

From: Lareen Jacobs

Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 9:44 AM

To: District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: correction to my previous email urging you to vote for the Gun Safety Ordinance being presented at Rules this Wednesday

Dear Councilmembers Arena, Davis and Khamis,

I was in error when I listed both Vice Mayor Jones and Councilmember Peralez as sponsors of the Mayor's proposed ordinance. It is of course, only Mayor Liccardo, but having worked for years on the Safe Storage of Firearms in the Residence campaign that resulted in the passage of that ordinance, I inadvertently mentioned the cosponsors of the Safe Firearms Storage proposal, which were CMs Chappy Jones and Raul Peralez.

Please accept my error.

Thank you,
Lareen Jacobs

From: Barbara Fishman

Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 11:00 AM

Subject: Gun Safety Ordinance

Dear Councilmembers Davis, Arenas, and Khamis,

I'm writing to you today to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmember Peralez's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year. 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 know with a gun at home know where their parents keep it.

These statistics are unsettling. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community, and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a step towards reducing the accessibility, and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.

Barbara Fishman

From: Erik Swanson

Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 12:13 PM

To: District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Stopping firearm violence

Dear Councilmembers Davis, Arenas, and Khamis,

I'm writing to you today to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmember Perez's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

I am a pastor at a local church and live in San Jose. I know firsthand the huge toll that gun violence is taking on the people of this city and this country. Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year. 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 know with a gun at home know where their parents keep it. The numbers alone are staggering and the inaction to those numbers is even more staggering to me. Please do something about this.

These statistics speak to a huge problem in our country that is not being adequately addressed. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community, and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a fantastic and powerful step towards reducing the accessibility, and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.

Rev. Erik Swanson

Pastor, Westhope Presbyterian Church

--

Rev. Erik Swanson

Westhope Presbyterian Church, Pastor

May you know the wonder and joy of life in the Holy

From: kpandula
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 2:50 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: Support for firearm insurance/fee

I sent this letter to Councilmembers Khamis, Arenas, and Davis.

Thank you,
Karen Pandula

From: kpandula@
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 2:48 PM
To: 'district10@sanjoseca.gov' <district10@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Support for firearm insurance/fee

Dear Councilmember Khamis,
Please support Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmember Peralez's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns that will be discussed at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

Gun violence is a public health issue in SJ and in our country. I feel the mayor's proposal will cause gun owners to reflect on the responsibilities that come with firearm ownership. Of course, this law will not change the behavior of all gun owners. Similar to driving, not everyone will abide by the laws. As a survivor of gun violence in SJ, I can tell you first hand that if this law saves even one life, you have made all the difference in the world to that family.

In my opinion, the right to live far outweighs the right to own a firearm.

Thank you,
Karen Pandula

From: Barry Brummer

Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 3:44 PM

To: District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: New gun safety ordinances

Dear Councilmembers Davis, Arenas, and Khamis,

I'm writing to you to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo's, Vice Mayor Jones's, and Councilmember Perales's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year, and 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 know with a gun at home know where their parents keep it. Guns end up in the hands of children too easily.

These statistics are unsettling. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community, and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a step towards reducing the accessibility, and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.

Linda and Barry Brummer

From: Armen Gabrielian

Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 6:24 PM

To: District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Ratana, Christopher <christopher.ratana@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Proposal for insurance on guns at San Jose City Council

To:

- > Councilmember Dev Davis (District 6) - district6@sanjoseca.gov
- > Councilmember Sylvia Arenas (District 8) - district8@sanjoseca.gov
- > Councilmember Johnny Khamis (District 10) - district10@sanjoseca.gov
- > City Clerk - city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov

I am a resident of Downtown San Jose and I support any proposal that will make gun ownership more expensive and will reduce gun violence.

Al Capone was only charged with tax evasion. So, perhaps, an insurance requirement on guns can reduce gun violence. My main question is what type of insurance would be required and how much would it cost. Also, what is the prospect that predictable lawsuits would be successful. An umbrella policy of \$1M currently costs only \$130. Would that be sufficient or a different kind of insurance would be required.

My belief is that guns should be treated just like cars which can only kill people. To drive a car, you need a license, you need insurance, you need to pass a test and you need to register your car.

I'll try attending the Wednesday, August 21st at 2 PM. If allowed, I would like to support this proposal if I can understand more about the details.

Regards,

Armen Gabrielian, PhD

From: Wallace Gardner
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 8:52 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Firearms insurance proposal

Please distribute this to both the Rules Committee and the City Council.

San Jose City Council
August 20, 2019

I, Wallace S. Gardner, have lived in the same San Jose home for 44+ years. I raised two kids here. I am opposed to the mayors gun insurance proposal

1. Anti-gun activists never quote the total homicide rate.

2013 UN Global Study On Homicide, P68. From 1993 to 2013 the total U.S. homicide rate steadily decreased. Over half the U.S. Homicides were committed WITHOUT a firearm. Graph page 68.

National Institute of Mental Health 2016 report.

Total suicides = 44,965
Suicide by firearm = 22,963 = 51%
Suicide by other = 22,002 = 49%

2. Insurance for gun owners. Anti-gun faction compares this to auto insurance.

I am covered for liability for accidental injury or death by either a car or gun. However, if a third party steals my car or my gun neither my car insurance, home owners or, liability insurance will cover victims of that third parties misuse of my car or gun.

3. Fund to cover costs if insurance isn't available provided by a tax on gun owners.

Violence prone individuals are the product of the whole society in which they live. The cost of dealing with them should be born by the whole society that created them.

To prevent violence we need to deal with the following issues:

1. Children who require medication for mental/emotional issues. Health insurance companies try to drop their coverage the minute they turn 18 and/or graduate from High School.
2. School systems with great programs on paper that avoid providing services. I had to pay \$60/hr. for a consultant to deal with the school district when my kid needed help.
3. Kids graduating from High School without sufficient math and english to qualify for a job. When a bright middle school kid says to his teacher, "Why should I study this XXXX when I can make this much money on the street," we have a gangster in training.
4. Poverty.
5. Jobs that don't pay a living wage for the non-college educated.
6. Affordable housing.
7. Children who grow up without being taught to value human life, with no moral/ethical training and no respect for authority. Schools need to discuss how to handle issues without violence, bigotry and, racial injustice.
8. Families lacking health insurance, funds for assistance for troubled kids, knowledge of where to seek help for troubled kids and, both parents working 2 or 3 jobs to feed and clothe the kids.
9. We need a clear reporting procedure for reporting acts of violence and what action to take for acts of violence from pre-school on. Parents need to be contacted every time. When violence is persistent or extreme social services and law enforcement need to be involved. Allowing a child to become a killer is child abuse. Failure of anyone charged by law to report child abuse must have criminal penalties.

Respectfully, Wallace S. Gardner

From: Marjorie H
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 4:55 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Proposed Ordinance

I'm writing to you today to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmember Peralez's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year. 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 with a gun at home know where their parents keep it.

These statistics are unsettling. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community, and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a step towards reducing the accessibility, and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.
Marjorie Hart

From: Bob Mack

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 1:26 PM

To: District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Ratana, Christopher <christopher.ratana@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Gun owner insurance

Dear Rules Committee Members,

I support the concept of requiring gun owners to maintain insurance to protect victims and the families of people who are injured or killed by fire arms.

The coverage minimums needs be large enough to cover the lifetime care of a person permanently disabled by being shot with a fire arm. It also needs to cover the lifetime loss of income if the person injured cannot work to provide for his/her family (or if the person is killed). Since the victim might be a young child who could live for 30 to 50 years, the insurance coverage would need to be several million dollars per occurrence with no life maximum limit. Anything less will not provide adequate coverage for a worst case scenario.

Insurance coverage should not prevent the victim or the victim's family from suing the gun owner and/or the person who discharged the weapon.

Sincerely,

Bob Mack

From: Steve

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 3:47 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mayor Licardo's Gun Ownership Insurance Proposal

To: City Clerk

This email was sent to the following Councilmembers:

Dear Councilmembers Arenas, Davis and Khamis,

I would like to urge you to support Mayor Licardo's proposed ordinance "To Reduce Public Cost of Gun Violence, Fund Efforts to Combat Gun Violence".

This ordinance is a common sense approach, which mirrors the mandatory liability insurance we all have for our automobiles. The most recent mass shootings in El Paso, Gilroy, and Dayton, once again leave us all feeling saddened that our governments seem unwilling to take steps to reduce these atrocities. The proposed legislation will in a small way reimburse taxpayers for the added medical and security costs that unlimited firearm ownership has cost municipalities.

In addition to deferring the costs of additional security and proactive monitoring of social media, I would hope that a portion of the additional revenue would fund efforts to combat gun violence, through education and mental health services.

Respectfully,
Stephen Jacobs

From: Cal Bay
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2019 8:37 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Oppose Item #3 alleged, Harm Reduction

Members,

I ask for your support to oppose 8/21/19, Rules Com't Item #3, "harm reduction".

This is misguided and wrong on so many levels. Dictating law abiding citizens pay for the misuse and illegal use of items by others is WRONG!

It is like saying you will protect legal drivers on the highway from drunk drivers by not allowing legal drivers to use the highway or requiring legal drivers to be fined for the actions of the illegal drivers.

The opportunity for abuse is so rampant it is unbelievable!

If serious about reducing gun violence, which by FBI and other statistics is on the decline already, look at eliminating "gun free zones" as approximately 98% of such events occur in gun free zones. While these crazies may be crazy they are not stupid, they go where there is less chance for good guys to fight back and to cause panic.

Again, I ask for your support to oppose 8/21/19, Rules Com't Item #3 Harm reduction.

Sincerely, a voter,

S. Gonzales

From: Mitali Khanzode

Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2019 7:55 AM

To: District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Support for Mayor Liccardo's Proposed City Ordinance

Dear all,

I would like to just say that Mayor Liccardo's new proposed city ordinance, which would require all owners of firearms in the city to have liability insurance, is a major step in curbing the negative effects of gun violence in our community.

I, a young activist in the community, have been following the issue of gun violence in our country. Although we were hit hard by the shooting in Gilroy a few weeks ago, this is one way to respond quickly and effectively to that tragedy. In addition, by passing this city ordinance, San Jose can become a role model for other cities, counties, and states wanting to reduce gun violence in their own respective areas.

I would love to see San Jose become safer and find a place at the forefront of gun safety, and I hope you share that vision with me as well.

Thank you so much for your time!

Warm regards,

Mitali Khanzode

Lead Coordinator for March For Our Lives San Jose

From: March For Our Lives SJ

Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2019 7:05 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mayor Liccardo's Bill

Dear Councilmembers Davis, Arenas, and Khamis,

I'm writing to you today to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmember Peralez's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year. 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 know with a gun at home know where their parents keep it.

These statistics are unsettling. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community, and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a step towards reducing the accessibility, and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.

Cassidy Chang

--



March For Our Lives San

José

w: marchforourlivessj.org

e: march4ourlivessj@gmail.com

Follow us:



From: Hartmut Broring

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 9:29 PM

To: District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Much needed Gun Control

Dear Councilmembers Davis, Arenas, and Khamis,

I'm writing to you today to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules this Wednesday, August 21.

Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year. 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 know with a gun at home know where their parents keep it.

These statistics are unsettling. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community, and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a step towards reducing the accessibility, and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.

Hartmut Broring

From: Ratana, Christopher
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 4:46 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Pereira, Paul <Paul.Pereira@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: Comment on the new SJ gun insurance plan

For the Rules item on 8/21 for item ROGC 19-272 A Harm Reduction Approach: Eliminating the Gun Violence Subsidy

From: Elizabeth Claire Lawrence [mailto:elizabethclairelawrence@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 11:22 AM
To: Ratana, Christopher <christopher.ratana@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Comment on the new SJ gun insurance plan

Hi Chris,

I've been following the city of San Jose's plans to institute a requirement than gun owners carry insurance or pay a fee to the city. I saw that Mayor Liccardo posted your contact information on Twitter and wanted to register my strong support for this requirement, for what it's worth!

I'm a Cupertino resident who works at Apple, but I'm regularly in San Jose for arts performances, pub trivia, and various restaurants. In the absence of the federal government taking action to reduce the amount of gun violence in our daily lives, it's up to states and local communities to try and promote responsible gun ownership. Given all the accidents caused by improperly stored gun and/or ammunition, it seems obvious that gun owners should carry liability insurance, or alternatively, pay a fee to the city to cover any additional policing or medical coverage that is a result of negligence or malicious use of their gun. We are required to carry minimum insurance for our cars, a tool that can be destructive but also serve useful in our daily lives; it seems obvious that gun owners should carry insurance for their weapons. This isn't an infringement on 2nd amendment rights; this is encouraging responsible, thoughtful gun ownership, something the community at large benefits from.

I am hoping the majority of feedback on this proposed change is positive. I know a majority of the people I talk to support this.

Thanks for your attention to this matter!
Elizabeth Lawrence

From: Ratana, Christopher
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 4:42 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: Proposed gun insurance

For the Rules item on 8/21 for item ROGC 19-272 A Harm Reduction Approach: Eliminating the Gun Violence Subsidy

-----Original Message-----

From: Rick Umstattd
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2019 5:25 PM
To: Ratana, Christopher <christopher.ratana@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Proposed gun insurance

Hi -

I'm a resident of San Jose, a homeowner, and I'm 100% behind the proposed gun insurance regulation.

It makes perfect sense that if I want to own a firearm I should be required to carry insurance on it. I think this insurance will help tackle the costs on society of assault rifles, as I expect that insurance for them will be higher than insurance for pistols and hunting rifles.

Let me just say that I am so impressed with Mayor Liccardo for proposing this. I used to be a gun owner, but no longer, as it is statistically significant that you are more likely to be killed by a gun when you own one.

Please feel free to share my message.

Thank you,

-Rick

From: Christina Vo

Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2019 9:07 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Support New Proposals to Reduce the Public Cost of Guns

Dear Councilmembers Davis, Arenas, and Khamis,

My name is Christina Vo and I am a high school student at Silver Creek High School! I'm writing to you today to urge you to support Mayor Liccardo, Vice Mayor Jones, and Councilmember Peralez's new proposals to reduce the public cost of guns. These proposals encourage people to change their behavior as it pertains to guns and will be heard at Rules today.

Three million young people are exposed to shootings every year in the United States. Nearly 2,900 young people are shot and killed each year. 15,000 more young people are shot and injured annually, often with weapons that were not locked up. On average, 52 women and children are killed each day in the United States, often with unsecured weapons. 4.6 Million kids in the US live in homes with an unsecured and loaded gun. 70% of kids under the age of 10 know with a gun at home know where their parents keep it. It has been less than a month after a mass shooting took place in California, taking the lives of three youth and injuring many!

These statistics are unsettling. The impacts bring trauma to the home and community and bring a burden to the public in costs and community suffering. Mass shootings have become so incredibly common these past years where shootings can happen anywhere from the poverty communities to school campuses to festivals. Even in schools, we are teaching kids how to protect themselves in case a shooting or a dangerous event happens when we should be ensuring policies and law that prohibits people from harming others. Our communities are so traumatized, we have become desensitized to mass shootings. Getting people to change their behavior by motivating them through their own insurance policies is a step towards reducing the accessibility and the resulting impacts of gun violence.

We need to get people to change their behavior. Part of that starts with sensible gun safety ordinances such as the ones being heard this Wednesday at Rules. Nobody should ever have to feel fear of being harmed by a gun. These new proposals are a step in the right direction to end the public cost of gun violence and to get people to change how easy it is for their children and others to get their hands on a gun.

Thank you.
Christina Vo

“Often our emotions respond more to spectacle than to data” Neil deGrasse Tyson, Ph.D.

From “Safest Big City” to #18 (latest FBI data) NYC is #4.

What Matters Most?

Fire=>Ready=>Aim. What “Gun Violence” Problem Are We Trying To Address?

- Mass shooting risk (young, white, male malcontents)?
- Suicide (white, male, > 45)?
- Homicide (non-white, male, < 35)?
- Other?

Why Neglect/Abandon Other Initiatives?

- Mayor’s Gang Prevention (no quantified results /progress reports – only activities) Strategic Plan recycled.
- ‘Anonymous Tip Line’ Last update: April 2018. Working?
- Last BEST update: FY 2013-2014
- No “Operation Ceasefire” (good outcomes elsewhere)
- And more...

Rejection/indifference to free services, grants, assistance

- Schools, religious centers, SJ events

Credibility Gap, No Risk Analysis, No \$ Cap

- little to no reason to believe in proposal efficacy
- Risks to city? No cost estimate or cap

Contribute to our solutions – not our problems