SAN JOSE COuncil Policy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Department H			Housing					Rules Dat	9/2	0/28/22 Item C.1						
Department Rep. Name/Ext. Ja			acky Mo	rales-Ferrand	Counc	cil Member Sp	p Jo	nes,	Foley, Jir	nenez, N	Nahan					
Policy/Ordinance Subject			Actions R	elated to RV's												
							_									
					_											
Staff Recommendation																
🗹 GRE	EEN Adopt l	based on tra	adeoffs 🗆] YELLOW Refer	g 🗌 RED	Recomme	il not	ot 🔽 NEEDS CLARIFICATION OR								
	outline	d on next p	age	or to E		adopt nom	ea	MORE TIME TO EVALUATE								
Staff Evaluation																
Is this a	ligned with Ci	ty Roadmap		s this already unde	Is this tim	e critical or a	ency?									
				Department work p							staffing, budget, or strategic support?					
Yes	n to Determi	no Scolo of	Project Co	Yes 🖌 No	Yes	V No				Yes	No					
				g the project in eac	h of the 3	criteri	ons helow a	nd then sum	ning the	score						
110jeet	a. Low Com		,						ining the	score.	1					
b. Medium Complexity is a sum of $7 - 9$.								Total Score = 14								
	c. High Com	plexity is a s	sum of 10 o	or greater.												
				Low Complexity			Medium Complexity					High Complexity				
	Estimated Duration		$6-9$ months $\Box=1$			9 - 18 months = 2										
Scoring Criterion	Organizational					Plann	Planned Work (Future) $\Box = 2$					Work Not Currently Proposed \blacksquare = 3				
	Complexity			sting Work Plan												
	(Internal)			aff with required	staff with re		·									
	(internal)		skillset/	knowledge	res moderat	es moderate research				skillset/requires significant research						
			Less tha	in or equal 2	staff require	aff required $\Box = 2$										
			Less than or equal 2 $\Box = 1$ 3 - 4 statestaff required													
	(External)					er Departments Involved $\Box = 2$				2 3 or more Departments Involved $\square = 3$						
			Departr	Department												
DEPT. Required	□ Airport	□ Auditor	🗷 CMO	CMO – Communications	▼ OED/	CA	□ ESD	□ Fire	🗆 HR	TI 🗆	Г	▪ PRNS	Police	🗆 Ret	tirement	
	□ Attorney	🗆 Clerk	■ CMO – Budget		Comn Energ	nunity gy	□ Finance	🗴 Housing	🗆 IPA	🗆 Li	ibrary	D PBCE	× PW	🗆 DO	т	

CMO Approval: /s/ Angel Rios

Date _____

Analysis

Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.

GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department work plan. (1) How will the Idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Roadmap or to a Department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the Idea forward and reduce its complexity?

Recommendation 1 [GREEN] - The Administration will explore the cost and strategy regarding recommendation # 1 over the next three to four weeks and will report back to the Rules Committee. As required by the U.S. Dept of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), a comprehensive Point in Time (PIT) count of community members experiencing homelessness is completed by the Housing Department, in partnership with Santa Clara County, at least every two years, typically in January. The Housing department completed a PIT count in January of 2022 through a contracted company which included RV counts. To reduce duplication and strategically utilize information that is currently available, staff is requesting additional time to confirm which information collected in the PIT count aligns with the data request in recommendation #1.

If the information available does not sufficiently meet this request, the Housing Department will explore opportunities and costs associated with leveraging the next PIT count--which is scheduled for January of 2023--to collect the desired information. Staff will provide Council with scoping options related to this request; costs and staff resources needed are dependent on the ability to leverage existing efforts and the ability to collect the level of detail requested. The January PIT count will be completed by a contractor and will require the exploration of available funds to complete the work with the added requirements. [Continued Below]

YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).

RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).

Analysis (Continued)

Recommendations 2 - 5 [NEED MORE TIME TO EVALUATE]- As recommendations 2-5 are dependent on completion of #1, staff requests more time to understand what options are available to completing #1, and how the results of that analysis can be embedded in existing work vs what resources would be needed to meet the requested direction.

SAN JOSE COUNCIL Policy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Department Rep. Name/Ext.			Housing Jacky Morales-Ferrand						Rules Dat	е	9-2	9-28-22 Item C					
									cil Member Sp	o Coh	nen						
			Actions R	elated to R	Vs in th	ne City	_										
		C	of San Jo	se													
		_															
Staff Re	commendati	on															
GREEN Adopt based on tra							-	g 🗌 RED		mend Council no		ot 🛛 NEEDS CLARIFICATION OR					
		d on next p	age	ge or to Budget Process					adopt nom	ea	MORE TIME TO EVALUATE						
	aluation		T														
Is this aligned with City Roadmap								Is this tim	ncy?								
				Department work plan?								staffing, budget, or strategic support?					
Ves Critorio	n to Determi	no Scalo of		•	No			Yes	V No				Yes	✓ No			
	complexity is				t in eac	h of the 3	criteri	ons below a	nd then sum	ning the s	score						
1 Oject	a. Low Com						enteri				core.						
b. Medium Complexity is a sum of $7 - 9$.									Total Score = 8								
	c. High Com	plexity is a	sum of 10	or greater.													
			Low Complexity				Medium Complexity					High Complexity					
	Estimated Duration					☑=1	9 - 18	- 18 months \Box = 2More than 18 months					onths		□=3		
u	Organizational					Z =1	Planned Work (Future)					Work Not Currently Proposed $\Box = 3$					
Scoring Criterion	Complexity		into Existing Work Plan														
	(Internal)						ve staff with required skillset/					Do not have staff with required $\Box = 3$ skillset/requires significant research					
			SKIIISEL	skiliset/kilowiedge													
			Less than or equal 2 $\Box = 1$ 3			3 - 4 9	staff require										
				staff required													
	(External)		1 Additional			2 Oth	Other Departments Involved				2 3 or more Departments Involved $\Box = 3$						
			Depart	Department													
DEPT. Required	🗆 Airport	□ Auditor	🗷 CMO	CMO – Commur	nications		CA	🗆 ESD	□ Fire	🗆 HR	🗆 IT		▪ PRNS	Police	🗆 Re	etirement	
	□ Attorney	🗆 Clerk	CMO - Budge) —	Comn Energ		□ Finance	□ Housing	🗆 IPA	🗆 Lib	rary	D PBCE	🗆 PW	× DC	ЭТ	

CMO Approval: /s/ Angel Rios

Date _____

Analysis

Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.

GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department work plan. (1) How will the Idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Roadmap or to a Department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the Idea forward and reduce its complexity?

Recommendation 1(a) - [GREEN] - Staff is planning to return to council late in November 2022 to discuss best practices related to designating RV locations within the City and the associated costs. This is in response to previous council direction from May 3, 2022 (see memo from Vice Mayor Chappie Jones and Councilmember Matt Mahan titled City Roadmap - Encampment Management Strategy, dated April 29, 2022).

Recommendation 1(b) - [GREEN] - Staff is currently conducting a small pilot program that is exploring both buy-back and limited repairs for vehicles and RVs valued under \$500 and is developing a process for RVs valued over \$500. Upon completion of the pilot, staff will communicate the pilot outcomes via an information memorandum; this will include costs for scaling if there were positive outcomes.

YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).

RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).