

Council Policy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Departm	ent	City Manager's Office		Rules Date		3/28/19	Item G.2	
Department Rep. Name/Ext. Policy/Ord. Subject		Peter Hamilton x57998		Council Member Sponsorsh		Councilmembers Esparza, Carrasco, Arenas, Foley		
		Hate Crime Prevention						
				_	_			
Staff Re	commendation							
\checkmark	GREEN	The Administration can implement this Nomi	inated Ide	ea under its current workplan				
	_	ALREADY UNDERWAY MINIMAL	WORK LE	SSS THAN 40 HOURS REQUEST FOR II	NFOF	RMATION		
	YELLOW	The Administration recommends Council sen	nd this No	minated Idea to the Priority Setting Process (See Compl	lexity	Level Below)		
	RED	The Administration recommends Council not	t adopt th	is Nominated Idea				
	NEEDS CLARIFICATIO	N OR MORE TIME TO EVALUATE						
Criterio	⊐ n to Determine Scale	of Project Complexity						
		ined by scoring the project in each of the 3	critorion	s below and then summing the score				
rioject			CITTETION	s below and then summing the score.				
	a. Low Complexity	is a sum of 6 or less.			\neg			
	b. Medium Comple	exity is a sum of 7 - 9		Total Score = 7				
	c. High Complexity	is a sum of 10 or greater.						
		Low Complexity		Medium Complexity		High Con	nplexity	
Scoring Criterion	Estimated Duration	6 - 9 months	√ ₌₁	0 19 months	=2	Mara than 10 months		
	Organizational	Can Easily be Absorbed into	▼ F1	9 - 18 months	<u> - </u>	More than 18 months		=3
	Complexity	Existing Workplan	=1	Planned Work (Future) Have staff with required	=2	Work Not Currently Proposed Do not have staff with required		=3
	(Internal)	Have staff with required		skillset/requires moderate	,	skillset/ requires significant		
	(internal)	skillset/ knowledge	=1	research	=2	research		=3
		Less than or equal 2 Staff		J	1			
		required L	=1	3 - 4 Staff required	⊨ 2	More than 5 Staff required		<u>—</u> ±3
	(External)	1 Additional Departments	=1	2 Other Department Involved	=2	3 or more Depts Involved		=3
DEPT. Required	Airport	Housing CMO		OED PRNS PD		PBCE		
		ES DOT	—	LIB. PW FD		EM		

Analysis

Explain the rationale for Staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant workplan changes, etc). Please address the following as well.

GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current workplan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department workplan. Explain how the Idea will be approached.

Recommendations 1 through 5: These recommendations pertain to a regional Hate Crimes Task Force, to be led by the County of Santa Clara. Staff's understanding is that the County will provide staff support for the Task force, and the role of City staff will be to attend and participate in task force meetings on behalf of San Jose. The Administration is comfortable greenlighting staff participation in a task force that is led and supported by the County.

Recommendation 6: This recommendation proposes that staff periodically report back to the Public Safety, Finance and Strategic Support Committee on the progress of the Task Force. This recommendation can be accomplished as part of the PSFSS workplan, potentially under the Police Department Operations and Performance Bimonthly Status Report, and can therefore be greenlit.

Recommendation 7: This recommendation proposes adopting a Council resolution in support of gun control measures and in opposition to hate crimes. The Administration is comfortable greenlighting this recommendation.

YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to [describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors].

RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to [describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc].

Analysis (Continued)