



Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR
AND CITY COUNCIL

FROM: Matt Cano

**SUBJECT: MAINTENANCE DISTRICT
BUDGET REPORTS FOR
FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021**

DATE: May 26, 2020

Approved

Date

5/27/2020

COUNCIL DISTRICTS: 2, 3, 4, & 8

RECOMMENDATION

Adopt resolutions approving and confirming the Annual Budget Reports for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 for City of San José Maintenance Districts 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22 and levying the assessments therein.

OUTCOME

The desired outcome for this proceeding is the continued maintenance of enhanced public improvements funded through the levy of special assessments.

BACKGROUND

The City has two landscape design standards for public landscaping described as Type 1 and Type 2. Type 1 is a lower maintenance design which in general includes trees and either hardscape, rocks or mulch around the trees without shrubs or ground cover. This design is used in landscape areas maintained with the General Fund. Type 2 landscape features are a higher level design standard that generally includes trees, shrubs, and flowers and could contain other decorative features such as fountains and lakes.

The City has established various special districts to provide enhanced services that are not covered by the General Fund. Certain special districts (i.e. maintenance districts) require separate approval of the impending fiscal year budget by Council and must be accomplished prior to the County of Santa Clara's typical August 10 deadline for placing items on the County of Santa Clara's property tax bills. Although there are other districts (i.e. community facilities districts) that maintain Type 2 landscape, this report only covers the City's maintenance assessment districts which maintain many of the Type 2 public improvements that provide special benefits to the parcels served. Since the maintenance of these enhanced facilities directly benefit the

individual parcels within the district rather than the City as a whole, the maintenance costs are assessed to these same parcels.

There are currently 13 maintenance districts in San José. Each includes an annual inflationary adjuster approved by the property owners in compliance with the Municipal Code and current legislation.

ANALYSIS

The Municipal Code requires annual Council approval of a budget report for each maintenance district, confirming the assessments in the report, and directing that the assessments be collected with the County of Santa Clara's property taxes. While these reports must be approved separately, the maintenance district budgets are also included in the City's Proposed Operating Budget.

The 13 maintenance districts included in this action are shown in Attachment A and described in Attachment B. Each district's Annual Budget Report for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 will be on file in the City Clerk's Office prior to the Council meeting. Each report will include:

1. The maintenance budget;
2. A description of the maintenance items and services;
3. Any property configuration related changes;
4. The annually adjusted assessments;
5. The assessment roll, and;
6. The assessment diagram (map).

Annual assessment adjustment formulas approved by the property owners for these districts allow adjustments that do not exceed the annual change in the San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward Consumer Price Index (CPI), which for this upcoming fiscal year is 3.31 percent. None of the City's maintenance districts will exceed this index. Of the 13 districts, nine are assessing the maximum assessment.

Current legislation furthermore establishes that assessment districts are only authorized to assess for services that provide "special benefit" to a property within the district. The engineer's report for each district formed must therefore identify that "special benefit," and it must also determine any "general benefit" that might exist. If "general benefit" is determined, the City must contribute to the district from "generally available funds" to cover that benefit. Since City standards would require minimally landscaped median islands on some of the streets in Maintenance Districts 2, 8, 9, 11, 15, 19, 20, 21, and 22, a City contribution is required. The median islands in Maintenance Districts 1, 5, 13, and 18 are for decorative purposes only; therefore, no City contribution is made for these districts. In Maintenance District 15, there is an additional City contribution of \$22,118 for the maintenance of stormwater treatment in Zone I. The City previously agreed in 2012 to allocate this contribution from the Storm Sewer Operating Fund.

The following table lists estimated costs for the minimal landscape maintenance that the City would provide if these maintenance districts did not exist. Accordingly, the City will contribute this same amount to each district:

MAINTENANCE DISTRICT	CITY CONTRIBUTION
2	\$2,408
8	\$2,353
9	\$23,480
11	\$6,354
15	\$38,754*
18	\$5,000**
19	\$6,195
20	\$21,461
21	\$8,996
22	\$35,223

* In Maintenance District 15, special benefit Zone I includes the maintenance of stormwater quality treatment improvements. Of this amount the City contributes \$22,118 from the Storm Sewer Operating Fund (446) to cover the proportionate share of stormwater treatment associated with the public streets within the zone.

** In Maintenance District 18, through the Parks, Recreation and Neighborhood Services Department maintenance budget, the City will pay the water bill (approximately \$5,000 annually) for joint service to the central area of the District and the City's Metzger Ranch. This will compensate the District for the general benefit landscape maintenance service it provides for the grounds around the Metzger ranch house and barn, preserved as a City of San José historical site.

Each City Council resolution to approve a district report will also:

1. Confirm that the annual assessment does not exceed the annual change in the CPI;
2. Confirm the individual assessments as proposed or modified by Council;
3. Direct that any required City contributions be made; and
4. Direct the Director of Public Works to deliver the assessments to the County for collection with the property taxes.

CONCLUSION

The maximum allowable assessment for each of the City's Maintenance Districts will be increased by the CPI for FY 2020-2021. Districts with contributions from the General Fund or other City Funds will continue as expected.

EVALUATION AND FOLLOW-UP

No additional follow up action with the City Council is expected at this time.

CLIMATE SMART SAN JOSE

The recommendation in this memo does not have any negative impact on Climate Smart San Jose energy, water, or mobility goals.

PUBLIC OUTREACH

This memorandum will be posted on the City's website for the June 9, 2020, Council agenda. The Annual Budget Reports are filed in the City Clerk's Office for public review and will also be made available to the property owners within the districts upon request. A notice of assessment and map for each district will be recorded with the County Recorder for public viewing and for title purposes. This will allow each district to be referenced in title searches to inform property owners of the corresponding district. Staff is also available to respond to any public inquiries.

COORDINATION

This memorandum and related documents and resolutions were prepared in cooperation with the Departments of Transportation and Environmental Services, the City Attorney's Office, and the City Manager's Budget Office.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

No commission recommendation or input is associated with this action.

FISCAL/POLICY ALIGNMENT

This action is consistent with the Council-approved Budget Principle in that it utilizes special assessments for enhanced services.

COST SUMMARY/IMPLICATIONS

This Council action only relates to the property assessments for Fiscal Year 2020-2021. The assessment revenue is shown in the source and use of funds for each district’s budget. The budgets are considered by Council as a part of the City Operating Budget hearings.

1. **AMOUNT OF RECOMMENDATION:** All costs for the maintenance districts will be paid by the property owners within the districts by a direct benefit assessment against each benefiting property with the exception of City general benefit contributions.
2. **COST ELEMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021:** For all maintenance districts, the total Proposed 2020-2021 budget is:

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Beginning Fund Balances	\$ 2,681,554
Transfers	
General Fund*	
MD 2	2,408
MD 8	2,353
MD 9	23,480
MD 11	6,354
MD 15	16,636
MD 19	6,195
MD 20	21,461
MD 21	8,996
MD 22	35,223
Storm Sewer Operating Fund	
MD 15	22,118
Revenue	
Interest	49,800
Taxes and Special Assessments	2,683,300
TOTAL SOURCE OF FUNDS	\$ 5,559,878

USE OF FUNDS

Expenditures	
Non-Personal/Equipment	\$ 1,996,600
Overhead	294,030
Personal Services	936,378
Transfers	
City Hall Debt Service Fund	5,966
Ending Fund Balances	
Reserve for Encumbrances	117,703
Retiree Healthcare Solutions Reserve	0
Unrestricted	2,209,201
TOTAL USE OF FUNDS	\$ 5,559,878

*Excludes MD's 1, 5, 13, and 18 because the medians are for decorative purposes only

Assessment revenue is received from the County of Santa Clara in January and May in approximately equal payments. As the initial amount for each fiscal year is received seven months after the start of that fiscal year, ending fund balances must be large enough to cover the complete funding of encumbrances for maintenance contracts plus seven months of all other operating costs. All districts have infrequent but major maintenance needs, such as the repair or replacement of fountains or structures, so replacement funds are accumulated in the ending fund balance.

3. SOURCE OF FUNDING:
 - 352 – Maintenance District No. 1 Fund
 - 354 – Maintenance District No. 2 Fund
 - 357 – Maintenance District No. 5 Fund
 - 361 – Maintenance District No. 8 Fund
 - 362 – Maintenance District No. 9 Fund
 - 364 – Maintenance District No. 11 Fund
 - 366 – Maintenance District No. 13 Fund
 - 368 – Maintenance District No. 15 Fund
 - 372 – Maintenance District No. 18 Fund
 - 359 – Maintenance District No. 19 Fund
 - 365 – Maintenance District No. 20 Fund
 - 356 – Maintenance District No. 21 Fund
 - 367 – Maintenance District No. 22 Fund

4. OPERATING COSTS: The recommendations contained in this memorandum are in compliance with the Council-approved Budget Strategy. Any enhancements to service levels in these districts will be funded by assessments in these districts.

HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

May 26, 2020

Subject: Maintenance District Budget Reports for Fiscal Year 2020-2021

Page 7

BUDGET REFERENCE

The Maintenance Assessment District Funds specified above are included in the 2020-2021 Proposed Operating Budget.

CEQA

Categorically Exempt, File No. PP18-060, Existing Facilities.


\s\
MATT CANO
Director of Public Works

For questions please contact Thomas Borden, Program Manager, at 408-535-6831.

Attachment A: Maintenance Districts Map

Attachment B: Maintenance Districts Summary Table

ATTACHMENT A





CITY OF SAN JOSE

 CAPITAL OF SILICON VALLEY

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

 DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIVISION

BY: SPECIAL DISTRICTS

VICINITY MAP



NOT TO SCALE

CITY OF SAN JOSE, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS

FISCAL YEAR 2020-2021

ATTACHMENT B

DISTRICT NAME & YEAR FORMED	CD*	MAINTENANCE SERVICES	LOCATION / BOUNDARIES	LAND USE	ASSESSMENT		GENERAL BENEFIT CONTRIBUTION
					LEVY	MAX	
MD 1 (Los Paseos) 1979	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestrian pathway landscape 	<u>North:</u> Monterey Hwy. <u>East:</u> Cheltenham Wy. <u>South:</u> Santa Teresa Blvd. <u>West:</u> Bernal Rd.	Residential / Commercial	\$89,814**	\$89,837**	\$0
MD 2 (Trade Zone – Lundy Ave) 1977	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape • Park strips • Mini parks 	<u>North:</u> Trade Zone Blvd. <u>East:</u> WPRR <u>South:</u> Mc Kay Dr. <u>West:</u> SPRR	Residential / Industrial	\$88,314	\$88,324	\$2,408
MD 5 (Orchard – Plumeria) 1979	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape 	<u>North:</u> Montague Expy. <u>East:</u> N. 1 st St. <u>South:</u> Trimble Rd. <u>West:</u> Guadalupe River	Industrial	\$92,947	\$92,947	\$0
MD 8 (Zanker – Montague) 1980	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape • Park strips 	<u>North:</u> Montague Expy. <u>East:</u> Montague Expy. <u>South:</u> Trimble Rd. <u>West:</u> N. 1 st St.	Industrial	\$114,034	\$114,034	\$2,353
MD 9 (Santa Teresa – Great Oaks) 1981	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape • Frontage landscape 	<u>North:</u> Monterey Hwy. <u>East:</u> Bernal Rd. <u>South:</u> Santa Teresa Blvd. <u>West:</u> Cottle Rd.	Residential / Industrial / Commercial	\$177,662	\$180,080	\$23,480
MD 11 (Brokaw Rd. – Junction to Old Oakland) 1984	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape 	<u>North:</u> Fox Ln. <u>East:</u> Ringwood Ave. <u>South:</u> Coyote Creek <u>West:</u> Junction Ave.	Residential / Industrial / Commercial	\$73,580	\$78,580	\$6,354
MD 13 (Karina – O’Nel) 1985	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape 	<u>North:</u> Guadalupe Pkwy. <u>East:</u> N. 1st St.. <u>South:</u> Brokaw Rd <u>West:</u> Hwy 101	Industrial	\$56,563	\$56,563	\$0

* Council District

** MD 1 receives ad valorem tax estimated at \$250,000 from County tax collections.

ATTACHMENT B

DISTRICT NAME & YEAR FORMED	CD*	MAINTENANCE SERVICES	LOCATION / BOUNDARIES	LAND USE	ASSESSMENT		GENERAL BENEFIT CONTRIBUTION
					LEVY	MAX	
MD 15 (Silver Creek Valley) 1992	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trail, slope, and conservation area landscape • Median island landscape • Sidewalk and parkstrip landscape • Stormwater quality treatment measures • Enhanced street lighting • Sound walls • Emergency vehicle access areas 	<u>North:</u> Yerba Buena Rd. <u>East:</u> San Felipe Rd. <u>South:</u> Silver Creek Valley Rd. <u>West:</u> Hwy 101/Hellyer Ave.	Residential / Commercial	\$1,253,379	\$1,768,691	\$16,636 \$22,118***
MD 18 (The Meadowlands) 1998	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entrance and slope landscape • Pedestrian Path • Street-side of sound walls 	<u>North:</u> The Villages <u>East:</u> Urban service boundary <u>West:</u> San Felipe Rd. <u>South:</u> Richmond Ranch	Residential	\$90,004	\$116,200	\$5,000****
MD 19 (River Oaks Area Landscaping) 2001	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape • Parkstrip landscape • Special paving 	<u>North:</u> River Oaks Pkwy. <u>East:</u> Coyote River <u>South:</u> Montague Expy. <u>West:</u> N. 1st St.	Residential / Commercial	\$174,685	\$174,685	\$6,195

* Council District

*** Storm Sewer Operating Fund Contribution

**** PRNS maintenance budget pays water bill

ATTACHMENT B

DISTRICT NAME & YEAR FORMED	CD*	MAINTENANCE SERVICES	LOCATION / BOUNDARIES	LAND USE	ASSESSMENT		GENERAL BENEFIT CONTRIBUTION
					LEVY	MAX	
MD 20 (Renaissance-North First) 2001	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape • Frontage landscape • Sound walls 	<u>North:</u> Nortech Pkwy. <u>East:</u> Rose Orchard Wy. <u>South:</u> Tasman Dr. <u>West:</u> Guadalupe River	Residential / Industrial	\$65,471	\$65,483	\$21,461
MD 21 (Gateway Place Airport Parkway) 2002	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape 	<u>North:</u> Hwy. 101 <u>East:</u> N. 1 st St <u>South:</u> Sonora Ave. <u>West:</u> Guadalupe Pkwy.	Industrial	\$105,005	\$105,014	\$8,996
MD 22 (Hellyer Ave – Silver Creek Valley Road) 2002	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Median island landscape 	<u>North:</u> Hwy. 101 <u>East:</u> Hellyer Ave. <u>South:</u> Silver Creek Valley Rd. <u>West:</u> Coyote Creek	Industrial	\$74,566	\$74,566	\$35,223

* Council District