



## *Memorandum*

**TO:** Honorable Mayor &  
City Council

**FROM:** Toni J. Taber, CMC  
City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** The Public Record  
November 22 – November 29

**DATE:** December 5, 2018

---

### **ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD**

#### **Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees**

#### **Letters from the Public**

1. Letter from AT&T, dated November 13, 2018, regarding AT&T Mobility Site – 10095758 – CCL00593 / CCL03974 – SNFCCA0593 – 425 East Tasman Drive.
2. Letter from CPUC, dated November 15, 2018, regarding PG&E rate increase.
3. Letter from Peter Miron-Conk, dated November 26, 2018, regarding SAN JOSE HOPE VILLAGE THINGS LEARNED IN FIRST 2.5 MONTHS PETER MIRON-CONK.

---

Toni J. Taber, CMC  
City Clerk

TJT/tt



AT&T  
2600 Camino Ramon  
4W850L  
San Ramon, CA 94583

11/13/2018

**VIA EMAIL**

Ms. Anna Hom  
**CONSUMER PROTECTION & SAFETY DIVISION**  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: AT&T Mobility Site - 10095758 – CCL00593 / CCL03974 – SNFCCA0593 – 425  
EAST TASMAN DRIVE, SAN JOSE, California 95134**

This is to provide the Commission with notice to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") that:

(a) AT&T Mobility has obtained all site land use approval(s) for the modification of the project listed above described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local governmental agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any information contained herein, please contact me at [ellenmagnie@caldwellcompliance.com](mailto:ellenmagnie@caldwellcompliance.com) or 925-918-5182.

Sincerely,

**Attachment**

cc: City Planning Director  
City Clerk  
City Manager

City of San Jose  
200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113



## ATTACHMENT A

- 1-9 Project Location:** Modification
- Site Identification Number: CCL00593 / CCL03974
- Project Number: 3701A0BNEB
- Site Name: SNFCCA0593
- Site Address: 425 EAST TASMAN DRIVE, SAN JOSE, California  
95134
- County: SANTA CLARA
- Assessor's Parcel Number: 097-04-025
- Latitude: 37-24-47.0
- Longitude: 121-55-37.9
- 10-14 Project Description:**
- Number of Antennae to be installed: 0 antennas total approved at  
in height
- Tower Design: UTILITY POLE
- Tower Appearance: UTILITY POLE
- Tower Height:
- A) Structure Height 96
- B) Top of antenna Height
- Building Size(s): N/A
- 15 Business addresses of all Governmental Agencies (from permit)**
- City of San Jose  
200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113  
(408) 535-3555
- 16 Land Use Approval:** (3) DTMA amplifiers 10.5x7x4.5 behind (E) antennas and installation of equipment  
inside the (E) shelter
- 17 If Land Use approval was *not* required:** N/A

November 15, 2018

TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

**NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO OFFER NEW RATES FOR COMMERCIAL ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING (A.18-11-003)**

**SUMMARY**

On November 5, 2018, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed a proposal with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to offer new rate options for charging commercial electric vehicles. This application does not represent an increase in rates. This application is requesting to create a new Commercial Electric Vehicle Charging customer class along with two rate options (CEV-small and CEV-large) specifically for commercial electric vehicle charging stations.

**BACKGROUND**

PG&E's application will help California meet the goals outlined in Senate Bill 350: Clean Energy & Pollution Reduction Act (SB 350). The goals of SB 350 are to reduce dependence on petroleum, meet air quality standards and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In support of these goals, PG&E's application will help promote the adoption of electric vehicles across the state. In addition, the proposed rate options offer customers access to lower prices for fueling electric vehicles compared to fossil fuels.

**HOW WILL PG&E'S APPLICATION AFFECT ME?**

At this time, PG&E does not expect this application to impact existing customer rates. PG&E business customers who install electric vehicle charging stations will be able to take advantage of new rate plans with lower costs to charge their vehicles during off-peak hours.

**HOW WILL PG&E'S APPLICATION AFFECT CUSTOMERS WHO BUY ELECTRICITY FROM A THIRD PARTY?**

At this time, PG&E does not expect this application to impact existing customer rates. Direct Access and Community Choice Aggregation customers receive generation services from a third party provider and customers should contact their provider to learn about the rate options available to them.

Departing Load customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E. However, they are required to pay certain charges as required by law or CPUC decision. These customers will not be impacted by this application.

**HOW DO I FIND OUT MORE ABOUT PG&E'S PROPOSALS?**

If you have questions about PG&E's filing, please contact PG&E at 1-800-743-5000. For TTY (speech-hearing impaired), call 1-800-652-4712. Para más detalles llame al 1-800-660-6789 • 詳情請致電 1-800-893-9555. If you would like a copy of PG&E's filing and exhibits, please write to PG&E at the address below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
Electric Vehicle Commercial Rate Application (A.18-11-003)  
P.O. Box 7442  
San Francisco, CA 94120

A copy of PG&E's filing and exhibits is also available for review at the CPUC's Central Files office by appointment only. For more information, contact [aljcentralfilesid@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:aljcentralfilesid@cpuc.ca.gov) or 1-415-703-2045. PG&E's application (without exhibits) is available on the CPUC's website at [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov).

**CPUC PROCESS**

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary hearings may be held where parties will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These evidentiary hearings are open to the public, but only those who are formal parties in the case can participate.

After considering all proposals and evidence presented during the hearings, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision which may adopt PG&E's proposal, modify it or deny it. Any of the five CPUC Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon at a scheduled CPUC Voting Meeting.

The California Public Advocates Office (CalPA) may review this application. CalPA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. CalPA has a multidisciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. For more information about CalPA, please call 1-415-703-1584, email [PublicAdvocatesOffice@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:PublicAdvocatesOffice@cpuc.ca.gov) or visit CalPA's website at [www.publicadvocates.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.publicadvocates.cpuc.ca.gov).

**STAY INFORMED**

If you would like to follow this proceeding, or any other issue before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC's free subscription service. Sign up at: <http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/>. If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, have informal comments about the application or have questions about the CPUC processes, you may access the CPUC's Public Advisor Office (PAO) webpage at [www.cpuc.ca.gov/pao/](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/pao/).

You may also contact the PAO as follows:

Email: [public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov)

Mail: CPUC  
Public Advisor's Office  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074

TTY: 1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-5282

If you are contacting the CPUC, please include the application number (Electric Vehicle Commercial Rate Application; A.18-11-003). All comments will be circulated to the Commissioners, the assigned Judge and appropriate CPUC staff and will become public record.

November 26, 2018

PUBLIC RECORD 3

To: Mayor Sam Liccardo  
San Jose City Council

SAN JOSE HOPE VILLAGE  
THINGS LEARNED IN FIRST 2.5 MONTHS  
PETER MIRON-CONK

SJ Hope Village operates as a volunteer organized and managed structured tent village for individuals currently homeless. While the input of residents was sought throughout the planning process and continues to be heard through implementation and operations, this is not a resident run project. The "Leadership Team" has the final say on all aspects of SJ Hope Village. This is deemed as especially critical in light of the fact that the goal is to be a model program, which can be replicated at numerous locations around the city and that due to it being the first of its kind in San Jose will be scrutinized closely by friends and foes.

Residents - A particular effort was made to recruit individuals who were likely to have a minimum level of behavioral issues. Most are more than capable of living independently in an apartment or similar condition with adequate income. A resident serves as Camp Host, monitoring conditions and reporting issues and concerns to the leadership team. A member of leadership holds weekly meetings with all residents. While not surprising, the most important single element has been security and safety. The result is a larger number of women applying. Security is also at the top of most of the men's list.

The Basics - The basic necessities and essential elements of the program are: secured fenced in location, garbage service, port-a-potties - 1 per 10 persons, mobile showers, new clean 8x8 ft tents tall enough to stand in. on 8x8 ft wood platforms with 4" of ground clearance 10x10 tarp to cover platforms, a lockable heavy duty bin, and a large bin for additional storage of personal items.

Additional services - Beyond the basics we have added 10x10 ft canopy to offer additional protection from the weather and rain which will also extend the life of the tents, 8x8 carpet remnant for tent floor, 10x20 ft, walled canopy, with small propane heater, for common area eating, meetings, limited storage etc. platform for large canopy with indoor / outdoor carpet, solar powered battery charging station and canopy lighting, electric generator for auxiliary power, folding tables and picnic tables, free standing camping stove, general cleaning supplies, canopies for smoking area and "office" - meeting space with platform, medium camping coolers for limited food storage, shelving for storage, and individual garbage cans.

Site specific necessities at 1039 Ruff Drive - The following conditions needed to be addressed due to the specific conditions at Ruff Drive.

Utilities - There are no utilities available on the property therefore all plumbing facilities need to be fully self contained. A vehicle and capable driver to move shower trailer and

restroom trailer for emptying grey water and filling fresh water tanks. Water has been brought in by volunteers in 3 gallon bottles. We are in the process of acquiring several 50 gallon containers and a trailer for transporting to and from a water source. Again this requires a vehicle and capable driver. Electricity - solar panels provide adequate energy for charging cell phones and limited central lighting. During rainy, cloudy weather a portable generator is needed to charge storage batteries for general use. Independent solar powered garden style lights are used for path lighting. Additional independent solar lights are placed in areas as needed. A local solar company has agreed to provide us with a significant upgrade which should power all current needs, provide better lighting and have storage capacity for 3 days.

Grounds - the loose, dusty soil has required covering all the ground with a chip/mulch material, paths made of gravel run between all tents, canopies and other facilities. Some leveling was required in certain areas. Perimeter fencing with screening mesh and bottom sealed with waddle of approximately 600 feet was rented. 2 secure drive in/out gates enabling toilet and shower trailers to drive straight in and out.

Labor - This is a volunteer started, financed, managed and supported project. This was done to overcome the intransigency of local government and to have the freedom to act as needed and determined by leadership. This model in this way would be difficult to replicate with any frequency due to the labor intensity of the effort, number of volunteers and skill set needed at certain levels. We are currently looking into working with Downtown Street Teams as a living resource for their participants, on site Camp Host and similar tasks. They could serve as a resource for screened appropriate candidates. Platforms and ground condition added significantly to the required volunteer labor. This is an ongoing need as we continue to expand the number currently at 14.

Replication - I currently feel that the target number of 30 is an adequate number to show the feasibility as a model that can be replicated. Based on our current operations we need about 700-750 sq ft per person. 2 toilets appear to be enough. Our costs do not include the purchase, or operational and maintenance costs of the mobile showers. A detailed spreadsheet with most of the expenses is included. Purchase costs for a self contained similar unit runs about \$45,000 - \$50,000. Ground covered with concrete or asphalt would greatly simplify the organizing and construction of the facilities. Some natural shade would also be an asset.

Labor - this is a big variable depending on condition of property. There is still a need for significant labor to assist in other elements of setup. A capable person to provide general operations oversight would also be needed. The skill level of this person would depend on the population served.

Location - This was a prime concern when locating SJ Hope Village on Ruff Drive. Our location eliminates most of the issues of NIMBYism. The city owns a significant amount of property in the general area which I feel strongly should be an asset available to use for an additional Hope Village location as well as possibly other services. I would be open to operating a village in another location but recognize the difficulty in finding a location. The location problem will require the leadership and courage of a council person and or Board of Supervisor willing to deal with the negative blow back. I offer one idea as a possibility for reducing this concern. All residents for another location could come from the Ruff Drive site. Residents and businesses from the new area could be invited to meet with people at Hope Village. They could see the conditions of the village and also meet the future residents, thus putting a real person in front of people, not some abstraction.

#### OTHER ESSENTIAL SERVICES

The reliance on "rich services" needs to be reduced in importance. This is a money eater and will take away from the ability to develop and fund services which will effectively reach a larger number of persons. There are a number of reasons why this is so. Someone in touch with the harder core homeless will readily understand this.

**Housing, even a village, is the key resource needed.** I think that a premium should be placed on additional services which can be implemented quickly and reach the largest number of people on the street.

In addition to another Hope Village, greatly expanded **Safe Parking for cars and a location for RV's**. There are many models around the west coast of well functioning Safe Parks. I think the city needs to be bolder in expanding this service. The providers of services needs to be more aggressive in identifying locations and flexible in who they work with.

**Warming centers and day services are desperately needed.** Toilets, showers, garbage services as outlined seem appropriate but the key will be in where and how they are implemented. As provided in the past and I expect in the future, there are numerous impediments to reaching a significant number of people.

The Hope Village model as being developed can serve a significant number of people. However, the current Hope Village reaches people who are ready for permanent housing but also all are outside the reach of other existing programs. There are a number of factors which allow us to reach this population. As we try to reach more people, we will need to modify and adjust several aspects of Hope Village. There are other agencies with much greater resources that could have done something similar. I

don't think any of these agencies are prepared to do another Hope Village even with additional resources. The relationship I have with people on the street. The broad experience I have accumulated over the years and an ability and willingness to do something outside the box were all key in the successful planning, development and operation of Hope Village. I think much of the expanded programs identified by staff lack creativity and, while being helpful, will fail to reach a large portion of the population that needs to be served. I do not have the time or energy to put all my thoughts on this matter on paper. I do know there are ways to provide services which will reach more people.

Some of my advocate friends, Sandy and Phil, have put together a more detailed plan of services they are endorsing. While I have no objection to the things they are recommending, I don't think there is enough information to endorse all elements of their plan. I understand that I am outside the circle of players in the homeless services community. It may be presumptuous of me to think that I should be heard over the recommendations of staff and other agency providers. However, the fact remains, that over the years, the programs which I started 30 years ago and the things I am doing now are much closer to the hard core street population than most services being provided by others today.

**What I recommend. More Hope Villages.** The fundamental issue in homelessness is the lack of available housing or shelter. Of the existing options the Hope Village model offers the most cost effective way of reaching a large number of people. All the other elements of basic services proposed are included in or could be provided through organized, structured tent villages or similar projects.

*I would make a condition of all grants that the provider show how they will overcome the problem of reaching many of the underserved.* I would look at the flow of services provided in Eugene and other cities and see how they can / should be adapted to reach people in San Jose. There should be a continuum of services not a patch work approach. I would identify the characteristics of some of the harder to reach groups and work to find ways to serve them. I would set aside a portion of the money to be made available for more grassroots groups like Hope Village and encourage staff to work with them to develop cost effective services which with volunteers will reach larger numbers of people.



Finally, it is encouraging that staff seems to be more open to non-traditional homeless services. I think this change needs to be recognized, encouraged and pushed by the City Council. The current situation is a human rights crisis that has no justification for existing in San Jose. Significant steps must be taken to right this wrong.

Item	Description	Amount needed	Monthly Cost per Unit	Monthly Total Cost	One Time Cost per Unit	One Time Total Cost
Individual items per guest						
Tents	4 man 8x8 Eureka Copper Canyon	10			\$200	\$2,000
Tent platforms	2x4's, plywood, screws	10			\$135	\$1,350
Tarps	Over tent platforms	10			\$20	\$200
Carpet	8x8 remnants	10			\$10	\$100
Sleeping Bags		10			\$20	\$200
Locking storage bin	Individual, 1 per tent	10			\$25	\$250
Individual Storage	Individual, not-locking, 2 per tent	20			\$10	\$200
Waste Basket	1.5 Gallon	10			\$3	\$30
Tent Canopy	Better water/weather proofs tent	10			\$150	\$1,500
Back Yard Fence	Approximatley 3x5	10			\$150	\$1,500
Camping chair	Folding, outside tent	10			\$15	\$150
			Guest exp (including tax)		\$808	\$8,191
Common area						
Fence	600 ft (150 ft by 150 ft), with mesh Note: Must pay 6 months at a time	600	\$4.30	\$430		\$0
Fence gates	Up to three gates (two vehicle, one walk thru)					\$0
Waddle	540 feet. \$11.50 for 10 feet.	60			\$12	\$690
Restrooms	Latrine - UN 1 per 20 res, separate m/f . Within 50m (150 ft), no closer than 18ft	2	\$115	\$230		\$0
Self-contained showers		1			\$47,000	\$47,000
Showers		1	\$2,000	\$2,000		\$0
Garbage Cans/service	UN Guideline: 100 litres (26 gallons) per 50 persons. Doesn't state how often it is dumped	1	\$200	\$200		\$0
Water Supply	UN Guideline: 20 litres (5 gal) per person per day					\$0
Water container	60 gallons food grade container	3			\$90	\$270
Trailer	To tow 60 gallon water barrel	1			\$1,000	\$1,000
Lights	Landscape Solar path lights	30		\$0	\$15	\$450
Storage	Locking Structure (shed)	1		\$0	\$400	\$400
Canopy	Free standing canopy (20x10)	2		\$0	\$400	\$800
Canopy platform	Plywood, 2x4's	2		\$0	\$400	\$800
Canopy Carpet	Outdoor carpet	2			\$110	\$220
Canopy Heater		2		\$0	\$100	\$200
Canopy	No wall or platform (20x10)	1			\$297	\$297
Deep Cell Batteries	For solar storage showers, restrooms, common areas and phone charging	6			\$800	\$4,800
Camping Stove		1			\$100	\$100
Storage Cabinet	Closing	1			\$250	\$250
Shelving unit	3 steel shelves	2			\$150	\$300
Sand Bags	Anchor canopies - package of 100	1		\$0	\$39	\$39
Picnic Tables		3		\$0	\$200	\$600
8 ft tables		5		\$0	\$90	\$450
Large Coolers		2		\$0	\$160	\$320
Canopy	Outdoor meeting/smoking (10x10)	1		\$0	\$125	\$125
Office Canopy		1		\$0	\$150	\$150
Bulletin/White board		1			\$37	\$37
Fire Extinguisher		2		\$0	\$50	\$100
Yard Tools	Wheelbarrows, rakes, shovels,	1		\$0	\$150	\$150
Pea Gravel	Driveway, etc. \$58 Cubic Yard	10		\$0	\$58	\$580
Mulch (Bark)	\$72 Cubic Yard	15		\$0	\$72	\$1,080
Garbage Cans	30 gal	2			\$27	\$54
Cleaning Supplies		1			\$25	\$25
Generator		1			\$1,000	\$1,000
Lanterns	For common areas, canopies	4		\$0	\$25	\$100
Bike rack	commerical grade for 9 bikes	1			\$200	\$200
				\$2,860	Total One Time Cost	\$69,867

Item	Description	Amount needed	Monthly Cost per Unit	Monthly Total Cost	One Time Cost per Unit	One Time Total Cost
	Estimates does not include shipping!	10			W/9.5% Tax	\$76,504
					First monthly (6 mos for fence)	\$5,010
					<b>Total Startup</b>	<b>\$81,514</b>

	This is an all inclusive list.
1	Must pay for 6 months fencing up front
2	Does not include gates
3	Water - Supply - Peter?
4	Assume 9.5% tax for goods purchases, not for services like garbage
5	Doesn't include shipping. It may be possible to negotiate better pricing on some of these items, or ask for as donations.
6	Total includes first month for monthly charges, include 6 months of fence.

PLANNING AND COST PROJECTIONS FOR REPLICATING HOPE VILLAGE					
Encampment elements	Original	Replacment period	Replacement Cost		
Per person					
tarp					
Tents					
canopies					
Carpet					
personal					
safe storage					
platform materials					
platform					
outside storage bin					
outside "fenced area"					
setup cordinatio labor					
IndividualSolar lights,					
Solar charging station					
ADDITIONAL AMENITIES					
UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED EACH IS FOR MULTIPLES OF 10 GUESTS					
SMOKING AREA CANOPY					
INDIVIDUAL CHAIRS					
CARD TABLE					
10X20 canopy					
canopy furniture					
misc canopy supplies					
canopy floor covering					
2- picnic tables and benhes					
lighting inside					
lighting outside					
path lighting 20 X\$15					
Garbage serv \$300 / month					
Portable toilet 1 per 10 persons					
mobile shower 2showers per 20					
mobile					
shower					
operating					
supplies,					
support					
general coordination labor					

site dependent issues					
ground preparation					
ground prep materials					
ground prep labor					
Guest Related Services					
Identification					
Oroentation /education					
On site support	varies greatly w/ population				