



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
February 29, 2024 – March 7, 2024

DATE: March 13, 2024

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

- 1-6. Six letters from Verizon Wireless, dated February 29, 2024, regarding:
CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San José-CA.
- 7-10. Letters from 4 members of the public, dated February 29 – March 5, 2024, regarding:
Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings.
- 11-21. Letters from 11 members of the public, dated March 1 – March 6, 2024,
regarding: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel.
- 22. Letter from Victoria Hazelwood, dated March 1, 2024, regarding: [3/26] Approve the
Tenant Preference Policy
- 23-25. Letters from 3 members of the public, dated March 2 – March 4, 2024,
regarding: statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site.
- 26. Letter from Diane Solomon, dated March 3, 2024, regarding: Written Submission
Diane Solomon Public Comment at T&E Tomorrow.
- 27. Letter from Jeff Boissier, dated March 4, 2024, regarding: Public Comments: T&E
Meeting.
- 28-30. Letters from 3 members of the public, dated March 4 – March 5, 2024,
regarding: Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland.

31. Letter from Patty Fishburn, dated March 6, 2024, regarding: Pilot Program by CM Doan & CM Batra.
32. Letter from Elvera Faria, dated March 6, 2024, regarding: SJ LUV PILOT.



Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

TJT/tt

FW: CPUC Verizon Wireless City of San Jose CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_207 0

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 2:13 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachments (10 KB)

CPUC_2629.pdf;

From: CPUC Team <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 12:08 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Koki, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov>; Webmaster Manager <webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Jose-CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_207-0

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Feb 29, 2024

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

[REDACTED]

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_207
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Felipe Martinez
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory

[REDACTED]



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_207		1631 S 7th Street, San Jose , CA95112		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'56.016"N	121°52'0.193"W	NAD(83)	0 City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	30.25	Utility Small Cell Site Permit	01/22/2024	
Project Description: Installing 3 Ericsson 5G NR Aus and 1 Commscope LTE to existing Steel Pole.							

FW: CPUC Verizon Wireless City of San Jose CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_208 0

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 2:13 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

📎 1 attachments (10 KB)

CPUC_2630.pdf;

From: CPUC Team <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 12:17 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Koki, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov>; Webmaster Manager <webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Jose-CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_208-0

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Feb 29, 2024

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

[REDACTED]

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_208
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Felipe Martinez
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory

[REDACTED]



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov		cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_208		1675 Monterey Hwy, San Jose , CA95112		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'41.473"N	121°52'9.516"W	NAD(83)	0 City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	35	Utility Small Cell Permit	12/11/2023	
Project Description: Installing 3 Ericsson 5G NR Aus and 1 Commscope LTE to existing Steel Pole							

FW: CPUC Verizon Wireless City of San Jose CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_209 0

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 2:14 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachments (10 KB)

CPUC_2631.pdf;

From: CPUC Team <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 12:20 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Koki, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov>; Webmaster Manager <webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Jose-CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_209-0

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Feb 29, 2024

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

[REDACTED]

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_209
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Felipe Martinez
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory

[REDACTED]



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_209		285 Leo Ave, San Jose , CA95112		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'42.301"N	121°51'47.845"WNAD(83)	0	City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	30.5	Utility Small Cell Permit	10/19/2023	
Project Description: Installing 3 Ericsson 5G NR Aus and 1 Commscope LTE to existing Steel Pole							

FW: CPUC Verizon Wireless City of San Jose SF SAN JOSE 525 608712

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 12:31 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachments (10 KB)

CPUC_2628.pdf;

Does this go to you? Teresa

From: CPUC Team <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 12:07 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: westareacpuc@verizonwireless.com; Koki, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov>; Webmaster Manager <webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Jose-SF SAN JOSE 525-608712

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Feb 29, 2024

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

[REDACTED]

RE: Notification Letter for SF SAN JOSE 525
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Felipe Martinez
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory

[REDACTED]



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		SF SAN JOSE 525		105 Bernal Road, San Jose , CA95119		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°13'53.174"N	121°46'22.682"WNAD(83)	608712	City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	31.75	Utility Small Cell Permit	02/22/2024	
Project Description: Installing (3) Ericsson 5G NR Radios and (1) Commscope LTE to existing Steel Pole.							

FW: CPUC Verizon Wireless City of San Jose CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_198 641270

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 2:27 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachments (10 KB)

CPUC_2633.pdf;

From: CPUC Team <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 12:22 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Koki, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov>; Webmaster Manager <webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Jose-CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_198-641270

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Feb 29, 2024

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

[REDACTED]

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_198
CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_198

San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Felipe Martinez
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory

[REDACTED]



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_198		27 W Alma Ave, San Jose , CA95110		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'58.353"N	121°52'26.919"W	NAD(83) 641270	City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	30.0	Utility Small Cell Permit	1/23/2024	
Project Description: Installing 3 Ericsson 5G NR Aus and 1 Commscope LTE to existing Steel Pole							

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_198		27 W Alma Ave, San Jose , CA95110		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°18'58.353"N	121°52'26.919"W	NAD(83) 641270	City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	30.0	Utility Small Cell Permit	1/23/2024	
Project Description: Installing 3 Ericsson 5G NR Aus and 1 Commscope LTE to existing Steel Pole							

FW: CPUC Verizon Wireless City of San Jose CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_179 641369

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 2:27 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

📎 1 attachments (10 KB)

CPUC_2632.pdf;

From: CPUC Team <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 12:21 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Koki, Elizabeth <Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov>; Webmaster Manager <webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: CPUC - Verizon Wireless - City of San Jose-CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_179-641369

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

This is to provide your agency with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (CPUC) see attachment.

This notice is being provided pursuant to Section IV.C.2.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Feb 29, 2024

Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

[REDACTED]

RE: Notification Letter for CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_179
San Jose, CA /GTE Mobilnet California LP

This is to provide the Commission with notice according to the provisions of General Order No. 159A of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California ("CPUC") for the project described in Attachment A.

A copy of this notification letter is also being provided to the appropriate local government agency for its information. Should there be any questions regarding this project, or if you disagree with any of the information contained herein, please contact the representative below.

Verizon Wireless

Felipe Martinez
Coordinator RE & Compliance - West Territory

[REDACTED]



JURISDICTION	PLANNING MANAGER	CITY MANAGER	CITY CLERK	DIRECTOR OF SCHOOL BOARD	COUNTY
City of San Jose	Elizabeth.Koki@sanjoseca.gov	webmaster.manager@sanjoseca.gov	cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov		Santa Clara

VZW Legal Entity		Site Name		Site Address		Tower Design	Size of Building or NA
GTE Mobilnet California LP		CA_SJ_SANJOSE_DTSOUTH_179		1402 Joe DiMaggio Ct, San Jose , CA95122		Public Lighting Structure (free standing)	N/A
Site Latitude	Site Longitude	PS Location Code	Tower Appearance	Tower Height (in feet)	Type of Approval	Approval Issue Date	
37°19'40.792"N	121°50'35.189"W	641369	City Street Steel Light Pole RAD @ 25'-2	30.33	Utility Small Cell Permit	2/2/2024	
Project Description: Installing 3 Ericsson 5G NR Aus and 1 Commscope LTE to replacement Steel Pole							

Fw: Paul Welch 95118 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 2/29/2024 2:46 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Thursday, February 29, 2024 2:31 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Paul Welch 95118 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]**Sent:** Thursday, February 29, 2024 2:30 PM**To:** District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]**Subject:** Paul Welch 95118 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to

the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings.

Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision.

Paul Welch

95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: richard hagner 95116 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 3/5/2024 7:44 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]**Sent:** Tuesday, March 05, 2024 6:09 AM**To:** District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]**Subject:** richard hagner 95116 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother.

We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings.

Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision.

richard hagner
95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Jeremy Barousse 95135 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 3/5/2024 2:35 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, March 5, 2024 1:58 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Jeremy Barousse 95135 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United [REDACTED]**Sent:** Tuesday, March 05, 2024 1:35 PM**To:** District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]**Subject:** Jeremy Barousse 95135 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to

the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings.

Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision.

Jeremy Barousse

95135

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United

<https://www.district5united.org>

Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Frances Respicio 95112 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, March 02, 2024 2:48 PM
To: District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Frances Respicio 95112 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother.

We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

- Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.
- Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.
- Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours-long council meetings.
- Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision.

Frances Respicio
95112

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 3/4/2024 8:33 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, March 4, 2024 7:58 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Alondra Ordaz <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 2024 10:38 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how

Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Alondra Ordaz



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 3/1/2024 9:05 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Friday, March 1, 2024 7:19 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Emmett Abatecola <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Thursday, February 29, 2024 4:08 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how

Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Emmett Abatecola



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Aubrey Williams <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, March 02, 2024 12:49 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

Hello, I am a small business owner in Santa Clara 95050z. I'm reaching out today to urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and

healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Aubrey Williams

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Farial Nabi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, March 02, 2024 7:37 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and

healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Farial Nabi

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:26 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Amanda Roche <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, March 02, 2024 9:27 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and

healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Amanda Roche



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:33 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Katie Ong <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, March 03, 2024 8:38 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

As a lifelong resident of San Jose, student and citizen it is of utmost importance that you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and

healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Katie Ong

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:33 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Mari Valadez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, March 03, 2024 4:37 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

Hello, my name is Mariana Valadez and I am a resident of San Jose and student at San José State University. Being a child of immigrant parents I understand the struggles my family faced through colonization. I continue to see a genocide of indigenous peoples and it is not ok. I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the

United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Mari Valadez



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:33 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Wajhiulla Smith-Khan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, March 03, 2024 4:08 PM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and

healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Wajhiulla Smith-Khan

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 3/6/2024 8:33 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, March 6, 2024 8:03 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Leon Kuo <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, March 05, 2024 11:02 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Leon Kuo



Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 3/7/2024 8:33 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, March 7, 2024 8:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Jessica Ramirez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, March 06, 2024 6:09 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how

Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Jessica Ramirez



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thu 3/7/2024 8:34 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Thursday, March 7, 2024 8:01 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: Ceejay Flores <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Wednesday, March 06, 2024 5:45 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

I urge you to take action and ban city authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how

Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.

Ceejay Flores



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: [3/26] Approve the Tenant Preference Policy

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 3/1/2024 2:27 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Victoria Hazelwood [REDACTED]**Sent:** Friday, March 1, 2024 2:00 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** [3/26] Approve the Tenant Preference Policy

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

San Jose City Clerk ,

When I first moved to San Jose from Virginia years ago, I moved here because San Jose was a land of opportunity. I was an unemployed bartender with \$300 to my name and was sleeping on the floor of an acquaintances living room. Through hard work and determination, and most importantly the San Jose community and the many opportunities our area holds, I was able to make something of myself and become a Technical Architect overseeing enterprise, multi-national businesses technical needs. However, I have never forgotten where I came from, nor the people that now stand where I once stood when I first came to our city.

As a resident of San José, and a human being, it is heartbreaking to see the noticeable displacement that occurs when families are unable to find an affordable home due to long waitlists. While San Jose and Santa Clara County has a decent number of people and families making many times over the California and Federal average and median incomes, we all know that a good chunk of San Jose residents are barely getting by due to "greedflation" from corporations and absolutely insane housing prices. These are our neighbors, our friends, our teachers, our childcare providers, etc. having to choose between things like food, medical care, and rent.

Our great state of California is known all over the world for being a place of opportunity. Every year, California welcomes scores of people from almost every country in the world with open arms as they come here to try their hand at obtaining the American dream. People that believed as I once did that this is a place to grow, succeed, and thrive. We can never live up to our reputation as a state if we do not ensure that our residents have an easy of a time as possible in a quest to meet the most basic needs of food and shelter without having to go without one of them until they magically have an extra \$20 leftover on a paycheck.

We need a housing revolution in our community, and that is not possible without your support and the support of our community as a whole. It is time that we make ourselves the city and state that we portray ourselves to be. It is time that we put the needs of the majority over the wishes and desires of the minority and do the right thing for the residents of San Jose. It is time once and for all to get the housing affordability crisis under control once and for all! It is truly mind blowing how I personally know couples making \$250k per person with amazing credit that cannot afford homes and sometimes don't get approved for apartments. If it is that hard for them, how hard must it be for the people that are trying working hard every day to have that life for themselves and their families?

The San José City Council has the opportunity to address the root causes of our housing crisis by approving the Tenant Preference Policy at your March 26 meeting. This policy will allow us to prevent the displacement of low-income renters, increase access to affordable housing, and improve housing stability and costs.

Don't postpone the Tenant Preference Policy any longer. The full City Council must approve the ordinance on March 26. We need to STOP prolonging the housing crisis; the decision is yours!

Sincerely,

Victoria Hazelwood

Victoria Hazelwood
[REDACTED]

San Jose, California [REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:25 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Paul Zuniga 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, March 02, 2024 9:25 PM
To: District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Paul Zuniga 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

Statement of Principles from community members:

1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and tree canopy. To mitigate the impact of this loss, include green stormwater infrastructure and tree canopy in order to reduce flooding and heat island effect along with energy efficiency considerations.

5. A mix of transportation options that will directly benefit the residents of East San José. Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Homeownership should be offered to first time owners, who will live there and take pride in caring for their property verse speculators who are out to make rent profits. Young families in San Jose find it impossible to obtain the dream of homeownership, so let's help our future families live and work in San Jose. Japan offers homeownership for young families to live together with their elderly parents to provide a caring family unit. We have the opportunity to make a model way of living for future generations to come!

Paul Zuniga
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2024 7:42 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Sumit Wattal 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2024 10:09 PM
To: District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Sumit Wattal 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

Statement of Principles from community members:

1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and tree canopy. To mitigate the impact of this loss, include green stormwater infrastructure and tree canopy in order to reduce flooding and heat island effect along with energy efficiency considerations.

5. A mix of transportation options that will directly benefit the residents of East San José. Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

We need good quality retail stores and recreational sites on the east side so people paying taxes can get maximum benefits without a need to go to other cities in Bay Area

Sumit Wattal
95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2024 7:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Michael Gokey 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2024 11:51 PM
To: District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Michael Gokey 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

Statement of Principles from community members:

1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and tree canopy. To mitigate the impact of this loss, include green stormwater infrastructure and tree canopy in order to reduce flooding and heat island effect along with energy efficiency considerations.

5. A mix of transportation options that will directly benefit the residents of East San José. Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Michael Gokey
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United
<https://www.district5united.org>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Written Submission Diane Solomon Public Comment at T&E Tomorrow

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 3/6/2024 9:50 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, March 6, 2024 9:40 AM**To:** Diane Solomon <[REDACTED]> Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** RE: Written Submission Diane Solomon Public Comment at T&E Tomorrow

Dear: Diane Solomon

Since we did not get a response from you in terms of what March 4th item number does your comment below is relevant to, then we gather that this was a “general” comment.

Forwarding your general comment over to the Clerk’s Office for posting.

Thank you,
T&E Liaison

From: TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, March 4, 2024 8:25 AM**To:** Diane Solomon <[REDACTED]> TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** Smith, Ryan <[REDACTED]> Laveroni, Kyle <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** RE: Written Submission Diane Solomon Public Comment at T&E Tomorrow

Dear Diane Solomon:

This email address of tepubliccomment@sanjoseca.gov belongs to the Transportation and Environment Committee (T&E).

Thank you for your written comment below.

If you want your comment posted this to our March 4th agenda, then can you please provide us with the T&E committee item number where your comment should go to?

We would need the item number as soon as possible, so we can add it. Otherwise, it will be posted after the meeting today.

If your comment is not relevant to any specific items in today's, March 4th T&E meeting, then we will forward your comment over to the Clerk's Office for posting.

General comments go to the Clerk's Office.

Awaiting for your swift response.

Thanks,
T&E Committee Liaison

From: Diane Solomon <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2024 12:48 PM
To: TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Smith, Ryan <[REDACTED]>; Laveroni, Kyle <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Written Submission Diane Solomon Public Comment at T&E Tomorrow

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear City Clerk,

Would you kindly reply to this email so I know that you received it?

I am a member of the Public who will be making a public comment at tomorrow's meeting of the Transportation and Environmental Committee tomorrow, March 4th at 1:30 pm.

Here are my written comments for this Committee:

Dear Transportation and Environment Committee:

Many thanks for working to make our City greener and safer for those who reside in our City.

Too many of our City's pedestrians and cyclists are killed by speeding automobiles.

There are also uncountable near misses and injuries suffered by bicyclists, pedestrians and wheelchair riders that go unreported.

1] Slow down cars and the fatalities and serious injuries decrease

By now everyone on this committee knows that 50% of pedestrians die when hit at 42 mph.

Operating speeds on our Vision Zero corridors are much higher than posted limits on roads with inadequate traffic calming. Drivers operate at higher than posted speeds on many of the multilane streets that cut through underserved neighborhoods in our City.

These are the roads that the privileged use to cut through underserved neighborhood. I live in WG—we are privileged by design. Currently the King Road corridor is disadvantaged by design.

Please continue to support the infrastructure changes that by design force cars to slow down.

2] I urge you to add funding for the Speed Camera Program, Vision Zero, and The King Road Complete Streets Plan to our City's Fiscal year 2024-2025's CAPITAL BUDGET.

Make funding for them a priority this budget cycle:

- *We need the Speed Camera Pilot Program to be put in place asap*
- *We need more vision Zero solutions put in place asap.*
- *Our long neglected and vulnerable East side residents need the King Road Complete Streets Plan to be put in place yesterday.*

Thank you for your attention and consideration,

Diane Solomon, Cyclist and Pedestrian

*District 6 Resident, Lifetime member of the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition
and long time San Jose Biké Party member*

Thank you, in advance,

*Diane Solomon,
District 6 Resident*

Fw: Public Comments: T&E Meeting

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 3/4/2024 4:34 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, March 4, 2024 4:29 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>; jeff boissier <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** FW: Public Comments: T&E Meeting

Thank you, Jeff Boissier for your response.

@ Agenda Desk –

Forwarding Jeff Boissier's **general** public comment over to you for your handling.

See below.

Thank you,
T&E Committee Liaison

From: jeff boissier <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Monday, March 4, 2024 12:04 PM**To:** TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Re: Public Comments: T&E Meeting

[External Email]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

This is a general comment.

Regards,

Jeff Boissier

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2024, at 10:27 AM, TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov> wrote:

Dear Jeff Boissier:

Thank you for your written comment below.

If you want your comment posted, can you please provide us with the March 4th T&E committee item number where your comment should go to?

We would need the March 4th item number, so we can add it.

If your comment is **not** relevant to any specific items in today's, March 4th T&E meeting, then we will forward your comment over to the Clerk's Office for posting.

General comments go to the Clerk's Office.

Awaiting for your swift response.

Thanks,
T&E Committee Liaison

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email message (including attachments, if any) is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, then please notify the sender immediately and delete this message.

-----Original Message-----

From: jeff boissier <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 8:49 AM

To: TEPublicComment <TEPublicComment@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Public Comments: T&E Meeting

[External Email]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

I am writing to express my concerns about the recent announcement at the latest Vision Zero Task Force (VZTF) meeting that VZ will be folded in to the T&E committee.

I would like to see an agenda item added to an upcoming T&E meeting that discusses the transition and to what extent and how T&E will be addressing the safety issues that the Vision Zero Task Force has dealt with to date.

I request that at a minimum the VZTF manager for DOT, Jess Mintz-Roth, be added to the list of required attendees/participants of all T&E meetings.

I would also like to request that T&E apply actionable objectives and key results (OKRs) to all VZ agenda items so the committee can track relative success of these items and course correct as necessary. This, unfortunately, wasn't a priority for VZTF and I think it hampered any assessment as to the relative success of the task force.

I look forward to VZ related issues getting greater visibility through a wider city council participation and more frequent meetings in T&E but also feel it's important to continue the input of the cross functional attendees as they have had for the VZTF.

Thank you,

Jeff Boissier, District 10

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2024 7:43 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Michael Gokey 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2024 11:44 PM
To: [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Michael Gokey 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states “the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case.” Further, the Charter states “[a]s used herein ‘public parks’ means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Additionally, the staff's memo (<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument?id=108319&t=638406648249390275>) notes: “Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public.”

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether “improved” parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under-construction parks. In

addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely,
Michael Gokey
95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United
<https://www.sanjoseunited.net>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2024 7:44 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Robert Applebaum 95135 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2024 12:24 AM
To: [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Robert Applebaum 95135 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states “the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case.” Further, the Charter states “[a]s used herein ‘public parks’ means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Additionally, the staff's memo (<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument?id=108319&t=638406648249390275>) notes: “Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public.”

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether “improved” parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under-construction parks. In

addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely,
Robert Applebaum
95135

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United
<https://www.sanjoseunited.net>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Aguilar, Daniel

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2024 7:45 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: richard hagner 95116 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2024 6:08 AM
To: [REDACTED] District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: richard hagner 95116 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states “the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case.” Further, the Charter states “[a]s used herein ‘public parks’ means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes.” Additionally, the staff's memo (<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument?id=108319&t=638406648249390275>) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether “improved” parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under-construction parks. In

addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely,
richard hagner
95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

--

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United
<https://www.sanjoseunited.net>
Community Working Together

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Pilot Program by CM Doan & CM Batra

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 3/6/2024 1:41 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: patty fishburn [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2024 1:31 PM
To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Pilot Program by CM Doan & CM Batra

[External Email]

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

Dear Rules Committee,

May I add my voice to those who would like to see this pilot implemented! It's a time and \$\$ saver. I am exhausted from so many programs (profit and non profit) to help the Homeless but not much improvement from what I see on the streets.

Please work on the County to utilize SCC Fairgrounds. They are responsible for helping the homeless also.

Thank you,

Patty Fishburn

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SUV LUV PILOT

Elvera Faria <[REDACTED]>

Wed 3/6/2024 1:35 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Doan, Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>; Fleming, Jonathan <Jonathan.Fleming@sanjoseca.gov>; Batra, Arjun <arjun.batra@sanjoseca.gov>; Kamei, Rosemary <Rosemary.Kamei@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Torres, Omar <Omar.Torres@sanjoseca.gov>; Candelas, Domingo <Domingo.Candelas@sanjoseca.gov>; Ortiz, Peter <Peter.Ortiz@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

Im writing today to ask all of you to honestly take time to understand and work with CM Doan and CM Batra on their pilot program. This is a doable quick resolution to solving our homeless situation and also then being able to enforce municipal codes. This is exactly what we need to get things back on track for San Jose.

Beautify and Soar programs are not cost effective nor do they solve any problems. They are costly, ineffective and encourage encampments.

The solution that Cm Doan brings to you is quick, and cost effective. This pilot program will make sure that the homeless folks stay in their original area and not get pushed around. It also makes it mandatory for them to seek shelter. Without that mandate, none of your steps work. There is a huge incentive just to stay in tents because the city does not impose any rules on them and just provides free clean-ups and a free pass to do anything.

Thank you
Elvera
D2 Resident

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.