

SAN JOSE Council Policy Prioritization: Early Consideration Response Form

Department Rep. Name/Ext.		Chris Burton, Nanci Klein, Jim Shannon					Rules Date	12/1/	12/1/21 Item G.3						
						Council Member Sponsorship			Jone	Jones, Jimenez, Foley					
									<u> </u>						
Policy/Ordinance Subject							_								
Staff Recommendation															
☐ GRE	EN Adopt k	pased on tra	ideoffs			itv	ty RED Recommend Council i				not NEEDS CLARIFICATION OR				
outlined on next pa				•			adopt nominated idea				MORE TIME TO EVALUATE				
Staff Evaluation															
Is this aligned with City Roadmap? Is this already underway in a Is this time critical or an emergency? Will this require substantial resources,															
13 (1113 a)	iigiied with Ci	ty Moadilla	Department work plan?				is this time critical or all emergency:				staffing, budget, or strategic support?				
Yes No				Yes No			Yes No				Yes No				
		no Scale of	Project Con				1 163	INO			l les	110			
Criterion to Determine Scale of Project Complexity Project complexity is determined by scoring the project in each of the 3 criterions below and then summing the score.															
a. Low Complexity is a sum of 6 or less.															
b. Medium Complexity is a sum of 7 – 9. Total Score =															
c. High Complexity is a sum of 10 or greater.															
			Low Complexity				Medium Complexity				High Complexity				
	Estimated Duration		6 – 9 mo	6 − 9 months □:			• • •			= 2 Mc	• • • •				
	Organizational		Can Facil	Can Easily be Absorbed		Dlann					ntly Propos				
ion	Complexity		Can Easily be Absorbed □= 1 into Existing Work Plan							- Z VVC	Work Not Currently Proposed $\square = 3$				
ter	Complexity			Have staff with required \square =			Have staff with required skillset/ $\square = 2$				Do not have staff with required $\square = 3$				
Cri	(Internal)		skillset/knowledge			requires moderate research				l l	skillset/requires significant				
ing	(incomal)		January II	3Kiii3et/Kiiowieuge requii				mes moderate research				research			
Scoring Criterion			Less than	Less than or equal 2 $\square = 1$			$3 - 4$ staff required $\square = 2$				More than 5 staff required $\square = 3$				
				staff required											
	(External)			1 Additional □= 1			2 Other Departments Involved □= 2				3 or more Departments Involved □= 3				
			Department			. – –									
DEPT. Required	☐ Airport	☐ Auditor	□ смо	▼ CMO – Communication	× OED/0	CA	□ ESD	☐ Fire	□ HR	□ IT	☐ PRNS	☐ Police	☐ Retirement		
					₾ UED/	CA		⊔ гие	⊔ пк	<u></u> П	□ PKIN3	□ Police	Retirement		
	■ Attorney	☐ Clerk	× CMO -	ĭ CMO −	☐ Comm	- 1	☐ Finance	☐ Housing	□ IPA	☐ Libra	ry × PBCE	☐ PW	□ DOT		
œ	/tetorriey	_ 001	Budget	API (IGR)	Energ	gy		110031118			.,				

Analysis
Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.
GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this Nominated Idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to Department work plan. (1) How will the Idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Roadmap or to a Department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the Idea forward and reduce its complexity?
The recommendations in this memo require coordination across a number of departments. The Administration respectfully requests an additional week for further evaluation.
YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this Nominated Idea to the Priority Setting Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors).
RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not adopt this Nominated Idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).