## SAN JOSE CATTO OF SELECON VALLEY COUNCIL POlicy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Department Rep. Name/Ext. A Policy/Ordinance Subject			PRNS						Rules Date Council Member Sponsorship			/22	Item	C.6		
			Avi Yotam, X53570					 Cound				าลร				
			Manager's Budget Addendum -													
			ake Cunnigham Funding Strategy					_								
		-		-	_		-									
Staff Recommendation																
GREEN Adopt based on tra outlined on next pa								g 🗌 RED								
			bage	ge or to Budget Pro				ess adopt nominated idea				MORE TIME TO EVALUATE				
Staff Evaluation																
Is this aligned with City Roadman								Is this time critical or an emergency								
	<b>√</b> No		Department work plan?				Yes Vo				staffing, budget, or strategic support?					
Yes ✓ No   Criterion to Determine Scale of Project Complexity												res				
	complexity is				t in eac	h of the 3	criteri	ons below a	nd then sumr	ming the s	core.					
	a. Low Com			0 1 7						0						
b. Medium Complexity is a sum of 7 – 9.								Total S	icore = 5							
	c. High Com	plexity is a	sum of 10	) or greater.												
				Low Complexity			Medium Complexity					High Complexity				
Scoring Criterion	Estimated Duration			6 − 9 months			9 - 18 months = 2				_	More than 18 months = 3				
	Organizational			Can Easily be Absorbed $\swarrow = 1$			Plann	Planned Work (Future) $\Box = 2$				Work Not Currently Proposed $\Box = 3$				
	Complexity			into Existing Work Plan					staff with required skillset/ $\Box = 2$							
Crit	(Internal)								staff with required skillset/ $\Box = 2$ res moderate research				Do not have staff with required □= 3 skillset/requires significant research			
ing			3811301													
Scor			Less th	Less than or equal 2 $\checkmark = 1 3$ -				staff required $\Box = 2$				More than 5 staff required $\Box = 3$				
0,			staff re	staff required												
	(External)		1 Additional $\mathbf{Z} = 1$			2 Oth	2 Other Departments Involved $\square = 2$				2 3 or more Departments Involved $\Box = 3$					
			Depar	Department												
DEPT. Required	🗆 Airport	□ Auditor	🗆 смо	CMO – Commur	nications		CA	🗆 ESD	🗆 Fire	🗆 HR	□ IT	PRNS		e 🗆 Retirer	ment	
	□ Attorney	🗆 Clerk	CMO Budge		_	Comn Energ	'	□ Finance	□ Housing	🗆 IPA	🗆 Libr	ary 🗆 PBCE	× PW	□ DOT		

CMO Approval: /s/ Lee Wilcox

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Analysis

Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.

GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department work plan. (1) How will the Idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Roadmap or to a Department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the Idea forward and reduce its complexity?

Staff will develop and submit a Manager's Budget Addendum (MBA) for the 2023-2024 annual budget process. The MBA will detail the challenges and estimated costs for a potential funding strategy to restore Lake Cunnigham. This project is not currently on the City's Strategic Project list which was approved in March 2022. However, the City's Intergovenrmental Relations team is aware of this project and the desire to pursue various funding avenues, including competitive grant funding as well as State and Federal earmarks funding options if opportunities arise.

YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).

RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).

Analysis (Continued)