

CMO Approval: ____/s/ Lee Wilcox

Council Policy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Department		<u>C</u>	CMO-Employee Relations / Police Departm				tment Rules Date			6/1/	22	Item	C1	
Department Rep. Name/Ext.			Jennifer Schembri, x58154 / Chief Mata				Counc	Council Member Sponsorship N			or/or			
Policy/Ordinance Subject Ir			nvestigation Principles Police, Administrative Leave Policy				 cy							
· -			Related SJPD Duty Manual and IA Guidelines				- S			-				
				·										
Staff Re	commendati	on												
GREEN Adopt based on tra outlined on next pa									ot NEEDS CLARIFICATION OR MORE TIME TO EVALUATE					
Staff Evaluation Staff Evaluation														
Is this al	igned with Ci	ty Roadmap		this already under			Is this tim	time critical or an emergency						
√ Yes No			Department work plan? ✓ Yes No				Yes	Yes V No			staffing, budget, or strategic support? Yes No			
		ne Scale of I	Project Cor				res	▼ NO			res	▼ NO		
Criterion to Determine Scale of Project Complexity Project complexity is determined by scoring the project in each of the 3 criterions below and then summing the score.														
a. Low Complexity is a sum of 6 or less.														
	b. Medium (. ,					Total S	core = 6						
	c. High Com	plexity is a s	sum of 10 c			1								
Scoring Criterion			Low Complexity			Medium Complexity					High Complexity			
	Estimated Duration		6 – 9 months		Z =1	9 - 18 months		=		More than 18 months			□= 3	
	Organizational Complexity		Can Easily be Absorbed into Existing Work Plan		/ = 1	Planned Work (Future) $\square = 2$			= 2 W	Work Not Currently Proposed $\square = 3$				
	Complexity		Have staff with required		✓ =1 Have		e staff with required skillset/			- 2 D	Do not have staff with required □= 3			
	(Internal)		skillset/knowledge		<u>~</u> – 1	requires moderate research				sk	skillset/requires significant research			
			Less than or equal 2 staff required		□=1	3 - 4 staff required $\checkmark = 2$				= 2 N	More than 5 staff required $\Box = 3$			
	(External)		1 Additional Department		Z =1	2 Other Departments Involved □= 2 3 c			3 or more Departments Involved □= 3					
DEPT. Required	☐ Airport	☐ Auditor	□ смо	☐ CMO – Communications	□ OED/	CA	□ ESD	☐ Fire	□ HR	□ ІТ	□ PR	NS 🗷 Polic	ce 🗆 R	etirement
	☐ Attorney	□ Clerk	☐ CMO – Budget	➤ CMO – OER	☐ Comm Energ	,	☐ Finance	☐ Housing	□ ІРА	☐ Libı	rary \square PB	CE PW		ОТ

Date _5/31/22

Analysis					
Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.					
GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department work plan. (1) How will the Idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Roadmap or to a Department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the Idea forward and reduce its complexity?					
The changes are currently underway and will be implemented soon.					
VELLOWING IT The Administration of Court and the New York District Court Provided in					
YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).					
DED LICHT. The Advance interesting recognized of Council act adopt this News instead Idea due to (decayibe recognized parameterized recognized by difficult if not					
RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).					

Analysis (Continued)	