices to families in need to ensure that students can access the new digital lessons.

I am especially proud that our SJUSD and Lincoln High School administrators, teachers and staff continue to seek solutions to address the problems arising out of the Covid-19 crisis. Just as parents and children are learning to adapt to online distance learning at home, our educators are also learning how to best support their students and families.



555 Dana Avenue • San Jose • California 95126


Tel: 408.535.6300 • www.LincolnHighFoundation.org • ALincolnFoundation@gmail.com

Educating and supporting kids and families during this unprecedented time is an on-going learning process for all, in which mistakes will naturally occur . Empathy, encouragement, and support are needed during this crisis to find solutions . I know that SJUSD and Lincoln High School will learn, adapt and do all they can to help our students, families and community.

I ask that you support SJUSD and Lincoln High School in their solution-oriented approach by publicly recognizing and announcing the efforts and initiatives SJUSD and Lincoln High School have implemented and continue to develop to help students and families during Covid-19.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Raymond Umeda
President
Lincoln High School Foundation

Cc: SJUSD Superintendent, Nancy Albarran and Board Members

Apr 13, 2020

Public Record: 5

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_AIRPORT_002 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_005 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_148 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_012 - B
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_064 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_046 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_245 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_035 - A

San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_AIRPORT_002 - A		2500 Channing Ave., San Jose , CA95131		Utility pole/tower	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°22'35.08"N	121°56'14.251"W	517264	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	

Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation

• Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_005 - A		1070 Alderwood Ave, San Jose , CA95122		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'57.411"N	121°51'14.811"W	517348	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	

Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation

• Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio

• Install (N) FCC signage

• Install (N) Disconnect

• Install (N) Smart Meter

• Install (N) Fiber Junction Box



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_148 - A		2512 Monterey Road, San Jose , CA95111		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°17'57.182"N	121°51'23.649"W	517599	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_012 - B		1549 Cunningham Ave, San Jose , CA95125		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'32.06"N	121°50'10.01"W	570524	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_EAST_064 - A		2086 SUPREME DR, SAN JOSE , CA95148		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°20'17.521"N	121°49'0.2"W	565293	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_046 - A		1051 Brennan St, San Jose , CA95131		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°23'26.679"N	121°54'57.37"WNAD(83)	517773	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_245 - A		2650 MABURY ROAD, San Jose , CA95133		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°22'44.829"N	121°51'5.75"W	566367	Antenna Rad 25.6	30.7	Permitting	04/09/2020	
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_035 - A		5759 GREAT OAKS PARKWAY, San Jose , CA95123		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°15'0.591"N	121°47'39.869"W	517900	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/09/2020	
Project Description: • Install (N) Type 10B Street Light Pole on (E) Concrete Pile Foundation • Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio • Install (N) FCC signage • Install (N) Disconnect • Install (N) Smart Meter • Install (N) Fiber Junction Box							

From: Deborah Miller

Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2020 10:52 PM

To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo

<TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Chapman, Helen <helen.chapman@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: COVID-19 Nest /Shelter

Dear Council Member Jimenez, Mayor Liccardo, and members of the Department of Housing:

I am writing to you in regard to the proposed COVID-19 nest and homeless shelter as being considered for the corner of Bernal and Monterey Roads. My purpose in writing to you is to advise you that I have consulted an attorney with regard to this project and, should the City decide to move forward with this location, I will not hesitate to file for an injunction and/or to file a lawsuit for damages related to the pending project.

I believe the City is fast-tracking homeless facilities at the corner of Bernal and Monterey (under the guise of the state and city-wide emergency declarations re: the deadly COVID-19 virus) as an end-run around the community which soundly rejected these proposals in the past. Proper notice to the impacted communities has not been provided, and this sudden decision is coming at a time when your constituents are required to shelter-in-place thus shuttering their constitutional rights to assemble, protest, or be heard on this matter.

It has become more than clear that the City of San Jose is transferring the homeless out of downtown and the residents of District 2 are being forced to disproportionately bear the burden of these homeless facilities and the negative consequences to include crime, reduced home values, disease, and blight. Now, the City proposes to introduce COVID-19 infected persons into our community at risk to the health and welfare of D2 constituents, particularly those living in the surrounding neighborhoods and communities.

The proposed District 2 facilities will create a dangerous condition of the property in violation of Government Code 830 et seq. Government code, 830(c) defines public property to include "real or personal property owned or controlled by the public entity." Government code 830 goes on to describe a "Dangerous

condition” as condition of property that creates a substantial risk of injury when such property or adjacent property is used with due care in a manner in which it is reasonably foreseeable that it will be used.” That this COVID-19 nest and homeless shelter will create a dangerous condition to those at high risk for COVID-19 (such as those in the community with immunosuppressive disorders, a history of cardiovascular disease, cancer, etc.) is without argument. Both my daughter and myself, who live in close proximity to the proposed COVID-19 nest location, fall into this high-risk category. We will not sit back quietly as our lives are literally placed at risk by those that are charged to protect us.

Aside from creating a dangerous condition, the proposed homeless project/COVID-19 nest will create a public and private nuisance. As 70 plus percent of the homeless suffer from drug, alcohol, or severe mental health issues, and these are not locked down facilities, the residents are free to come and go as they please and are likely to travel to and from the site throughout neighboring communities (most expressly Silver Leaf) causing a host of nuisances to include the potential for crime, dumping/blight, drug offenses, virus exposure, etc. The City will be knowingly creating a public nuisance pursuant to Civil Code 3479 and 3480.

A possessor or owner of land who fails to take reasonable actions to prevent criminal activity on the property is subject to nuisance liability if that criminal activity harms the surrounding community. No matter how well run the facility, the City will be potentially bringing persons with known drug, alcohol, severe mental health issues, criminal backgrounds, and carriers of the deadly COVID-19 virus, into our community with all the well-known associated problems.

The City is not immune from Health and Safety Code 11570 et seq. The Shelter Crisis Act and the governor’s emergency COVID-19 response declarations only protect, if at all, the City from ordinary negligence. They do not protect the City, and or its employees, from liability for grossly negligent, reckless, and/or intentional conduct which causes injury. Placing a COVID-19 nest of infected persons alongside a community of persons, to include those like me who are at high-risk, is not only grossly negligent, but it is intentional, reckless, and most assuredly will result in injury.

We, the constituents of D2, have a right to transparency from our leaders. This being said and pursuant to California's Public Records Act, I will be placing a formal request, under separate cover, for the provision of all City of San Jose documents and electronic communication wherein the City of San Jose Council Members have considered, debated, referenced or written about the District 2 Bridge Housing/Tiny Homes project (at the juncture of Monterey and Bernal Road) to include internal correspondence. I will be recommending others do the same. Your constituents should be hearing from you, not the news at 7 or through records requests.

The constituents of D2 deserve and demand better from their representatives. Intentionally placing COVID-19 infected persons within our community is a bridge way to far!

With great disappointment,

Deborah M. Miller

Apr 15, 2020

Public Record: 7

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_180 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_081 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_096 - A
 CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_119 - A

SAN JOSE, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Ann Goldstein
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory
1515 Woodfield Road, #1400
Schaumburg, IL 60173
WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_NORTH_180 - A		13233 MABURY RD, SAN JOSE , CA95133		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°22'38.561"N	121°51'14.34"W	543170	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	04/14/2020	
Project Description: Install 3 new Antennas/radios on an existing light pole. Install FCC Signage, Disconnect, Fiber & Smart Meter							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_081 - A		5846 BLOSSOM AVE, SAN JOSE , CA95123		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°14'35.441"N	121°50'22.54"W	NAD(83) 517970	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	06/27/2019	
Project Description: Install 3 new Antennas/radios on an existing light pole. Install FCC Signage, Disconnect, Fiber & Smart Meter							



VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_096 - A		5761 SANTA TERESA BLVD, SAN JOSE , CA95123		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°14'16.83"N	121°50'53.031"W	517991	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	07/05/2019	
Project Description: Install 3 new Antennas/radios on an existing light pole. Install FCC Signage, Disconnect, Fiber & Smart Meter							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_SOUTH_119 - A		62 GREAT OAKS BLVD, SAN JOSE , CA95119		Public Lighting Structure	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°14'5.349"N	121°46'40.491"W	517639	Antenna Rad 25.6	30	Permitting	07/26/2019	
Project Description: Install 3 new Antennas/radios on an existing light pole. Install FCC Signage, Disconnect, Fiber & Smart Meter							

blair beekman. sj city council items. April 14, 2020.

b. beekman

Wed 4/15/2020 10:30 AM

Dear community, and city govt. of San Jose,

Items 2.9-2.12,

I hope current, new street paving, can bring, good Measure T public oversight ideas, by this summer.

Govt and everyday community, may need, an outlet together, in the next few years, for an easier, more clear, public process.

Measure T public oversight, and the city commission process, can be good ways, to go about this.

Item 2.14,

Thank you for placing, on the consent calendar - grant money, for sj flood victims of 2017.

It can remind, that from the good accountability practices, this past month, wltb new surveillance, technology, & Wi-Fi, within Rules & Open Govt.Public Record,

A more purposeful and meaningful dialogue, can take place, for everyone of the community.

Item 2.15.

The 2020 Census - and it's long battles, for better civil rights and civil protections, in data collection, has finally been won.

I feel, this can be good examples, of our comprehensive, immigration future.

Open Forum,

To speak to Item 2.7, from consent calendar today, of U.S. Transportation Security,

A simple reminder, our decision making, does not have to be hostile, rushed, or xenophobic, in this time, of a sort of, inverted 9.11.2001.

As I tried to say last week, for the last 5 years, and what I intend to politely remind, all summer -

Many people, have already been trying to devdlop, a new era, of peaceful, humanistic, guidelines & practices, towards the ideas, of living & sustainability.

And can address and apologize, what the u.s, forced upon the world, with 9.11.01, and its economic meltdown of 2008.

I hope these years and decades , of good practices, can help all of us, want to consider, constructive, life affirming ideas, of what can be, sustainability & community, in San Jose, this summer, and in the next few years.

sincerely,
blair beekman

from blair beekman. RaOG. April 15, 2020..Item.Public Record.

b. beekman

Wed 4/15/2020 10:30 PM

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.,

I would like to thank, the many people, who wrote letters, in the past few weeks, to the Public Record.

And for their concerns, of 5g, Wi-Fi, surveillance, and other technology, being placed within sj streetlights, at this time.

sincerely,
blair beekman

from blair beekman. RaOG.April 15, 2020. Item 1a. Agenda Approval.

b. beekman

Wed 4/15/2020 10:31 PM

Agendadesk

📧

Dear community of San Jose, and city govt.

To review, April 21, city council items, 2.8.2.9, and 3.3, to be deferred,

Item 2.8, is about JAG grants, that are national security, federal funds, for local projects.

JAG grants, can often leave people feeling, somewhat uncomfortable.

Is item 2.9, and its street re-pavement issues, immediately following, a way to try address, the previous JAG grant project item ?

I have been reporting and thanking, city govt., here at virtual city councils, and its public comment, the past few weeks now,

For good accountability practices, within the recent, city govt. Public Record.

Why has, item 3.3. the current Wi-Fi program, been deferred until August.

As you are currently placing, street lightpoles, 5g, Wi-Fi and other, surveillance & technology, on less crowded streets and pavements.

And why exactly, does city govt., have a recent council item, for the purchase, of \$300,000+, in new streetlight poles ?

Can the mayor and city govt., be more open, about these subjects.

Can I be able, to ask these questions, to people within city govt And be given, honest answers.

A local city govt., at this point in our lives, should have the ability, to work with the everyday public. And to be able, to openly describe, at least some of the contents, of these projects.

And learn to help make clear, the 5g & Wi-Fi review process, to people in local neighbourhoods.

sincerely,
blair beekman

from blair beekman. RaOG April 15, 2020. Item.G2. SJ. Sunshine Ordinance

b. beekman

Wed 4/15/2020 10:31 PM

Dear community and city govt.,

I feel, within the San Jose Sunshine Ordinance - section 2.3, - part 2b. sub category iv and part 4b. subcatagory i -

It clearly states, a city govt, can add items, to a council agenda, for an emergency situation.

The deeper question here, may be, why did the mayor feel, he possibly had to suspend, the SJ Sunshine Ordinance, in the first place.

As the mayor and city council, can be allowed, to bring items, almost immediately to council, in an emergency,, with the examples above.

We may be currently trying to leave, our current status, as a city in an emergency situation..

But these legal references above, should be noted. And with what may be, another six months, to a year, of sometimes uncertain, social and economic conditions.

sincerely,
blair beekman