COUNCIL AGENDA: 10/24/17 ITEM: 3.3 (17-098)



Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

FROM: David Sykes

SUBJECT: CWA/PLA TASK FORCE REPORT

DATE: October 12, 2017

SUPPLEMENTAL

REASON FOR SUPPLEMENTAL

To provide a revised CWA/PLA Ad Hoc Committee Deliberations Matrix (Matrix) dated September 22, 2017. The revised Matrix edits CWA/PLA Ad Hoc Committee member Mark Reynosa's comments on "Minimum \$ Threshold for Projects Subject to CWA."

DAVID SYKES
Assistant City Manager

Attachment: CWA/PLA Ad Hoc Committee Deliberations Matrix

For questions, please contact David Sykes, Assistant City Manager at (408) 535-8185.

CWA/PLA Ad Hoc Committee Deliberations

= Divergent Positions on the Issue

Issue for Consideration	Josué Garcia	Mark Reynosa	Staff Input
Single Project PLA	, g No	No ?	= 8
Wrap Around Multi Project PLA (CWA)	Yes	Yes	
Types of Projects Subject to CWA	City-funded public works construction contracts	City-funded CIP public works construction contracts	Does not include federally-funded CIP public works construction contracts, City-funded affordable housing loan agreements or private construction projects
Minimum \$ Threshold for Projects Subject to CWA	\$2 million & above to align with Santa Clara County & VTA Purpose of PLA/CWA is to put people to work. The lower the threshold the more people we can put to work. Out of 396 projects awarded in the last 5 years, 72 projects were above \$2 million, but only 18 projects were above \$6 million – an average of 3.6 projects per year. If we cover only 3 or 4 projects each year, the opportunities for disadvantaged workers will be very limited. Alignment with County & VTA is important for regional consistency and, more so, in creating a regional workforce pipeline. \$2 million is double the usual threshold. Among cities in our region with wraparound PLAs, all of them have a threshold of \$1 million or below.	Higher threshold projects avert loopholes & the City's monitoring resources and increases City's financial resources to directly fund and provide to local targeted vocational training programs for all industries. Increases competition and competitive bidding on higher threshold projects as well as increases small & targeted local business opportunities on below threshold projects. Diversification of craft & local targeted workforce opportunities (multiple trades) on higher threshold projects where below threshold projects where below threshold projects would have limited, if any targeted hire opportunities.	 Prefer higher \$ threshold to better align with nature & type of projects [multiple-trade & vertical building projects] May also allow greater small & local business participation for projects below threshold
Duration of CWA	5 years with roll over option & annual reporting requirement	5 years with annual reporting requirement & metrics/goals	-

Issue for Consideration	Josué Garcia	Mark Reynosa	Staff Input
Local Hire Requirement	No	No	Defer issue to separate policy work subsequent to completion of Labor Market Study
Good Faith Tiered ^a Targeted Hire Program for Apprentices from Underrepresented Groups ^b	Yes	Yes	Similar to County PLA & VTA CWA Policy
Goal % for Above Consideration	25%	25%	Similar to County PLA & VTA CWA Policy
Possible Exemptions/Exceptions to CWA	Opposed to built-in exemptions that would eliminate projects from even being considered for a PLA unless it is an emergency project or a specialty sole-source contract. OK with a built-in exemption for emergency work (i.e., emergency projects where there is not enough time to go to Council) Oppose a blanket exemption based on number of bidders. The number of bidders is driven by the market, the type of project, and City specifications. UC Berkeley statistical analysis shows PLAs do not reduce the number of bidders. OK with exemption for specialty contracts that are documented to be sole source (i.e., there is only one qualified company that performs that type of work and there are not qualified workers in the area to do the work.) Construction trucking that is covered by a prevailing wage determination should not be exempt.	Yes, including off-ramps for the number of bidders, City's proprietary interests and not in best interest of City. Clear exemptions avert loopholes, non-compliance issues & City's economic resources. Increases City's CIP budget where increased project management, CWA administration costs & any non-compliance exposure, due to CWA challenges, are put into bids. Provides projections for the City & the small & targeted local business community in a cyclical construction industry and protections for unforeseen emergencies (flooding & earthquake reconstruction).	Seek flexibility should CWA not be in City's best interest. Create exemption process acceptable to stakeholders. Examples could include: bid variances; lack of bidders; emergencies; specialty contractor; trucking
	1	Ē	is a second of the second of t

Issue for Consideration	Josué Garcia	Mark Reynosa	Staff Input
CWA Exemption for Small Businesses with	Yes, but only for targeted hire	Yes	May run counter to encouraging small &
Subcontract Value of ≤\$250K	<mark>requirement</mark>		disadvantaged contractors from bidding
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Levels competition.	on City projects
	 Targeted hiring of a new entry- 	Provides more opportunity and	
	level worker may be difficult	less CWA administration and	
The state of the s	for small businesses who have	project management costs for	
	only a few employees. The	small businesses.	
	other PLA requirements do not	Increases City's resources to	
	have any relationship to	develop, fund and maintain	4.
	business size.	educational and mentoring	
	On other PLAs, small	programs for local & small	
	contractors continue to bid	targeted businesses.	
4	projects.		
· ·	Creating loopholes in PLAs will	*	
	not help small businesses win		
	bids; the PLA comes into		El .
	effect only after they bid and	-0.0	
	win the project. Instead, the		
	City can create incentives and		
<i>ii</i>	supports for small and		
3	disadvantaged businesses,	, ,	
	such as a bid preference and		
8	technical assistance with		
	bonding, insurance,		
	paperwork, etc. VTA has an		
	effective model with a goal of		
	17% of contracts going to		
	MBE/diverse businesses.		

Issue for Consideration	Josué Garcia	Mark Reynosa	Staff Input
Contractors' Core Workforced Requirements	Yes, using the local union hall	Yes, Collective Bargaining	Requirements limiting a contractor's
	increases the % of local workers	Agreement (CBA) may dictate who	ability to use their own workforce
	and helps to ensure compliance	can work on a CWA project. CBAs	seem inefficient and unnecessarily
*	with worker protection laws.	already contain local union hall	limit business operations
		obligations.	May run counter to encouraging small
	Small (and large) non-union		& disadvantaged contractors from
	contractors have the ability to	Increases opportunity for local	bidding on City projects
	hire from the local union hall.	targeted workers to stay	
	There is no restriction or	employed with a single	
	limitation on non-union	contractor and to not be	,
	contractors working under the	removed from project by local	
	PLA.	union hiring hall rules.	
*	Hiring from the local union	Provides the opportunity for	
	hall provides an added layer	targeted workers who travel	
	of worker protections and	from outside areas to not be	
	increases the employment	removed from project by local	ii ii
L	opportunities for local	union hiring hall rules.	
	workers.	Increases safety on projects by	
	OK with including the process	lowering worker turnover by	
	as an item to be negotiated in	the limitations within local	
	the PLA, with the goal of	union hiring hall rules.	*
	ensuring the local hiring hall		,
	process is straightforward,		
	easy for contractor to		
	understand and does not add	12	
v	administrative burdens.	÷	
CWA Imposition of Liquidated Damages for Work	Yes, to be negotiated	Yes, \$25k per day (similar to VTA)	\$ amount to be determined during
Stoppages	2 <u>3.</u> 20.	15 N 10 W 1000	negotiation of CWA [CWA liquidated
17 °C 9000		7	damages range from \$10k to \$25k per
		\$	day]
Tigred - Tigr One includes Santa Clara County and Tigr Two includes the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Santa Cruz, San Benito, San Maten and San Francisco			

^a Tiered – Tier One includes Santa Clara County and Tier Two includes the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Santa Cruz, San Benito, San Mateo and San Francisco

^b **Underrepresented Groups** – Current or past County client (County Social Services, Re-entry and/or Foster Care Program), veterans of US military service, homeless, unemployed or low-income individuals, at-risk youth, or survivor of human trafficking.

^c Apprentices Goal – The California Labor Code requires all public works contracts valued at \$30,000 or more carry an obligation to hire apprentices. This duty applies to all contractors and subcontractors on a project even if their part of the project is less than \$30,000. The apprentice ratio is generally 16.7%. The Good Faith Tiered Targeted Hire Program for Apprentices Goal of 25% is a subset of the Labor Code requirement; i.e., Of the 16.7% required apprentice hours, 25% of those hours are to be apprentices from underrepresented groups.

^d Core Workforce – A contractor's own employees must meet "core employee" requirements. The requirements may vary but the concept is that a contractor, under a CWA, is only allowed to use a limited percentage of their own workforce and must pull the rest from the union hall.