

Council Policy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Department Planning, Building and Code Enforcement
 Department Rep. Name/Ext. Michael Brilliot 535-7831
 Policy/Ordinance Subject Yes in God's Back Yard (YIGBY)

Rules Date 11/02/22 Item C.2
 Council Member Sponsorship Mayor Sam Liccardo
Vice Mayor Chappie Jones
Councilmember Dev Davis

Staff Recommendation														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GREEN Adopt based on tradeoffs outlined on next page				<input type="checkbox"/> YELLOW Refer to Priority Setting or to Budget Process				<input type="checkbox"/> RED Recommend Council not adopt nominated idea				<input type="checkbox"/> NEEDS CLARIFICATION OR MORE TIME TO EVALUATE		
Staff Evaluation														
Is this aligned with City Roadmap?				Is this already underway in a Department work plan?				Is this time critical or an emergency?				Will this require substantial resources, staffing, budget, or strategic support?		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
Criterion to Determine Scale of Project Complexity														
Project complexity is determined by scoring the project in each of the 3 criteria below and then summing the score.														
a. Low Complexity is a sum of 6 or less. b. Medium Complexity is a sum of 7 – 9. Total Score = 5 c. High Complexity is a sum of 10 or greater.														
Scoring Criterion			Low Complexity				Medium Complexity				High Complexity			
	Estimated Duration		6 – 9 months <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = 1				9 - 18 months <input type="checkbox"/> = 2				More than 18 months <input type="checkbox"/> = 3			
	Organizational Complexity (Internal)		Can Easily be Absorbed into Existing Work Plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = 1				Planned Work (Future) <input type="checkbox"/> = 2				Work Not Currently Proposed <input type="checkbox"/> = 3			
			Have staff with required skillset/knowledge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = 1				Have staff with required skillset/ requires moderate research <input type="checkbox"/> = 2				Do not have staff with required skillset/requires significant research <input type="checkbox"/> = 3			
			Less than or equal 2 staff required <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = 1				3 - 4 staff required <input type="checkbox"/> = 2				More than 5 staff required <input type="checkbox"/> = 3			
(External)		1 Additional Department <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> = 1				2 Other Departments Involved <input type="checkbox"/> = 2				3 or more Departments Involved <input type="checkbox"/> = 3				
DEPT. Required	<input type="checkbox"/> Airport	<input type="checkbox"/> Auditor	<input type="checkbox"/> CMO	<input type="checkbox"/> CMO – Communications	<input type="checkbox"/> OED/CA	<input type="checkbox"/> ESD	<input type="checkbox"/> Fire	<input type="checkbox"/> HR	<input type="checkbox"/> IT	<input type="checkbox"/> PRNS	<input type="checkbox"/> Police	<input type="checkbox"/> Retirement		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> Clerk	<input type="checkbox"/> CMO – Budget	<input type="checkbox"/> CMO –	<input type="checkbox"/> Community Energy	<input type="checkbox"/> Finance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing	<input type="checkbox"/> IPA	<input type="checkbox"/> Library	<input type="checkbox"/> PBCE	<input type="checkbox"/> PW	<input type="checkbox"/> DOT		

CMO Approval: /s/ Lee Wilcox Date 11/1/2022

Analysis
Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.
<p>GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department work plan. (1) How will the Idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Roadmap or to a Department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the Idea forward and reduce its complexity?</p>
<p>Citywide Planning Division staff are currently working on the Affordable Housing on Assembly Use Sites Policy (YIGBY), a policy framework to allow affordable housing to be constructed as a secondary use in conjunction with existing assembly uses. This item is on the FY 2022-2023 City Initiatives Roadmap. The scope of the “City-Initiated General Plan Amendment for Public/Quasi-Public Land Use Designation: Defining Permanent Supportive Housing” is more simplistic and requires less staff time than the scope of the YIGBY Policy. Therefore, by shifting from YIGBY to the new policy work, additional staff capacity would be created to work on other policy/ordinance items. In addition, the new policy work item, in conjunction with recent state laws that allow school districts to construct teacher housing on its land, would provide opportunities for redevelopment of school district land with residential uses. Therefore, the new policy work item eliminates the need for the Yes on School Land (YOSL) policy that is currently in the Citywide Planning’s work program.</p>
<p>YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).</p>
<p>RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).</p>