

Public Safety, Finance, and Strategic Support Committee

San José Police Department Operations & Performance Bi-Monthly Status Report

June 21, 2018
Item: (d) 1



Bi-Monthly Status Report Operations and Performance

- 1. Citywide Crime Statistics**
- 2. Crime Trends - Robbery Report Data**
- 3. Emerging Issues - Command Review of Use Of Force**
- 4. Other Matters of Interest - AB 953 Implementation**
- 5. Questions**



Citywide Crime Statistics



UCR Crime Statistics

Reported Part I Crimes

Jan–Apr 2017 vs Jan–Apr 2018

OFFENSES	2018 Jan-Apr	2017 Jan-Apr	% Change
Homicide	7	9	
Rape	160	192	-16.7%
Robbery	517	407	27.0%
Aggravated Assault	674	619	8.9%
<i>total Violent Crimes</i>	<i>1,358</i>	<i>1,227</i>	<i>10.7%</i>

Burglary	1,491	1,437	3.8%
Larceny	4,327	4,492	-3.7%
Vehicle Theft	2,974	3,027	-1.8%
<i>total Property Crimes</i>	<i>8,792</i>	<i>8,956</i>	<i>-1.8%</i>

GRAND TOTAL:	10,150	10,183	-0.3%
---------------------	---------------	---------------	--------------

Percentages not calculated for numbers 10 or less



Crime Trends

Robberies

1/1/13-12/31/17

Robbery (211 PC) is the felonious taking of personal property in the possession of another, from his person or immediate presence, and against his will, accomplished by means of force or fear.



Robbery Incidents 2013-2017

OFFENSE TYPE	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
HOMICIDE	3	0	2	3	2	10
RAPE	3	4	6	5	7	25
ARMED ROBBERY	440	438	447	490	560	2375
STRONG-ARM ROBBERY	495	531	507	530	615	2678
CARJACKING	62	47	79	86	113	387
HOME INVASION	25	18	16	8	12	79
PURSE SNATCH	77	44	97	107	86	411
TOTAL	1105	1082	1154	1229	1395	5965



Time of Day/Day of Week – All Robbery Incidents

Sun	Total	Mon	Total	Tue	Total	Wed	Total	Thu	Total	Fri	Total	Sat	Total
00	53	00	35	00	29	00	33	00	42	00	39	00	52
01	63	01	36	01	32	01	29	01	33	01	32	01	65
02	49	02	26	02	27	02	18	02	24	02	29	02	47
03	45	03	19	03	13	03	19	03	17	03	13	03	38
04	26	04	15	04	16	04	17	04	15	04	15	04	19
05	13	05	16	05	17	05	10	05	16	05	12	05	19
06	20	06	4	06	14	06	9	06	12	06	9	06	11
07	11	07	12	07	10	07	9	07	13	07	16	07	8
08	10	08	14	08	21	08	16	08	10	08	10	08	9
09	9	09	27	09	12	09	21	09	26	09	19	09	17
10	10	10	26	10	22	10	37	10	27	10	30	10	16
11	20	11	29	11	25	11	26	11	38	11	34	11	21
12	25	12	38	12	27	12	27	12	38	12	36	12	32
13	29	13	35	13	42	13	35	13	42	13	32	13	42
14	27	14	48	14	43	14	45	14	47	14	42	14	31
15	36	15	43	15	27	15	47	15	51	15	44	15	41
16	31	16	35	16	48	16	40	16	52	16	50	16	40
17	59	17	50	17	44	17	59	17	43	17	52	17	41
18	40	18	59	18	38	18	43	18	51	18	48	18	52
19	51	19	48	19	56	19	64	19	43	19	54	19	46
20	56	20	70	20	70	20	64	20	58	20	65	20	58
21	54	21	59	21	48	21	72	21	55	21	65	21	71
22	56	22	55	22	52	22	52	22	61	22	63	22	43
23	52	23	49	23	66	23	50	23	48	23	79	23	62
Sun Total	845	Mon Total	848	Tue Total	799	Wed Total	842	Thu Total	862	Fri Total	888	Sat Total	881



Location Types for Robberies

LOCATION TYPE	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
STREET/ROAD/HIGHWAY/ALLEY	395	388	390	453	422	2048
PARKING LOT/GARAGE	144	132	186	201	265	928
RESIDENCE/HOME	108	101	85	100	90	484
DEPARTMENT/DISCOUNT STORE	61	69	93	101	118	442
CONVENIENCE STORE	51	75	70	79	139	414
GROCERY/SUPERMARKET	43	58	64	56	63	284
PARK/OTHER/UNKNOWN	62	53	45	52	55	267
LIQUOR STORE	35	40	33	31	34	173
RESTAURANT	25	32	34	26	46	163
SPECIALTY STORE/MJ DISPENSARY	30	23	39	31	20	143
SERVICE/GAS STATION	26	16	22	20	38	122
BANK/SAVINGS AND LOAN	27	21	14	11	14	87
COMMERCIAL/OFFICE BUILDING	17	11	15	16	18	77
HOTEL/MOTEL/Etc.	15	17	17	15	10	74
DRUG STORE/Dr.'s OFFICE/HOSPITAL	15	9	14	11	24	73
SCHOOL/COLLEGE	19	14	11	12	13	69
AIR/BUS/TRAIN TERMINAL	19	13	8	3	11	54
BAR/NIGHT CLUB	3	3	6	5	9	26
FIELD/WOODS	3	4	4	5	1	17
GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC BUILDING	5	1	1	0	4	11
CHURCH/SYNAGOGUE/TEMPLE	0	2	2	1	1	6
LAKE/WATERWAY	1	0	1	0	0	2
RENTAL STORAGE FACILITY	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1105	1082	1154	1229	1395	5965



Top Robbery Locations 2013-2017

- 5,965 robbery incidents
- 3,493 unique locations
 - 881 locations (25%) had two or more robbery incidents.


Table: Locations with 15 or more robbery incidents

IN THE AREA	LOCATION	TOTAL
WALMART	777 STORY RD	62
EASTRIDGE MALL	2200 EASTRIDGE LP	46
VALLEY FAIR MALL	2855 STEVENS CREEK BL	39
OAKRIDGE MALL	925 BLOSSOM HILL RD	38
SAFEWAY	100 S 2ND ST	32
SAFEWAY	E SANTA CLARA ST / S 1ST ST	27
7-11 STORE	1300 W SAN CARLOS ST	26
SAFEWAY	3110 SENTER RD	22
HOME DEPOT	2181 MONTEREY RD	21
INDEPENDENCE HIGH SCHOOL	1776 EDUCATIONAL PARK DR	21
TARGET	450 N CAPITOL AV	21
DOWNTOWN - HIGH TRAFFIC AREA	N 2ND ST / E SANTA CLARA ST	20
WALMART (CLOSED)	5502 MONTEREY RD	20
TARGET	5630 COTTLE RD	19
SHOPPING CENTER	STORY RD / S KING RD	18
TARGET	3155 SILVER CREEK RD	18
DOWNTOWN - HIGH TRAFFIC AREA	FOUNTAIN AL / S 2ND ST	17
7-11 STORE	3861 SEVEN TREES BL	16
CVS PHARMACY	3220 S WHITE RD	16
HOME DEPOT	2855 STORY RD	15



Weapons Used During Robberies


WEAPON	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
PERSONAL WEAPONS	488	497	524	593	612	2714
FIREARM	297	285	306	287	388	1563
KNIFE/CUTTING INSTRUMENT	146	137	141	162	183	769
NONE	77	78	77	62	90	384
OTHER	52	40	60	79	68	299
BLUNT OBJECT	36	37	41	40	44	198
MOTOR VEHICLE	7	7	3	5	7	29
UNKNOWN	2	1	0	0	0	3
ASPHYXIATION	0	0	0	0	2	2
PEPPER SPRAY	0	0	1	0	1	2
CHEMICAL PRODUCT	0	0	0	1	0	1
EXPLOSIVE	0	0	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	1105	1082	1154	1229	1395	5965




WEAPON	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
HANDGUN	252	230	251	200	304	1237
FIREARM (TYPE NOT STATED)	31	28	30	49	56	194
OTHER FIREARM	9	5	6	14	10	44
HANDGUN-FULL AUTO	3	9	5	7	8	32
SHOTGUN	1	9	7	4	3	24
RIFLE	0	1	5	11	4	21
FIREARM-FULL AUTO (TYPE NOT STATED)	1	2	2	1	2	8
OTHER FIREARM-FULL AUTO	0	1	0	1	0	2
RIFLE-FULL AUTO	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	297	285	306	287	388	1563



Victim Gender Breakdown




Robbery Victims Race Breakdown



Age Breakdown of Victims

ADULT VS. JUVENILE VICTIMS



AGE	TOTAL
0	2
1	1
2	0
3	1
4	0
5	1
6	2
7	1
8	3
9	5
10	6
11	14
12	26
13	50
14	85
15	97
16	138
17	168
18	220
19	196
20	231
21	240
22	254
23	222
24	233
25	214
26	223
27	188
28	145
29	155
30	141
31	153
32	153
33	151
34	125
35	133
36	97
37	103
38	82
39	107
40	90
41	109
42	107


AGE	TOTAL
43	98
44	90
45	80
46	106
47	90
48	93
49	87
50	108
51	83
52	106
53	79
54	91
55	69
56	57
57	62
58	51
59	61
60	51
61	41
62	46
63	48
64	40
65	40
66	40
67	49
68	27
69	21
70	20
71	24
72	13
73	15
74	15
75	13
76	6
77	12
78	11
79	5
80	4
81	4
82	8
83	4
84	1
85	7

AGE	TOTAL
86	4
87	2
88	0
89	0
90	2
91	0
92	1
UNK	49
TOTAL	6706



Robbery Victimology

Single vs. Multiple Victims




- Suspects targeted single victims in 84% of robbery incidents. Sixteen percent of incidents involved multiple victims.

Frequent Victims

- 317 individuals listed as robbery victim more than once.
 - 40 individuals victimized 4+ times during the time frame, 39 are Loss Prevention Agents, Security, or store clerks/other employees at targeted business.
 - One store owner had been robbed 4 times since 2013. He was killed in August 2017, during the commission of the 5th incident, which occurred just two months after the prior robbery.



Victim Injuries




Minor injuries include: pain, redness, swelling, cuts or lacerations that do not require extensive suturing, bruises, welts, etc.


Major injuries include: loss of teeth, fractures, lacerations that require extensive suturing, internal injuries, loss of consciousness, death.



Offender Gender Breakdown



Robbery Offenders Race Breakdown




(17)



Age Breakdown of Robbery Suspects

ADULT VS. JUVENILE ROBBERY OFFENDERS




AGE	TOTAL
0	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	6
12	15
13	36
14	93
15	145
16	194
17	281
18	252
19	161
20	156
21	216
22	150
23	113
24	130
25	91
26	89
27	73
28	74
29	80
30	60
31	72
32	61
33	53

AGE	TOTAL
34	54
35	41
36	63
37	29
38	29
39	37
40	26
41	31
42	15
43	27
44	17
45	35
46	20
47	22
48	21
49	18
50	13
51	18
52	21
53	12
54	22
55	10
56	8
57	11
58	4
59	5
60	7
61	2
62	4
63	1
64	2
65	2
UIP	6902
Total	10130




Additional Suspect Information

Offenders - Unidentified vs. Identified



NUMBER OF INCIDENTS
MULTIPLE SUSPECTS VS. SINGLE SUSPECT



Emerging Issues: Command Review of Use Of Force



Use of Force - Overview

In 2017:

- SJPd responded to 502,229 calls for service
- Officers used force 629 times (= 0.13% of all calls)
- 33 Use of force complaints were filed (=5.2% of UOF)
- SJPd implemented Command Review on Oct. 18, 2017
 - Certain Use Of Force incidents are reviewed by the chain of command through the Assistant Chief or Chief.
 - In conjunction with Body Worn Cameras, Internal Affairs process, and Force Analysis System
 - Goals: Ensure officers are justified in using force and are using appropriate level of force. Take actions to hold officers accountable when use of force is not appropriate.



Categories of Force

<u>CATEGORY (I) USE OF FORCE</u>	
1.	<u>Any use of force not listed in Categories II, III, or IV, that causes a minor injury or a complaint of pain</u>
<u>CATEGORY (II) USE OF FORCE</u>	
1.	<u>TASER Deployments (probe & drive stun)</u>
2.	<u>Impact weapons (not to the head)</u>
3.	<u>OC Spray</u>
4.	<u>Projectile impact weapons (where up to 4 rounds strike the suspect)</u>
<u>CATEGORY (III) USE OF FORCE</u>	
1.	<u>Impact weapon or Projectile Impact Weapon strikes to the head (intentional and accidental)</u>
2.	<u>Projectile impact weapon (where more than 4 rounds strike the suspect)</u>
3.	<u>Kicks to the head</u>
4.	<u>Two or more officers deploy less-than-lethal force (O.C., Projectile Impact Weapons, or TASER) on one suspect</u>
5.	<u>Four or more officers use reportable force on one suspect</u>
6.	<u>Force resulting in bone fracture</u>
7.	<u>Canine apprehension (dog bite)</u>
8.	<u>Carotid restraint applied</u>
9.	<u>Force resulting in suspect's loss of consciousness</u>
10.	<u>Hospital admission as a direct result of the force.</u>
<u>CATEGORY (IV) USE OF FORCE</u>	
1.	<u>Deadly force – That force which the user knows would pose a substantial risk of death or serious bodily injury</u>



Use of Force Review Process


Sergeant responds to all UOF (Category I-IV)

- Ensures canvas, photographs, interviews, evidence collected, injuries treated
- Writes supplemental police report documenting actions/observations



Lieutenant responds to the scene of Category II-IV

- Writes supplemental police report documenting actions/observations



Category II (when appropriate) & Category III proceed to Command Review.

For Category IV, staff follows the SCC Officer Involved Incident Guidelines (Homicide Unit, DA Office, IA, IPA, City Attorney).



Category II & III Review

Category II
(when appropriate)
&
Category III
(all)

Within 7 Days:
Sgt. submits
documentation up
chain of command
for Command
Review

Within 14 Days (or as
otherwise directed by
Chief):
Lt. submits Command
Review memorandum
with Sgt's packet up
chain of command
documenting findings.
Was Use of Force
within policy?

**If force is
deemed
inappropriate,
a Department
Initiated
Investigation
(DII) is
initiated and
Internal Affairs
investigates
the incident.**



Other Matters of Interest: AB 953 – The Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015





SJPD-21st Century Policing



Research-based Transparency

- University of Texas El Paso Study
- Force Analysis Study & Dashboards

Training

- Fair and Impartial Policing Training
- Procedural Justice Training
- Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Training
- De-Escalation Training

Equipment

- Body Worn Cameras

Outreach and Community Input

- Coffee with a Cop
- TEAM Kids
- Chief's Community Advisory Board (CAB)
- LGBTQ Committee
- Improving SJPD.ORG Website
- Facebook
- Twitter



Statewide Efforts: AB 953

The Racial and Identity Profiling Act of 2015 (AB 953)

- Became law January 1, 2016, implementation 2018-2022
- Requires collection of data regarding law enforcement stops
ALL California law enforcement agencies must collect and report to the California Attorney General detailed data regarding all stops, which AB 953 defines as a detention or search, and includes a consensual search.
- Created the State Racial and Identity Profiling Advisory (RIPA) Board
- SJPd already collects data (over 18 years) as well as the UTEP Study



What is considered a “stop” under AB 953?

“Any detention by a peace officer of a person, or any peace officer interaction with a person in which the peace officer conducts a search, including a consensual search, of the person’s body or property in the person’s possession or control.”

(Gov. Code, § 12525.5, subd. (g)(2).)



Timelines for AB-953

Law Enforcement Agencies begin collecting stop data:

- July 1, 2018: 1,000+ officers
- January 1, 2019: 667-999 officers **(SJPD)**
- January 1, 2021: 334-666 officers
- January 1, 2022: 1-333 officers



Statewide Implementation Concerns

State Law Enforcement Associations

- California POA, Peace Officers Research Association of California, other law enforcement associations have raised concerns

Methodology

- Form seeks Officer's perception, not actual demographics
- Potential for mistakes/bias in characterization



Next Steps

Monitor Implementation

- Phase 1 agencies (implementing July 1, 2018)
- Track ease of implementation and lessons learned

Evaluate SJPD Equipment Options, Costs, & Staffing Impacts

- Technology needs
 - CAD reconfiguration or use Department of Justice mobile solution
- Training/Staffing/IT requirements/Auditing
- Data entry time per stop
- Impacts on patrol time, community policing, traffic enforcement, overtime costs

Keep PSFSS Committee/Council Updated

