

From: [b. beekman](#)
To: [Amiri, Aziza](#); [Pereira, Paul](#); [Sykes, Dave](#); [Rios, Angel](#); [Cano, Matt](#); [Chi-Kai Sin](#); [Sameena Usman](#); [aKazmi@cair.com](#); [Roxana Marachi](#); [contact@cpcsc.org](#)
Subject: ²Blair Beekman. Monday, June 14t, 2021. __ Measure T public oversight meeting. 6.10.21.
Date: Monday, June 14, 2021 4:15:48 PM

[External Email]

Dear SJ Measure T, city govt staff, commission persons, and community advocacy,

As it is now, on YouTube, a few thoughts, on the June 10th, Measure T oversight, public meeting. This letter, is to mostly speak about, public outreach ideas.

I hope, it can be passed along, to Measure T commissioners, before sj city council, item 3.12, tomorrow.

San Jose City govt, seems to be doing, a very good job, to simply organize, and include, natural disaster preparedness, as a part of, regular city govt public agenda items, and local community planning, at this time.

In learning, to better consider, ideas of wildfire preparedness, sea level rise, climate change, and a possible large earthquake, in the sf bay area, in the next 2-5-10 years -

I feel, these can be ways, to continue the efforts, to help better talk about, equity, reimagine, health and human services ideas, our better human ideals, and open, democratic practices, as a community.

And may be something, of what the Measure T, public oversight board, is trying to work towards, when they say public outreach, at this time.

A reminder, of simply talking, more regularly, about ideas of equity, reimagine, and open public policies, can help develop much, in the next few years.

Good, decent, idealistic, and realistic preparing of practices now, can make transitions, out of future, difficult, community situations - easier, more knowledgeable, and compassionate, in the next decade. And what will be, the ongoing questions, about Covid-19.

As you will be gathering information, from council persons, for the next few months - to offer, a 2nd way, to consider, public outreach ideas.

There are now, guidelines, examples, and state legal precedence, of better openness and accountability, with technology and data collection practices.

It is the idea, of a more shared community effort, that can include, more open public policies. And, more open, accountable, democratic practices, that can begin a process, to allow local government, to share more openly, with everyday community.

These ideas, were initially helped developed, in 2014, by the un, the aclu, the department of Navy, and others, looking for a way, to address the era of 9.11.01, and its continual war on terrorism. And the toll, it has taken, on this country and this Earth.

To study, and practice ideas, of open public policy, and better accountability, for the future of technology, and data collection, can naturally invite, better democratic practices and ideas of trust.

To better understand, good civil rights and civil protections practices, can help your work with Measure T technology issues, become more organized, clear, and easier to understand.

I think, it is a shared, good experience, of more open, community participation & learning, that is something, of what the Measure T oversight board, is asking about, at this time, with the term, public outreach.

With it's incredibly decent attempts, at good democratic ideals - open public policy guideline ideas with technology, can help address & define, a wide variety of topics, including - the civil rights and civil protections, of smart street light public policy ideas, organizing better working relationships, between commission and community. And can even help address, public meeting agenda, protocols and procedures.

These are my feelings, and a short overview,, of the items, on the Measure T public meeting agenda, last Thursday, June 10th.

The ACLU surveillance technology ordinance, first developed in Santa Clara county, is a good starting point, to understand a future of openness and community sustainability, that is trying to develop, and that is now possible.

Look it up, on the ACLU-NC website. It can start you, on an amazing course, of more open, democratic ideas, good civil protections,. And what can be, a better shared, more peaceful, sustainable, community future.

It is some of, our more respected institutions, asking what can be, good democratic practices, at the local level, for the individual. And that, can question well, local u.s. democracy, and its ideas, as a representative republic.

I hope we can talk more, in the future, about current the good intentions, of the Measure T commission. And to better define, what can be public outreach, at this time.

To note, some of the initial intentions, of the Measure T oversight board, was to have, a strong, robust, open, public review policy, that could openly review, with everyday community, technology and data collection practices, to be used, in the Measure T process.

A reminder, that to study, open public policies, with the future of technology and data collection, and its goals towards more open democratic practices - can develop, a more peaceful, inclusive, equitable, sustainable community future, that can have many uses, and work, in many ways.

To conclude, there is an openness & positiveness, in the work, of an entire community, process & effort, that can be considered, at this time. And that I feel, can address, the fear isolation, and lack, of more open democratic practices, that often follows, death and mourning, in a community.

With some of, the positive, healing aspects, of the open democratic process. Even as, the SJ subcommittee meeting process, usually likes to work, as a small, nimble, exclusive, study session process - try to also be considering, the good practices, of at least, some public input, for the future of the SJ subcommittee community process.

And the future, of the sj neighborhood/community democratic process.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.