Fw: Equality California Support for the SJ Unity Map – San Jose's LGBTQ+ Community

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/22/2021 4:50 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

From: Jeremy Payne <jeremy@eqca.org>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 11:56 AM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; RCCW

<RCCW@sanjoseca.gov>; RC1 <RC1@sanjoseca.gov>; RC2 <RC2@sanjoseca.gov>; RC3 <RC3@sanjoseca.gov>;

RC4 <RC4@sanjoseca.gov>; RC5 <RC5@sanjoseca.gov>; RC6 <RC6@sanjoseca.gov>; RC7 <RC7@sanjoseca.gov>;

RC8 <RC8@sanjoseca.gov>; RC9 <RC9@sanjoseca.gov>; RC10 <RC10@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Samuel Garrett-Pate <sam@eqca.org>; Mike Ai <mike@eqca.org>

Subject: Equality California Support for the SJ Unity Map – San Jose's LGBTQ+ Community

You don't often get email from jeremy@eqca.org. Learn why this is important

[External Email]

Dear San Jose Redistricting Commission,

Equality California, the nation's largest statewide LGBTQ+ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer) civil rights organization, would like to express our support for the Unity Map, which best unifies and empowers our local LGBTQ+ community and the diverse communities to which we belong. We have been closely following the redistricting process to ensure that our identities are respected as a community of interest given our lived experiences and culture that bring us together both as residents and as voters in the City of San Jose. We believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to unify and empower our local communities.

In the City of San Jose, there is a geographically significant LGBTQ+ community of interest residing along The Alameda, SOFA district, and surrounding areas of Downtown. The Unity Map best brings together this region into one district, ensuring that the critical community of interest can identify and support candidates of choice who reflect their values and will fight for their community's unique needs. Furthermore, the Unity Map's electoral lines take a bold step of effectively creating two Downtown districts with the intention of preserving Communities of interest—not just for our LGBTQ+ community, but for many of our other working families who face tremendous challenges in San Jose. Thus, we see the significant community support for the Unity Maps among community organizations such as Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

Equality California has been tirelessly advocating for the LGBTQ+ community in California and has routinely uplifted how redistricting efforts will have a lasting impact not just on LGBTQ+ representation, but also on LGBTQ+ civil rights and protections across the country and at every level of government for the next ten years. As such, we ask that you please move forward with the Unity Map

and help us unify and empower our local LGBTQ+ community so that we may continue to protect our community, our civil rights, and our ability to elect candidates of choice.

Thank you for accepting our letter. Please feel free to contact Samuel Garrett-Pate at sam@eqca.org with any questions you may have.

Jeremy Payne | Associate Program Director Equality California | Equality California Institute www.eqca.org

:: Pronouns: He | Him | His

Fw: Redistricting

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/22/2021 4:50 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Yasamin Shamshiri <

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 12:33 PM To: redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Redistricting



[External Email]

Hello!

Please advance the D4 and/or Community Map not the "Unity" map. Keep communities of interest together.

Regards, Yasamin Shamshiri Willow Glen resident

Sent from my iPhone

FW: Please Add this Nov 30 Redistricting (Public Record) Council Agenda 3.3 (I sent this to council and the mayor already)

Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/22/2021 4:59 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Rich Giammona

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 4:04 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Please Add this Nov 30 Redistricting (Public Record) Council Agenda 3.3 (I sent this to council and the

mayor already)

[External Email]

I'm writing to express deep concern in the unity map. This map especially for the VEP area of D10 doesn't give us unity. It will divide us and will disenfranchise the voice of a community. Each of you represent areas that have values consistent with the area people have chosen to live. All of us chose areas to live based on community preferences and values.

What is the reasoning behind moving VEP into D2? Are voices are well heard, valued, respected and fought for at City Hall. We are a united association that has a passion for this city and area. Yes, the passion does run high at times as it does with you all in regards to policies. D10 / VEP has fought projects that border with D2. We were heard, respected and well represented at City Hall by our council member. Former CM Khamis and current CM Mahan are great examples. A move to District 2 will great diminish our voice. Its been silenced in the past. Without representation former CM Khamis and current Mahan our voices would never have been heard.

As a former neighborhood commissioner, and current Measure T Oversight committee member I'd have a solid feel for residents beliefs. I definitely have a solid understanding of each of your districts from my interactions with commissioners. I've heard from my commissioner friends especially in d6 about the same thing happening over there.

The simple fix is keep all of VEP in D10. There is just no reason for it to be removed. If the reason is for unity that certainly is not it. The preferred choice is the community map. If you all choose the Unity map with All of VEP in it, all that will happen is the alienation of a whole area. The preferred choice of course is for you all to choose the community map. If you choose the unity map just keep (VEP / Carson / Parkview / Gunderson / Vista Park neighborhoods together in DISTRICT 10.

Lastly, all of D10 is a cohesive unit and we ALL share the same values.

Regards,

Rich Giammona

City Council meeting: Redistricting 11/30/21

Tue 11/23/2021 4:02 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <ChappieJones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov> 1 attachments (110 KB)

redistricting 112321.pdf;

[External Email]

[External Email]

Please review the attached letter and add it to the "letters from the public" (for the City Council meeting on Redistricting-11/30/21).

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

November 23, 2021

Dear Mayor and City Council,

As a long-time San Jose resident and proud gay man (attending my first San Jose Pride event in 1994), I have been an advocate for equal rights <u>for everyone</u> and the freedom to live anywhere in San Jose. I have the expectation that I will have a voice and be fairly represented no matter where I live; including any district.

Equality California Institute, with Los Angeles headquarters and none in San Jose, does not speak for me. I cannot condone reverting back to segregation in any form including oddly "packing" people into a certain district to be heard. *It can be a difficult step to move from a community formed by the fight for equal rights to a larger community for the benefit of ALL*. We should embrace the progress made and build on it in a positive way. The efforts of the LGBTQ+ movement must be one of inclusion not separation.

The final map should be based on the redistricting guidelines. The Community Map (and also the Commission Map) takes the current district map and makes changes based on population and community input while keeping neighborhoods and established communities together. The Unity Map doesn't achieve this balance for every area, at best stretches the redistricting principles and is actually divisive. I challenge the council to remove this controversial map from consideration.

Please support the Community Map and FAIRNESS for ALL. I am happy to be an equal part of my already diverse/embracing neighborhood and of the larger community throughout San Jose.

Thank you,

Tod Williams

Fw: Redistricting - Nov 30th Meeting

Gregory, Barbara < Barbara. Gregory@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 11/24/2021 4:56 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Thank You,

Barb Gregory

Analyst II Office of the City Clerk

200 E Santa Clara St FL T-14

San Jose, C-A 95112

408-535-1272 Fax: 408-292-6207

e-mail: barbara.gregory@sanjoseca.gov

How is our service? Please take our short survey.

From: Antonina Ettare

Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 4:31 PM

To: Jones, Chappie < Chappie. Jones@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: Redistricting - Nov 30th Meeting

[External Email]

Council Member Jones,

Please consider supporting the Community Map.

The Community Map was created by a group of San José residents who were dismayed by the proposed Unity Map, which would break up many neighborhoods throughout the city, such as Central San José / downtown, Willow Glen and Blossom Valley.

The Community Map has less disruptions with communities of interest. The Community Map keeps intact neighbors currently working together as supportive communities. In the Community Map, district lines have been drawn with sensitivity to current community relations and communities of interest are kept together.

The Community Map provides a balance of total population.

- The **Community Map** show a balance of population groups throughout the City
- The Community Map adheres to the intent of the laws to stop gerrymandering
- The **Community Map** was a collaboration of residents within neighborhood associations, not political insiders and not special interest groups.

Redistricting should have the least amount of disruption among residents so as to keep collaborative neighbors together to continue working within their prospective district. In addition, district lines must be drawn with sensitivity to current community relations. This means communities of interest are kept together. The Community Map does this.

Please support the Community Map.

Respectfully, Antonina

Redistricting letter for council item 3.3, Nov 30

Nathan Ulsh <

Wed 11/24/2021 12:21 PM

To: Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> Cc: Katia McClain Knies, Scott [External Email]

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council members,

Please find attached our letter supporting the Community Map that was recommended by the Redistricting Commission to council for consideration.

Thank you.

Nathan Ulsh

San Jose Downtown Association Director of Policy and Operations

www.sjdowntown.com - sign up for our newsletter!

Download the **Groundwerx Everywhere** app!

Kristi Yamaguchi's <u>Downtown Ice</u> returns Nov. 19. You can buy tickets now!

Attend or watch SJDA's End-of-Year meeting on Dec. 10, 8:15 a.m. Register here.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



November 24, 2021

The Honorable Mayor Sam Liccardo and City Council City of San José 200 East Santa Clara Street San José, CA 95113

RE: City of San José Council District Redistricting - Council Agenda Item 3.3

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Councilmembers,

On behalf of the San Jose Downtown Association, we are submitting this letter to share our support and request for Council to adopt the Community Map for city redistricting boundaries.

Over the last several weeks, we have heard from downtown residents, business and property owners, and community leaders that there is a strong desire to keep downtown neighborhoods cohesive and within one council district. Moreover, we have heard public comment at several Redistricting Commission meetings that council districts adjacent to downtown commented similar sentiment, all of which the Community Map captures best.

At the November 17 Redistricting Commission meeting, the Commissioner map was unanimously voted to move to council; Community Map 5.0 was recommended by a 9-2 vote; the Unity map was narrowly forwarded by a 6-5 vote.

We thank the Redistricting Commission and Redistricting Partners for dedicating several long nights to this very important topic which will help form the future growth of San Jose. As discussed by the commission, we must keep our city's neighborhoods and business districts intact and the Community Map accomplishes this goal.

Thank you for your consideration and leadership during these turbulent times.

Sincerely,

Scott Knies Executive Director CC:

Katia McClain, SJDA President

REDISTRICTING: City Council Meeting-11/30/21

Tim Clauson

Fri 11/26/2021 4:03 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3

- <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6
- <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9
- <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo
- <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev
- <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>;

Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena

<Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

[External Email]

Dear Mayor and City Council,

Our neighborhood residents came out in numbers for the Redistricting Commission meetings with letters, speakers and a Community of Interest presentation to keep the Vendome with District 3 and to keep the downtown neighborhoods together.

The Vendome is a very small neighborhood (approximately only 9 blocks long-(from Taylor to Ryland/Bassett Street) by 2 blocks wide-(from the 87 freeway to N. 1st Street). It is mainly the former property of the Vendome hotel and includes apartment complexes, two condominium complexes and many historic homes and duplexes.

On the west side is the 87 freeway, the Guadalupe River, Guadalupe Park, a shopping plaza, and a railroad. These natural and artificial boundaries separate us from the Rosegarden/Willow Glen-District 6.

On the north side are the old county buildings including the county jail, a tiny home village and another upcoming project for the unhoused in the police parking lot.

On the east side is the N 1st Street Urban Transit Village-which is in the final planning stages, the Japantown-Ayer light rail stop (the second stop from Santa Clara street) and our adjacent neighborhoods of Hensley and Japantown.

This illustrates the unique placement of our neighborhood including the natural boundaries, separation from District 6 and our close proximity to the downtown.

The Vendome is carved out from downtown into another district in the Unity Map. At one meeting it was orally stated that it is planned to shift the line to San Pedro which is almost worse as it would actually divide our small neighborhood and isolate a small number of homes/residents.

We would also prefer to keep our adjacent neighborhoods whole and together as this map splits downtown into different districts around Japantown.

It seems strange that some districts are majorly changed while others remain intact. It would really help if the Unity Map presenters could re-explain their formula and particularly explain their reasoning behind the deviations from the current district mapping and their purpose for splitting District 3.

The Community Map keeps the Vendome whole and connected with our adjacent downtown neighborhoods. Similar to the Commission Map, it starts with the current district map and makes changes based on population and community input. In addition, the Community Map was created from a grassroots neighborhood effort and has tried to reach out to the various neighborhood associations and residents for feedback. It also complies with the redistricting guidelines. We find the Community Map to be the fairest/best option, for our neighborhood and the city.

We engage regularly with representatives from Northside, Hyde Park, Japantown, Hensley and other downtown neighborhoods on various city government and neighborhood concerns, objectives and improvements.


Downtown development, SJC Airport and its development, the Guadalupe River Park in general with the associated unhoused situation and the Urban Transit Village all greatly impact ours and the surrounding neighborhoods.

We also share a love of preserving our city's history through architecture and renovation of historic homes and have generally formed a community with our adjacent and nearby neighborhoods.

We clearly hear all districts advocating to not be majorly broken up. That is what we are asking for too. Please support the Community Map as it keeps the Vendome and downtown within District 3 and bigger picture-keeps the downtown whole and together.

Thank you,

Tim Clauson VNA President



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Nov. 30 input – Council Agenda Item 3.3

David Eisbach

Sat 11/27/2021 12:33 PM

To: District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]			
[External Email]			

Council Members and concerned Citizens:

Please add this letter to each Council Members' docket and the Public Comments on the Redistricting Meeting on November, 30, 2021.

I choose the Community Map with reasoned inspections of both this one and the Unity Map. Please see the Attachment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Redistricting Nov. 30 input – Council Agenda Item 3.3

Cynthia Batchelder -

Sun 11/28/2021 7:45 PM

To: Peralez, Raul < Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; Nguyen, Mindy

<Mindy.Nguyen@sanjoseca.gov>; Brown, Bridget <Bridget.Brown@sanjoseca.gov>



Dear Councilmember Peralez,

[External Email]

Reviewing the three maps sent over by the Redistricting Commission, as there are issues with all maps, there are some misses in the Unity map that need to be called out:

- Japantown is split into two. And not once, to my knowledge, did the Unity map team reach out to JNA
- The Vendome Neighborhood, as one of the pillars of the Downtown neighborhoods somehow got moved into D6.
- The future North 1st Street Local Transit Village has been split into two districts via the Unity map
- <a href="https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments-offices/planning-buildingcode-enforcement/planning-division/citywide-planning/urban-villages/urban-villageplans-under-development/north-1st-street

Thank you,

Cynthia JNA resident Not representing JNA

Fwd: SJ Redistricting; Nov. 30 input

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sun 11/28/2021 10:33 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

From: Joanne Cash

Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 8:39:35 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2

- <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5
- <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8
- <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The

Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam

- <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie
- <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio
- <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena
- <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David
- <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; Joanne Cash

Subject: SJ Redistricting; Nov. 30 input

[External Email]

To: San Jose City Council Members

I support the COMMUNITY MAP!

Please show some respect for all areas of San Jose – not just the areas that suit your political interests.

The idea that many of the city council members, whose districts are virtually untouched by redistricting, would vote to have half of the city torn apart during redistricting, is quite disturbing.

It's bad enough that our city has a constant increase in theft, trespassing, vandalism, sideshows, fires, mentally-ill and addicted people on the streets, and businesses going under due to COVID restrictions.

Now, we have to deal with the Labor Union trying to tear apart half the districts in the city.

For goodness' sake – leave the people alone for a while! Vote for the Community Map and throw the Unity Map out!

Fed up in San Jose,

Joanne Cash

Fwd: Redistricting - Nov 30

City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sun 11/28/2021 10:33 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

From: Nina Heldt

Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 1:24:08 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2

<District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5

<District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8

<district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The

Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam

<sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie

<Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio

<sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena

<Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David

<David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; Lance Shoemaker

Flora Moreno de Thompson

Subject: Redistricting - Nov 30

[External Email]

Hello all,

My vote/recommendation is for the community map.

Thank you

-Nina Heldt

District 3 resident

Fwd: Redistricting Nov. 30

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sun 11/28/2021 10:34 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Suzanne Morrone -

Sent: Friday, November 26, 2021 9:59:12 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2

<District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5

<District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8

<district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The

Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam

<sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie

<Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio

<sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena

<Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David

<David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Redistricting Nov. 30

[External Email]

I strongly support the "Community" map, which will keep established neighborhoods together, while also meeting the standards of equal representation and population. Many of us in the downtown area have fought long and hard against crime, gangs, drugs, and to help the homeless and mentally ill population. We are very diverse and yet are able to come together to work for our community...which is represented by the community map.

Thank you,

Suzanne Morrone (northside neighborhood)

Re: Redistricting Nov. 30 input - Council Agenda Item 3.3

······································
tle4030 Mon 11/29/2021 7:48 AM
To: davide City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <district2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <district4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <district5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <district7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <district10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <theofficeofmayorsamliccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <chappie.jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <maya.esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <raul.peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <magdalena.carrasco@sanjoseca.gov> Cc: 'Irene Smith' ; 'Cheryl Lubow'</magdalena.carrasco@sanjoseca.gov></raul.peralez@sanjoseca.gov></sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov></maya.esparza@sanjoseca.gov></chappie.jones@sanjoseca.gov></dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov></sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov></theofficeofmayorsamliccardo@sanjoseca.gov></district10@sanjoseca.gov></district9@sanjoseca.gov></district8@sanjoseca.gov></district7@sanjoseca.gov></district6@sanjoseca.gov></district5@sanjoseca.gov></district4@sanjoseca.gov></district3@sanjoseca.gov></district2@sanjoseca.gov></district1@sanjoseca.gov></city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
[External Email]
[External Email]
As a longtime community leader in Dist. 3, I support Davide Vieira's letter.
Tom Leddy
Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS
On Sunday, November 28, 2021, 5:24 PM
Hello,
I support the Community Map because it was drawn by residents of San José for residents of San José not by disinterested consultants or self-interested organizations. We don't need radically new districts – we need to gently adjust the ones we have. That's what the Community Map does. Keep our neighborhoods and their voices intact. Don't take a buzzsaw to our City Council district lines.

Sincerely, Davide Vieira

All you leave behind are memories -- make them good ones

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system. Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fwd: Redistricting Input for Nov. 30 council meeting - San Jose

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 8:23 AM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

From: Joe V

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:02:32 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2

<District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5

<District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8

<district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The

Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam

<sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie

<Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio

<sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena

<Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David

<David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Redistricting Input for Nov. 30 council meeting - San Jose

[External Email]

Hello,

For those council members who think it's ok to massively redraw the boundaries in districts 2, 3, 6, 9 and 10, here's an alternate map. Instead of moving almost 13,000 people from district 4 into district 3 (as the Unity Map does), let's move those people into district 5.

Then, we can put thousands of people from district 5 into district 8. And, we can put thousands of people from district 8 into district 7. Let's leave districts 3, 6, 9, 10 as-is, with a little expansion for needed growth in 6, 9 and 10.

Here's your new map.

https://districtr.org/plan/87517

How does that look to you?

If you don't like it, then do NOT do the same to other districts.

Show some fairness and listen to people from all districts. Start with a more equitable distribution of people from district 4, as the Community map does.

Sincerely,

Joe Vasquez

Fw: City and County Redistricting

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 12:05 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

From: Dan ·

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 11:27 AM

To: susan.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org <susan.ellenberg@bos.sccgov.org>; otto.lee@bos.sccgov.org <otto.lee@bos.sccgov.org>; supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org <supervisor.simitian@bos.sccgov.org>; Cindy.Chavez@bos.sccgov.org <Cindy.Chavez@bos.sccgov.org>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: City and County Redistricting

[External Email]

Please vote for the Equal and Equitable Map (EE 2.0) which would avoid dividing neighborhoods and keeps our communities together. Map EE 2.0 follows all best practices, established by the state, for creating County Supervisorial districts. Please do the right thing and vote for Map EE 2.0 to provide fair representation for all our communities in Santa Clara County.

Sincerely

Dan Olesen

Fw: G. Gallardo (D1) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 3:57 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org> Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 3:54 PM To: redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: Fwd: G. Gallardo (D1) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Neil McClintick < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org >

Date: Mon, Nov 29, 2021 at 3:54 PM

Subject: Fwd: G. Gallardo (D1) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

To: Taber, Toni < toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Neil McClintick < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org >

Date: Mon, Nov 29, 2021 at 3:50 PM

Subject: FWD: G. Gallardo (D1) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

To: <mayoremail@sanjoseca.gov>, <District1@sanjoseca.gov>, <District2@sanjoseca.gov>, <District3@sanjoseca.gov>, <District3@sanjo

<<u>District5@sanioseca.gov</u>>, <<u>district6@sanioseca.gov</u>>, <<u>District7@sanioseca.gov</u>>, <<u>district8@sanioseca.gov</u>>, <<u>District9@sanioseca.gov</u>>,

< District 10@sanjoseca.gov >



Fri. Nov 19. 3:47 PM (10 days ago)

Dear San Jose City Council

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Dilluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Redistricting Commission would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance,

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majority-

minority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely.



Fw: Chris Pasillas (Downtown) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 3:57 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 3:56 PM

To: Taber, Toni < toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo < The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo @sanjoseca.gov>; District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District9

<District10@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FWD: Chris Pasillas (Downtown) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]



Dear San Jose City Council

Sun, Nov 21, 2:39 PM (8

days ago)

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose Redistricting Commission is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining.

Every map under consideration by the City Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majority-

minority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the City Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely Chris Pasillas Downtown San Jose, CA 95113

Fw: Victoria Partida (D7) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:01 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:00 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FWD: Victoria Partida (D7) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

Victoria Partida

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Dilluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majority-

minority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the City to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely,

Victoria Partida

Fw: Brian Wheatley (D9) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:10 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:05 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: FWD: Brian Wheatley (D9) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose Redistricting Commission is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community by a startling 7% in District 3 through choosing to kick predominantly historic Chicano neighborhoods like Washington Guadalupe, Gardner and Spartan Keyes out of the downtown.

Every map under consideration by the Redistricting Commission would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement

continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Commission to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Brian Wheatley

Fw: Khari Crawford (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:10 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:08 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: FWD: Khari Crawford (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.



As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the City Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of

renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Khari Crawford

Fw: Brian Haberly (D3) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:16 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:11 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FWD: Brian Haberly (D3) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.

Brian Haberly to me

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Brian Haberly

Fw: Bill Roth (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:16 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:15 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: Bill Roth (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.



As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community by a startling 7% in District 3 through choosing to kick predominantly historic Chicano neighborhoods like Washington Guadalupe, Gardner and Spartan Keyes out of the downtown.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these

remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Bill Roth

Fw: Malinda Markowitz (D2) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:28 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:18 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: FWD: Malinda Markowitz (D2) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.

malinda markowitz < to me

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community by a startling 7% in District 3 through choosing to kick predominantly historic Chicano neighborhoods like Washington Guadalupe, Gardner and Spartan Keyes out of the downtown.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent Council's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, malinda markowitz

Fw: Socorro McCord (D1) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:28 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:21 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FWD: Socorro McCord (D1) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.



to me

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Dilluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand,

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majority-

minority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely,

Fw: Arthur Coja (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:28 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:23 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: FWD: Arthur Coja (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.



As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose Redistricting City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Redistricting Commission would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply

suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Arthur Coja

Fw: Michael Delaney (D5) Strongly Supports the Unity map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:28 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:25 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: FWD: Michael Delaney (D5) Strongly Supports the Unity map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.



As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of

renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Michael Delaney

Fw: Teresa Castellanos (Downtown) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 11/29/2021 4:29 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:28 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: Teresa Castellanos (Downtown) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

Teresa Castellanos



This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.

As a San Jose 50 year resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Teresa Castellanos Downtown San Jose San Jose, CA 95112

Fw: James Marshall (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 11/29/2021 4:31 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:30 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: FWD: James Marshall (D6) Strongly Supports the Unity Map

[External Email]

This email has been forwarded while we navigate email recipient issues from our supporters with the City. Thank you for understanding.



As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose City Council is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community.

Every map under consideration by the Council would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Council to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, James Marshall

Fw: Please support the San José Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 11/29/2021 4:33 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:32 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> **Subject:** Fwd: Please support the San José Unity Map

[External Email]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Neil Park-McClintick < neil@wpusa.org >

Date: Mon, Nov 29, 2021 at 4:32 PM

Subject: Fwd: Please support the San José Unity Map

To: < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org >

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mullissa Willette

Date: Wed, Nov 17, 2021 at 5:19 AM

Subject: Please support the San José Unity Map

To: <neil@wpusa.org>

Dear Policy Advocate Neil Park-McClintick,

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose Redistricting Commission is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair

elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community by a startling 7% in District 3 through choosing to kick predominantly historic Chicano neighborhoods like Washington Guadalupe, Gardner and Spartan Keyes out of the downtown.

Every map under consideration by the Redistricting Commission would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Commission to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Mullissa Willette

Neil Park-McClintick

He/him/his

Housing Justice Organizer



"Give people what they need: food, medicine, clean air, pure water, trees and grass, pleasant homes to live in, some hours of work, more hours of leisure. Don't ask who deserves it. Every human being deserves it."

Fw: Please support the San José Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 11/29/2021 4:33 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:33 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> Subject: Fwd: Please support the San José Unity Map

[External Email]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Neil Park-McClintick < neil@wpusa.org >

Date: Mon, Nov 29, 2021 at 4:31 PM

Subject: Fwd: Please support the San José Unity Map

To: < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org >

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mundo Escarcega**

Date: Wed, Nov 17, 2021 at 12:11 PM

Subject: Please support the San José Unity Map

To: <neil@wpusa.org>

Dear Policy Advocate Neil Park-McClintick,

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose Redistricting Commission is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair

elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community by a startling 7% in District 3 through choosing to kick predominantly historic Chicano neighborhoods like Washington Guadalupe, Gardner and Spartan Keyes out of the downtown.

Every map under consideration by the Redistricting Commission would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Commission to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.

Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Mundo Escarcega Edenvale District 2 San Jose, CA 95136

Neil Park-McClintick

He/him/his

Housing Justice Organizer



"Give people what they need: food, medicine, clean air, pure water, trees and grass, pleasant homes to live in, some hours of work, more hours of leisure. Don't ask who deserves it. Every human being deserves it."

Fw: Please support the San José Unity Map

redistricting < redistricting@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 11/29/2021 4:33 PM

To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Neil McClintick <neil.mcclintick@svraction.org>

Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:33 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@sanjoseca.gov> **Subject:** Fwd: Please support the San José Unity Map

[External Email]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Neil Park-McClintick**

Date: Mon, Nov 29, 2021 at 4:31 PM

Subject: Fwd: Please support the San José Unity Map

To: < neil.mcclintick@svraction.org >

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Alan Brody -

Date: Wed, Nov 17, 2021 at 1:44 PM

Subject: Please support the San José Unity Map

To: <neil@wpusa.org>

Dear Policy Advocate Neil Park-McClintick,

As a San Jose resident, I am writing in support of the San Jose Redistricting Unity Map, which will ensure all of our votes have equal weight, each of our voices equal stature, and each of our communities equal resources—creating a more equitable and just City for our Black, Latino, Asian and indigenous neighbors.

The San Jose Redistricting Commission is considering several draft electoral maps that could decimate our communities' voices. Diluting the voices of marginalized communities would create unfair

elections across San Jose for years to come! This cannot stand.

I believe we need to do more than balance populations; we need to also keep and bring communities together.

Yet our lawmakers are considering several other maps that will neither keep our communities whole, nor undo the legacies of voter suppression and redlining. The "Community Map" for example, reduces the voice of our Latinx community by a startling 7% in District 3 through choosing to kick predominantly historic Chicano neighborhoods like Washington Guadalupe, Gardner and Spartan Keyes out of the downtown.

Every map under consideration by the Redistricting Commission would further suppress the voice of Latinos across the majority of districts within the City of San Jose when compared to the Unity Map. Each of these remaining redistricting plans under consideration would decrease the voices of Latinx, Black and Asian communities by over 2% per a District compared to the Unity Map and deeply suppress the voice of renters across our City's most diverse Districts at a time when displacement continues to break apart and disempower these same working families in communities of color.

This kind of voter suppression could drive our decision-making for the next decade unless you join us in taking action today.

Like many other community members in our region, I stand with the district lines drawn by civil rights and cultural organizations in San Jose, such as the Asian Law Alliance, NAACP Silicon Valley, the La Raza Roundtable, Silicon Valley Rising Action and the Latino Leadership Alliance.

The Unity Map would would ensure that our two Asian-majority district and our majorityminority Hispanic districts are kept intact, while also respecting the numerous communities of interest that have been brought up thus far as part of the independent commission's public input process. This includes keeping Willow Glen together with North Willow Glen, Berryessa and Penitencia Creek, Delmas Park, Alum Rock Corridor, and more.

Additionally, I urge the Commission to adopt the Unity Map because it brings multifamily communities together along highway 85, in a city that is zoned more than 90% single family residential. With all the development we are seeing in Downtown San Jose, I especially appreciate that these lines will work to create a second downtown district.


Please support the Updated San Jose Unity map, so we can chart our city on a course for fair and equitable elections.

Sincerely, Alan Brody

Neil Park-McClintick

He/him/his

Housing Justice Organizer



Agenda Item: 3.3 City of San Jose Council Redistricting Public Hearing - Tuesday, Nov. 30th

mlimas@cet-icp.org <mlimas@cet-icp.org>

Mon 11/29/2021 5:01 PM

To: City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email]

You don't often get email from mlimas@cet-icp.org. Learn why this is important

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and City Council,

In order to ensure democracy thrives in San Jose, we need to make certain all of our voices are heard. Unfortunately, across the nation we continue to see efforts to disenfranchise Black, Brown and Asian voters. The city of San Jose needs to take this opportunity to stand against voter suppression.

Since the districts we draw this year will shape our lives and communities for the next decade, it's vital that we choose a map that is truly representative our diverse community. For this reason, I am writing in favor of the Unity Map submitted by South Bay Labor Council, the San José/Silicon Valley NAACP, Asian Law Alliance, La Raza Roundtable, Latino Leadership Alliance, and Silicon Valley Rising. It was created by civil rights and community-centric leaders with years of experience living in San Jose.

The Unity Map keeps the neighborhoods and communities of San Jose whole, including Fruitdale, the Rose Garden, College Park, Penitencia, Alum Rock, Berryessa, Evergreen, Silver Creek, Naglee Park, Little Saigon, Communications Hill, and Seven Trees. It was drawn with each of these Communities of Interest in mind. It remains compact, with easy to identify, whole districts, while also working with San Jose's unique boundaries and their intersection with Unincorporated County Land. It also promotes fairness, ensuring that ethnic coalitions have an equal vote in their district and conforms to the Fair Maps Act and the California Voting Rights Act. With its approval it will empower the Black community, which has already been devastated by displacement, and ensures better representation of our Asian and Latinx populations.

The Unity Map increases the representation of Latino, Asian and Black residents and renters significantly, by about 1.5% per a district, especially in important districts like D2 and D6, while preserving majority-minority AAPI seats in D4 and D8 and heavily Latino influenced districts in D7, D3 and D5. It also bonds multi-family neighborhoods along the I-85 corridor, like Hoffman Via Monte that have been poorly represented in the past; we're giving working families and communities of color, who rely on rental housing, a chance for leadership better representing their needs.

Listed as one of the top 10 most livable cities in America by SmartAsset, the City of San Jose needs to reflect all our voices, no matter who we are, what we look like, or how much money we have. By voting for the Unity Map, you are ensuring that typically marginalized communities are recognized and their vote is not diluted.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Warm regards,

Monica Limas

Director

Center for Employment Training Immigration and Citizenship Program



Immigration & Citizenship Program

~ Since 1986 ~

www.cet-icp.org | e-immigrate.info/







Scan. Donate. Done.



https://paybee.io/@cet_icp

"The willingness to show up changes us, It makes us a little braver each time." — Brené Brown

Confidentiality Notice:

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual or entity as the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any distribution, any copying of this message in part or in whole, or any taking of action based on it, is strictly prohibited by law and may cause liability. In case you have received this message due to an error in transmission, we ask you to notify the sender immediately.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Nov 30 Letter to City of San Jose - Item 3.3 City of San Jose Redistricting

Nick Kuwada < NickK@svcn.org>

Mon 11/29/2021 3:48 PM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov> Cc: Reed, Jim <Jim.Reed@sanjoseca.gov>; Gomez, David <David.Gomez@sanjoseca.gov>; Sandoval, Vanessa

<vanessa.sandoval@sanjoseca.gov>; Ramos, Christina M <christina.m.ramos@sanjoseca.gov>; Brown, Stacey

<Stacey.Brown@sanjoseca.gov>; Arreola, Kiara <Kiara.Arreola@sanjoseca.gov>; Groen, Mary Anne <maryanne.groen@sanjoseca.gov>; Kaspar, Nick <Nick.Kaspar@sanjoseca.gov>; McGarrity, Patrick

<Patrick.McGarrity@sanjoseca.gov>; Hughes, Scott <scott.hughes@sanjoseca.gov>; Quevedo, Matthew

<Matthew.Quevedo@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Kyra Kazantzis <Kyrak@svcn.org>;

ponchog@sacredheartcs.org <ponchog@sacredheartcs.org>; Alan Gouig <AlanG@svcn.org>

You don't often get email from nickk@svcn.org. Learn why this is important

[External Email]

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo and distinguished members of the City Council,

Please find attached a letter signed by organizations of the Race Equity Action Leadership coalition (REAL) regarding agenda item 3.3 for the November 30 City Council meeting on its redistricting process.

Thank you,

Nick Kuwada | Policy Director

Silicon Valley Council of Nonprofits

Web: svcn.org | Twitter: @SVCN

Pronouns: he/him/his

Congratulations to the Nonprofit Impact Award Honorees!



Learn more about the SVCN Nonprofit Impact Awards

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute, or copy this e-mail.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

November 29, 2021

San José City Council San José City Hall 200 E. Santa Clara St. San José, CA 95113 Sent via electronic mail

Re: City of San José Redistricting – Item 3.3

Dear Mayor Liccardo and Members of the City Council:

This letter was prepared by the Silicon Valley Council of Nonprofits, in consultation with the Race Equity Action Leadership (REAL) coalition. The REAL community of nonprofit leaders and allies has been meeting since June 2020 to use our positional power to advocate for a more racially-just and equitable society; to establish a peer network of leaders committed to fighting white supremacy and systemic racism in ourselves and our institutions; and to hold each other accountable to the promises we made in the Nonprofit Racial Equity Pledge. The REAL coalition is broadly representative of the nonprofit community including human and community services, mental health and health, arts and culture, domestic violence, services for older adults, food distribution, education, environmental, farming, legal, disability rights, LGTBQ rights, ethnic, immigrant rights, housing and homelessness, criminal justice reform, urban planning, intermediary organizations, and others. Over 120 organizations have participated in the REAL coalition.

As a coalition of nonprofits and allies working towards racial justice, we support drawing San José's electoral district boundaries in a manner that does not suppress the votes of Black, Latinx, Asian, and Indigenous residents. The "Unity Map," created by a local coalition, is currently the only map that does not suppress the unified voices of people of color, who have been historically underrepresented.

We urge you to forward to the City Council only electoral district maps that protect the unified voices of San José's communities of color.

Sincerely,

Members of the REAL Coalition as follows:

Lavere Foster

African American Community Service

Agency (AACSA)

Alison Brunner

Law Foundation of Silicon Valley

Richard G Konda

Asian Law Alliance

Gisela Bushey

Loaves and Fishes Family Kitchen

Carmen Brammer

Black Leadership Kitchen Cabinet

David Mineta

Momentum for Health

Ashley Raggio

Building Back Better - Joint Venture

Rhonda Berry

Our City Forest

Gregory Kepferle

Catholic Charities of Santa Clara County

Rev. Ray Montgomery

People Acting in Community Together

(PACT)

Pete Settelmayer

Downtown College Prep

Heather Cleary

Peninsula Family Service

Shawn Gerth

Educare California at Silicon Valley

Olivia White Lopez

Peninsula Open Space Trust (POST)

Susie Rivera

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)

Nathan Svoboda Project MORE

Quyen Vuong

International Children Assistance

Network (ICAN)

Kathy Cordova

Recovery Café San Jose

Susan Frazer

Jewish Family Services of Silicon Valley

Poncho Guevara

Sacred Heart Community Service

Co-facilitator, REAL Coalition

Darcie Green

Latinas Contra Cancer

Shiloh Ballard

Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition

Mayra Pelagio

Latinos United for a New America

(LUNA)

Kyra Kazantzis

Silicon Valley Council of Nonprofits

Co-facilitator, REAL Coalition

Victor Vasquez SOMOS Mayfair

Yvonne Maxwell Ujima Adult and Family Services

Andre' Chapman Unity Care

Eva Terrazas Uplift Family Services

Philip Nguyen Vietnamese American Roundtable (VAR)

Shelene Huey-Booker Youth Utilizing Power and Praise Organization

Jennifer Masuda Yu-Ai Kai