Notice of Intent

Vani Moodley

Sunday, July 1, 2018 11:37 AM

Кеу	Term	Source
NOI	Notice of Intent	CEQA
MND	Mitigated Negative Declaration	CEQA
TIS	Traffic Impact Study	
LA	Lead Agency	
IS	Initial Study	

Issue	Legal Requirement		Comments	
NOI to adopt MND did not provide the required review period	The Department must mail the NOI to the last kno and address of all organizations and individuals we previously requested such notice in writing and m provide an NOI through at least one of the following procedures to allow the public a 30 calendar day a period : Publication at least one time in a newspaper of ge circulation in the area affected by the proposed pr more than one area is affected, the notice must be in the newspaper of largest circulation from amon newspapers of general circulation in those areas. Posting of notice on and off site in the area where is to be located. Direct mailing to the owners and occupants of com property shown on the latest equalized assessment	ho have ust also ng review neral roject. If e published g the the project	NOI was issued on 4/4/18 and provided for a comment period which began on 4/9/18 and ended on 4/30/18. Thus, the required 30 calendar review period was not provided.	
Content of NOI	Requirement • A brief description of the proposed project and its location. From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> tm>	Met(Y/N) Y		
·	The starting and ending dates for the review period during which the Lead Agency will receive comments on the proposed ND or MND, including starting and ending dates for the review period. From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> <u>tm</u> >	Y, but comment period is too short		
	• The date, time, and place of any scheduled public meetings or hearings to be held by the	N		

	Lead Agency on the proposed project, when known at the time of notice.	
	From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/voi1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> <u>tm</u> >	
	• The address or addresses where copies of the proposed ND or MND and all documents referenced in the proposed ND or MND are available for review. This location or locations must be readily accessible to the public during the lead agency's normal working hours.	
	From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> <u>tm</u> >	
	• A description of how the ND or MND shall be provided in electronic format. (Added by <u>AB 209</u> , Statutes of 2011, which expanded Public Resources Code Section 21092(b)(1) to include this requirement.)	Analog 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> <u>tm</u> >	
	• The presence of the site on any list of hazardous waste facilities, land designated as hazardous waste property, and hazardous waste disposal sites, and the information in the Hazardous Waste and Substances Statement required under Government Code, Section 65962.5 (f).	
	From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> <u>tm</u> >	
	 Other information specifically required by statute or regulation for a particular project or type of project. 	
	From < <u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.h</u> <u>tm</u> >	
OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC HEARING OR PUBLIC HEARING From <http: td="" www.dot.ca.g<=""><td> Public hearings are encouraged, but not required as an elem of the IS/ND process. However, the Project Development Procedures Manual (PDPM) requires a public hearing for any projects that: Require significant right of way Require substantial changes to the layout, or to the function of connecting roadways, or facility being improved, </td><td>5</td></http:>	 Public hearings are encouraged, but not required as an elem of the IS/ND process. However, the Project Development Procedures Manual (PDPM) requires a public hearing for any projects that: Require significant right of way Require substantial changes to the layout, or to the function of connecting roadways, or facility being improved, 	5

<u>ov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35</u> <u>nd/chap35.htm</u> >	 3. Have a significant adverse impact on abutting real property, or 4. Have a significant environmental, economic, social, or other effect. A "Notice of Opportunity" for a public hearing may be used to satisfy the requirement for a hearing if the project is non-controversial and a hearing request is unlikely. This can be determined by analysis of comments received from the public or local agencies or through prior contacts and information meetings. From <<u>http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec5/ch35nd/chap35.htm</u>> 	

n

Transportation/Traffic (Part 1)

Thursday, September 6, 2018 3:09 PM

Traffic Impact Study (TIS) analysis requires consideration of a number of key variables used to project future operations after a proposed project is implemented. Examples of variables include

forecasted trip generation, trip distribution, future traffic conditions, and capacity and performance of roadway improvements. The assumptions made about key variables may affect the implementation of land use and transportation plans, positively or negatively.

When impacts are not accurately projected through the traffic analysis process, the best decisions may not be made. Poor decisions can result in traffic congestion, safety issues, or unnecessary improvements.

Credible and accurate TISs are important for community development and livability.

Organizational issues or conflicts of interest that may or may not affect the analysis outcomes are best discussed during scoping and managed accordingly by the relevant parties in advance of conducting the analysis.

Issue	Ranch Community Response			
The TIS is fundamentally flawed	Details	Details Comments		
	The TIS does not use the <i>Generation</i> edition	latest ITE Trip	The 9th edition is used whereas the latest edition (10th) should have been used	
	The TIS is based on the w	rong land use code	The estimated amount of traffic associated with a proposed development is a critical factor. This estimate is based on the land uses of the development. Where a travel demand model is available, the use of this model should be considered and discussed during the scoping meeting to predict trip generation.	
			When a travel demand model is unavailable, the most commonly accepted data source is ITE's Trip Generation, an informational report of estimated trip generation by land use codes. Exhibit 5 identifies the elements of a sample Trip Generation page.	
			 A trip generation prediction should be developed using the following sequential process: Land Use Code Selection: Because there are more than 150 land use categories in Trip Generation, the appropriate code must be identified. In many cases, there is more than one potential applicable code. Independent Variable Selection: There is more than one independent variable for many of the land use codes, so a decision must be made about the appropriate variable. Independent Variable Application: For most land use codes, an average rate or fitted curve can be used. 	
	The TIS is based on proje provided by the project a	•	There is a conflict of interest here because the project applicant would be foolish to provide anything other than project site activity that would minimize the impact of traffic.	
			Moreover, the ITS trip generation method is utilized trip yields trip rates that are based on a Land Use Code and a site square footage. Project site activity metrics provided by the project applicant should not be used.	
	The TIS is based on proje assisted living facility wh is for a convalescent hos	ereas the actual use	Traffic would be higher for a convalescent hospital than for an assisted living facility because of greater visits for friends and family, generally convalescent hospitals have more staff and require more service providers	
The TIS is focused on automotive	Concerns	Comments		
traffic only and fails to consider bicycle and pedestrian access	Are pedestrian and bicycle needs safely accommodated? • Will the proposed development maintain	To determine adverse effects on pedestrian and bicycle facilities, the following c should be evaluated: • Road width in • Road design		

or improve safety for	 Acceptable grade
pedestrians and	 Alignment where sidewalk crosses driveway
bicyclists?	 Driveway widths
 Will the proposed 	 Connection of street sidewalk and parking areas to building entrances
development's access	 Connections between adjacent developments/uses
points increase potential	 Access to adjacent and nearby pedestrian and bicycle facilities
conflicts with	Traffic speed
pedestrians	 Traffic control operation and timing favorable to safe pedestrian crossing
and bicycles?	 Whether right-turns-on-red should be prohibited to protect bikes and pedestrians
 Will site-generated 	Other items: sight lines, lighting, pavement condition, signing, curb extensions and
traffic adversely affect	pedestrian refuge medians
pedestrians and	
bicycles?	
 Will site-generated 	At a minimum, the TIS should indicate that the proposed project will maintain or
traffic adversely affect	improve existing conditions for pedestrians and bicyclists. The TIS should identify any
existing and planned	existing and planned bicycle or pedestrian facilities that are in the project area and
pedestrian and bicycle	identify facilities that would be modified or adversely affected by the proposed
facilities?	development.
 How will proposed 	
mitigation affect	An adverse pedestrian or bicycle effect would occur if the project were to result in
pedestrians and	unsafe conditions for pedestrians, including unsafe increases in pedestrian and bicycle
bicyclists?	or bicycle and motor vehicle conflicts. The TIS should document all analysis of bicycle
	and pedestrian needs, including adverse effect and proposed mitigation. Consultation
	with ODOT and other relevant parties during TIS preparation will be useful in assessing
	adverse effect.
	Other relevant parties could include the local school district, local bicycle or pedestrial
	coordinator, local transportation planner, or bicycle and pedestrian committees.

Transportation/Traffic (Part 2)

Thursday, September 6, 2018 4:03 PM

Issue	Ranch Community Response			
The TIS is outdated.	Details	Comments		
	The TIS is dated April 22, 2015 and indicates that it was revised in March 2018	The TIS fails to indicate the nature of the revision. The latest version of the <i>ITE Trip General Manual (10 ed.)</i> was released in September 2017. Since the TiS is based on the <i>ITE Trip Generation Manual</i> any revision should include the use of the 10th ed trip rated But, this was not done.		
The TIS does take into account	Details	Comments		
seasonal variations in traffic use.	Variations in traffic use and seasonal effects should be taken into account when compiling traffic volumes from manual counts. Seasonal factors developed from permanent counters called automatic traffic recorders (ATRs), ATR characteristic tables, or seasonal trend tables should be applied to manual traffic counts to more accurately reflect traffic conditions.	The TIS is based on field observations made in March 2015. Given that we are in September 2018, this data is woefully out of date. Moreover, the data appears to have been collected with a single 24 hour period. Thus, the data does not consider variations due to seasons and due to changes in the school calendar. Finally, observations were of vehicular traffic only and ignores pedestrian and bicycle traffic. An important point to consider is the Hassler Pky and Dove Rd. are heavily used by cyclists. Hassler Pky in particular is preferred by cyclists because it is almost the only hill route in the San Jose Area and therefore give competitive cyclists much needed practice in hill climbing. Because access to Hassler Pky is via Dove Rd, the latter also sees significant bicycle traffic. Since Dove Rd. lacks both a shoulder and a bicycle lane there is already a risk of injury to cyclists through collisions with vehicular traffic which will no doubt be exacerbated if the project is approved.		
The TIS uses incorrect data	Details	Comments		
	The project will have 522 Employees. 271 Full-Time Employees and 251 Part Time Employees. Total Number of hours per week is 14,592.	The TIS is based on a peak of 55 employees		

Aesthetics

Thursday, September 6, 2018 12:01 PM

lssue	Ranch Community Response				
The project would have a substantial	Relevant Policy	Excerpt from IS	Comments		
adverse effect on a scenic vista.	CD-10.3 Require that development visible from freeways (including US 101) be designed to <u>preserve</u> <u>and enhance</u> <u>attractive natural and</u> <u>man-made vistas.</u>	Housing, roadway infrastructure, and facilities characteristic of a suburban setting are present in the surrounding project area; however, the immediate project site is <u>visually defined by</u> <u>undeveloped hillsides and open</u> <u>space</u> . The overall character and quality of the project area can be described as rural-suburban.	If the IS admits that the project site is visually defined by undeveloped hillsides and open space		
·	LU-17 seeks to preserve the valuable natural resources of the hillsides and protect their aesthetic and habitat amenities to enhance the rural character of these areas.	While the project would modify views of the hillside , the new buildings would have a maximum height of 65 feet, and the upper portions of the 230 foot tall undeveloped hillside (located behind the development footprint) would continue to be visible from both the park and from vehicles passing by the site on US 101.	The LA has admitted that the project would modif the views of the hillside. The project does not protect the aesthetic and habitat amenities and does not enhance the rural character of the hillside. The Lead Agency is asked to recognize the hillside question is one of the few, if not the only grassy hillsides immediately adjacent to 101 and provides a unique rustic and charming vista. Any development on said hillside would destroy the scenic vista.		
	LU-17.4 lists guidelines for development in hillside and rural residential areas in order to preserve and enhance the scenic and aesthetic qualities of the	The project would obscure or modify views of the lower hillside from public vantage points including views from US 101 and Hellyer County Park; as described previously, however, an existing soundwall would block views of the lower floors of the project. The	Hellyer County Park Golf nearby. Sirens, traffic, Coyote Creek Trail Aesthetic qualities are more than just visual. They include sound. A CH would have constant traffic including emergency vehicles with blaring sirens		
·	natural terrain and states that, "dwelling unit sites should take advantage of scenic views but should be located below hilltops	The quality of views from Hellyer County Park would be generally similar to existing conditions, with the development footprint occurring within the existing developed footprint of the site, surrounded by			
	to protect the aesthetics and ridgeline silhouette viewed from below, from public places, and from the valley floor."	18 acres of undeveloped hillside open space, and the buildings occupying only the bottom of the slope, below the ridgeline.	·· · · · · · · · · ·		
anna a' a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
The project would substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	Relevant Policy	Excerpt from IS	Comments That this is indeed the case		
The project would create a new source of substantial light or glare which will adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	Relevant Policy	Excerpt from IS	Comments A project of this magnitude will have substantial lighting		
Light and Glare Impacts					

Air Quality

Thursday, September 6, 2018 1:35 PM

ssue	Ranch Community Response			
The mitigations proposed to	Excerpt from IS	Comments		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		an baran da da a da da da ana aka da		

Agricultural and Forestry Resources

Thursday, September 6, 2018 12:14 PM

Issue	Ranch Community Response			
The project would conflict with	Excerpt from IS Comments			
existing zoning for agricultural use	The project site is surrounded by rural/suburban development and is occupied by single-family residences or is undeveloped open space; therefore, its development would not	The is factually wrong.		
	The project site has a Public/Quasi-Public General Plan designation and is planned for suburban uses. The site is zoned Agriculture (A) on the City's Zoning Map. While the site was once occupied by an orchard, it site is not used for cultivation of crops. The is currently grazed by horses and livestock, a practice which would continue following implementation of the proposed project. The site is not used for forest or timberland purposes. With approval of the proposed rezoning of three acres of the site from Agriculture (A) to Planned Development (PD), the project would The project site is not part of a Williamson Act contract and is designated as Grazing Land in the Santa Clara County Important Farmland, the potential loss of which is not	This is a conclusory statement. What studies support this conclusion? The admitted loss of grazing land will be an actual loss and not merely a potential one. On the contrary we can assert that the loss is a significant one. Surely mere assertion of a conclusion cannot be the standard envisaged by CEQA. The point that the LA has failed to substantiate the bald allegation that the loss is not considered significant and is thereby making a mockery of Moreover, the loss of grazing land is a significant issue because the project has not been demonstrated to fill a need. More particularly, the project is not supported by any data showing a need for a convalescent home in the area. Such being the case, why valuable grazing land and an esthetically pleasing hillside vista to allow the construction of a facility in respect of which there is no data showing a need. In this regard usage data		