



# *Memorandum*

**TO:** Honorable Mayor &  
City Council

**FROM:** Toni J. Taber, CMC  
City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** The Public Record  
December 2– December 21, 2021

**DATE:** January 5, 2022

---

## **ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD**

### **Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees**

#### **Letters from the Public**

1. Letter from Nazli Eskandanian, dated December 6, 2021, regarding: Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum.
2. Letter from Nazli Eskandanian, dated December 7, 2021, regarding: Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum.
3. Letter from Tatiana Villasenor, dated December 7, 2021, regarding: Letter from the Public Open Forum.
4. Letter from Isabel Rangel, dated December 7, 2021, regarding: Support the Return Together ordinance.
5. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated December 13, 2021, regarding: Blair Beekman. Monday, December 13, 2021.
6. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated December 13, 2021, regarding: Blair Beekman. Monday, December 13, 2021....San Jose & retail thefts, in the era of Covid-19.
7. Letter from Mark Moshfegh, dated December 14, 2021, regarding: Redistricting and SB9 Implementation.
8. Letter from Nanci Tran, dated December 15, 2021, regarding: San Jose Water Company Advice Letter 570.
9. Letter from Blair Beekman, dated December 16, 2021, regarding: Blair Beekman. Thursday, Dec.16, 2021....PG&E, solar, and the future of local community energy.

Rules and Open Government Committee  
January 5, 2022  
Subject: Public Record  
Page 2



---

Toni J. Taber, CMC  
City Clerk

TJT/tt

**Fw: Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum**

Agendadesk &lt;Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Tue 12/7/2021 9:12 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas &lt;rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

---

**From:** Gregory, Barbara <Barbara.Gregory@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 6:58 AM  
**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Subject:** Fw: Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum

---

**From:** nazli eskandanian <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 6, 2021 6:34 PM  
**To:** District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Cc:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>.]

[External Email]

Honorable San Jose Councilmembers, <BR> <BR> On Tuesday, November 30, 2021, we urge you to vote for Councilmember Carrasco's memorandum in support of AB 1400, the California Guaranteed Health Care for All Act (CalCare). <BR> <BR> Thank you, <BR> <BR> [sign] <BR> <BR> [city, zip code]

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum**

Agendadesk &lt;Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Wed 12/8/2021 9:16 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas &lt;rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

**From:** Gregory, Barbara <Barbara.Gregory@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, December 8, 2021 7:21 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum

Thank You,

*Barb Gregory***Analyst II****Office of the City Clerk****200 E Santa Clara St FL T-14****San Jose, C-A 95112****408-535-1272 Fax: 408-292-6207****e-mail: barbara.gregory@sanjoseca.gov****How is our service? Please take our short survey.****From:** nazli eskandanian <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 6:04 PM**To:** District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>**Subject:** Support AB 1400 Health Care For All Memorandum

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>.]

[External Email]

Honorable San Jose Councilmembers, &lt;BR&gt;&lt;BR&gt;On Tuesday, November 30, 2021, we urge you to vote

for Councilmember Carrasco's memorandum in support of AB 1400, the California Guaranteed Health Care for All Act (CalCare).<BR><BR>Thank you,<BR><BR>[sign]<BR><BR>[city, zip code]

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: Letter from the Public Open Forum**

Agendadesk &lt;Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Tue 12/7/2021 12:23 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas &lt;rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

---

**From:** Gregory, Barbara <Barbara.Gregory@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 12:22 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Letter from the Public Open Forum

Thank You,

*Barb Gregory***Analyst II****Office of the City Clerk****200 E Santa Clara St FL T-14****San Jose, C-A 95112****408-535-1272 Fax: 408-292-6207****e-mail: barbara.gregory@sanjoseca.gov****How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)**

---

**From:** Tatiana Villasenor <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 10:45 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Letter from the Public Open ForumYou don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello my name is Guadalupe Vargas and I am a Parent Leader at Rocketship Mateo Sheedy.

On October 19th as I was walking my daughter to school just about to cross locust street, a driver almost ran us over. I literally had my hand on the hood of the car to signal to the driver that we were crossing. We stopped at the stop sign and started crossing, since we saw the driver stop we continued to cross but the driver didn't make a complete stop and kept going. It really scared me and my daughter because

we thought the driver was going to run us over. It really scared us and it impacted my daughter a lot. As we walk to school in the morning she always says we have to be careful and watch out for crazy cars. We live just a block from the school and walk to and from school everyday. The safety of our kids needs to be handled better. Everyday not just when school is in process, drivers speed through this street. Myself and the surrounding neighbors are scared for our children's safety. Drivers are speeding, not making complete stops or driving through the stop sign. Something has to be done for the safety of our children. Thank you

--

**Tatiana Villaseñor Carrillo**

Educational Organizer

San José GCE Team



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: Support the Return Together ordinance**

Agendadesk &lt;Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Tue 12/7/2021 12:42 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas &lt;rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

---

**From:** Gregory, Barbara <Barbara.Gregory@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 12:21 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Support the Return Together ordinance

Thank You,

*Barb Gregory***Analyst II****Office of the City Clerk****200 E Santa Clara St FL T-14****San Jose, C-A 95112****408-535-1272 Fax: 408-292-6207****e-mail: barbara.gregory@sanjoseca.gov****How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)**

---

**From:** Isabel Rangel <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 11:38 AM**To:** District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Support the Return Together ordinance

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Sam Liccardo and Councilmember Matt Mahan,

As a constituent of District 10, I am writing to thank the City Council for considering the Return Together ordinance, and urge you to vote YES to a strong local policy with no carve-outs.



Even as vaccine distribution is beginning to bring us hope of emerging from this public health crisis, tens of thousands of our neighbors remain laid off due to the pandemic and do not know when they will be able to go back to work. The only way we can fully recover is if we all recover together.

Building on the new State law, the proposed Return Together ordinance is a lifeline for San Jose workers in the most affected industries. Hotel, event and hospitality workers are among the hardest hit by COVID-related layoffs, and face many more months before they may be able to return to work. One year after the initial shelter-in-place-orders, hotel employment in the San Jose metro is down 52%, more than any other industry.

Workers in these industries are overwhelmingly people of color, immigrants, and women, who are already hit hardest by COVID-19. Now, many of these workers, especially immigrant women, fear that when hotels and event centers re-open, longtime workers may be left out – people who have committed decades of their life to those jobs may be excluded from returning to work.

By passing a local policy, we can expand on the recent California law by adding a private right of action that empowers people to stand up for their rights in the workplace. We also need to ensure there are no loopholes — like bankruptcy carve outs — that would exclude the workers who most need these protections. I urge you to vote YES without delay so we can all Return Together.

Sincerely,

Isabel Rangel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Blair Beekman. Monday, December 13, 2021.**

b. beekman <>

Mon 12/13/2021 7:30 AM

[External Email]

Dear community,

I am writing, a few letters this week, that can be, uncomfortable subject matter. Thank you, for your patience.

It is sad, when people want to hurt & bully, honest attempts.

sincerely,  
blair beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Blair Beekman. Monday, December 13, 2021....San Jose & retail thefts, in the era of Covid-19.**

b. beekman <[REDACTED]>

Mon 12/13/2021 7:30 AM

[External Email]

Dear communities & local govts, of Santa Clara co.

This is a slightly revised letter, from November 30. that I hope can be passed along to Vision Zero task force commissioners. As there was, a SJ Vision Zero Task Force public meeting, Friday, Dec. 10. To speak to the important concerns, of recent looting, crime, and community public safety, and the recent public announcements, of sj elected officials, wanting mor surveillance technology, on sj city streets. I feel, the mayor and San Jose city govt. needs to learn to trust, how to explain to the community, there is already, much new surveillance and data collection technology, that is currently being put into place, on San Jose streets, with 4g, 5g, and LED streetlight technology. And should question the need, of more surveillance technology, as speed limit cameras.

With car robberies & carjacking issues, of the past few years, San Jose, may simply need to learn how to focus, on ideas like, a SJPD task force. As this can more easily & directly, get to the center, of where organized crime units are working from, in regards to recent looting issues.

There are important, law enforcement and surveillance technology questions, for San Jose, at this time, that I feel, can possibly work better, within Vision Zero, ideas. And from this place, it can be a time, to be sure, Vision Zero, is a program, based on green sustainability, neighborhood safety, accountability, and open public policies, And from this, a reminder, how the ideas, of reimagine, equity, civil rights, civil protections, and health & human services, can help better organize and guide, current law enforcement, neighborhood safety questions.

. Since this initial letter, a thank you, in how San Jose, has possibly been trying to better develop, a more well-rounded approach, using these examples above, to current law enforcement questions, of retail crime & looting. And how this approach, can possibly be, good future examples, for Bay Area cities.

To note, some important accountability issues, for the city of San Jose, in their recent announcement, of wanting more stationary, speed limit surveillance cameras, with ALPR technology.. Better, overall ideas are developing, using good equity practices, in statistical counting, of KSI and near miss numbers. However, from this, a current problem, has been developing, that new, more humanistic ways, of statistical counting, is possibly being misused & manipulated, by many, within sj city govt. And even within the sj advocacy community. These have been concerns, that san jose city govt.and community of San Jose, may only be just starting, to clear up.

To also note - These stationary speed-limit surveillance cameras, also have, ALPR technology. At this point, the SJPd has at least, 50+ to 75+ mobile ALPR's, if not more. This would be a lot, of mobile ALPR's, a city already has to work with.

And to also note - For years now, San Jose has been fighting Sacramento, at the state govt.level, for the use, of more Speed Limit Camera Technology. I have always felt, Sacramento, has been concerned, about the civil rights, civil protections, open, accountable, public policies, and bureaucratic practices, of this technology, and its use, in the future of San Jose, and local California communities. I hope San Jose, can learn to show its community - the ideas of open, good minded, accountable policies. And to respect, these initial good intentions, from the state level

I will not deny, that law enforcement, community public safety, safer streets, and the Vision Zero program, are important, at this time, for San Jose. I ask, that we may need to focus, law enforcement issues, within a Vision Zero framework. And from this framework, realize that technology, is only one small part, of many ways, to address community & neighborhood safety. And from this, we need to be sure to ask, that the future of stationary ALPR camera use, the Vision Zero program, and San Jose community safety, can be, an open, clear, and more accountable process, for everyone of the community to better understand.

This sort of care, toward good practices of accountability, can probably be felt, by most, of an entire community, in how to invite & work well, towards peace, open democracy, and long term sustainability, in a technological age. This can have, an important part, to help address, how crime develops, based on our current apathy & despair.

As San Jose, and Bay Area cities, are currently placing, much new 4g,5g, and Smart LED streetlights, into their local neighborhoods, - it may be, more positive, sustainable, long term, enduring & hopeful, to not continue to diminish, an entire community's, civil rights, civil protections, and civil liberties, by over-saturating, local neighborhoods, with new surveillance technology. And having an over-abundance, of data collection. As this may start to sweep up, many unsuspecting & mostly innocent people. Thereby moving beyond, initial city govt. intentions. in how to balance current questions, of how to address crime, in our neighborhoods, based on good civil rights, and civil protection practices.

I am hoping, we all can have a feeling, it can be time to begin, how to better practice & invite everybody, to the good new ideas, of equity, reimagine, green sustainability, accountability, and open public policies, to better address, serious law enforcement questions, of local Bay Area communities, at this time.

sincerely,  
blair beekman

AgendaDesk.sj. <[agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov)>, Bena Chang <[REDACTED]>  
BoardOperations <[REDACTED]> city airport. <[REDACTED]> c clerk. sj.  
<[city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov)>, c.innovtn. Broadband <[broadband@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:broadband@sanjoseca.gov)>, c. [attorney.sj](mailto:attorney.sj).  
Richard Doyle <[richard.doyle@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:richard.doyle@sanjoseca.gov)>, [C.Attrny.sj](mailto:C.Attrny.sj). Nora Frimann <[n.frimann@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:n.frimann@sanjoseca.gov)>,  
c. [attrny.sj](mailto:attrny.sj). Shasta Green <[shasta.greene@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:shasta.greene@sanjoseca.gov)>, [C.Auditor.sj](mailto:C.Auditor.sj). Joe Rois  
<[Joe.Rois@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Joe.Rois@sanjoseca.gov)>, [c.clerk.sj](mailto:c.clerk.sj). RaOG Committee <[rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov)>,  
[C.Clerk.sj](mailto:C.Clerk.sj). Toni Taber <[toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov)>, C. Innovation Rob Lloyd  
<[rob.lloyd@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:rob.lloyd@sanjoseca.gov)>, C. Innovation. Dolan Beckel <[dolan.beckel@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:dolan.beckel@sanjoseca.gov)>, C.  
Innovation. Mike Jones <[mike.jones@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:mike.jones@sanjoseca.gov)>, C. Innovation. Rajani Nair  
<[Rajani.Nair@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Rajani.Nair@sanjoseca.gov)>, C.Innvtn. Abby Shull <[Abigail.Shull@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Abigail.Shull@sanjoseca.gov)>, C.Innvtn.Mgr. Kip  
Harkness <[kip.harkness@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:kip.harkness@sanjoseca.gov)>, C.Innvtn. Sarah Papazoglakis  
<[Sarah.Papazoglakis@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Sarah.Papazoglakis@sanjoseca.gov)>, [c.mgr.sj](mailto:c.mgr.sj) Zulma Maciel <[Zulma.Maciel@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Zulma.Maciel@sanjoseca.gov)>, C.  
Manager Angel Rios <[Angel.Rios@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Angel.Rios@sanjoseca.gov)>, C. Mgr.sj. Jennifer Maguire  
<[Jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov)>, [c.mgr.sj](mailto:c.mgr.sj). Jim Ortbal <[jim.ortbal@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:jim.ortbal@sanjoseca.gov)>, c.mgr.sj.office.  
Kathy Tsukamoto <[kathy.tsukamoto@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:kathy.tsukamoto@sanjoseca.gov)>, c. mgr.sj.office. Stephanie Jayne  
<[stephanie.jayne@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:stephanie.jayne@sanjoseca.gov)>, Plng.Dir. Rosalynn Hughey <[rosalynn.hughey@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:rosalynn.hughey@sanjoseca.gov)>,  
[c.mgr.sj](mailto:c.mgr.sj). Sabrina Parra <[sabrina.parra-garcia@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:sabrina.parra-garcia@sanjoseca.gov)>, C.Mgr.sj.staff. Sandy Cranford  
<[Sandy.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Sandy.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov)>, c.mgr.CCEC. Elise Doan <[elise.doan@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:elise.doan@sanjoseca.gov)>, c.staff Aziza  
Amiri <[aziza.amiri@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:aziza.amiri@sanjoseca.gov)>, [c.attrnv.sj](mailto:c.attrnv.sj). Mark Vanni <[Mark.Vanni@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Mark.Vanni@sanjoseca.gov)>,  
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> CatalyzeSV. Alex Shoor  
<[REDACTED]> cDOT-TrafficDataCtr. <[traffic.signals@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:traffic.signals@sanjoseca.gov)>, Cecilia McDaniel  
<[commissions@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:commissions@sanjoseca.gov)>, CharterReview <[CharterReview@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:CharterReview@sanjoseca.gov)>, city airport. J.Aitken  
<[john.aitken@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:john.aitken@sanjoseca.gov)>, city airport. J.Ross <[REDACTED]> Russell  
<[Russell.Hansen@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Russell.Hansen@sanjoseca.gov)>, Civic Center TV. <[civiccentertv26@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:civiccentertv26@sanjoseca.gov)>, Communication  
<[CMOCommunications@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:CMOCommunications@sanjoseca.gov)>, CouncilMeeting <[councilmeeting@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:councilmeeting@sanjoseca.gov)>, cstaff  
Gina Espejo. <[gina.espejo@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:gina.espejo@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d1.sj](mailto:d1.sj). Matt Mahan <[matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d1.sj](mailto:d1.sj).  
aide-David Gomez <[David.Gomez@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:David.Gomez@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d2.sj](mailto:d2.sj). Sergio Jimenez  
<[Sergio.Jimenez@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Sergio.Jimenez@sanjoseca.gov)>, d3.sj.aide-David Tran <[David.Tran@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:David.Tran@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d3.sj](mailto:d3.sj). Raul  
Perez <[District3@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:District3@sanjoseca.gov)>, d4. staff Stacy Brown <[stacey.brown@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:stacey.brown@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d4.sj](mailto:d4.sj). David  
Cohen <[david.cohen@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:david.cohen@sanjoseca.gov)>, d5.aide.sj.-Omar Torres <[omar.torres@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:omar.torres@sanjoseca.gov)>,  
[d5.aide.sj](mailto:d5.aide.sj). Frances Herbert <[frances.herbert@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:frances.herbert@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d5.sj](mailto:d5.sj). Magdalena Carrasco  
<[District5@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:District5@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d6.aide.sj](mailto:d6.aide.sj). Louansee Moua <[Louansee.Moua@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Louansee.Moua@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d6.sj](mailto:d6.sj).  
Devora. Davis <[District6@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:District6@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d7.sj](mailto:d7.sj). Maya Esparza <[maya.esparza@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:maya.esparza@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d8.sj](mailto:d8.sj).  
Sylvia Arenas <[District8@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:District8@sanjoseca.gov)>, [d9.sj](mailto:d9.sj). Pam Foley <[pam.foley@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:pam.foley@sanjoseca.gov)>, DoT.sj. v.  
zero. Vu Dao <[vu.dao@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:vu.dao@sanjoseca.gov)>, DOTsj. Laura Wells <[laura.wells@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:laura.wells@sanjoseca.gov)>, c.DoT. Tree  
Arborist <[arborist@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:arborist@sanjoseca.gov)>, DoTsj.Dir. John Ristow <[John.Ristow@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:John.Ristow@sanjoseca.gov)>,  
Ec.Dvlpt.Blage Zelalich.asst.c.mgr. <[blage.zelalich@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:blage.zelalich@sanjoseca.gov)>, Ec.Dvlpt.Nanci Klein



c.clerk. Berkly. C.Naso <[REDACTED]> BFD.c.mgr.  
 BFD c.mgr. <[REDACTED]> D1.Berkly.  
 D7.Berkly. <[REDACTED]> D8.Berkly.  
 D3.Berkly <[REDACTED]> D4.Berkly.  
 D2.Berkly <[REDACTED]> D5.Berkly.  
 D6.Berkly. <[REDACTED]> b.p.d. J. Lewis  
 Health Dept.Srvcs. Berkly. <[REDACTED]> Hsg.dept.berkly.  
 Housing Dept.Berkly. <[REDACTED]> c.mgr.Berkly A.  
 Wicker <[REDACTED]> b.p.d. E Spiller. <[REDACTED]> b.p.d. D Reece.  
 O.E.M.S.Berkly. <[REDACTED]> c.mgr.Berkly.  
 D.Brannigan <[REDACTED]> DoT.Berky.  
 Planning.Berkly <[REDACTED]> Lee Katherine  
 c. clerk.Berkly.Mark. L. Numanville. <[REDACTED]>  
 D2. berk. <[REDACTED]> c. clerk.Berkly.Tony Benado <[REDACTED]>  
 Maritza <[REDACTED]> D8.brkly. cl.staff <[REDACTED]> BPD.  
 Brian.Hartlev <[REDACTED]> BPD. George Schikore  
 Myr.Berkly. Jesse Arreguin <[REDACTED]> C  
 Clerk.Brky. <[REDACTED]> FPD chief. Kim Peterson <[REDACTED]>  
 c.clerk.frmnt. Susan Gauthier <[REDACTED]> d2.Frmnt. Rick Jones  
 d1.Frmnt. Teresa Keng. <[REDACTED]> d3.Frmnt.  
 Jenny Kassan <[REDACTED]> Mayor Lily Mei. <[REDACTED]> IT.Frmnt  
 d6.Frmnt. Teresa Cox <[REDACTED]> C. Manager. Fremont.  
 AG <[REDACTED]> Human Services.Frmnt  
 FPD Non-emergency <[REDACTED]> c.clerk.  
 Frmnt. <[REDACTED]> Hsq. G.Jara <[REDACTED]> Frmnt.DoT.  
 Planning.Frmnt <[REDACTED]> d4. Frmnt.  
 Yang Shao <[REDACTED]> d5. Frmnt. Raj Salwan <[REDACTED]> Hans Larsen  
 Hsg.Margeret Juta.Frmnt <[REDACTED]>  
 Fremont Islander <[REDACTED]> Human Services. Laurie Flores <[REDACTED]>  
 Hsq. J.Harrett.Frmnt. <[REDACTED]> a.Fremont Islander Motel  
 Hsg.Lindsay Mendez.Frmnt. <[REDACTED]> Wendy Suhr  
 Phillip White <[REDACTED]> Maryellen Carroll  
 Dana Reed <[REDACTED]>  
 OES.si.Dir. Rav Riordan <[ray.riordan@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:ray.riordan@sanjoseca.gov)>, Craig Dziedzic <[REDACTED]>  
 CADRE <[REDACTED]> c Anthony Pérez  
 Ethan (ECD) <[REDACTED]>  
 SF Card. Brian <[REDACTED]> Mary Landers <[REDACTED]>  
 Janell Myhre <[REDACTED]> SF Card. Heather <[REDACTED]>  
 Lloyd Shand <[REDACTED]>  
 Phillis Onstad  
 Gary Malais <[REDACTED]>  
 Joseph DeVries <[REDACTED]>  
 Mavor.Oakland. Libby Schaaf <[REDACTED]> AASEG  
 Oakland A's..Dave Kavel. <[REDACTED]> D2.staff.oak  
 Miya Saika Chen <[REDACTED]> OES.Oak. Olga Crowe. <[REDACTED]> OPD.  
 B.Cook <[REDACTED]> d6.oak. Loren Taylor <[REDACTED]> d7.oak. Treva  
 Reid <[REDACTED]> d5.oak. Noel Gallo <[REDACTED]> d1.oak. Dan Kalb.  
 d3.oak. Carol Fife  
 d.oak. Rebecca Kaplan <[REDACTED]> staff.d2. Tiffany Kang.

< [REDACTED] staff.d1. oak < [REDACTED] staff.d2.Oak.  
< [REDACTED] OES Oak. J Feil/Bauasi < [REDACTED] aud/vid. Michael Munson  
< [REDACTED] DoT. Oakland. Ryan Russo < [REDACTED] City Clerk-Oak.  
< [REDACTED] C.Auditor.Oak. < [REDACTED] Housing. Oakland.  
< [REDACTED] City Administration < [REDACTED]  
Equity.oak. Darlene Flynn. < [REDACTED] Police Review Commission-Oak.  
< [REDACTED] aide d2 < [REDACTED] Elliot Jones  
< [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] clrk. Cheryl Perkins CAO.  
< [REDACTED] clrk. Anika Campbell Belton. < [REDACTED]  
staff. < [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED] staff.  
< [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED] staff  
< [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED] staff  
< [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED] staff < [REDACTED]  
c.staff. < [REDACTED] c.staff. Cinthya Munoz Ramos  
< [REDACTED] Richard Valle. < [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] < [REDACTED] D4 Supv. Al.Co. Nate Miley. < [REDACTED] D5.Supv.  
Al.Co.Supv. David.Haubert < [REDACTED] c. Al.Co. Administrator  
< [REDACTED] c.Al.Co.Sheriff < [REDACTED] c. Al.Co. District  
Attorney < [REDACTED] c. Al.Co. Public Affairs. < [REDACTED]  
Keith Carson < [REDACTED] D1 Al.Co. < [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] < [REDACTED] The Daily Californian < [REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



## FW: Redistricting and SB9 Implementation

Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 12/14/2021 12:04 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

---

**From:** Mark M <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:23 AM  
**To:** Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Cc:** Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>  
**Subject:** Redistricting and SB9 Implementation

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Councilpersons,

My name is Mark Moshfegh, I am a concerned homeowner-resident, father, and community volunteer in Willow Glen. I am writing to you today to express concerns over upcoming votes concerning redistricting and implementation of SB9.

Redistricting: One of the criteria for redrawing boundaries is to "minimize the division of cities and communities of interest." Willow Glen, which was a city until annexation by San Jose, **must** still be considered a "Community of Interest." Please do not diminish the collective voice of this vibrant and proud community by dividing Willow Glen.

SB9 Implementation: Many residents chose their neighborhood and community very carefully. Many like me, saved funds over half of a lifetime to purchase a home in San Jose. It is completely unfair to change the rules during the game. I have yet to meet a single existing homeowner resident in favor of enabling the deterioration of community appeal, charm and aesthetics to make way for higher density living options. While sympathetic to the need for more housing, there has to be a better way. Beautiful Willow Glen is already under assault from real estate developers motivated solely by profit. More often than not, architectural appeal, community reaction and common decency are forfeited in order to make quick profits. It would be a huge mistake for San Jose to enable this further and provide the opportunity for developers to make even more profit per square foot with ZERO regard for the existing community. Motivation to create these additional units would be 100% greed driven, not the greater good - or increased housing. Please limit the number of additional units allowed on an existing parcel to as few units as possible. Please consider better, less invasive, less destructive ways of increasing housing while preserving the charm and appeal of our existing communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Moshfegh  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**FW: San Jose Water Company Advice Letter 570**

City Clerk &lt;city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Wed 12/15/2021 1:27 PM

To: Agendadesk &lt;Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov&gt;

Sorry, I am not sure if you should get this or not!

---

**From:** Nanci Tran <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2021 9:41 AM  
**To:** Regulatory Affairs <[REDACTED]> Chemeketa Mutual Water Company  
<[REDACTED]> City of Cambell Office of the City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
City of Campbell <[REDACTED]> City of Cupertino <[REDACTED]> City of Cupertino  
Office of the City Clerk <[REDACTED]> City of Monte Sereno ([REDACTED])  
<[REDACTED]> City of Monte Sereno Office of the City Clerk  
<[REDACTED]> CAO Main <cao.main@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk  
<city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; City of Santa Clara <[REDACTED]> City of Santa Clara Office of the  
City Clerk <[REDACTED]> City of Saratoga <[REDACTED]> County of Santa Clara  
<[REDACTED]> Couty of Santa Clara Office of the County Clerk  
<[REDACTED]> Public Advocates Office <[REDACTED]> State of  
California <[REDACTED]> State Water Resources Control Board Division of Drinking Water  
<[REDACTED]> Town of Los Gatos <[REDACTED]> Town of Los Gatos Office of the  
Town Clerk <[REDACTED]> Valley Water - COO <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: San Jose Water Company Advice Letter 570

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Good Morning All,

The Commission approved Advice Letter 570 with the effective date of November 22, 2021.

Regards,

Nanci

---

**From:** Nanci Tran <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 22, 2021 1:32 PM  
**To:** Regulatory Affairs <[REDACTED]> Chemeketa Mutual Water Company  
<[REDACTED]> City of Cambell Office of the City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
City of Campbell <[REDACTED]> City of Cupertino <[REDACTED]> City of Cupertino  
Office of the City Clerk <[REDACTED]> City of Monte Sereno ([REDACTED])  
<[REDACTED]> City of Monte Sereno Office of the City Clerk  
<[REDACTED]> City of San Jose <[cao.main@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:cao.main@sanjoseca.gov)>; City of San Jose - Office of the  
City Clerk <[city.clerk@Sanjoseca.gov](mailto:city.clerk@Sanjoseca.gov)>; City of Santa Clara <[REDACTED]> City of Santa Clara  
Office of the City Clerk <[REDACTED]> City of Saratoga <[REDACTED]> County of Santa  
Clara <[REDACTED]> Couty of Santa Clara Office of the County Clerk  
<[REDACTED]> Public Advocates Office <[REDACTED]> State of

California <[REDACTED]> State Water Resources Control Board Division of Drinking Water  
<[REDACTED]> Town of Los Gatos <[REDACTED]> Town of Los Gatos Office of the  
Town Clerk <[REDACTED]> Valley Water - COO <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** San Jose Water Company Advice Letter 570

Good Afternoon All,

Please see attached for San Jose Water Company Advice Letter 570 (Request to Correct Typographical Error on Rule 14.1 and Schedule 14.1) filed with the California Public Utilities Commission today.

Regards,

SJWC Regulatory Affairs

---

Regulatory Affairs | San Jose Water Company | [REDACTED] | San Jose, CA 95110

Email: [REDACTED]

Tel: +[REDACTED]

**Important Notice:** This email may contain confidential or proprietary information belonging to SJW Group or one of its subsidiaries. If you are not the intended recipient, the sender requests that you immediately inform him or her that you have received it and that you immediately destroy the email. Please note that the use of confidential or proprietary information when you are not the intended recipient may have legal effects. Nothing in the body of this email is intended to be an electronic signature or is intended to create a binding contract.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
DIVISION OF WATER AND AUDITS**

**Advice Letter Cover Sheet**

**Utility Name:** San Jose Water Company

**Date Mailed to Service List:** 11/22/21

**District:** N/A

**CPUC Utility #:** U-168-W

**Protest Deadline (20<sup>th</sup> Day):** 12/13/21

**Advice Letter #:** 570

**Review Deadline (30<sup>th</sup> Day):** 12/23/21

**Tier**    ☒ 1    ☐ 2    ☐ 3    ☐ Compliance

**Requested Effective Date:** 11/22/2021

**Authorization**

**Rate Impact:** \$0  
\$0%

**Description:** Request authorization to correct a topical error for Rule 14.1 and Schedule 14.1

The protest or response deadline for this advice letter is 20 days from the date that this advice letter was mailed to the service list. Please see the "Response or Protest" section in the advice letter for more information.

**Utility Contact:** John Tang

**Utility Contact:** Nanci Tran

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**DWA Contact:** Tariff Unit

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**DWA USE ONLY**

**DATE**

**STAFF**

**COMMENTS**


☐ APPROVED

☐ WITHDRAWN

☐ REJECTED

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



San Jose, CA 95110-2131

November 22, 2021

California Public Utilities Commission

San Francisco, CA 94102

Advice Letter No. 570

San Jose Water Company (U-168-W) (SJWC) hereby transmits for filing the following changes in tariff schedules applicable to its service area and which are attached hereto:

<u>Cal. P.U.C Sheet No.</u>	<u>Title of Sheet</u>	<u>Cancelling Cal. P.U.C. Sheet No.</u>
2148-W	Rule 14.1 (Continued)	1662-W
2149-W	Schedule 14.1 (Continued)	2135-W
2150-W	Table of Contents	2147-W
2151-W	Table of Contents (Continued)	2124-W

With this advice letter, SJWC requests authorization to correct a typographical error in Advice Letters 472A and 567 for Rule 14.1 and Schedule 14.1, respectively.

#### Background

SJWC filed Advice Letter 569 to activate Schedule 14.1 on October 15, 2021. During the review, Water Division was alerted to a typographical error in Rule 14.1 Section D.1.d.ii. It currently states:

“Justification for, and documentation and calculations in support of the Schedule, including but not limited to each item in B.1.d.i above.”

The referenced “B.1.d.i.” is incorrect and should be “D.1.d.i” instead. The same typographical error is also on Schedule 14.1.

SJWC is filing to correct this typographical error on both Schedule 14.1 and Rule 14.1.

#### Effective Date

SJWC requests that the updated tariff sheets become effective November 22, 2021.

#### Protests and Responses

Anyone may respond to or protest this advice letter. A response does not oppose the filing but presents information that may prove useful to the Commission in evaluating the advice letter. A protest objects to the advice letter in whole or in part and must set forth the specific grounds on which it is based. These grounds may include the following:

- (1) The utility did not properly serve or give notice of the advice letter;
- (2) The relief requested in the advice letter would violate statute or Commission order, or is not authorized by statute or Commission order on which the utility relies;
- (3) The analysis, calculations, or data in the advice letter contain material error or omissions;
- (4) The relief requested in the advice letter is pending before the Commission in a formal proceeding;
- (5) The relief requested in the advice letter requires consideration in a formal hearing, or is otherwise inappropriate for the advice letter process; or
- (6) The relief requested in the advice letter is unjust, unreasonable, or discriminatory (provided that such a protest may not be made where it would require relitigating a prior order of the Commission).

A response or protest must be made in writing or by electronic mail and must be received by the Water Division within 20 days of the date this advice letter is filed. The address for mailing or delivering a protest is:

Tariff Unit, Water Division, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor  
California Public Utilities Commission,  
[REDACTED]  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[REDACTED]

On the same date the response or protest is submitted to the Water Division, the respondent or protestant shall send a copy of the protest by mail to us, addressed to:


Regulatory Affairs  
San Jose Water Company  
[REDACTED]  
San Jose, CA 95110  
Fax [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

The advice letter process does not provide for any responses, protests or comments, except for the utility's reply, after the 20-day comment period. Public notice is not required.

SJWC has no pending advice letters before the Commission.

This filing will not cause the withdrawal of service, nor conflict with other schedules or rules.

Very truly yours,



JOHN TANG  
Vice President of Regulatory Affairs  
Enclosures

**RULE NO. 14.1**

**WATER SHORTAGE CONTINGENCY PLAN**

**D. WATER SHORTAGE CONTINGENCY PLAN WITH STAGED MANDATORY  
REDUCTIONS AND DROUGHT SURCHARGES – SCHEDULE NO. 14.1**

1. Upon the declaration of a water shortage emergency by a water wholesaler, government agency or the governing body of a distributor of a public water supply (per Water Code Section 350), SJWC may request addition of a Schedule No. 14.1 Water Shortage Contingency Plan with Stage Mandatory Reductions and Drought Surcharges Surcharges, via a Tier 2 advice letter, with full justification. The utility may not activate Schedule No. 14.1 until it has been authorized to do so by the Commission, as delegated to the Division of Water & Audits.

a. A staged Schedule No. 14.1 that has been authorized by the Commission shall remain dormant until triggered by specific conditions detailed in the Schedule No. 14.1 tariff and SJWC has requested and received authorization for activating a stage by the Commission.

b. Notice of the Tier 2 advice letter and associated public participation hearing if required shall be provided to customers through a bill insert or a direct mailing.

c. The Utility shall comply with all requirements of Sections 350-358 of the California Water Code.

d. The Tier 2 advice letter requesting the addition of a Schedule No. 14.1 shall include but not be limited to:

i. The proposed Schedule No. 14.1 tariff, which shall include but not be limited to:

1. Applicability;
2. Applicable Territory;
3. A detailed description of each Stage of Mandatory Water Reductions;
4. A detailed description of the Trigger that Activates each Stage of Mandatory Water Reductions;
5. A detailed description of each water use restriction, prohibition and/or reduction level for each Stage of Mandatory Water Reduction
6. Water use violation levels, written warning levels, applicable rate schedules and drought surcharges, and associated penalties, surcharges or fees, if applicable, and any exception procedures
7. Conditions for installation of a flow restrictor,
8. Charges for removal of flow restrictors, and
9. Special Conditions

ii. Justification for, and documentation and calculations in support of the Schedule, including but not limited to each item in D.1.d.i above.

(T)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Issued by

(To be inserted by Cal. P.U.C.)

Advice No. 570

JOHN TANG  
Vice President,  
Regulatory Affairs

Date Filed \_\_\_\_\_

Effective \_\_\_\_\_

Dec. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE



**SCHEDULE No. 14.1  
WATER SHORTAGE CONTINGENCY PLAN WITH  
STAGED MANDATORY REDUCTIONS AND DROUGHT SURCHARGES (Continued)**

**STAGE 5 EMERGENCY WATER REDUCTION (Continued):**

4. Limits on filling Decorative Fountains: Prohibition of the use of potable water for filling or refilling fountains or other decorative water devices.
5. Other restrictions on use of potable water as prescribed by the Commission, SJWC, or another governing body or agency.

**WATER SHORTAGE CONTINGENCY PLAN WITH STAGED MANDATORY REDUCTIONS  
AND DROUGHT SURCHARGES – SCHEDULE NO. 14.1**

1. Upon the declaration of a water shortage emergency by a water wholesaler, government agency or the governing body of a distributor of a public water supply (per Water Code Section 350), SJWC may request the addition of a Schedule No. 14.1 – Water Shortage Contingency Plan With Staged Mandatory Reductions and Drought Surcharges tariff, via a Tier 2 advice letter, with full justification. The utility may not activate Schedule No. 14.1 until it has been authorized to do so by the Commission, as delegated to the Division of Water & Audits.
  - a. A staged Schedule No. 14.1 that has been authorized by the Commission shall remain dormant until triggered by specific conditions detailed in the Schedule No. 14.1 tariff and SJWC has requested and received authorization for activating a stage by the Commission.
  - b. Notice of the Tier 2 advice letter and associated public participation hearing if required shall be provided to customers through a bill insert or a direct mailing.
  - c. The Utility shall comply with all requirements of Sections 350-358 of the California Water Code.
  - d. The Tier 2 advice letter requesting the addition of a Schedule No. 14.1 shall include but not be limited to:
    - i. The proposed Schedule No. 14.1 tariff, which shall include but not be limited to:
      1. Applicability;
      2. Applicable Territory;
      3. A detailed description of each Stage of Mandatory Water Reductions;
      4. A detailed description of the Trigger that Activates each Stage of Mandatory Water Reductions;
      5. A detailed description of each water use restriction, prohibition and/or reduction level for each Stage of Mandatory Water Reduction;
      6. Water use violation levels, written warning levels, applicable rate schedules and drought surcharges, associated penalties, surcharges or fees, if applicable, and exception procedures;
      7. Conditions for installation of a flow restrictor;
      8. Charges for removal of flow restrictors, and
      9. Special Conditions.
    - ii. Justification for, and documentation and calculations in support of the Schedule, including but not limited to each item in D.1.d.i above.

(T)

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Issued by

(To be inserted by Cal. P.U.C.)

Advice No. 570

JOHN TANG

Date Filed \_\_\_\_\_

Vice President,

Effective \_\_\_\_\_

Dec. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Regulatory Affairs

Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

<u>Subject Matter of Sheet</u>	<u>C.P.U.C. Sheet No.</u>	
<b>Rules</b>		
No. 10 Disputed Bills	2071-W and 2019-W	
No. 11 – Discontinuance and Restoration of Service	2020-W, 2021-W, 2072-W, 2073-W 2074-W, 2025-W, 2026-W, 2027-W, 2028-W 2075-W	
No. 12 - Information Available to Public	1132-W and 365-W	
No. 13 - Temporary Service	366-W and 367-W	
No. 14 - Continuity of Service	368-W	
No. 14.1 – Water Conservation and Rationing Plan	2118-W, 2119-W, 2120-W, 2121-W 2122-W, 2148-W, 1663-W, and 1664-W	(T)
No. 15 - Main Extensions	722-W thru 734-W, 1898-W, 923-W	
No. 16 - Service Connections, Meters, and Customer's Facilities	735-W thru 738-W, 977W and 740-W thru 742-W	
No. 17 – Standards for Measurement of Service	375-W	
No. 18 – Meter Tests and Adjustment of Bills for Meter Error	376-W, 383-W and 384-W	
No. 19 – Service to Separate Premises, and Multiple Units, and Resale of Water	495-W and 1901-W	
No. 20 – Water Conservation	318-W	
No. 21 – Military Family Relief Program	1225-W and 1226-W	
No. 22 – Customer Information Sharing	1464-W	
<b>Sample Forms:</b>		
No. 1 - Application for Water Service – New	378-W	
No. 1A - Application for Water Service (On, Off, In, Out, and Change)	379-W	
No. 2 - Portable Meter Deposit	534-W	
No. 2A – Portable Meter Customer – Terms	1119-W	
No. 3 - Bill Form	2076-W	
No. 3A – Past Due Notice (10-Day Notice)	2077-W	
No. 3B – Final Notice (Individually Metered Customers)	2078-W	
No. 3D – Closing Bill	1316-W	
No. 3E – 15-Day Tenant Notice (Master Metered Customers)	2079-W	
No. 3F – Electronic Bill Form	2080-W	
No. 4 - Main Extension Contract, "B Rule" – Individual Utility Install	813-W	
No. 5 - Main Extension Contract, "B Rule" – Individual Applicant Install	814-W	

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Issued by

(To be inserted by Cal. P.U.C.)

Advice No. 570JOHN TANG

Date Filed \_\_\_\_\_

Dec. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Vice President,  
Regulatory Affairs

Effective \_\_\_\_\_

Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following listed tariff sheets contain all effective rates, rules and regulations affecting the rates and service of the Utility, together with information relating thereto:

Subject Matter of Sheet	C.P.U.C. Sheet No.	
Title	1495-W	
Table of Contents	2150-W, 2051-W, 848-W and 2114-W	(T)
Preliminary Statement	919-W, 1303-W, 2032-W, 2033-W, 2034-W, 2035-W, 2058-W, 2037-W 2038-W, 2039-W, 2040-W, 2041-W and 2042-W, 2087-W, 2125-W, 2141-W	
Service Area Map Locator	1266-W	
Service Area Map Locator, Index	1589-W	
Map of Areas with Special Pressure and FireFlow Conditions	2116-W	
Index to Map of Areas With Special Pressure and FireFlow Conditions	1079-W, 2117-W 1082-W, 1087-W and 1404-W	
Rate Schedules:		
Schedule No. 1, General Metered Service	2104-W, 2105-W and 2059-W	
Schedule No. 1B, General Metered Service With Automatic Fire Sprinkler System	2106-W, 1741-W, 1882-W and 2060-W	
Schedule No. 1C, General Metered Service Mountain District	2107-W, 1952-W, 1884-W and 2052-W	
Schedule No. 4, Private Fire Service	2097-W and 2053-W	
Schedule No. 9C, Construction and Other Temporary Metered Service	1118-W and 1094-W	
Schedule No. 10R, Service to Employees	152-W	
Schedule No. 14.1 Water Shortage Contingency Plan with Staged Mandatory Reductions and Drought Surcharges	2131-W, 2132-W, 2133-W 2134, 2149-W, 2136-W, 2137-W 2138-W, 2139-W, 2146-W	(T)
Schedule No. RW, Raw Water Metered Service	2128-W and 2129-W	
Schedule No. RCW, Recycled Water Metered Service	2099-W and 2109-W	
Schedule No. UF, Surcharge to Fund Public Utilities Commission, Reimbursement Fee	2090-W	
Schedule No. WRAP, Water Rate Assistance Program	2111-W and 2056-W	
List of Contracts and Deviations	2092-W and 2103-W	
Rules:		
No. 1 - Definitions	2064-W and 2065-W	
No. 2 - Description of Service	525-W	
No. 3 - Application for Service	2143-W, 2144-W	
No. 4 - Contracts	352-W	
No. 5 - Special Information Required on Forms	2066-W, 2067-W and 2068-W-W	
No. 6 - Establishment and Re-establishment of Credit	354-W	
No. 7 - Deposits	355-W and 356-W	
No. 8 - Notices	2069-W, 2070-W and 2017-W	
No. 9 - Rendering and Payment of Bills	996-W, 997-W and 1146-W	

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Issued by

(To be inserted by Cal. P.U.C.)

Advice No. 570

JOHN TANG

Date Filed \_\_\_\_\_

Vice President,

Effective \_\_\_\_\_

Dec. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Regulatory Affairs

Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_

TITLE

**SAN JOSE WATER COMPANY (U-168-W)**  
**ADVICE LETTER 566 SERVICE LIST**

Big Redwood Park Water  
Brush & Old Well Mutual Water Company  
Cal Water  
City of Campbell  
City of Cupertino City Attorney  
City of Cupertino Director of Public Works  
City of Milpitas  
City of Milpitas  
City of Monte Sereno  
City of Monte Sereno  
City of Santa Clara  
City of San Jose  
City of Saratoga  
County of Santa Clara  
DB Davis  
Dept. of Water Resources, Safe Drinking Water Office  
Valley Water  
Gillette Mutual Water Company  
Gillette Mutual Water Company  
Gillette Mutual Water Company  
Great Oaks Water  
Great Oaks Water  
Cal Water  
James Hunter  
City of Cupertino  
Public Advocates Office  
Public Advocates Office  
Mountain Springs Mutual Water Co.  
Mt. Summit Mutual Water Company  
Oakmount Mutual Water Company  
Patrick Kearns MD  
Raineri Mutual Water Company  
Ridge Mutual Water Company  
Rishi Kumar  
San Jose Mercury News  
Valley Water  
Valley Water  
Saratoga Heights Mutual Water Company  
SouthWest Water Company  
Stagecoach Mutual Water Company  
Summit West  
Summit West  
Town of Los Gatos Dir. of Public Works  
WRATES  
Villa Del Monte

[REDACTED]

jeffrey.provenzano@sanjoseca.gov

[REDACTED]

Blair Beekman. Thursday, Dec.16, 2021....PG&E, solar, and the future of local community energy.

b. beekman <[REDACTED]>

Thu 12/16/2021 7:31 AM

[External Email]

Dear CPUC,

Overall, each year, as solar power tech, and its storage, gets less expensive, and new, innovative business models spring up, more people of lower & middle income, are now able to get solar. Meaning, this overall renewable energy model, has the potential to become, evermore democratic each year. And can be able to distribute, the wealth & abundance of sun energy, in such a way; that central utility-owned projects, never could.

A reminder, of the promising future, of local community energy, can be about, the simple, good practices, of renewable energy. These good practices, can allow our better reasoning. And more, open, accountable, democratic ideas, toward peace, and positive, long term, community sustainability. Not more war or harm, to each other.

From, an overall distrust, people have, of PG&E's current business philosophy, I am understanding, there can be problems, with current PG&E ideas, for the future of solar. And that, it will simply block, a continual good growth, of the solar industry. And block, a better growing access, to solar use, for people of local income.

From this, with Northern Ca. communities, just starting to better understand, how to control the Covid-19, pandemic, perhaps by 2024-25, PG&E, can begin, better reasoned, less competitive business models. And, a more cooperative process of transition, to a local community energy future, built on, positive, creative, simpler, and more life-affirming, renewable energy ideas, that can take place, for all of us, with the use of solar.

sincerely,  
blair beekman