



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

SUBJECT: The Public Record
April 7 – May 3, 2018

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk


DATE: May 4, 2018

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from the Public

1. Letter from Gail Waid, dated April 27, 2018, regarding the Suicide Prevention Policy.
2. Letters from Blair Beekman, dated April 27, 2018 through May 1, 2018, regarding various concerns in San Jose and the County of Santa Clara.
3. Letter from Craig Ash, dated April 30, 2018, regarding the Parks, Recreation, and Neighborhood Services budget.
4. Letter from Ton Lien, dated May 1, 2018, entitled "Homeless on corner of 10th and Williams."
5. LAFCO Certificate of Completion and Santa Clara County Assessor report for a reorganization by the Town of Los Gatos – Hilow Road No. 9, dated May 1, 2018.
6. LAFCO Certificate of Completion and Santa Clara County Assessor report for a reorganization by the Town of Los Gatos – Linda Avenue No. 5, dated May 1, 2018.
7. Letter from Tamiko Rast, dated May 2, 2018, entitled "Letter of Support for continued Sister Cities program."
8. Letter from Madhavi Prasad, dated May 2, 2018, entitled "Reducing Crime and Keeping CA Safe Act of 2018."

TJTat


Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

From: Waid, Gail
Sent: Friday, April 27, 2018 12:30 PM
To: City Clerk; Taber, Toni
Subject: FW: Your Objective is Suicide Prevention Policy

THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT

April 27, 2018, and previously October 17, 2017

San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo, Vice Mayor Magdalena Carrasco and Councilmembers
200 E. Santa Clara St.
San Jose, CA 95113

RE: Support for All Children in Policy Considerations – Suicide Prevention Policy

Dear Mayor, Vice Mayor and Councilmembers,

I am writing to you AGAIN to urge you to support the Suicide Prevention Policy, submitted by Councilmember Raul Perez. This is an important issue to me personally, and I think it an imperative that the City of San Jose take steps to draw attention to and address it.

SUICIDE IS AN UNWANTED END FOR ALL CHILDREN (no matter how old they are)

Critical understanding and support for those suffering with cognitive disabilities needs to go beyond medicine. Over a third of INDIVIDUALS do not respond or are treated by medicine. Medicine for one illness can initiate and/or increase the rate of manic episodes for another illness. Cognitive therapy does not work for all. Psychosis is managed by notable life contributors. Our HISTORY is rich with people with mental illness, who, fortunately for us, were saved from suicide. **Let us provide present opportunities for INDIVIDUALS AND OUR FUTURE.**

Engagement policies and effective options for individuals caught in a cycle can be broken through TIMELY CARE and NOT FEAR.

Don't be afraid to turn the conversation to HELP. Stand up to allow an opportunity

- for those personally affected to succeed in their lives,
- for our friends, family and loved ones, and
- for our community – that may not even know enough to know they don't know what can or how to HELP.

Thank you for your consideration and leadership on this issue. I hope that you will vote in support of the Suicide Prevention Policy.

Sincerely,

Gail Waid
Daughter, mother
Gardener, hiker
Engineer, advocate

Fw: a letter from Blair Beekman. Friday April 27, 2018. _____ The Big Belly trash can, downtown San Jose. _____ The Santa Clara County, Surveillance and Technology Ordinance.

From: bob tom

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2018 2:41 PM

Cc: VTA Board Secretary; SCVTA; Supervisor Cindy Chavez; Cortese, Dave; Supervisor Mike Wasserman; Supervisor Joseph Simitian; Supervisor Ken Yeager; District Attorney; Josue Fuentes; Pblc.Dfndr.Damon Silver; Nona Klippen; jose.guzman@pdo.sccgov.org; J.J Kapp; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo; District 10; Doyle, Richard; Greene, Shasta; Knies, Scott; Sykes, Dave; Maguire, Jennifer; City Clerk; Garcia, Edgardo; Dwyer, Jason

Subject: a letter from Blair Beekman. Friday April 27, 2018. _____ The Big Belly trash can, downtown San Jose. _____ The Santa Clara County, Surveillance and Technology Ordinance.

Dear Local Govts., of the south bay,

I have not asked for, the actual tech. you will be using, for the Big Belly project.

Please respect, prepare, and begin to reply, what I have been asking for, the past two weeks.

A simple, yet organized idea, of what exactly, are the VTA, by-laws, rules, and guidelines, about accountability and transparency, with the public.

And, what exactly are the procedures, the VTA goes about, introducing new technology, to the public, and to the community.

May I have a copy, of the actual, rules and codes, of the VTA, with accountability, transparency, and openness, issues ?

From here, well intentioned, next steps, can be thought of, and begin.

I have had a busy week. I will start a phone call process, next week.

Please write back if you can. Writing seems, a more clear and cognitive way, to work with these questions.

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Mon, Apr 23, 2018 at 1:25 PM, bob tom <

wrote:

Dear local govts., of the south bay,

It is the start of a new week. I have been writing since at least, Thurs. April 12, 2018.

To ask again, under what VTA/Joint Powers Board, is the Big Belly smart trash can project, a part of, in downtown San Jose ?

I would also like an explanation, of the civil rights, civil protections, privacy/accountability policy, of this project, that should be a part of each, VTA and Joint Powers Board process.

The rights of the individual, has always been considered, as an important check, in the balance of power, between branches of government, since the beginnings, of this country's history.

I am learning, these sorts of subjects, can be an awkward, for any level of govt., to talk about, with everyday people.

But it is a mandatory function, that has helped build this country. And, it is how to maintain, and continue the future of this country, as well.

It has been over 10 days, since I have first started, to ask these questions, about the Big Belly, smart trash can project, in downtown San Jose.

You should be able, to begin to formulate, some sort of, civil rights, civil protection, privacy/accountability policy, in simple, procedural terms.

I will start to make phone calls, to the addresses above, tomorrow, if no one can write back, today.

I am not here to play gotcha politics. We are at a time, we can create, more distance from the era of 9/11/01.

I would like to learn, how to develop, what can be, more friendly, peaceful, and sustainable ideas, for the community process.

We are about to start, a long process together. Peace through learning is an important concept.

Government, at all levels, should learn to trust this concept, and the good natured intentions, of the community process.

It is how to end war. And how to build a sustainable, democratic, community future .

What can be good accountability practices, you already understand, we should begin to talk about, in a phone call, soon.

But I feel it could be, a more comprehensive process, and not as fearful, if you were to learn, how to begin to write myself back, at this time.

What is, the VTA Joint Powers Board, in charge of, the Big Belly smart trash can project ?

What would be, its privacy/accountability policy ?

From here, I can start to piece things together,

And hopefully, we can all begin, to better question and understand, what can be the future, of the Big Belly smart trash can project, for downtown San Jose.

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Fri, Apr 20, 2018 at 1:10 PM, bob tom <[b](#)>

wrote:

Dear local govts, of the south bay,

Please refer to my letters below. I know yourselves are busy. And it takes thought and work, even to come up with, simple answers, to what are standard questions.

But I need to write, for now the fifth time, in past week.

Can someone write back, with a few simple answers, to my third letter, below,

And, what should be routine, formal, and procedural questions.

I will start calling on the phone, next week, if everyone is too busy, on this Friday afternoon, to answer.

Pease keep in mind, I have been asking, all week. You should be prepared, to have an answer by now.

sincerely,
blair beekman

Dear local governments, of the south bay,

I understand, it takes thought and work. But this is now, the fourth time, I have written, in the past week,

and to ask for, a simple reply to my question, below.

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Wed, Apr 18, 2018 at 11:45 AM, bob tom <

wrote:

Dear local governments, of the south bay,

This will now be the third time, I have written in the past week, to ask for a simple clarification,

Does the new, Big Belly smart trash can project, sponsored mostly by the VTA, and the San Jose Downtown Assoc.,

work under the Surveillance and Technology Ordinance, of Santa Clara County.

What VTA Joint Powers Board, would the Big Belly Trash Can, be a part of ?

What would be, the accountability and transparency guidelines, for this particular, Joint Powers Board ?

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Mon, Apr 16, 2018 at 1:26 PM, bob tom <

wrote:

Dear Shane Patrick, chief of staff, city of San Jose, city council district 10,

Can you please write back today, as I have figured how to more simply ask, my two questions from yesterday, regarding the Big Belly Smart Trash Can.

Are there other Joint Powers Boards, the VTA is a part of ?

What exactly, and where exactly, can I find the accountability and transparency by-laws, this particular Joint Powers Board, would follow,

with the Big Belly Smart Trash Can Project, in downtown San Jose ?

I will send this letter, to Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors, as well today, in the spirit of open communication.

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Sun, Apr 15, 2018 at 5:53 PM, bob tom

wrote:

| Dear Shane Patrick, chief of staff,

| ...and to learn to ask the question,

| even as a 4 member, joint powers authority, what accountability and transparency rules apply ?

| Ideas, that the joint government agencies, would make up together. ?

| This would mean, I should look into, their own by-law process.

Any words, from this second question, today, would be of help.

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Sun, Apr 15, 2018 at 5:46 PM, bob tom

wrote:

Dear Shane Patrick, chief of Staff,

Sorry for writing, on a sunday afternoon.

I thought it could help prepare sooner, for the upcoming week.

I read the Joint Powers Board, of the VTA is with,

- Amtrak, a federal agency.
- ACE Altamont Corridor Express, which is CalTrans District 10, the San Joaquin Regional Rail Authority, among others,
- CalTrain, who offered something of its usual, authoritative opacity and confusion, and did not offer, some form of transparency, on their website,

It is fair to assume, Caltrain, works with state agencies, and perhaps federal agencies, as well. (u.s.congresswoman Jackie Speier's, difficult grant work with Caltrain, obviously comes to mind.)

That's four agencies, mostly working at a state level. And would partly offer, why the new Big Belly project downtown, feels distant, and not thought out.

For all of my anger, at the secrecy, of the city of San Jose, LED light system, and other s.j. city govt. IoT projects,

it has become clear, Civic Innovation, with Knight Foundation, and others, (my work?), a degree of care, seems to be happening, in how to design,

the future of community civil rights, and civil protection issues, with IoT, that the Big Belly project, downtown, seems, it does not have.

With this said, as you stated, in your previous letter, below, (a nice letter by the way, thank you.)

You said, the Big Belly, smart trash can project, of downtown, is under the auspices, of its Joint Powers Board. Have I labeled this Joint Powers Board correctly, above ?

Or are there other, Joint Power Boards, the VTA, is a part of. ?

It is from here, I can begin to try to piece together, under what authority, the Big Belly trash can, works under.

And, how I can ask questions, about its accountability and transparency.

sincerely,
blair beekman

On Thu, Apr 12, 2018 at 3:58 PM, District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov> wrote:

Dear Blair,

The Big Belly smart trash cans improve the environment and reduce costs because they are emptied only when full, which cuts down on the trips that a trash collection vehicle must make (and fewer trips means less greenhouse gas emissions and less collection expense). This is an initiative of the Valley Transportation Authority, so questions about it should be directed to the VTA. The SCC Surveillance and Technology Ordinance would not apply, as the VTA is a joint-powers authority and not an agency of the County government.

Sincerely,

Shane Patrick

Shane Patrick Connolly
Chief of Staff, District 10
City of San José
Office of Councilmember Johnny Khamis
408-535-4910 | district10@sanjoseca.gov
Visit us on the web: www.sjd10.com

The three questions below, can be a lot to ask. But they should be, mostly straightforward and simple, legal questions.

Please notice, all who I have addressed, this letter to, to cross check, refer to, and be clear, if needed.

Questions.

1. Considering the VTA, is at least, a part-county government agency, does the Big Belly project, and its smart technology, fall under the Santa Clara County, Surveillance and Technology Ordinance ?
2. How is the smart technology, of the Big Belly Smart Technology Project, in downtown San Jose, acknowledged and inventoried, by the Santa Clara County Surveillance and Technology Ordinance, at this time ?
3. And finally, how was this project, initially approved, by the Board of Supervisors, or the County officials, within the legal framework, of this Ordinance ?

sincerely,
blair beekman

I am learning, the easiest way, through this awkward period, for all of us, is to ask the simple procedural question.

What is the openness and accountability policy,

for the VTA and Joint Powers Board, that is currently in charge, of the Big Belly smart trash can project ?

Fw: a letter from Blair Beekman-2. Friday April 27, 2018. _____ The Big Belly trash can, downtown San Jose. _____ The Santa Clara County, Surveillance and Technology Ordinance.

From: bob tom

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2018 4:43 PM

Subject: a letter from Blair Beekman-2. Friday April 27, 2018. _____ The Big Belly trash can, downtown San Jose. _____ The Santa Clara County, Surveillance and Technology Ordinance.

Dear Local Govts., of the south bay,

I have not asked for, the actual tech. you will be using, for the Big Belly project.

Please respect, prepare, and begin to reply, what I have been asking for, the past two weeks.

A simple, yet organized idea, of what exactly, are the VTA, by-laws, rules, and guidelines, about accountability and transparency, with the public.

And, what exactly are the procedures, the VTA goes about, introducing new technology, to the public, and to the community.

May I have a copy, of the actual, rules and codes, of the VTA, with accountability, transparency, and openness, issues ?

From here, well intentioned, next steps, can be thought of, and begin.

Like how to decide, which local government agency, is responsible for which piece of technology, used with the Big Belly.

It seems the VTA, and the MTC, have helped purchased and introduce the Big Belly. But the city of San Jose, and others, may be in charge of the tech. installed in the Big Belly.

No one has written back, in two weeks. This seems to suggest, as government, you are insecure, and confused, about this project.

Part of my job, is to try to create bridges, from the past fifteen years of war.

And, as where we have all become too scared, to talk to each other, in more simple, open, thoughtful, and trusting terms.

Welcome to a new peaceful future. I am an everyday person, wanting to work toward the ideas of peace,

learning how to be, more a part of the community,

and working that something, can be simpler, trusting, and more regular, for everyone, and the community process.

I have had a busy week. I will start a phone call process, next week.

Please write back if you can. Writing seems, a more clear and cognitive way, to work with these questions.

sincerely,
blair beekman

p.s.

As things are new to all of us, you have difficult job at this time, sorting out the responsibility, of the Big Belly project.

No matter how much I will try to work with you, to ask you to end the Big Belly program.

I am not here play gotcha politics. I am helping with future accountability standards, while at the same time, wanting to be a more regular part of the community.

It is part of my role in life, at this time, to help figure out, what can be, good public accountability.

I have chosen a role of compromise, for a greater good that is possible.

What I feel we should all agree on, is that a project is in good, full working order, with the public.

I feel we are at a point, we can more openly ask, how the future of this country's national security, can be based on leaving the concepts of war.

And to begin. in how to return to the ideas, of good reasoning, safety, less opacity, and confusion.

This idea, of peaceful , democratic, sustainability, should be an important priority, for everyone of a community. From everyday people, to government themselves.

Re: a letter from Blair Beekman. Monday April 30, 2018. _____ The Big Belly trash can, downtown San Jose. Accountability.

bob tom

Mon 4/30/2018 2:55 PM

to fix an important wording, in third paragraph, of letter just sent.

please view this 2nd version, as official copy, of letter just sent, 10 min. ago. -blair b.

Dear Local Govts., of the south bay,

I have not fully asked for, yet, the actual tech. you will be using, for the Big Belly, smart trash can project, in downtown San Jose

Please respect, prepare, and begin to reply, what I have been asking for, the past two weeks.

A simple, yet organized idea, of what exactly, are the VTA, by-laws, rules, and guidelines, about accountability and transparency, with the public.

And, what exactly are the procedures, the VTA goes about, introducing new technology, with other local govt. agencies, to the public, and to the community.

As I have tried to ask, for over two weeks now,

May I have a copy, of the actual rules and codes, for openness, and transparency, for the VTA ?

And, how this transparency may relate, with the VTA, as a state, joint powers board.

And, how this transparency relates, to other local governments, sharing the responsibility, of the current Big Belly project, of downtown San Jose.

A well intentioned guideline process, helped developed by supv. Joseph Simitian, with supv. Cindy Chavez, and the County govt. of Santa Clara,

is currently asking, how to help, end the era of 9/11/01, and how to begin, to move toward,

a new, better reasoned, more peaceful, sustainable idea, for a community, its future, and an overall health, of this country.

Please learn to organize and prepare, a public guideline process, for the Big Belly smart trash can project, downtown.

I am here to help, not to hurt. Please learn to simply write back, or accept my phone calls, as you should be understanding my intentions.

A person of the everyday public, learning to understand & help develop, how there can be a simpler, more peaceful, open, public process, no matter the intensity of a project.

sincerely,
blair beekman

a letter from Blair Beekman. Tuesday May 1, 2018.

bob tom

Tue 5/1/2018 12:50 PM

Dear local governments, of the south bay,

Happy May Day to yourselves. I hope this can be a day, of good reflection.

And, to be reminded, in how much, people try to think and work, toward good ideas, on good terms.

It is a day, a few, might grow angry and tired.

But on the whole, it is a day, where everyone can be invited, in how to build, a polite, well reasoned, better future, for all of us.

sincerely,
blair beekman

From: Craig Ash
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 11:01 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Fw: PRNS City Parks Critical Budget Needs

Sent from [Outlook](#)

From: Craig Ash
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2018 10:54 AM
To: Mayor Liccardo; District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; District6; City Council District 7; District8; District9; Johnny Khamis; Dave Sykes; Lee Wilcox; Jon Cicirelli; Angel Rios; Cano, Matt; Margaret McCahan; Ragan Henninger; Torie O'Reilly; Avi Yotam; Justin Long
Cc: Jean Dresden; Pat Pizzo; Pat Waite
Subject: PRNS City Parks Critical Budget Needs

Dear Mayor Liccardo, City Council Members, City Manager's Office and PRNS Management,

Attached is our letter requesting your attention, support and action for critical parks budget, and especially parks maintenance requirements for the upcoming city budget discussions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Craig Ash and Jerry Mungai

San Jose Residents and Members and Officers of Citizens for Fiscal Responsibility

April 29, 2018

To: Mayor Liccardo, Council Members, City Manager's Office, PRNS Management
cc: Jean Dresden; Pat Pizzo

Subject: PRNS City Parks Critical Budget Needs

Dear Mayor Liccardo, Council Members and City Management

We are San Jose residents who seek your support in the FY 2018-2019 budget to meet our city parks' urgent maintenance and rehabilitation needs. We do not want to see the City again balance its budget shortfall on the backs of our city parks, and especially our parks maintenance department as has happened in past tough budget times that still impacts PRNS.

PRNS management under Angel Rios' leadership has been working hard to gain better operating efficiencies. Their efforts have yielded good results including finding other sources of revenue and help from outside the general fund. However, this alone fails to adequately offset cuts to an already very tight budget, nor does it address growing needs to support a number of additional new parks and increased safety and cleanup issues beyond the historic role of park maintenance. Somehow the city can find capital to build new parks...where's the money to maintain them?

Our city parks are a core service and their maintenance is critical to **all** residents. They are a vital part of the soul of our city. PRNS continues to address a very large backlog of city parks maintenance items, especially the rehabilitation of numerous older parks in our lower income areas. The parks' budget will again be negatively impacted by continuing soaring water costs, old irrigation equipment, stressed trees and unusable turf. The city parks use 20% less water now than before the big drought. This year's water costs are budgeted at \$6 million with another large water cost increase coming. The cost of not dealing with critical park rehabilitation and maintenance issues only cost the city more in the future with neglected park infrastructure, services, trees, fauna and turf. Water costs are a key driver of increased costs to PRNS.

Properly maintained parks increase property values and related tax revenue for park neighboring properties and also help to reduce crime that often comes with run-down parks.

We are asking that you:

1. Grant the parks' budget headcount recommended and justified by PRNS management.
2. Take into account additional parks' workload related to new parks' future maintenance needs, growing rehabilitation needs of neighborhood parks AND park trails; as well as the related increased safety, sanitary and trash issues associated with increasing homelessness.
3. Adjust the Parks budget formulation method for water to reflect actual water costs that include the upcoming rate increases, and automatically increment each year to reflect rate increases (like to city already does for city electricity needs). Our kids and families need to play, have outside sports and games, and picnics and take walks on good turf and trails in a park healthy and well-maintained environment.

4. Include money to: a) install SMART irrigation controllers, b) repair and replace old irrigation systems, c) replace and rehabilitate turf, and d) replace unused turf, stressed plants and trees with drought tolerant varieties in order to save the most water and money.

5. Reinstitute the armed park rangers to protect our parks and trail users for growing safety issues including unwanted homeless and gang safety threats. Many of our parks and trails are becoming serious havens for undesirable people who limit their use by our citizens due to safety issues. In the past, San Jose had a park ranger unit that was disbanded around 2001 in a much safer environment than we now have in San Jose, a time when we had sufficient law enforcement staff.

We urge you to address these priorities when you evaluate the city budget, keeping in mind that PRNS represents a critical core city function benefiting **all** city residents.

Sincerely,

Craig Ash & Jerry Mungai
San Jose residents & officers of Citizens for Fiscal Responsibility

Fw: Homeless on corner of 10th and Williams

From: Ton Lien
Sent: Tuesday, May 1, 2018 2:21 PM
To: District3; City Clerk; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
Subject: Homeless on corner of 10th and Williams

Dear Mayor and Councilman Perez,

I am a San Jose resident and owner of an apartment building near the corner of S. 10th Street and Williams in downtown San Jose.

For as long as I can recall, there have been homeless people gathering at the corner liquor store or in front of the laundromat. Over the past year, the presence of the homeless have increased and their behavior has become more aggressive.

I've spoken to the owner of the laundromat and he's given up. He's an elderly man and doesn't want to engage the homeless. Even though they use the side of his building as a public urinal.

For myself, I've had people sleep on the porch, blocking the entry to the building. Too often, I've had people defecate in the front yard and in the back near the dumpster. On the occasions when I've approach people on my property, they are drunk and aggressive. One actually tried to extort money from me, asking me how much money would I pay for him to move along. This is ridiculous and a public health issue. I'm sure you are aware of the Hepatitis A outbreak in San Diego.

This doesn't even mention the vandalism and break-ins (I've had 3 break-ins to the basement, where apparently homeless began to spend the night.)

I plead with you to help clean up the streets. I will commend the local police station, they do come and address the issues on the occasions it became necessary. But its only temporary, the same people come back the next day. This isn't a solution, the officers shouldn't be spending their time like this.

I realize homelessness is a big societal problem and there aren't easy answers, but I hope you know the citizens of San Jose need to see progress here.

Regards,
Ton Lien

From: Abello, Emmanuel

Sent: Tuesday, May 1, 2018 3:50 PM

To: Fire.Chief; Nguyen, Bob; City Clerk; Parman, Russell;
Brown, Amy; Nagaraj, Meera;
com; Healy, Karen; Carrillo, Kathy; Little, Martin; Schulenburg, Fred; Jackson,
Ron; j GIS; Walsh, Carolyn; Thompson, Matthew;
Salisbury, Robert; Connie.Stottrup@rda.sccgov.org; Akbarzadeh, Masoud; Torrico, Judith; Binder,
Kenneth; Xavier, Lesley; Kehmna, Ken; l ; Wilson, Chris; Adcock, Trisha R.; Chew,
Clif; Escobar, Albert; Lopez, Felix;
; Schultz, Angie; Slepnikoff, Bill; Rose, Lisa; r
Kumre, Moe; Harris, Earl;
Maas, Jerry; Christopher, Randy; Wien, Martha;
Powell, Michael; Jorgensen, Nicole; Haghighi, Darius; Lee, Darrin; Rodriguez, Christina; Kakinami, Ross;
Kumar, Kavitha; Kehmna, Ken; Adcock, Trisha R.;
Ye, Chenxi; McHarris, Steve; v; ISD - GIS
Cc: Azhar Khan; Palacherla,
Neelima; Noel, Dunia; Rajagopalan, Lakshmi
Subject: LAFCO Certificate of Completion - Hilow Road No. 9

Attached are LAFCO Certificate of Completion and Santa Clara County Assessor report for a reorganization by the Town of Los Gatos – **Hilow Road No. 9**.

Please note that these boundary changes are taking effect today, **May 1, 2018**.

This email and its PDF attachments constitute the official notification to your agency relating to this completed annexation. Your agency will be notified of all future annexations using this email address. To continue to receive notices on future annexations, please let us know if and when there are changes to your agency's email address(es).

Thank you.

Emmanuel Abello, LAFCO Clerk
LAFCO of Santa Clara County

The LAFCO Office has moved! Please note the new address.

777 North First Street, Suite 410

San Jose, CA 95112

(408) 993-4705

www.santaclaralafco.org

NOTICE: This email message and/or its attachments may contain information that is confidential or restricted. It is intended only for the individuals named as recipients in the message. If you are NOT an authorized recipient, you are prohibited from using, delivering, distributing, printing, copying, or disclosing the message or its content to others and must delete the message from your computer. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return email.

County of Santa Clara
Office of the County Assessor

County Government Center
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, CA 95110-1771
Fax (408) 298-9446



Lawrence E. Stone, Assessor

REPORT OF THE COUNTY ASSESSOR

Date Report
Prepared: **January 29, 2018**

Title of Proposal: **Hilow Road No 9**

Type of
Application: **Anx/Detach to City**

Conducting
Authority: **Town of Los Gatos**

1. Review of Proposal

- a. Location: 16466 Hilow Road, Los Gatos, CA 95032
- b. Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 532-04-074
- c. Respective Net value of assessed parcels as of July 1, 2017: \$1,775,000

2. Conformity to Lines of Assessment or Ownership

- ☒ Boundaries of proposal conform.
- ☐ Boundaries of proposal fail to conform to lines of assessment per attached map.
- ☐ Upon annexation, lines of assessment will no longer be split by TRA lines within this proposal.

3. Special Districts

Special districts within the proposed area include:

TRA 80-005

080-005 0084 LOS GATOS UNION ELEM. SCHOOL
080-005 0137 LOS GATOS UNION JT(43,44) HIGH SCHOOL
080-005 0203 WEST VALLEY JT(43,44) COMM. COLLEGE
080-005 0215 BAY AREA JT(1,7,21,28,38,41,43,48,49) AIR QUALITY
MGMT.
080-005 0252 MID-PENINSULA REGIONAL JT(41,43,44) OPEN SPACE
080-005 0306 WEST VALLEY SANITATION
080-005 0322 SANTA CLARA VALLEY COUNTY WATER
080-005 0326 SANTA CLARA VALLEY-ZONE C-1 COUNTY WATER
080-005 0335 SANTA CLARA COUNTY IMPORTATION WATER-MISC.
080-005 0371 CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION
080-005 0376 SANTA CLARA VALLEY-ZONE W-4 COUNTY WATER
080-005 0377 AREA NO. 01 (LIBRARY SERVICES), BENEFIT
ASSESSMENT COUNTY SERVICE
080-005 0378 AREA NO. 01 (LIBRARY SERVICES) COUNTY SERVICE

Prepared By:

Anita Badger, Property & Title Identification Technician

(408) 299-5506
anita.badger@asr.sccgov.org

COPY

**OF RECORDED
DOCUMENT**

23922955

Regina Alcomendras
Santa Clara County - Clerk-Recorder
05/01/2018 03:10 PM

CONFORMED COPY

Copy of document recorded.
Has not been compared with original.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION:

HILOW ROAD NO. 9

DOCUMENT TITLE



CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

I, Neelima Palacherla, Executive Officer of the Santa Clara County Local Agency Formation Commission, issue this Certificate of Completion pursuant to Government Code Sections 57200 and 57201.

I hereby certify that I have examined **Resolution No. 2018-009** for a change of organization attached hereto and have found this document to be in compliance with Government Code Section 56757 authorizing cities within Santa Clara County to assume authority over certain changes in government organization.

The name of the Town is: **Los Gatos.**

The entire Town is located in Santa Clara County.

The change of organization completed is a **REORGANIZATION** which includes annexation to the **Town of Los Gatos** and detachment from the following:

County Library Service Area

A map and description of the boundaries of the change of organization are appended hereto.

The title of this proceeding is: **Hilow Road No. 9**

The Town has complied with all conditions imposed by the Commission for inclusion of the territory in the Town's Urban Service Area.

The change of organization was ordered subject to the following terms and conditions: **NONE.**

The date of adoption of the Town Resolution ordering the reorganization is **03/20/2018.**

I declare under the penalty of perjury in the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 5/1/18

Neelima Palacherla
Neelima Palacherla, Executive Officer
LAFCO of Santa Clara County

Attachments: City Resolution, Legal Description (Exhibit A) and Map (Exhibit B)

RESOLUTION 2018-009

**RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL
OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS
MAKING THE DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING THE
REORGANIZATION OF UNINHABITED TERRITORY DESIGNATED AS
HILOW ROAD NO. 9**

**APN: 532-04-074
APPROXIMATELY 0.27 ACRES
ANNEXATION APPLICATION: AN17-007
PROPERTY LOCATION: 16466 HILOW ROAD
PROPERTY OWNER/APPLICANT: MELANIE GRISWOLD DEMERTZIS**

WHEREAS, a petition for the annexation of certain territory to the Town of Los Gatos and detachment of said territory from Santa Clara County Library Service Area, consisting of 0.27 acres located at 16466 Hilow Road (APN: 532-04-074), has been filed by Melanie Griswold Demertzis; and

WHEREAS, said territory is uninhabited and all owners of land included in the proposal consent to this annexation; and

WHEREAS, Section 56757 of the California Government Code states that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall not review an annexation proposal to any city in Santa Clara County of unincorporated territory which is within the Urban Service Area of the city if initiated by resolution of the legislative body and therefore the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos is now the conducting authority of said annexation; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 56662(a) provides that if a petition for annexation is signed by all owners of land within the affected territory, the territory is uninhabited, and no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the 10-day period, the Town Council may approve or disapprove the annexation without a public hearing; and

WHEREAS, evidence was presented to the Town Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos as follows:

1. That it is the conducting authority pursuant to Section 56757 of the Government Code for the annexation of property designated as Hilow Road No. 9, more particularly described in Exhibits A and B.
2. That the following findings are made by the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos:
 - a. Said territory is uninhabited and comprises approximately 0.27 acres.
 - b. The annexation is consistent with the orderly annexation of territory within the Town's Urban Service Area and is consistent with the Town policy of annexing when required by the Town's agreement with the County of Santa Clara.
 - c. The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Title 14 Code of Regulations, Chapter 3: Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA Section 15061(b)(3): Review for Exemption.
 - d. The Town Council enacted Ordinance 1268 in 1975 zoning the subject territory with an R-1:8 (Single-Family Residential, 8,000 square foot minimum lot size) zoning designation.
 - e. The territory is within the Town's Urban Service Area as adopted by the Local Agency Formation Commission of Santa Clara County.
 - f. The County Surveyor has determined the boundaries of the proposed annexation to be definite and certain, and in compliance with the Commission's road annexation policies. The County Surveyor has been reimbursed for the actual cost incurred by the County Surveyor in making this determination.
 - g. The proposed annexation will not create an island, and will facilitate provision of efficient municipal services.
 - h. The proposed annexation does not split lines of assessment or ownership.
 - i. The proposed annexation is consistent with the Town's General Plan.
 - j. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to a Town boundary.
 - k. The Town has complied with all conditions imposed by the Commission for inclusion of the territory in the Town's Urban Service Area.

3. That all owners of land within the affected territory have provided written consent to the reorganization and no subject agency has submitted written request for notice and hearing.
4. Pursuant to Section 56662(a) the Town waives protest proceedings.
5. That upon completion of these reorganization proceedings the territory described in Exhibit A will be annexed to the Town of Los Gatos and will be detached from the Santa Clara County Library Service Area.
6. That upon completion of these reorganization proceedings the territory reorganized will be taxed on the regular County assessment roll, including taxes for existing bonded indebtedness.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos, California, held on the 20th day of March, 2018, by the following vote:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

AYES: Marcia Jensen, Steve Leonardis, Marico Sayoc, Barbara Spector, Mayor Rob Rennie

NAYS: None.

ABSENT: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

The foregoing instrument is a
correct copy of the original
on file in this office

ATTEST: CLERK ADMINISTRATOR
TOWN OF LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

By Shelley Reis
Date 3/30/18

SIGNED:



MAYOR OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS
LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

DATE: 3/30/18

ATTEST:

Shelley Reis
CLERK ADMINISTRATOR OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS
LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

DATE: 3/30/18

EXHIBIT "A"

HILLOW ROAD No.9 ANNEXATION TO THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION

All that certain real property situate in the County of Santa Clara, State of California, being a portion of Rancho Rinconada De Los Gatos, more particularly described as follows:

ALL of Lot 3 as shown on that certain Map entitled "Tract No 1016" filed for record on March 30, 1954 in Book 48 of Maps at Page 31, Santa Clara County Records, being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the northerly corner of said Lot 3, said corner being a point on the southeasterly right-of-way of Hilow Road (60' wide) and on the existing Los Gatos town limit as established by the annexation entitled "Hilow Road No.1"

Thence leaving said right-of-way and town limit, along the exterior lot lines of said Lot 3, the following three (3) courses and distances:

1. *South 70°19'55" East, 172.07 feet;*
2. *South 19°40'00" West, 67.00 feet;*
3. *North 70°19'55" West, 172.07 feet to a point on said southeasterly right-of-way and town limit.*

Thence along said right-of-way and town limit, *North 19°40'05" East, 67.00 feet* to the Point of Beginning.

Containing 0.265 Acres, more or less.

As shown on **EXHIBIT "B"** attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

Date 23 JAN 2018


Shane R. Barber LS 9097



For assessment purposes only. This description of land is not a legal property description as defined in the Subdivision Map Act and may not be used as the basis for an offer for sale of the land described.

Geographic Description was prepared for GKM Engineering.

HILOW AVENUE (60' WIDE)

EXISTING TOWN LIMIT AS
ESTABLISHED BY HILOW
ROAD No.1

(4) N 19°40'05" E
67.00'

POINT OF
BEGINNING

TRACT No. 1016

LOT 2

LOT 4

LOT 3

[48 M 31]
0.265± ACRES
APN: 532-04-074


ANNEXATION AREA =
0.265 ACRES ±

172.07'
(3) N 70°19'55" W

172.07'
(1) S 70°19'55" E

(2) S 19°40'00" W
67.00'

TRACT No. 791
[40 M 33]



LOCATION MAP

NOT TO SCALE

LEGEND

PROPOSED ANNEXATION BOUNDARY
EXISTING LOS GATOS TOWN LIMIT

DISCLAIMER:

"FOR ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. THIS DESCRIPTION OF LAND IS NOT A LEGAL PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AS DEFINED IN THE SUBDIVISION MAP ACT AND MAY NOT BE USED AS THE BASIS FOR AN OFFER FOR SALE OF THE LAND DESCRIBED."



BEING A PORTION OF RANCHO RINCONADA DE LOS GATOS

EXHIBIT B
HILOW ROAD No.9

GKM Engineering

CIVIL ENGINEERING • SURVEYING • LAND PLANNING
236 N Santa Cruz Ave, Suite #208D (408) 656 5917
Los Gatos, Ca 95032 GKMEngineering.com



BARBER
SURVEYING, Inc.

713 Nacornis Court (925) 344-6461
Tracy, CA 95304

Date: 1/17/2018

Scale: 1" = 40'

Job No. A170522

Sheet No.

2 OF 2

From: Abello, Emmanuel <Emmanuel.Abello@ceo.sccgov.org>

Sent: Tuesday, May 1, 2018 3:53 PM

To: Fire.Chief; Nguyen, Bob; City Clerk; Parman, Russell;
; Brown, Amy; Nagaraj, Meera;

; GIS: Walsh, Carolyn; Thompson, Matthew;

Neelima; Noel, Dunia; Rajagopalan, Lakshmi

Subject: LAFCO Certificate of Completion - Linda Avenue No. 5

Attached are LAFCO Certificate of Completion and Santa Clara County Assessor report for a reorganization by the Town of Los Gatos – **Linda Avenue No. 5**.

Please note that these boundary changes are taking effect today, **May 1, 2018**.

This email and its PDF attachments constitute the official notification to your agency relating to this completed annexation. Your agency will be notified of all future annexations using this email address. To continue to receive notices on future annexations, please let us know if and when there are changes to your agency's email address(es).

Thank you.

Emmanuel Abello, LAFCO Clerk

LAFCO of Santa Clara County

The LAFCO Office has moved! Please note the new address.

777 North First Street, Suite 410

San Jose, CA 95112

(408) 993-4705

www.santaclaralafco.org

NOTICE: This email message and/or its attachments may contain information that is confidential or restricted. It is intended only for the individuals named as recipients in the message. If you are NOT an authorized recipient, you are prohibited from using, delivering, distributing, printing, copying, or disclosing the message or its content to others and must delete the message from your computer. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return email.

County of Santa Clara
Office of the County Assessor

County Government Center
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, CA 95110-1771
Fax (408) 298-9446



Lawrence E. Stone, Assessor

REPORT OF THE COUNTY ASSESSOR

Date Report Prepared: **March 7, 2018**
Title of Proposal: **Linda Avenue No. 5 Annexation**
Type of Application: **Anx/Detach to City**
Conducting Authority: **Town of Los Gatos**

1. Review of Proposal

- a. Location: 15933 Linda Avenue, Los Gatos, CA 95032
- b. Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 523-25-023
- c. Respective Net value of assessed parcels as of July 1, 2017: \$38,137

2. Conformity to Lines of Assessment or Ownership

- ☒ Boundaries of proposal conform.
- ☐ Boundaries of proposal fail to conform to lines of assessment per attached map.
- ☐ Upon annexation, lines of assessment will no longer be split by TRA lines within this proposal.

3. Special Districts

Special districts within the proposed area include:

TRA 80-005

080-005 0084 LOS GATOS UNION ELEM. SCHOOL
080-005 0137 LOS GATOS UNION JT(43,44) HIGH SCHOOL
080-005 0203 WEST VALLEY JT(43,44) COMM. COLLEGE
080-005 0215 BAY AREA JT(1,7,21,28,38,41,43,48,49) AIR QUALITY
MGMT.
080-005 0252 MID-PENINSULA REGIONAL JT(41,43,44) OPEN SPACE
080-005 0306 WEST VALLEY SANITATION
080-005 0322 SANTA CLARA VALLEY COUNTY WATER
080-005 0326 SANTA CLARA VALLEY-ZONE C-1 COUNTY WATER
080-005 0335 SANTA CLARA COUNTY IMPORTATION WATER-MISC.
080-005 0371 CENTRAL FIRE PROTECTION
080-005 0376 SANTA CLARA VALLEY-ZONE W-4 COUNTY WATER
080-005 0377 AREA NO. 01 (LIBRARY SERVICES), BENEFIT
ASSESSMENT COUNTY SERVICE
080-005 0378 AREA NO. 01 (LIBRARY SERVICES) COUNTY SERVICE

Prepared By:

Anita Badger, Property & Title Identification Technician

(408) 299-5506
anita.badger@asr.sccgov.org

COPY
OF RECORDED
DOCUMENT

23922954

Regina Alcomendras
Santa Clara County - Clerk-Recorder
05/01/2018 03:10 PM

CONFORMED COPY

Copy of document recorded.
Has not been compared with original.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION:

LINDA AVENUE NO. 5

DOCUMENT TITLE



CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

I, Neelima Palacherla, Executive Officer of the Santa Clara County Local Agency Formation Commission, issue this Certificate of Completion pursuant to Government Code Sections 57200 and 57201.

I hereby certify that I have examined **Resolution No. 2018-013** for a change of organization attached hereto and have found this document to be in compliance with Government Code Section 56757 authorizing cities within Santa Clara County to assume authority over certain changes in government organization.

The name of the Town is: **Los Gatos**.

The entire Town is located in Santa Clara County.

The change of organization completed is a **REORGANIZATION** which includes annexation to the **Town of Los Gatos** and detachment from the following:

County Library Service Area

A map and description of the boundaries of the change of organization are appended hereto.

The title of this proceeding is: **Linda Avenue No. 5**

The Town has complied with all conditions imposed by the Commission for inclusion of the territory in the Town's Urban Service Area.

The change of organization was ordered subject to the following terms and conditions: **NONE**.

The date of adoption of the Town Resolution ordering the reorganization is **4/17/2018**.

I declare under the penalty of perjury in the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 5/1/18

Neelima Palacherla
Neelima Palacherla, Executive Officer
LAFCO of Santa Clara County

Attachments: City Resolution, Legal Description (Exhibit A) and Map (Exhibit B)

RESOLUTION 2018-013

**RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL
OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS
MAKING THE DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING THE
REORGANIZATION OF UNINHABITED TERRITORY DESIGNATED AS
LINDA AVENUE NO. 5**

**APN: 523-25-023
APPROXIMATELY 0.21 ACRES
ANNEXATION APPLICATION: AN18-001
PROPERTY LOCATION: 15933 LINDA AVENUE
PROPERTY OWNER/APPLICANT: SARA SEYEDIN**

WHEREAS, a petition for the annexation of certain territory to the Town of Los Gatos and detachment of said territory from Santa Clara County Library Service Area, consisting of 0.21 acres located at 15933 Linda Avenue (APN: 523-25-023), has been filed by Sara Seyedin; and

WHEREAS, said territory is uninhabited and all owners of land included in the proposal consent to this annexation; and

WHEREAS, Section 56757 of the California Government Code states that the Local Agency Formation Commission shall not review an annexation proposal to any city in Santa Clara County of unincorporated territory which is within the Urban Service Area of the city if initiated by resolution of the legislative body and therefore the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos is now the conducting authority of said annexation; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 56662(a) provides that if a petition for annexation is signed by all owners of land within the affected territory, the territory is uninhabited, and no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the (10) ten-day period, the Town Council may approve or disapprove the annexation without a public hearing; and

WHEREAS, evidence was presented to the Town Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos as follows:

1. That it is the conducting authority pursuant to Section 56757 of the Government Code for the annexation of property designated as Linda Avenue No.5, more particularly described in Exhibits A and B.
2. That the following findings are made by the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos:
 - a. Said territory is uninhabited and comprises approximately 0.21 acres.
 - b. The annexation is consistent with the orderly annexation of territory within the Town's Urban Service Area and is consistent with the Town policy of annexing when required by the Town's agreement with the County of Santa Clara.
 - c. The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Title 14 Code of Regulations, Chapter 3: Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA Section 15061(b)(3): Review for Exemption.
 - d. The Town Council enacted Ordinance 1267 in 1975 zoning the subject territory with an R-1:8 (single-family residential, 8,000 square foot minimum lot size) zoning designation.
 - e. The territory is within the Town's Urban Service Area as adopted by the Local Agency Formation Commission of Santa Clara County.
 - f. The County Surveyor has determined the boundaries of the proposed annexation to be definite and certain, and in compliance with the Commission's road annexation policies. The County Surveyor has been reimbursed for the actual cost incurred by the County Surveyor in making this determination.
 - g. The proposed annexation will not create an island, and will facilitate provision of efficient municipal services.
 - h. The proposed annexation does not split lines of assessment or ownership.
 - i. The proposed annexation is consistent with the Town's General Plan.
 - j. The territory to be annexed is contiguous to a Town boundary.
 - k. The Town has complied with all conditions imposed by the Commission for inclusion of the territory in the Town's Urban Service Area.

3. That all owners of land within the affected territory have provided written consent to the reorganization and no subject agency has submitted written request for notice and hearing.
4. Pursuant to Section 56662(a) the Town waives protest proceedings.
5. That upon completion of these reorganization proceedings the territory described in Exhibit A will be annexed to the Town of Los Gatos and will be detached from the Santa Clara County Library Service Area.
6. That upon completion of these reorganization proceedings the territory reorganized will be taxed on the regular County assessment roll, including taxes for existing bonded indebtedness.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Town Council of the Town of Los Gatos, California, held on the 17th day of April, 2018, by the following vote:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

AYES: Marcia Jensen, Steve Leonardis, Marico Sayoc, Barbara Spector, Mayor Rob Rennie

NAYS: None.

ABSENT: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

The foregoing instrument is a
correct copy of the original
on file in this office

ATTEST: CLERK ADMINISTRATOR
TOWN OF LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

By Sherry Reis
Date 4/24/18

SIGNED:



MAYOR OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS
LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

DATE: 4/19/18

ATTEST:



CLERK ADMINISTRATOR OF THE TOWN OF LOS GATOS
LOS GATOS, CALIFORNIA

DATE: 4/24/18

EXHIBIT "A"

LINDA AVENUE NO. 5

ANNEXATION TO TOWN OF LOS GATOS

GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION

That portion of Lot 5 of Tract No. 344 as shown on the map filed November 24, 1947 in Book 15 of Maps at Page 3 in the Recorder's Office of County of Santa Clara in the State of California lying within RANCHO RINCONADA DE LOS GATOS as described in the deed to Pauline F. Sprock dated October 12, 2016 filed as Document No. 23461531 in said County Recorder's Office described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Southwesterly right-of-way of Linda Avenue (60' wide) at the corner common to Lots 4 and 5 as shown on said map; said point being on the existing Los Gatos Town Limits as established by Linda Avenue No. 2 Annexation;

Thence (1) leaving said right-of-way along the line common to said Lots 4 and 5 and along the existing town limits South 68°13'00" West 171.23 feet to the most Southerly corner of Lot 5;

Thence (2) leaving said town limits and along the Westerly line of said Lot 5 North 22°00'00" West 53.21 feet to the most Easterly corner of the parcel of land described in the deed to Mission Way Partners, et al filed as Document No. 19892364 in the Recorder's Office of said County and State said corner also being an angle point in the existing town limits as established by Linda Avenue No. 3 Annexation;

Thence (3) along said town limits and said Westerly line of Lot 5 North 22°00'00" West 14.81 to the most Westerly corner of said Sprock parcel;

Thence (4) leaving said town limits along the Northerly line of said Sprock parcel North 68°13'00" East 171.48 feet to the Westerly right-of-way of Linda Avenue and existing town limits established by said Linda Avenue No. 2 Annexation;

Thence (5) along said right-of-way and town limits South 21°47'00" East 68.00 feet to the **Point of beginning** and containing **0.21** acres of land more or less.

For assessment purposes only. This description of land is not a legal property description as defined in the Subdivision Map Act and may not be used as the basis for an offer for sale of the land described.

Kenneth D. Wilson 3-2-2018

Kenneth D. Wilson

Licensed Land Surveyor - LS 5571

Revised: 03/01/2018



COURSES

(1)	S68°13'00"W 171.23'
(2)	N22°00'00"W 53.21'
(3)	N22°00'00"W 14.81'
(4)	N68°13'00"E 171.48'
(5)	S21°47'00"E 68.00'




GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)
1 inch = 100 ft.

WILSON LAND SURVEYS

261 CARLTON COURT
LOS GATOS, CA 95032
(408) 540-7687
EMAIL: KEN@WILSONLANDSURVEYS.COM
WWW.WILSONLANDSURVEYS.COM



LEGEND

- BOUNDARY OF PROPOSED ANNEXATION
- - - EXISTING LOS GATOS TOWN LIMIT LINE
- (1) COURSE NUMBER IN GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION

DISCLAIMER:

FOR ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. THIS DESCRIPTION OF LAND IS NOT A LEGAL PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AS DEFINED IN THE SUBDIVISION MAP ACT AND MAY NOT BE USED AS THE BASIS FOR AN OFFER FOR SALE OF THE LAND DESCRIBED.

ANNEXATION AREA = 0.21 ACRES +/-

"EXHIBIT B"

PORION OF RANCHO RINCONADA DE LOS GATOS
PROPOSED ANNEXATION TO TOWN OF LOS GATOS
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA

ENTITLED: LINDA AVENUE NO. 5

APN: 523-25-023

DATE: JANUARY 2018 REVISED: 03/01/2018

DRAWN BY:	SCALE:	PROJECT:	JOB NUMBER:	SHEET:
BEL	1"=100'	H-061	H-103	1 OF 1

Fw: Letter of Support for continued Sister Cities program

From: Tamiko Rast
Sent: Wednesday, May 2, 2018 3:48 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Letter of Support for continued Sister Cities program

To whom it may concern,

I am fifth generation American. My great-great-grandparents left Japan for Hawaii on the *America Maru* in 1899. Despite the difficulty and hardship of immigrant life in the early 20th Century, they thrived. Their children – my great-grandparents and their siblings – owned 80 acres of farmland near Doyle Road in Cupertino.

When WWII broke out, they were taken to internment camps. I have family members on both sides of history as 442nd Regiment troops and No-No Boys that went to prison for refusing to serve while their families suffered. When they returned to San Jose after the war, half their land was gone.

Our family's predecessors were very removed from their roots in Japan, but their respect of cultural traditions and pride in heritage remained – often, it seemed, that was what they and their peers faithfully held onto when their American identity was being stripped of them.

I designed the artwork for the 50th Anniversary of the Okayama-San Jose Sister City agreement, and then the 60th Anniversary. It remains one of my favorite projects, since researching the project gave me greater insight as to why the relationship exists between the two cities.

For the delegates of Okayama to recognize the shared history of our ancestors and continue to care, it is a valuable thing. For San Jose's Mayor and Council to engage, it is an honor worth continuing.

I encourage Mayor Liccardo and the Council to recognize the importance of our Sister City relationship with Okayama, and see it as a reflection of how we value the history of Japanese-Americans in our own city.

Best regards,
Tamiko Rast

Rasteroids Design

San Jose, CA 95112

From: madhavi prasad
Sent: Wednesday, May 2, 2018 4:35 PM
To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo; District5; District 6; District1
Cc: Agendadesk
Subject: Reducing Crime and Keeping CA Safe Act of 2018

Hello

This is in regards to support the "Reduce Crime and Keep CA Safe Act 2018".

I have always heard reasons saying that there no PD force to monitor often or follow up on crime or even investigate. But being in silicon valley you should make use of Technology to do the same. So that PD force can used for investigation and other purposes. Just by putting CCTVs will reduce so much of this. I see so much illegal garbage thrown on freeways which destruct the commute. This can also be easily monitored by placing cameras at regular intervals. Start responding to crimes at random at real time this will scare away people and will make them think twice before the commit petty crimes. Petty crimes has increased a lot in Bay area. I hear almost 10 everyday. Especially burglary being so common given everyone at home works or out in school. Its an easy target but if these crimes are responded at random they will reduce considerably. Package burglary is so common that it has probably happened to everyone living in bay area. There are so many footage with facial image but still no action gets taken. Its a shame that silicon valley will soon top in crime. Before this happens city needs to take action against this. My sincere request to take necessary measures to get situation in control.

--

Regards
Madhavi Prasad