



# Memorandum

**TO:** Honorable Mayor &  
City Council

**FROM:** Toni J. Taber, CMC  
City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** The Public Record  
January 12 – 18, 2018

**DATE:** January 19, 2018

---

## ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

1. Letter from Paula Bassett, dated January 12, 2018, entitled "Charity Housing."
2. Notice from AT&T, dated December 14, 2017, entitled "AT&T Mobility Site – 10110129 – CCL03556 – CCL03882 – CN3556 – 1201 South Winchester Boulevard, San Jose, California 95128."
3. Notice from San Jose Water Company, received January 16, 2018, entitled "Notice of General Rate Case Application Filing Application No. 18-01-004."
4. Letter from John C. Baker, dated January 17, 2018, entitled "San Jose water company applies at CPUC for rate increases."
5. Letter from Renee Roche, dated January 17, 2018, entitled "Charities Housing Proposal."
6. Letter from Renee Gavello, dated January 17, 2018, entitled "Charities Housing Proposal."
7. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated January 17, 2018, regarding the Independent Police Auditor Study Session.

---

Toni J. Taber, CMC  
City Clerk

**From:** Paula Bassett  
**Sent:** Friday, January 12, 2018 9:27 AM  
**To:** City Clerk  
**Subject:** Charity Housing

PUBLIC RECORD \_\_\_\_\_

Dear Ms. Taber,

My name is Paula and have lived in San Jose my whole life. I am so sad at the prospect of moving, but because of the chaos coming from the planning department, that is where I am headed. There are some beautiful, well planned cities elsewhere that have elected officials who listen to what the people want and need.

I am very concerned about the proposed Catholic Charities development on Snell and Blossom Hill. I am not against developing south San Jose because I think it is inevitable. But to take a very busy commercial corner and plop a huge, out of scale, low income/homeless apartment building is ridiculous. There is no place here for such a large building. We met to discuss the development of that corner of our city a couple years ago and came up with a plan that is now being changed. Why have a plan if it means nothing? There was no mention of a such a large apartment complex there and especially no mention of homeless housing.

ADDRESS THE HOMELESS: It breaks my heart to see my fellow citizens living in a pile of rubbish on the side of the road. However, taking people who are mentally ill or addicted to drugs from the encampment under the overpass and moving them to an apartment complex is just moving the problem to a new location; the problem still exists! An apartment won't change someone. It is that simple, you have to have faith in people that they will change when they are ready. Can we come up with a better idea to help these people? We should be working on decent drug rehab facilities and mental health care, not building huge "projects" in the middle of a family neighborhood, JUST DOWN THE STREET FROM A HIGH SCHOOL! This Catholic Charity proposal will bring chaos to our area, drag our neighborhood down, and stagnate the homeless.

HERE'S AN IDEA: How about putting in a senior housing complex with retail and commercial space on the first floor and apartments above. Our seniors have worked hard and are being ignored, while the homeless are getting all the attention and free housing.

Are we going to turn San Jose into a giant homeless encampment, strewn with garbage, or are we going to lift up and educate the drug addicts and mentally ill, so they find their place in a beautifully organized city?

Thank you for reading this,

Paula Bassett

South San Jose



AT&T  
2600 Camino Ramon  
4W850L  
San Ramon, CA 94583

PUBLIC RECORD 2

12/14/2017

VIA EMAIL

Ms. Anna Hom  
**CONSUMER PROTECTION & SAFETY DIVISION**  
California Public Utilities Commission

San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED  
San Jose City Clerk  
FUM  
2018 JAN 12 PM 2:39

**RE: AT&T Mobility Site - 10110129 – CCL03556 / CCL03882 – CN3556 – 1201 SOUTH WINCHESTER BOULEVARD, SAN JOSE, California 95128**

This is to provide the Commission with notice to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") that:

(a) AT&T Mobility has obtained all site land use approval(s) for the modification of the project listed above described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local governmental agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any information contained herein, please contact me at

Sincerely,

Attachment

cc: City Planning Director  
City Clerk  
City Manager  
City of San Jose  
200 E. Santa Clara Street, San Jose, California 95113



Proud Sponsor of the U.S. Olympic Team



## ATTACHMENT A

- 1-9 Project Location:** Modification
- Site Identification Number: CCL03556 / CCL03882
- Project Number: 3701A0BC82
- Site Name: CN3556
- Site Address: 1201 SOUTH WINCHESTER BOULEVARD, SAN JOSE, California 95128
- County: SANTA CLARA
- Assessor's Parcel Number: 299-01-019
- Latitude: 37-18-17.5
- Longitude: 121-57-05.4
- 10-14 Project Description:**
- Number of Antennae to be installed: 6 antennas total approved at 45 in height
- Tower Design: BUILDING
- Tower Appearance: BUILDING
- Tower Height:
- A) Structure Height 39
- B) Top of antenna Height 45
- Building Size(s): N/A
- 15 Business addresses of all Governmental Agencies (from permit)**
- City of San Jose  
200 E. Santa Clara Street, San Jose, California 95113  
(408) 535-3555
- 16 Land Use Approval:** remove and Replace (6) antennas. Install (6) RRUs (remote radio Units. Install (1) DC-12, (1) XMU-03 and Chassis within existing rack.
- 17 If Land Use approval was *not* required:** N/A

## P U B L I C N O T I C E

Para más información o si necesita asistencia en Español por favor llame al número  
(408) 279-7900

**SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY  
NOTICE OF GENERAL RATE CASE APPLICATION FILING  
APPLICATION NO. 18-01-004**

On January 4, 2018, San Jose Water Company (SJWC) filed its General Rate Case (GRC) Application 18-01-004, seeking authority from the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to increase rates in 2019, 2020 and 2021. As part of its decision-making process, the CPUC is interested in hearing from you, and invites you to provide your comments on any aspect of the company's operations including proposed rates, service quality issues or any other matter related to the request that may be of concern to you.

Under SJWC's proposal, rates for each year would become effective on January 1 for that particular year. Rate increases for 2020 and 2021 are derived using an escalation procedure and inflation factors provided by the CPUC. The factors used to calculate rates in these years will be the most recent inflation forecast at that point in time. In its application, SJWC has requested to increase its rates by actual inflation without further notice to customers. This means that if inflation is greater or lower than that assumed in the application, rates for 2020 and 2021 may be higher or lower than shown in this notice, and no further notification would be required to adjust the rates accordingly.

**CUSTOMER IMPACT**

SJWC filed a GRC application requesting authority for an increase in revenues of \$34,288,000,000 or 9.76 percent in 2019, \$14,232,000 or 3.70 percent in 2020, and \$20,582,000 or 5.17 percent in 2021. SJWC also seeks authority for: (1) recovery of \$13,612,774 for its balancing account via a \$0.2973/ccf customer surcharge beginning January 1, 2019, until recovered and (2) recovery of \$7,112,350 for its memorandum account via a monthly surcharge to customers of \$0.1553/ccf beginning January 1, 2019, until recovered.

A balancing account tracks the incremental over and under collection of rates, surcharges, and other expenses for future recovery by the utility, such as purchased water and groundwater extraction charges. A memorandum account tracks specific expenses assigned for possible future recovery, such as water quality and conservation expenses.

If approved by the CPUC, the following tables provide a comparison of SJWC's requested rate increases for Schedule 1 and Schedule 1C Mountain Districts by meter size. The Mountain District is located in the hills above Los Gatos, CA.

RECEIVED  
San Jose City Clerk  
2018 JAN 16 AM 10:35  
WJ MAC

**Schedule 1 and Schedule 1C– General Metered Service Rate Impact**

Monthly Service Charge		Rates Proposed in SJWC's Application		
Meter Size	Present Rates	2019 Rates \$ (% increase)	2020 Rates \$ (% increase)	2021 Rates \$ (% increase)
5/8 x 3/4-inch	\$25.45	\$39.00 (53%)	42.40 (9%)	\$44.34 (5%)
3/4-inch	\$25.45	\$39.00 (53%)	\$42.40 (9%)	\$44.34 (5%)
1-inch	\$42.37	\$67.80 (60%)	\$70.66 (4%)	\$73.90 (5%)
1 1/2-inch	\$84.78	\$135.60 (60%)	\$141.33 (4%)	\$147.80 (5%)
2-inch	\$135.68	\$217.10 (60%)	\$226.12 (4%)	\$236.47 (5%)
3-inch	\$254.37	\$407.00 (60%)	\$423.98 (4%)	\$443.39 (5%)
4-inch	\$423.96	\$678.30 (60%)	\$706.63 (4%)	\$738.98 (5%)
6-inch	\$847.91	\$1,357.00 (60%)	\$1,413.26(4%) (4%)	\$1,477.96 (5%)
8-inch	\$1,356.67	\$2,171.00 (60%)	\$2,261.22(4%) (4%)	\$2,364.74 (5%)
10-inch	\$1,950.24	\$3,120.00 (60%)	\$3,250.51(4%) (4%)	\$3,399.31 (5%)

**Schedule 1 and 1C - Per Ccf Rate Impact**

Present Quantity Charges (Per Ccf) All Residential Customers:		Rates Proposed in SJWC's Application		
Usage	Present Rates*	2019 Rates \$ (% increase)	2020 Rates \$ (% increase)	2021 Rates \$ (% increase)
0 to 3 Ccf	\$4.96	\$3.34 (-33.1%)	\$3.38 (1.1%)	\$3.54 (4.8%)
3+ to 18 Ccf	\$5.43	\$5.01 (-7.7%)	\$5.06 (1.1%)	\$5.31 (4.8%)
Over 18 Ccf	\$5.91	\$6.68 (13.0%)	\$6.75 (1.1%)	\$7.07 (4.8%)
All Other Customers: (Business, Industrial, Public Authority, Resale)				
All Usage	\$5.43	\$5.01 (-7.7%)	\$5.06 (1.1%)	\$5.31 (4.8%)

\*Quantity Charge Present Rates include current Santa Clara Valley Water District expense offset surcharges

For the typical residential customer with a 3/4-inch meter using 11 ccf (one ccf = 748 gallons) of water per month, the monthly water bill will increase by \$5.40 or 6.36 percent from \$84.94 at present rates, to \$90.35 in 2019, by \$4.00 or 4.42 percent to \$94.34 in 2020, and by \$4.42 or 4.69 percent to \$98.76 in 2021. The rates shown on your water bill may vary from the rates shown above due to temporary credits or surcharges in effect.

### **PRIMARY DRIVERS OF RATE INCREASE**

SJWC has been providing safe and reliable water service for more 150 years and many of the facilities used for water service have reached the end of their lives. SJWC is proposing this rate increase due to escalating operating expenses related to water quality and safety requirements, as well as significant system infrastructure replacement requirements as the water system ages over the next several years. In order to maintain safe and reliable water service, infrastructure improvements such as water main and well replacements, enhancements to pumping stations and well fields, as well as water tank upgrades and replacements throughout SJWC's 140 square miles of service area are necessary.

### **TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE APPLICATION**

A copy of SJWC's application and further information may be obtained from the company's customer service office, open Monday through Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm, located at:

**San Jose Water Company**  
**110 West Taylor Street**  
**San Jose, CA 95110**  
**Telephone: 408.279.7900**  
[www.sjwater.com](http://www.sjwater.com)

You may also review the application at the CPUC's Central Files Office at 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to noon Monday through Friday.

### **CPUC PROCESS**

As a party of record, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) will review this application. ORA is the independent consumer advocate within the CPUC with a legislative mandate to represent investor-owned utility customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with safety, reliability, and the state's environmental goals. ORA has a multi-disciplinary staff with expertise in economics, finance, accounting and engineering. Other parties of record will also participate in the CPUC's proceeding to consider this application. For more information about ORA, please call (415) 703-1584, e-mail [ora@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:ora@cpuc.ca.gov) or visit the Office of Ratepayer Advocate's website at <http://ora.ca.gov/default.aspx>.

This application will be assigned to an Administrative Law Judge (Judge) who will determine how to receive evidence and other related documents necessary for the CPUC to establish a record upon which to base its decision. Evidentiary hearings may be held where utilities, consumer advocacy groups, and other entities which have been given official status as "parties," will present their testimony and may be subject to cross-examination by other parties. These evidentiary hearings are open to the public, but only those who are parties may participate. The hearings and documents submitted in the proceeding, become part of the formal record that the Judge relies upon when writing a proposed decision to present to the Commissioners for their consideration.

After considering all proposals and all evidence presented during the formal hearing process, the assigned Judge will issue a proposed decision, determining whether to adopt SJWC's request, modify it, or deny it. Any of the CPUC's Commissioners may sponsor an alternate decision. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon at a scheduled Commission Voting Meeting.

**STAY INFORMED**

If you would like to follow this proceeding, or any other issue before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC's free subscription service. Sign up at: <http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/>.

**If you have been given official party status, formal protests to this application must be filed with the CPUC's Docket Office no later than 30 days from the date the application first appears in the CPUC's Daily Calendar.** Hard copies must be addressed to CPUC Docket Office, 505 Van Ness Ave., San Francisco, California 94102. If you wish to file electronically you can do so through the following link <http://efile.cpuc.ca.gov/thin/cp.exe>.

If you would like to learn how you can participate in the proceeding, or if you have informal comments or questions about the CPUC processes, you may access the CPUC's Public Advisor's webpage at [www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/puc) and click on "Public Advisor" from the CPUC Information Menu. You may also contact the Public Advisor as follows:

Write: CPUC Public Advisor's Office, Room 2103  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: [public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov)

Phone: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or 1-415-703-2074  
1-866-836-7825 (toll-free) or TTY 1-415-703-5282

Please reference SJWC Application No. 18-01-004 in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter. All public comments will become part of the public correspondence file for this proceeding and made available for review for the assigned Judge, the Commissioners, and appropriate CPUC staff.

**SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY  
(U-168-W)**

**ATTACHMENT A**

**MAILING LIST**

Villa Del Monte Mutual Water Co. Los Gatos, CA 95031	Summit West Mutual Water Co. Los Gatos, CA 95031	Ridge Mutual Water Company Los Gatos, CA 95033
Stagecoach Road Mutual Water Co Los Gatos, CA 95033	Big Redwood Park Water & Improvement Association Los Gatos, CA 9503	Oakmont Mutual Water Co. Stockton, CA 95213
Brush & Old Well Rd Mutual Water Co. Los Gatos, CA 95033	Gillette Mutual Water Co. Lost Gatos, CA 95033	Redwood Estates Services Assoc. Redwood Estates, CA 95044
Chemeketa Mutual Water Company Redwood Estates, CA 95044 Bob Burke Regulatory Liaison For The Six Mutual Water Companies Los Gatos, CA 95032	Mt Springs Mutual Water Company Los Gatos, CA 95033 James Hunter San Jose, CA 95120	Raineri Mutual Water Company Los Gatos, CA 95031 Saratoga Heights Mutual Water Company Saratoga, CA 95071
WRATES Rita Benton Saratoga, CA 95070 San Jose Mercury News Attn: Paul Rogers San Jose, CA 95113	Saratoga City Council Member Rishi Kumar Saratoga, CA 95070 Town of Los Gatos Attn: Director of Public Works Los Gatos, CA 95032	Nina Hawk Chief Operating Officer Santa Clara Valley Water District San Jose, CA 95118 City of Monte Sereno Attn: Director of Public Works Monte Sereno, CA 95030
City of Saratoga Attn: Director of Public Works Saratoga, CA 95070	County of Santa Clara San Jose, CA 95110	City of Santa Clara Santa Clara, CA 95050
Great Oaks Water Company San Jose, CA 95153	City of Campbell Campbell, CA 95008	City of Cupertino Cupertino, CA 95014

**SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY  
(U-168-W)**

**ATTACHMENT A**

**MAILING LIST**

Prog. Manager Division of Ratepayer Advocates Calif. Public Utilities Commission  San Francisco, CA 94102	City of San Jose Office of the City Attorney  San Jose, CA 95113	City of San Jose Office of the City Clerk  San Jose, CA 95113
City of Cupertino Office of the City Attorney  Cupertino, CA 95014	City of Cupertino Office of the City Clerk  Cupertino, CA 95014	City of Santa Clara Office of the City Attorney  Santa Clara, CA 95050
City of Santa Clara Office of the City Clerk  Santa Clara, CA 95050	Town of Los Gatos Office of the Town Attorney  Los Gatos, CA 95030	Town of Los Gatos Office of the Town Clerk  Los Gatos, CA 95030
City of Saratoga Office of the City Attorney  Saratoga, CA 95070	City of Saratoga Office of the City Clerk  Saratoga, CA 95070	City of Monte Sereno Office of the City Attorney  Monte Sereno, CA 95030
City of Monte Sereno Office of the City Clerk  Monte Sereno, CA 95030	City of Campbell Office of the City Attorney  Campbell, CA 95008	City of Campbell Office of the City Clerk  Campbell, CA 95008
County of Santa Clara Office of the County Counsel  San Jose, CA 95110	County of Santa Clara Office of the County Clerk  San Jose, CA 95110	State of California Office of the Attorney General  Sacramento, CA 95814
State of California Department of General Services  Sacramento, CA 95605	City of Milpitas Attn: Utilities Engineering  Milpitas, CA 95035	Santa Clara Valley Water District  San Jose, CA 95118
State Water Resources Control Board Division of Drinking Water  Richmond, CA 94804	Mt Summit Mutual Water Co  Saratoga, CA 95070	City of San Jose Municipal Water Department Attn: Jeffrey Provenzano  San Jose, CA 95120

**SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY**  
**(U-168-W)**

**MAILING LIST**

**ATTACHMENT A**

California Water Service Co.  
Attn: Regulatory Affairs

Patrick Kearns, MD

Los Gatos, CA 95030

San Jose, CA 95112

**From:** Baker, John C.

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 17, 2018 1:09 PM

**To:** Baker, John C.

**Subject:** San Jose water company applies at CPUC for rate increases

Dear Community Leaders,

In an effort to keep you more informed regarding CPUC proceedings that affect your communities, I am passing on that San Jose Water Company has applied to the CPUC for authority to increase the rates it charges for water service by \$34,288,100 (or 9.76%) in 2019, by \$14,231,800 (or 3.70%) in 2020, and by \$20,581,700 or (5.17%) in 2021.

You can review the application (A.18-01-004) online

at: <http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?DocFormat=All&DocID=204158668>

The application is being reviewed by CPUC staff and administrative law judges. Such proceedings typically involve extensive customer notices, public participation hearings, and comment periods before going to the CPUC's commissioners for approval.

Sincerely,

**John C. Baker**

Local Government Liaison, Executive Division

California Public Utilities Commission

San Francisco CA 94102

**From:** Renee Roche

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 17, 2018 1:58 PM

**Subject:** Charities Housing Proposal

Good Afternoon, I am a resident of the Blossom Valley neighborhood. I have recently been informed that Charities Housing wants to develop the ACO Furniture site at . Charities Housing is planning a 160 unit low income housing project for this site.

This same proposal was made three years ago. At that time, neighbors and Councilman Ash Kalra worked together to engage the community to fight the proposal. Their efforts were successful and the plan was withdrawn. The proposal was a bad idea three years ago and it is a bad idea now. There is no benefit to the surrounding neighborhoods from this proposal and there is a potential for increased crime and blight and a reduction of property values.

This development is out of scale for the neighborhood and does not fit with the Urban Village concept discussed by neighbors two years ago. Many District 2 residents attended meetings to discuss the concept of an Urban Village Plan for the Blossom Hill/Snell area. Neighbors supported mixed use residential areas; revitalized retail and commercial areas and open spaces and parks. The ACO Charities Housing project is not in conformance with any of the suggestions from the summaries of those meetings.

I doubt you would find many residents who would object to a housing project that included affordable housing for teachers and public safety professionals, with some low income units included, and upscale retail and restaurants and open spaces.

Please support us in our efforts to stop this project.

Thank you,  
Renee Roche

**From:** Renee Gavello

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 17, 2018 2:00 PM

**Subject:** Charities Housing Proposal

Good Afternoon, I am a resident of the Blossom Valley neighborhood. I have recently been informed that Charities Housing wants to develop the ACO Furniture site at . Charities Housing is planning a 160 unit low income housing project for this site.

This same proposal was made three years ago. At that time, neighbors and Councilman Ash Kalra worked together to engage the community to fight the proposal. Their efforts were successful and the plan was withdrawn. The proposal was a bad idea three years ago and it is a bad idea now. There is no benefit to the surrounding neighborhoods from this proposal and there is a potential for increased crime and blight and a reduction of property values.

This development is out of scale for the neighborhood and does not fit with the Urban Village concept discussed by neighbors two years ago. Many District 2 residents attended meetings to discuss the concept of an Urban Village Plan for the Blossom Hill/Snell area. Neighbors supported mixed use residential areas; revitalized retail and commercial areas and open spaces and parks. The ACO Charities Housing project is not in conformance with any of the suggestions from the summaries of those meetings.

I doubt you would find many residents who would object to a housing project that included affordable housing for teachers and public safety professionals, with some low income units included, and upscale retail and restaurants and open spaces.

Please support us in our efforts to stop this project.

Thank you,  
Renee Gavello

**From:** bob tom <

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 17, 2018 4:30:44 PM

**Subject:** a letter from Blair Beekman-1a. Wednesday January 17, 2018. \_\_\_\_\_ What can be the many words to describe, the enjoyment in learning.

an apology.  
to learn to say his name correctly,  
IPA Aaron Zisser.

sincerely,  
blair beekman

**From:** bob tom

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 17, 2018 4:25 PM

**Subject:** a letter from Blair Beekman. Wednesday January 17, 2018. \_\_\_\_\_ What can be the many words to describe, the enjoyment in learning.

Dear city government of San Jose,

As you can probably guess, I can become pretty excited, in the words of the IPA study session, of January 16, 2018.

Besides learning and very much trusting, the selection of the new IPA.

And how new IPA, Aaron Zissen, wants to work, toward the future of San Jose,

I am very much excited by the idea, that both city council, and the police chief, expressed an interest, and apologies, for not being more open and communicative.

Hopefully I can write, with many, short, little letters, at this time, with a few ideas from the study session. But as a part of, 'the ever-fickle everyday public', I may tire out, or get side-tracked easily.

A condition everyone can suffer from, at some level.

So in the name of concentrating, I hope you can be patient, and like the things I will have to offer.

And when I write, with a few thoughts and ideas of my own, about the IPA study session process.

I am actually going to work, for the goal of enjoyment, at this point.

A sometimes lofty, and difficult idea, to work towards.

But an interesting idea, in how we can work together, at this time.

Please write back, how I can possibly improve, the level of enjoyment, for this process.

And in my writing, conversations, and ideas.

What can be an enjoyment, and not a chore, can be of help,  
in how we can all better communicate, with each other, in 2018.

Sincerely,  
Blair Beekman