



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
August 17-August 23

DATE: August 31, 2018

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

NONE

Letters from the Public

1. Letter dated August 20, 2018 from Blair Beekman regarding To clarify a few items, at the beginning, of the 2018 fall session, of San Jose city govt.
2. Letter dated August 8, 2018 from AT&T regarding AT&T Mobility Site — 2981 Lone Bluff Way.
3. Letter dated August 8, 2018 from AT&T regarding Mobility Site — 1291 Oakglen Way.
4. Letter dated August 15, 2018 from CPUC regarding PG&E rate increase.
5. Letter dated August 23, 2018 from Blair Beekman regarding A fall study session, on homelessness.

TJT/tt

Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

Bob Tom

Re: a letter from Blair Beekman. Monday August 20, 2018. _____ To clarify a few items, at the beginning, of the 2018 fall session, of San Jose city govt.

Dear city government of San Jose,

So you can trust, where I am currently at, and where I will be working from tomorrow, at the city council meeting, Tues. Aug. 21.

I wanted to send this letter to yourselves, today. To try to clear up, a few items, that were a little confused, last week.

I will try to use these words, below, if I speak on these items, tomorrow, during Consent Calendar, and public comment periods.

Please write back, if this can work for you. Or if you feel, my words and ideas, may need some help, or a bit, inaccurate.

To speak about, the LOCC,

And, to offer something, of the formal introduction, I originally meant to offer, with item G1b6, in RaOG last week -

Since the city of San Jose, works often with the LOCC, on the issues of street pavement and concrete,

I feel it is fair, that if you bring up the LOCC, as a city council, public item -

I can then talk about, in a city council, public meeting process, your bond measure, recently approved for the fall election process.

As one of these bond measures, has a focus on repaving city streets.

To also mention,

I would like to address, the ideas of this bond measure, during open forums, in the near future.

Can you learn to balance, difficult, not quite thought out ideas, of this bond, into a more simple, understanding narrative,

I want to learn, how to address this issue publicly, during an open forum,

It is my hope, in a friendly, and conversational way, a difficult, awkward subject, can be talked about, in simpler, manageable terms.

I am guessing, within its first few years, as explained in city council meetings,

a lot of upfront money, from bond returns, will go to Civic Innovation, and other city tech. programs, that have grown uncomfortably, over-budget, in the last few years.

This is some heavy, early guesswork and connections. How do you now want to talk about this ?

Can I ask for some help, and can someone write back, so I can better understand, this bond issue.

Can someone from local govt., write back to describe, if my words are accurate ?

And to describe, what emergency services and plans will begin, in the first few years, if a bond measure passes.

To continue to describe the work, of learning better accuracy, at this time.

I also want to apologize, for our confusion, during last weeks public comment period, around the Airport IoT program.

During my 3 years, of working with tech. accountability issues, to have a consistent, city govt. memo process, has been very important to myself.

I did not realize, your city govt., has possibly started, a consistent pattern of accountability, to describe tech. for projects, by using a CEQA process.

I feel, certain clues, in your memo process, has been varied, inconsistent, and increasingly non-existent, over the last few years.

Using your current ideas, of a CEQA process, within city memo's, to describe possible, tech. in city govt. projects, can not only offer,

can not only offer, something of a public process, if you know how to look.

But I am understanding, it can literally set up, a good standard, in how local govt. can talk about certain issues within projects, in much needed, legal terms.

These are the sort of safety, and trust issues, govt. needs.

To have a secure organized place to work from, a good process can begin, in how to better develop ideas of accountability, with the both, the public and local govt.

This actually can be a beginning, structured, organized, legal language, is actually something, of what I have been hoping for, from yourselves.

I hope you will continue, to use these sorts of ideas and thinking.

This can be a part of, a natural progression, I am working towards.

And, among other things, it can work toward a future, so we don't have to have, the awkward, confused moments, like what happened last week, during the public comment process.

Councilperson Khamis, offered a possible reprimand, for some of my thinking, in my speech of last week. I am clearly understanding what this reprimand, may have meant.

It may not be, the most transparent of ways to work, at this time. But it may be some very important beginnings.

Consistency, and good organizational, and legal models, are important.

It is from this place, we can all feel safe, to make the steps, toward an idealism and future, most can be very happy with.

I am sorry, for whatever is my own lack of understandings, around this issue.

I hope my current words, and sunshine, on this issue, will not scare away, what you may be trying to establish, at this time.

I hope it can add to the steps, of what you may already be working on - an overall, more open, accountable, local process.

I am hoping to work out, what had become an honest confusion, between myself, and councilperson Khamis, about how to talk about, the Airport IoT program, in public.

Good luck, in the continuing steps, of what can be peace, sustainability, and good ideas, in local democracy.

The ideas of making, a future democracy, easier, more open, and more accessible, for everyone, takes a lot of hard work.

sincerely,
blair beekman



AT&T
2600 Camino Ramon
4W850L
San Ramon, CA 94583

8/8/2018

VIA EMAIL

Ms. Anna Hom
CONSUMER PROTECTION & SAFETY DIVISION
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: AT&T Mobility Site - 10101852 – CCL03704 – Coyote Creek Park – 2981 LONE
BLUFF WAY, SAN JOSE, California 95121**

This is to provide the Commission with notice to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") that:

(a) AT&T Mobility has obtained all site land use approval(s) for the modification of the project listed above described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local governmental agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any information contained herein, please contact me at ellenmagnie@caldwellcompliance.com or 925-918-5182.

Sincerely,

Attachment

cc: City Planning Director
City Clerk
City Manager

City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113



ATTACHMENT A

- 1-9 Project Location:** Modification
- Site Identification Number: CCL03704
- Project Number: 3701A0BAF2
- Site Name: Coyote Creek Park
- Site Address: 2981 LONE BLUFF WAY, SAN JOSE, California 95121
- County: SANTA CLARA
- Assessor's Parcel Number: 497-48-003
- Latitude: 37-18-01.2
- Longitude: 121-50-13.2
- 10-14 Project Description:**
- Number of Antennae to be installed: 6 antennas total approved at
57' in height
- Tower Design: MONOPOLE
- Tower Appearance: MONOPOLE
- Tower Height:
- A) Structure Height 60
- B) Top of antenna Height 57'
- Building Size(s): N/A
- 15 Business addresses of all Governmental Agencies (from permit)**
- City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113
(408) 535-3555
- 16 Land Use Approval:** : AT&T cell site is proposing to replace (6) antennas on the existing cell tower. Also, install (3) RRU 4478 B14's, (3) RRU-12's, (1) Surge Suppressor, (1) Fiber line, & (2) power cables. On the ground, AT&T is proposing (3) RRU-E2's on a new H-Frame. Also, replacing the existing batteries w/ (3) strings of 180ah batteries.
- 17 If Land Use approval was *not* required:** N/A



AT&T
2600 Camino Ramon
4W850L
San Ramon, CA 94583

8/8/2018

VIA EMAIL

Ms. Anna Hom
CONSUMER PROTECTION & SAFETY DIVISION
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

**RE: AT&T Mobility Site - 10067812 – CCL03852 – Meridian PG&E Tower – 1291
OAKGLEN WAY, SAN JOSE, California 95120**

This is to provide the Commission with notice to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") that:

(a) AT&T Mobility has obtained all site land use approval(s) for the modification of the project listed above described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local governmental agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any information contained herein, please contact me at ellenmagnie@caldwellcompliance.com or 925-918-5182.

Sincerely,

Attachment

cc: City Planning Director
City Clerk
City Manager

City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113



ATTACHMENT A

- 1-9 Project Location:** Modification
- Site Identification Number: CCL03852
- Project Number: 3701A0BLBN
- Site Name: Meridian PG&E Tower
- Site Address: 1291 OAKGLEN WAY, SAN JOSE, California 95120
- County: SANTA CLARA
- Assessor's Parcel Number: 577-04-018
- Latitude: 37-13-56.6
- Longitude: 121-53-00.1
- 10-14 Project Description:**
- Number of Antennae to be installed: 9 antennas total approved at
109 in height
- Tower Design: UTILITY STRUCTURE
- Tower Appearance: UTILITY STRUCTURE
- Tower Height:
- A) Structure Height 114
- B) Top of antenna Height 109
- Building Size(s): N/A
- 15 Business addresses of all Governmental Agencies (from permit)**
- City of San Jose
200 E. Santa Clara St., San Jose, California 95113
(408) 535-3555
- 16 Land Use Approval:** Remove and replace nine antennas, remove and replace three radios, and add three RRUs.
- 17 If Land Use approval was *not* required:** N/A

August 15, 2018

PUBLIC RECORD 4

TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO INCREASE RATES FOR ELECTRIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS IN SCHOOLS AND STATE PARKS (A.18-07-020)

Summary

On July 30, 2018, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) filed its Electric Transportation application for schools and state parks with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The application requests an increase in rates of \$7.4 million for the following electric vehicle pilot programs:

- **Schools:** Installation of electric vehicle charging stations in specific schools in Alameda, Fresno, and San Joaquin counties. Along with charging stations and related utility infrastructure, PG&E will host educational events and provide information to increase awareness and knowledge of clean transportation.
- **State Parks:** Installation of electric vehicle charging stations and related utility infrastructure at select California state parks for use by both state park fleet vehicles and park visitors.

Background

PG&E's application will support California's goal of increasing the number of electric vehicle charging stations and will help promote the adoption of electric vehicles across the state. Schools and parks are both highly visible locations where people come to learn and observe. Installing electric vehicle charging stations at these locations not only provides easy access to students, employees and the public, but also creates a platform to educate the public on how the use of electric vehicles can benefit California.

How will PG&E's application affect me?

Many customers receive bundled electric service from PG&E, meaning they receive electric generation, transmission and distribution services. Based on rates currently in effect, the bill for a typical residential bundled non-CARE customer using 500 kWh per month would increase \$111.59 to \$111.61, or 0.02 percent.

Actual impacts will vary depending on energy usage.

How will PG&E's application affect customers who buy electricity from a third party?

Direct Access and Community Choice Aggregation customers only receive electric transmission and distribution services from PG&E. On average, these customers will see an increase of 0.02 percent.

Departing Load customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E. However, they are required to pay certain charges as required by law or CPUC decision. These customers will not be impacted by this application.

How do I find out more about PG&E's proposals?

If you have questions about PG&E's filing, please contact PG&E at **1-800-743-5000**. For TTY, call **1-800-652-4712**. Para más detalles llame al **1-800-660-6789** • 詳情請致電 **1-800-693-9555**. If you would like a copy of PG&E's filing and exhibits, please write to PG&E at the address below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Electric Transportation Schools and State Parks Application (A.18-07-020)
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120

A copy of PG&E's filing and exhibits is also available for review at the CPUC's Central Files office by appointment only. For more information, contact aljcentralfilesid@cpuc.ca.gov or **1-415-703-2045**. PG&E's application (without exhibits) is available on the CPUC's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

CPUC process

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary

bob tom

a letter from Blair Beekman. Thursday August 23, 2018. _____ A fall study session, on homelessness.

Dear city govt. of San Jose,

I hope the city of San Jose study session, on housing & homelessness, can still take place, this fall.

We have been talking about homeless issues, in San Jose, and throughout the rest of the country,

with the same political patterns, and economic models, for the past several years now,

This has been a lot of time, for local govt., and all parts of this community, to better understand each others ideas and needs.

There was a Caltrans public meeting, at the city hall rotunda, in late July 2018. In attendance, were the more progressive south bay, city and state officials.

I feel this can organize, a beginning, realistic assessment, and a good practicality, to begin to address issues, now several years old.

If we can keep our egos in check, at this time, I feel it is possible, all sides can work together.

At this meeting, I was impressed, that it was mentioned, the Valley water district, has a commission to address homeless issues.

I also grew hopeful, that with the help of Caltrans, ideas of permanent storage facilities, for homeless items after a sweep, may now be more possible in San Jose, if not already happening.

And that, mid level, govt. employees, may have been impressed, with good, new examples, given to them, at the meeting.

A woman, during the public comment portion of the meeting, also offered, a very good idea.

The city of San Jose, Caltrans, and the Silicon Valley water district, can work towards and create, a beginning, MOU process together.

This can be, a sort of guideline process, to develop, open, good standards, in how to address homeless issues, for the next few years.

I hope a study session, on housing and homelessness this fall, by the city of San Jose, is still possible.

As this can create, the always very needed ideas, of good organization and good faith, within San Jose.

It is my hope, this can expand, to a bit more comprehensive, study session process, between the city of San Jose, Caltrans, and the Valley water district.

From this, a good MOU process, or something of a guideline process, can develop on homeless issues, for the next few years, here in the south bay.

To note, the County commission on women's studies, can serve as a good working example, of what a study session process, can be about.

Good ideas and guidelines, in civil rights and human rights, can help make clear, good standards, in how to work together, for the next few years,

for not only the homeless community, but for local govt., and for the people, with homes & housing, and who may live around, homeless encampments.

After several years, of the same patterns, to address homelessness, I feel most of the community, can understand each other, and can be prepared, to make important changes at this time.

I hope city employees within city govt. of San Jose, can trust each other their sides, and want to work together, on these issues, as well.

If a study session, can be based, with good parameters, and only small expectations, this will not use too much time, energy, or political capital.

And from this, a good community spirit, and a sense of unity and purpose, from all sides, can do most of the work.

sincerely,
blair beekman

Si se puede ?

Con Trau,

Si se puede

Dong Song.

If you can,

Which can roughly translate to,

Yes you can.

everybody is welcome, to sit along cow river.