**RULES COMMITTEE: 3/27/2019** 

Item: E File ID: 19-062



## Memorandum

**TO:** Honorable Mayor &

City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC

City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** The Public Record

March 15 – March 21

**DATE:** March 27, 2019

#### ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

#### Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

1. Letter from San José Youth Commission, dated March 19, 2019, regarding San José Youth Commission Policy Recommendations.

#### **Letters from the Public**

- 1. Letter from AT&T, dated March 12, 2019, regarding AT&T Mobility Site 10067438 - CCL03840 - AIR SYSTEMS - 940 REMILLARD COURT.
- 2. Letter from CPUC, dated March 8, 2019, regarding Pacific Gas and Electric Company request to increase rates for the Energy Resource Account Compliance Application (A.19-02-018).
- 3. Letter from Verizon, dated March 19, 2019, regarding Notification Letter for San Jose DTsouth 059 San Jose, CA / GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership / U-3002-C.
- 4. Letter from Verizon, dated March 19, 2019, regarding Notification Letter for San Jose 5G polygon DT 804, DT 813, DT 820, DT 838, DTsouth 165 (5) San Jose, CA / GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership / U-3002-C.

Toni J. Taber, CMC City Clerk



#### City of San José Youth Commission

March 19, 2019

To Rules Committee

Reg: San José Youth Commission Policy Recommandations.

Dear Honorable Mayor and City Council,

Please accept and add the San José Youth Commission Ad Hoc team's policy recommendations attached to the Rules Committee agenda.

The Ad Hoc teams were created as part of the Youth Commission annual workplan to address specific issues the teens felt important. Ad Hoc teams are made up of Youth Commissioners and their District Youth Advisory Council (YAC) members. The Ad Hoc teams met for a period of six meetings where they came together to conduct their research, and analysis of their findings to create their final policy recommendations.

These policy recommendations have been peer reviewed and feedback was gathered at the Youth Commission annual YAC summit from both and experts in the field.

Sincerely.

San Jose Gowth Commission

San José Youth Commission

Attached recommendations:

- a. Public Safety
- b. Education
- c. Environment (2)
- d. Civic Engagement

#### **Public Safety Policy Recommendation**

Policy Team: Public Safety Discussion Area: Policy

Team Leaders: Maren Bick-Maurischat (D3 Commissioner), Sofia Jaquez (D5 Commissioners)

**Team Members:** 

#### I. Recommendation

To ensure public safety, the Youth Commission's Public Safety Ad Hoc policy recommendation is to recommend that the City install emergency call boxes throughout San Jose to ensure proper access to emergency resources if an emergency situation occurs. In particular, these boxes should be placed around public transportation stops such as bus stops, light rail and Bart and train station as well as public parks.

#### II. Problem and Background

As a Youth Commission, we are exposed to many examples of lack of safety and security in our city from either our own experience or our peers' stories. Most of us, or the people we know, either walk or take public transportation to school or to an extracurricular activity. Many times, teens lack the sense of security and become afraid of what might happen when left alone on a street while waiting for transportation. Many colleges have placed emergency call boxes on their campuses to improve student safety. This recommendation is for the City to implement a similar approach. Understanding that these emergency call boxes cannot stop a crime when it's happening but having an emergency call box visible and accessible were crimes are happening, they may deter further crimes from occurring while giving youth a sense of security.

#### III. Advantages

San José is incredibly diverse, and no two neighborhoods or districts are the same. However, with this district variation also comes a level of inequality and a discrepancy in resources. The San José Youth Commission knows the multitude of ways in which the City works to overcome these obstacles and we believe that placing emergency call boxes throughout the city would increase a feeling of security and access to resources throughout our communities, particularly for youth.

While on a very practical level, the Youth Commission believes that these safety boxes will be providing another wise unavailable resource to certain populations, we would also like to emphasize the important feeling and reassurance of security that these would bring to San Jose's youth. The emergency boxes would be particularly helpful in public areas

such as parks and transportation stops such as VTA stops and Light rail stops and upcoming Bart Stops.

#### IV. Solvency (Why this policy recommendation will work based on past experiences)

Safety call boxes are a traditional part of many of the United States largest cities' safety networks. Cities including New York and San Francisco continue to have emergency call boxes as part of the urban infrastructure. Highways throughout California also currently have a network of safety call boxes that provide roadside assistance throughout the state.

The emergency call boxes are also a relatively minimal investment on behalf of the city. On average the maintenance for the boxes is under a thousand dollars annually. Furthermore, with the upcoming revitalization of the city infrastructure and the upcoming Bart station, installing emergency call boxes will show San Jose residence that our city officials are moving forward to make public safety a priority in our city.

#### V. Potential Setbacks

The greatest potential setback would be the possibility that this type of technology has become obsolete since mobile phones have become commonplace. And, that has indeed been the input that many college and universities have been receiving about their safety call boxes. However, students on university campuses are much more likely to have access to a mobile phone. Whereas, in low-income residents of our city still are unable to have that same privilege. Therefore, we believe that the statistics and feedback that universities have received about the relevance of these call boxes are not relevant because it only considers the resources of a very small demographic. These boxes, being placed in particularly low-income areas or those with a high homelessness concentration, would be providing a resource otherwise missing.

#### VI. Collaboration

Youth commissioners, youth advisory council, YWCA, and attendees of Youth Commission Summit.

#### Sources:

 $\frac{https://thewhitonline.com/2017/12/news/blue-light-boxes-survey-returns-mixed-results-but-public-safety-maintains-boxes-are-still-effective/$ 

https://www.sfgate.com/bayarea/article/Why-S-F-still-counts-on-street-fire-alarm-boxes-3081293.php

http://www.intercomsonline.com/Wireless-Call-Box a/172.htm

https://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/16/nyregion/city-is-ordered-to-keep-emergency-help-boxes.html

#### **Education Policy Recommendation**

Policy Team: Education Discussion Area: Policy

**Team Leaders:** Clarabelle Walkup (District 9) Nate Arumugham (District 2)

Team Members: Vikram Arumugham

Fiscal Year: 2018/2019

#### I. Recommendation

After an extensive search to find information on San Jose's youth population, we are surprised at the lack of data the city has obtained on our next generations of residents, leaders, and members of the community. With that said, as the Youth Commission's Education ad hoc team, we propose that the city begin its efforts in collecting information on youth's quality of life by instituting a citywide survey or collecting data from surveys of school districts about the conditions of students in their middle and high school years. We strongly recommend that the city use this information for reference in potential future policies and council decisions.

#### II. Problem and Background

San José Mayor Sam Liccardo once stated, "The discussion around our future, and renewing San Jose's promise of opportunity, must begin with our children. We can give every child the chance they deserve by leveraging our libraries, leadership, linkages, and learning."

While San José already issues a city-wide survey for adults, the City lacks critical information regarding the state of San Jose's next generation of residents. With little information, it is easy for many youths to slip through the cracks and not receive the second look they deserve. Access to such information about living youth conditions, safety, and mental health, will better equip not only the Youth Commission, but all commissions and City Council to make decisions that not only affect the adults today, but the adults of tomorrow. Through the information gained in the survey, the city would be able to find areas that need more or less focus as well as understand where it needs to put its efforts. For example, how can the City of San José help low-income children so that they are in a more stable environment to grow? Is it through better transportation, cleaner parks, access to programs in the libraries or community centers? These are questions the City can find the answers to.

In order to take the first steps toward alleviating these problems, we propose that the city begins collecting information and feedback from these students to better understand where funds need to be directed and to find the prevalent problems among San Jose's youth, whether it be collecting the reports from each school district or creating a whole new one.

Many school districts within San Jose, such as CUHSD and ARUSD, utilize surveys to understand the conditions of their students - asking various questions to get a gauge of where more support is needed or where there is enough. With the use of the surveys already in some school districts, it can be concluded that the City of San José and youth will benefit because with more information, we are more equipped to make the best decisions.

A problem we have identified is that in order to execute a city-wide survey directed at youth, it must be with a parent or guardian's consent; therefore, an alternative route would be to begin collecting the reports of surveys already conducted within the local school districts.

To address the lack of information regarding youth in San Jose, The Youth Commission proposes that the Parks and Recreation Department (or San José City Government in general) begin sending surveys to San José middle and high schoolers to gain information that the Youth Commission and City cannot access currently. The goals of this survey are:

- To increase city information on youth climate
- To increase information on how much youth in San Jose use public transportation
- To increase the information the Youth Commission has on San Jose youth and create policies based on survey results
- To increase City council awareness on youth issues through statistics
- To potentially find root of youth homelessness/ gang involvement/ etc.
- To bring awareness of the youths living conditions

With these goals in mind, the Youth Commission and the City will be more capable of catering to the needs of youth by implementing our policy proposal.

#### III. Example

The survey is encouraged to have question frames that are similar to San Jose's survey in September which had questions such as:

How Often do you use public transportation? what form of transportation do you use?

#### IV. Advantages

Instituting such a survey would yield many advantages, rooted in the lack of data about San Jose's youth. The City of San José implements many policies to help and serve the City's population, and these are informed by the information the city possesses regarding the people's needs and desires. By instituting this survey or collecting reports from surveys conducted by the schools themselves, the City will be better equipped and informed to orient their policies to help youth as well as the rest of the population and doing this can help to decrease the divide between youth and the rest of the City. This information will allow the City to help youth have a greater hand in connecting with the City government to express their concerns or grievances and ultimately increase the quality of life of youth in the City.

#### Collaboration with:

- a. Youth Advisory Council members who attended the YAC Summit.
- b. Ms. Stephanie Nobel from the City Auditors office.

Youth Commission Approval: February 25, 2019

#### **Environment (1)**

Policy Team: Environment Discussion Area: Policy

Team Leaders: Dheerj Jasuja (District 10), Chris Zazueta (District 6),

Team Members: Adarsh Ambati (District 10 YAC Member), Winston Li (District 10 YAC

Member), Bianca Romero (District 10 YAC)

- **l. Recommendation**: Implement "Smart Sprinklers" that can automatically enforce the existing San Jose water regulations
  - A. Mandate "Smart Sprinklers" for all city property.
  - B. Incentive "Smart Sprinklers" for Corporations (Non-Residential) and schools using rebates or lower water penalties.
  - C. Provide incentives (rebates or lower water usage penalties) for residential homes that implement "Smart Sprinklers"
- II. Definition of "Smart Sprinkler"
  - A. A sprinkler that automatically turns on and adheres to current city regulation. It will use a standard moisture sensor as well as monitor the weather before watering.
- III. Problem & Background / Research: As the population increases and climate change causes more droughts, water scarcity becomes more of an issue. Only three percent of the world's water is fresh water and 1.1 billion people lack access to clean, safe drinking water. As the current drought in California dramatically shows, access to water is not just an issue for developing countries but the United States as well. In fact, by the middle of this century more than a third of all counties will be at higher risk of water shortages with more than 400 of the 1,100 counties facing extremely high risks.
- **IV.** <u>Advantages</u>: "Smart Sprinkler" helps enforce <u>existing San Jose water regulation policies</u> by automating them to follow the regulation stated in the policy.

#### V. <u>Solvency</u>:

According to a report in the <u>Energy Star</u> Publication, it is better and more effective to provide incentives rather than penalties to create a cultural change.

#### VI. Potential Setbacks:

- A. Lack of infrastructure (wifi). Automated connection is best when using wifi. Therefore, ensuring wifi throughout the city is a necessity for this policy to be implemented.
- B. Each Smart Sprinkler system costs ~\$150.
  - 1. To provide a \$50 rebate for approximately 250,000 households, it would cost approximately 1.25 million dollars. To equip every city park in the city with the system, the cost would be approximately \$15,000.
- C. Labor costs (one-time)
  - 1. Electrician labor rates are \$50-100 per hour. Therefore the addition estimated cost could run from \$5000-\$10000.

#### Concluding Statement:

According to the EPA, the implementation of the smart sprinkler has been projected to save around \$435 million in water costs and 120 billion gallons of water across the country annually from not overwatering lawns and landscapes. While San Jose itself won't be able to accrue hundreds of millions of dollars in savings up front, we will still see sizeable savings that will eventually outweigh the initial costs of implementation. As well as ensure we as a city is saving water for future generations to come.

#### VII. Sources:

- A. Top 6 Environmental issues
- B. San Jose water regulations
- C. Energy Star
- D. <a href="http://saveourwater.com/what-you-should-know/dry-year-facts/">http://saveourwater.com/what-you-should-know/dry-year-facts/</a>
- E. <a href="http://read.hipporeads.com/5-key-facts-about-the-california-drought-and-5-ways-were-responding-to-it/">http://read.hipporeads.com/5-key-facts-about-the-california-drought-and-5-ways-were-responding-to-it/</a>
- F. <a href="https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/www3/watersense/products/controltech.html">https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/www3/watersense/products/controltech.html</a>

#### **VIII.** Collaborated was conducted with:

- A. Attendees of the 2019 Youth Commission Summit
- B. Ad Hoc Members
- C. District Youth Advisory Council members.
- **IX.** Youth Commission Approval: February 25, 2019

#### **Environment (2)**

Policy Team: Environment Discussion Area: Policy

Team Leaders: Dheerj Jasuja (District 10), Chris Zasueta (District 6)

Team Members: Adarsh Ambati (District 10 YAC Member), Winston Li (District 10 YAC

Member), Bianca Romero (District 10 YAC)

#### I. Recommendation

Our large population, numerous businesses, factories, and the workforce that commutes from other cities add up to environmental challenges. We experience excessive greenhouse gas emissions, litter, and occasionally waste dumping. It is for these reasons that the San José Youth Commission wants to fight these environmental challenges. As the Commission's Environment Ad Hoc team, we ask the Honorable Mayor and City Council to consider the following recommendation.

A. With the recent boost of 2.5 million dollars in funding from the Bloomberg American Cities Challenge, the City should accelerate the current Climate Smart SJ program in order to realize positive environmental change sooner.

#### II. Background

Environmental conservation has been a major topic of discussion for decades and major action have been taken. However, with a growing population and a greater demand for goods, like plastics and cars, we must do more now in order to preserve our future. In the expanding City of San José, pollution and litter are huge problems that need to be offset by effective and quick-acting policy.

This problem is especially significant to the youth of San Jose because the youth and the generations to come will have to live with this problem if it is not solved in the near future.

#### III. Research

The City has allowed 780 sewage spills between 2009 and 2014, allowing swaths of trash to flow from Coyote Creek, the Guadalupe River, and into the bay. These issues were left unresolved with the city missing deadlines for permits, resulting in fecal coliform and other pollutants appearing in numbers far exceeding health standards. In 2015 Santa Clara County emitted 11.8 million metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>. In an effort to combat problems like these the City of San Jose took great strides to benefit the environment. In 2007 San

Jose launched the Green Vision Program which was set to be completed by 2022. The San Jose Green Vision Program is addressing environmental issues by attempting to:

- Create 25,000 clean tech jobs
- Reduce per capita energy use by 50 percent
- Receive 100 percent of our electrical power from clean renewable sources
- Build or retrofit 50 million square feet of green buildings
- Divert 100 percent of waste from the landfill and convert waste into energy
- Recycle or beneficially reuse 100 percent of our wastewater (100 million gallons per day)
- Adopt a General Plan with measurable standards for sustainable development
- Ensure that 100 percent of public fleet vehicles run on alternative fuels
- Plant 100,000 new trees and replace 100 percent of our streetlights with smart, zero-emission lighting
- Create 100 miles of interconnected trails

This plan was extremely effective as a 2014 report on the plan stated, Green Vision achievements and progress include:

- More than 12,008 cleantech jobs in San José have been created to date.
- Prospect Silicon Valley (ProspectSV) opened as the first nonprofit, Silicon Valley-based catalyst to support emerging technology companies through demonstration, testing, and commercialization.
- During the 2013-14 program cycle, Silicon Valley Energy Watch delivered 850 energy efficiency retrofit projects to Santa Clara County PG&E utility customers, reducing energy use by over 11.5 million kWh—enough to power nearly 1,060 U.S. homes for one year.
- In May 2014 the Property Assessed Clean Energy program launched and has completed 195 residential projects valued at \$5.3 million.
- The City has installed 30 solar energy systems with a total generation capacity of 4.8 megawatts (MW) at City sites.
- By the end of 2014, 9,055 solar photovoltaic (PV) systems with a total capacity of approximately 80.8 megawatts (MW) had been installed at homes, businesses, and industrial facilities in San José.
- Nearly one million square feet (SF) of certified private sector green building space was added in 2014. More than 2.1 million SF of City facilities have achieved green building certification since 2004.
- San José continued to have among the highest solid waste diversion rates in the nation, including a 73 percent overall diversion rate and a 90 percent diversion rate in City facilities.
- The City and partner Zero Waste Energy Development Company (ZWED) launched the world's largest dry fermentation anaerobic digestion facility, converting commercial organic waste into 1.6 MW of renewable energy and 32,000 tons of compost.

- The City's contracted haulers converted 76 residential waste collection trucks from diesel fuel to compressed natural gas, generating cleaner emissions and significantly reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
- A record of 785 customers used an average of 14.1 million gallons of recycled water per day, made possible by a 142-mile network of recycled water pipelines.
- The City maintained 41 percent of its vehicle fleet to run on alternative fuel, with a total of 991 alternative fuel vehicles.
- Through a partnership with Our City Forest, 1,749 new trees were planted. A total of 12,289 trees have been planted since 2007, sequestering approximately 479.3 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents, comparable to the annual greenhouse gas emissions from 101 passenger vehicles.
- San José converted nearly 2,130 streetlights to smart Light Emitting Diode (LED) streetlights in 2014. To date, approximately 5,530 LED streetlights have been installed, saving the City more than 1.88 million kWh of electricity annually.
- The City completed 19 miles of on-street bikeways for a total of 240 miles of on-street bikeways and reached 56.8 miles of off-street trails to date.
- San José bicyclists took 19,562 trips, offsetting 14,278 pounds of carbon dioxide through the Bay Area Bike Share Program.

These achievements are extremely beneficial, and the San José Youth Commission commends them. Then, on February 27, 2018, the City implemented the Climate Smart SJ program. While this program has great goals, we hope to accelerate the implementation of these goals.

#### IV. Advantages

Climate Smart SJ has already been planned for and we know that the program is feasible and can be implemented. San Jose has also received 2.5 million dollars from Bloomberg which also ensures funding for accelerating Climate Smart SJ goals which include:

- Transitioning to a renewable energy future provides clean electricity that supplies the entire city.
- Densifying our city in focused growth areas increases walkability and cycling and also makes our neighborhoods more vibrant, distinctive, and enjoyable.
- Making our homes energy efficient and fully electric can make them affordable for our families and more comfortable to live in.
- Developing integrated, accessible public and active transport infrastructure reduces the dependency on the car to move within the city.
- Creating local jobs in our City makes it possible for our residents to work close to where they live, saving time, money, and gas spent commuting.
- Making our commercial buildings high-performance and sitting them close to transit lowers water and energy use.
- Moving commercial goods through our city more efficiently with new technology and fleet management practices.

#### V. Solvency

After seeing the effectiveness of San Jose Green Vision we know that the City can successfully implement environmental policies. Moreover, accelerating Climate Smart SJ will solve environmental problems sooner.

#### VI. Potential Setbacks

The program would be costly as it requires an enormous amount of funding for our infrastructure, educating the public, and other aspects of implementation. Delays and unexpected events may prove to exceed the budget for the programs and thus they will not be completed.

#### VII. Closing Statement

While the San José Youth Commission strongly supports the current policies benefiting the environment like San Jose Green Vision and Climate Smart SJ, we do believe that we can and should accelerate the implementation of Climate Smart SJ for the betterment of our constituents.

#### VIII. Sources

http://www.sanjoseca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/474

http://www.sanjoseca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/75035

http://www.sanjoseca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/42557

http://www3.sanjoseca.gov/clerk/Agenda/20091117/20091117 0602att.pdf

https://www.mercurynews.com/2014/11/24/environmental-group-to-sue-san-jose-for-sewage-spills-and-trash-pollution/

http://www.vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov/greenhouse-gas-emissions#chart-1

#### IX. Collaborated With:

- a. Attendees of the Youth Commission Summit
- b. Ad Hoc Members
- c. Youth Advisory members

#### X. Youth Commission Approval of recommendation: February 25, 2019

#### Civic Engagement

Policy Team: Civic Engagement

**Discussion Area:** Policy

Team Leaders: Kaitlyn Tran (District 4), Jenifer Herrera Damian (District 7)

Team Members: Atticus Ginsborg, Nicole Hoang, Gabe McAdams

**Budget: \$4,600** 

#### I. Recommendation

With the recent increase in youth (18-29) voting this past Midterm election in 2018, the San José Youth Commission understands the necessity to educate youth about ballot measures, voter registration, and voter pre-registration. Thus, we ask that the Honorable Mayor and City Council consider:

- A. Adding a direct link to "registertovote.ca.gov" from the City main website (sanjoseca.gov) front page that leads directly to a link to pre-register/register to vote. Additionally, adding more general information about the voting process and more information about local elections ballot measures. This information should also be available in multiple languages including Vietnamese and Spanish. This general information would include, but is not limited to:
  - a. Absentee ballots
  - b. Absentee requests
  - c. Voting eligibility requirements
  - d. Polling areas
  - e. Ballots and candidates of local elections

#### II. Background

Youth rarely participate in the voting process because of a lack of interest in voting. This is an example of "voter apathy", a situation where voters believe that their vote is not important and thus won't make a difference.

Voter participation by youth has always been notoriously and disturbingly low, even though they make up a substantial portion of the voting population. However, voter apathy is only a part of the larger issue, which is the inaccessibility to information, such as how to register to vote, and information concerning the ballots. In a survey conducted by the District 4 Youth Advisory Council, 39% of youth surveyed said they believe they have a good understanding of voting but 44% responded that they did not know about the past midterm election.

Voter registration plays a large role in this area. In fact, the main reason for youth not voting in elections was that they were either misinformed or unaware of the voter registration process. Without voting, the purpose of our democracy is lost, leaving people unable to choose who they want to lead our city, as well as our country, solely because they aren't registered.

Voters are given an opportunity to get a vote-by-mail ballot prior to the election, people are mailed the ballots regardless of the state that they are currently living in (i.e. a person who is from California and is in college in Colorado can receive a ballot from California, then mail it back). However, this option is not as well known to the populace as it should be.

Voting for local elections are especially hard to research and find information about, making local elections and government almost unheard of to youth. In local elections, in a study done by Portland State University, "it found that residents 65 years and older were a median of seven times more likely to vote than those ages 18 to 34."

#### III. Research

- A. Presidential election turnout for youth (people aged 19 29) has been significantly lower than all other generations for the past 30 years
- B. In 2012, the most common reason for youth not voting was that they weren't registered
- C. 87% of young voters didn't know about the deadline or were misinformed
- D. In 2014, 1.9 million failed to register because they didn't know how to register or where
- E. In a survey conducted by the District 4 Youth Advisory Council with 144 responses, only about 23% of high school students knew how to register to vote, 44% said they did not know any ballot measures or candidates in the last midterm election,
- F. 78% of surveyed youth did not know or were not sure who their council member is
- G. 62% did not know who the name of mayor of San Jose
- H. It found that residents 65 years and older were a median of seven times more likely to vote than those ages 18 to 34

#### **IV.** Policy Components

This proposed policy should have the following components:

Development of the city's website in order to broaden the knowledge of our youth on their civic rights. This section would be designed to be purely informational, and not at all partisan. This section would discuss things including, but not limited to:

- a. Voter registration deadlines
- b. Voter registration general information

- c. Absentee ballots and the explanation of an absentee ballot
- d. Polling stations
- e. Local election information

#### V. Solvency of this Policy Recommendation

The task that we are recommending the City carry out is one that could be done by the personnel already employed. Therefore, this recommendation would be solvent.

The issue that this policy will be solving is a lack of accessibility to pre-registration and registration links. This lack of access eventually stems to a tendency of not voting, as the most common reason that youth didn't vote in elections is because they didn't know how or when to register.

By adding a link in the City's website, in the three most popular languages in San Jose (English, Spanish, and Vietnamese), it would make it extremely obvious for youth to vote in multiple languages.

#### VI. Driving San José to an Innovative Future

The youth are undoubtedly the future. San Jose has always been a beacon of progression. By making registration and pre-registration more accessible to youth, youth will become more civically engaged and vocal about issues that they are passionate about. Encouraging youth to be active and educated voters is essential to maintaining the city's core values and its emphasis upon progression. Including youth in the current political landscape, as youth are becoming more and more involved on their own, proves that the voices of youth are appreciated and valued by the city. The local election information can also improve civic engagement, since in a survey, 62% of youth did not know who the mayor of San Jose was.

Additionally, this change would help attract youth to use the City website. In a District 4 Youth Advisory Council survey with 144 responses, 71% of youth surveyed said they have never used the city website before. The addition of information would make the city website a resources that can be used by all residents. Including the youth.

#### VII. Potential Setbacks

One potential setback is how many youths will actively access the website. Typically, teens do not access the city website often. Therefore, we would have to advertise this change in multiple areas such as community centers and libraries.

One potential solution to a lack of awareness would be to put up banners in the entrances of community centers. The banners would tell people to register to vote by accessing the city website and clicking on the button/link on the front page. Additionally, these banners and be hung at the entrances of libraries where youth frequent more often.

Moreover, flyers or small handouts can be made and placed at libraries and or community center where youth use computers.

#### VIII. Budgeting

The cost of one vinyl banner, (36 in. by 96 in.) is approximately \$130. There are roughly 17 libraries and roughly 18 community centers in San Jose. Adding them together, that would be 35 venues. A vinyl banner at each venue would cost about \$4,550 total. Adding in the cost of \$4,600 for handouts/flyers to distribute at each community center and library.

More realistically, the addition of the information will be managed by the IT department who manages the City's website who will have an increase of workload.

#### IX. Closing Statement

The San José Youth Commission would like to further extend its capabilities and support to the youth by providing them insightful information about their rights as citizens and voters. This policy will encourage voter awareness as well as engagement. Through increasing awareness and knowledge of voter rights, we will ultimately create a more active youth for the good of San Jose.

#### X. Sources

- A. https://civicyouth.org/quick-facts/youth-voting/
- B. <a href="https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/democracy/reports/2018/07/11/453319/increasing-voter-participation-america/&sa=D&ust=1543193747046000&usg=AFQjCNHLgSexxHTcJXFcF16HHA0LuH2Y1A">https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/democracy/reports/2018/07/11/453319/increasing-voter-participation-america/&sa=D&ust=1543193747046000&usg=AFQjCNHLgSexxHTcJXFcF16HHA0LuH2Y1A</a>
- C. <a href="https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2018/11/youth-turnout-midterm-2018/575092/">https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2018/11/youth-turnout-midterm-2018/575092/</a>
- D. <a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Wvp44z0GAmB-i6WsQKLe7km6nTc9GbT-tslGBBWQ0w8/edit?usp=sharing">https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Wvp44z0GAmB-i6WsQKLe7km6nTc9GbT-tslGBBWQ0w8/edit?usp=sharing</a>
- E. <a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Bk7nmhOrzqQJnth1c3Pt6bLZIaESsjLFWl8mrYVeNXY/edit?usp=sharing">https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1Bk7nmhOrzqQJnth1c3Pt6bLZIaESsjLFWl8mrYVeNXY/edit?usp=sharing</a>
- F. <a href="http://www.governing.com/topics/elections/gov-voter-turnout-generations-millennials.html">http://www.governing.com/topics/elections/gov-voter-turnout-generations-millennials.html</a>

#### XI. Collaboration with

- A. Peer Review by the youth commission
- B. District 4 Youth Advisory Council
- C. Youth attendees at the Youth Advisory Council Summit.
- XII. Youth Commission Approval: February 25, 2019



AT&T 2600 Camino Ramon 4W850L San Ramon, CA 94583

3/12/2019

**VIA EMAIL** 

Ms. Anna Hom **CONSUMER PROTECTION & SAFETY DIVISION** California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: AT&T Mobility Site - 10067438 - CCL03840 - AIR SYSTEMS - 940 REMILLARD **COURT, SAN JOSE, California 95122** 

This is to provide the Commission with notice to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") that:

(a) AT&T Mobility has obtained all site land use approval(s) for the modification of the project listed above described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local governmental agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any information contained herein, please contact me at ellenmagnie@caldwellcompliance.com or 925-918-5182.

Sincerely,

Attachment

CC:

City Planning Director

Elle Magnie

City Clerk City Manager

City of San Jose

200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113



#### ATTACHMENT A

1-9 Project Location:

Modification

Site Identification Number:

CCL03840

Project Number:

3701A0BC4S

Site Name:

AIR SYSTEMS

Site Address:

7 HK 5 I 5 I EINS

940 REMILLARD COURT, SAN JOSE, California

95122 County:

SANTA CLARA

Assessor's Parcel Number:

742-11-078

Latitude:

37-19-45.6

Longitude:

121-51-38.8

10-14 Project Description:

Number of Antennae to be installed:

12 antennas total approved at

60 in height

Tower Design:

MONOPOLE

Tower Appearance:

MONOPOLE

Tower Height:

A) Structure Height

60

B) Top of antenna Height

60

Building Size(s):

N/A

15 Business addresses of all Governmental Agencies (from permit)

City of San Jose 200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113 (408) 535-3555

- Land Use Approval: R/R (12) PANEL ANTENNAS .INSTALL (12) RRU'S .REMOVE (3) RRU'S AND REPLACE W/ (3) RRU'S .UPGRADE EXISTING RBA72 POWER PLANT TO HI CAP
- 17 If Land Use approval was *not* required: N/A

March 8, 2019

PUBLIC RECORD 2

#### TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

### NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO INCREASE RATES FOR THE ENERGY RESOURCE RECOVERY ACCOUNT COMPLIANCE APPLICATION (A.19-02-018)

Summary

On February 28, 2019, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed its 2018 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Compliance application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The purpose of this application is to review costs recorded to the ERRA balancing account from the prior year. The application also includes a request to increase rates to recover \$4.69 million in costs related to the seismic (earthquake) studies performed at Diablo Canyon Power Plant.

Background

The ERRA balancing account is used to record fuel and purchased power costs which can be recovered in rates. PG&E recovers these costs with no mark up for return or profit. The purpose of this ERRA Compliance proceeding is to review PG&E's costs associated with obtaining energy for customers and approve rate increases for other program costs noted above. The CPUC will review PG&E's costs to ensure compliance with the previously approved forecast and energy purchasing plans.

How will PG&E's Application affect me?

Many customers receive bundled electric service from PG&E, meaning they receive electric generation, transmission and distribution services. Based on rates currently in effect, the bill for a typical residential NonCARE customer using 500 kWh per month would increase from \$112.08 to \$112.13 or 0.04 percent. Actual bill impacts will vary depending on electricity usage.

How will PG&E's Application affect customers who buy electricity from a third party?

Direct Access (DA) and Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) customers only receive electric transmission and distribution services from PG&E. These customers will not be impacted by this application.

Departing Load customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E. However, they are required to pay certain charges by law or CPUC decision. These customers will not be impacted by this application.

How do I find out more about PG&E's proposals?

If you have questions about PG&E's filing, please contact PG&E at **1-800-743-5000**. Para más detalles llame al **1-800-660-6789**•詳情請致電 **1-800-893-9555**. For TTY, call **1-800-652-4712**. If you would like a copy of PG&E's filing and exhibits, please write to PG&E at the address below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 2018 ERRA Compliance Application (A.19-02-018) P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

A copy of PG&E's filing and exhibits is also available for review at the CPUC's Central Files office by appointment only. For more information, contact aljcentralfilesid@cpuc.ca.gov or 1-415-703-2045. PG&E's application (without exhibits) is available on the CPUC's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

**CPUC process** 

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary hearings may be held where parties will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These evidentiary hearings are open to the public, but only those who are formal parties in the case can participate.

After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the hearings, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision which may adopt PG&E's proposal, modify it or deny it. Any of the five CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an

alternate decision. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon at a scheduled CPUC Voting Meeting.

The California Public Advocates Office (CalPA) may review this application. CalPA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. CalPA has a multidisciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. For more information about CalPA, please call 1-415-703-1584, email PublicAdvocatesOffice@cpuc.ca.gov or visit CalPA's website at www.publicadvocates.cpuc.ca.gov.

Stay informed

If you would like to follow this proceeding, or any other issue before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC's free subscription service. Sign up at: http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, have informal comments about the application, or have questions about the CPUC processes, you may access the CPUC Public Advisor's Office (PAO) webpage at http://consumers.cpuc.ca.gov/pao/.

You may also contact the PAO as follows:

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

Mail: CPUC

Public Advisor's Office 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Call: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074 TTY: 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-5282

If you are contacting the CPUC, please include the application number (2018 ERRA Compliance Application A.19-02-018). All comments will be circulated to the Commissioners, the assigned Judge and appropriate CPUC staff and will become public record.

# verizon/

March 19, 2019

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for San Jose DTsouth 059 San Jose, CA / GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership / U-3002-C

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Sincerely,

Ann Goldstein Coordinator RE & Compliance – West Territory 1515 Woodfield Road, #1400, Schaumburg, IL 60173 WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

													V	2riz	on√
VZW LEGAL ENTITY	JURISDICTION	DEPUTY DIRECTOR - PLANNING	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	COUNTY				CPUC	Attachment A	\				
GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership	CITY OF SAN JOSE	Elizabeth,Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov	Santa Clara			Initial Build (new presence for Verizon Wireless)							
Site Name	PS Location Code	Site Address	Site APN	Site Coordinates (NAD 83)	Project Description	Number & type of Antennas	Tower Design	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Size of Building or NA	Type of Approval	Approval issue Date	Approval Effective Date	Approval Permit Number	Resolution Number
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_0 59	517417	652 Phelan Ave. San Jose, CA. 95112	Public ROW	N 37" 19' 08.53"	Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole     Install (N) FCC signage on (E) Street Light Pole     Install (N) Disconnect     Install (N) Smart Meler     Install (N) Fiber Junction Box		San Jose City Street Light Pole	(3) Antenna RRUs Mounted On the City Street	30'-6"	N/A	Encroachment Perm	t 3/8/2019	3/8/2019	SC19139E	N/A
				W 121° 51' 26,34"				Light Pole Centerline 25'3"		1975	Approval	3.012019	3/0/2019	30 191392	IV/A

1

## verizon<sup>/</sup>

March 19, 2019

Ms. Anna Hom
Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
GO159Areports@cpuc.ca.gov

RE: Notification Letter for San Jose 5G polygon DT 804, DT 813, DT 820, DT 838, DTsouth 165 (5)

San Jose, CA / GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership / U-3002-C

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Sincerely,

Ann Goldstein Coordinator RE & Compliance – West Territory 1515 Woodfield Road, #1400, Schaumburg, IL 60173 WestAreaCPUC@VerizonWireless.com

		DEPUTY DIRECTOR -											-Ve	eriz	on√
VZW LEGAL ENTITY	JURISDICTION	PLANNING							CPUC	Attachment A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
GTE Mobilnet of California Limited Partnership	CITY OF SAN JOSE	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov	Santa Clara			Initial Build (new presence for Verizon Wireless)							
Site Name	PS Location Code	Site Address	Site APN	Site Coordinates (NAD 83)	Project Description	Number & type of Antennas	Tower Design	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (In feet)	Size of Building or NA	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	Approval Effective Date	Approval Permit Number	Resolution Number
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_1 65	517263	2733 Monterey Rd San Jose, CA 95111	Public ROW	N 37° 17' 37.70"	Install (3) (N) Anlenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) FCC signage on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) Disconnect Install (N) Parat Meter Install (N) Piber Junction Box  Install (N) Fiber Junction Box	(3) Ericsson 6701	San Jose City 1 Street Light Pole	(3) Antenna RRUs Mounted On the City Street Light Pole Centerline 25'5"	30'-10"	N/A	Encroachment Permit Approval	3/14/2019	3/14/2019	SC19149E	N/A
				W 121° 51' 06.56"											
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DT_804	517288	100 Guadalupe Pkwy, San Jose, CA 95110	Public ROW	N 37° 20' 41.27"	Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) FCC signage on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) Disconnect Install (N) Snart Meter Install (N) Snart Meter Install (N) Fiber Junction Box	(3) Ericsson 6701	San Jose City 1 Street Light Pole	(3) Antenna RRUs Mounted On the City Street Light Pole Centerline 25'6"	30'-4"	N/A	Encroachment Permit Approval	3/14/2019	3/14/2019	SC19017W	N/A
				W 121° 54' 06.38"											
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DT_813	517297	640 Coleman Ave., San Jose, CA. 95110	Public ROW	N 37° 20' 28,21"	Install (3) (N) Anlenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) FCC signage on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) Disconnect Install (N) Simett Meter Install (N) Fiber Junction Box  Install (N) Fiber Junction Box	(3) Ericsson 6701	San Jose City 1 Street Light Pole	(3) Antenna RRUs Mounted On the City Street Light Pole Centerline 25'6"	30'-4"	N/A	Encroachment Permit Approval	3/14/2019	3/14/2019	SC19014W	N/A
				W 121° 54' 28.80"					55 .						
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DT_820	517304	50 W St John St, San Jose, CA. 95113	* Public ROW	N 37° 20′ 13,85″	Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) FCC signage on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) Disconnect Install (N) Smart Meter Install (N) Fiber Junction Box  Install (N) Fiber Junction Box	(3) Ericsson 6701	San Jose City 1 Street Light Pole	(3) Antenna RRUs y Mounted On the City Street Light Pole Centerline 25'4"		N/A	Encroachment Permit Approval	3/14/2019	3/14/2019	SC19013W	N/A
				W 121° 53' 33.15"									3/14/2013		
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DT_838	517322	1121 Hanchell Avenue, San Jose, CA 95126	Public ROW	N 37* 19' 56.12*	Install (3) (N) Antenna/Radio on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) FCC signage on (E) Street Light Pole Install (N) Disconnect Install (N) Disconnect Install (N) Fiber Junction Box  Install (N) Fiber Junction Box	(3) Ericsson 6701	San Jose City 1 Street Light Pole			N/A	Encroachment Permit Approval	3/14/2019	3/14/2019	SC19042W	N/A
				W 121° 54' 47.24"											
												,			