

From: Toni Rath [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 8:36 AM

To: Tsukamoto, Kathy <Kathy.Tsukamoto@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Public comment for agenda item 6.2 of the Jan 23 San Jose City Council meeting

Dear Kathy, could you please include the message below as public comment for today's city council meeting?

Thank you,

Toni Rath

Dear members of the San Jose City Council,

I would like to respectfully ask you to approve both motions put forward by the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee On South Flow, especially the one about including East Palo Alto (EPA) as a voting member.

The reason for convening a committee on South Flow is that changes to the flight path and speed in 2012, 2015 and 2016 have prompted a large increase in noise complaints due to a shift in the flight corridor that affects a new group of residents. It is this increase in noise that the committee is meant to address. Consequently, the point of reference must be the period with low levels of noise complaints before the large increase.

The recommendation in the staff report falsely assumes the point of reference is the present situation and aims to prevent any alterations to the flight path that affect EPA. Going back to the pre-2012 situation with low levels of complaints would be a change in flight path over EPA and would thus be prohibited under the staff recommendations. This practically renders the Ad Hoc Committee unable to recommend any changes to the South Flow flight path, both for the Eastern and Western loop.

I would urge you to reconsider and pass both recommendations made by the Ad Hoc Committee.

Thank you for your consideration,

Toni Rath

