

## SAN JOSE Request for Policy Analysis (Council Referrals)

Department Department Rep. Name/Ext. Policy/Ordinance Subject		PRNS Jon Cicirelli (Kari Davisson) 535-3551 Parks Funding and Maintenance Study Session				Rul	0/30/202	24 Item <u>C.2</u>					
						1 Councilmember Sponsorship			Orti	Z			
1 011047	or amarice subject	<b></b>											
Staff Re	commendation												
<b>√</b> GRE			n tradeoffs	YELLO	)W Defer to a late	designated RED Recommend Council			not	NEEL	OS CLARIFIC <i>A</i>	TION OR	
<b>V</b> 31	outlined on ne					<del>-</del>							
Staff Ev	aluation		, 0		-			•					
	ready underway	y in a c	lepartmen	nt work plan	? Is this time crit	ical or an em	nergency?		Will thi	s requ	ire substant	ial resources,	staffing, budget,
			·	l	strateg			gic support, or reprioritizing existing work plan?					
Yes						No			Ye	s 1	No		
	n to Determine					2	1 1 1.1						
Project	complexity is de a. Low Comple		•		ject in each of the	e 3 criterions	s below and th	en summin	g the sc	ore.			
	b. Medium Co	,					Total Score	= 10					
	c. High Comple	•	•		er.		1014136016	10					
				Low Comple	exity	Medium Complexity				High Complexity			
				LOW Compi									
	Estimated Dur	ation	6 – 9 mo	•	□=1	9 - 18 mon			<b>X</b> = 2	More	than 18 mo	onths	□= 3
_	Estimated Dur Organizational		6 – 9 mo	•	□=1				<b>X</b> = 2 □= 2		than 18 mo	onths tly proposed	□= 3 □= 3
rion			6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist	nths y be absorbe ing work pla	□= 1 ed	Planned wo	ths ork (future)		□= 2	Work	than 18 mo	tly proposed	□= 3
riterion	Organizational Complexity		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf	nths y be absorbe ing work pla f with requir	□= 1 ed	Planned wo	ths ork (future) with required	skillset/		Work Do no	e than 18 mo	tly proposed	□= 3 d □= 3
ng Criterion	Organizational		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr	nths y be absorbe ing work pla f with requinowledge	□= 1 ed	Planned wo	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea	skillset/	□= 2 □= 2	Work Do no skills	than 18 mo not current ot have staff et/requires	tly proposed with required significant res	□= 3 d □= 3 search
coring Criterion	Organizational Complexity		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than	nths y be absorbe ing work pla if with requir nowledge or equal 2	□= 1 ed	Planned wo	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea	skillset/	□= 2	Work Do no skills	e than 18 mo	tly proposed with required significant res	□= 3 d □= 3
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity (Internal)		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than staff requ	nths y be absorbe ing work pla f with requir nowledge or equal 2 uired	□= 1 ed	Planned wo Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea required	skillset/ arch	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skills	e than 18 mo c not current ot have staff et/requires e than 5 staf	tly proposed  with required significant res	□= 3  d □= 3  search  x= 3
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than staff requ 1 Addition	nths y be absorbed ing work plants f with required nowledge are are are are are are are are are ar	□= 1 ed	Planned wo Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r 2 Other de	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea equired epartments Inv	skillset/ irch rolved;	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skills More	e than 18 mo c not current ot have staff et/requires e than 5 staf	tly proposed  with required significant res frequired tments and/o	□= 3  d □= 3  search  x= 3  r external x= 3
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity (Internal)		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than staff requ 1 Addition	nths y be absorbe ing work pla f with requir nowledge or equal 2 uired	□= 1 ed	Planned wo Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r 2 Other de	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea required	skillset/ irch rolved;	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skills More	e than 18 mo c not current ot have staff et/requires e than 5 staf	tly proposed  with required significant reserved the sand/odd, significant of the sand/odd, significant of the sand/odd, significant of the sand/odd.	□= 3  d □= 3  search  x= 3  r external x= 3
	Organizational Complexity (Internal) (External)		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than staff requ 1 Addition communi	nths y be absorbed ing work plants f with required nowledge are are are are are are are are are ar	□ = 1 ed	Planned wo Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r 2 Other de	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea equired epartments Inv	skillset/ irch rolved;	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skills More	e than 18 mo k not current ot have staff et/requires e than 5 staf more depart ners involved	tly proposed  with required significant reserved the sand/odd, significant of the sand/odd, significant of the sand/odd, significant of the sand/odd.	□= 3  d □= 3  search  x= 3  r external x= 3
DEPT. Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity (Internal) (External)		6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than staff requ 1 Addition communi	nths y be absorbe ing work pla if with requir nowledge or equal 2 uired nal departm ity outreach	□ = 1 ed	Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r 2 Other de some comi	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea required epartments Inv	skillset/ arch volved; ch required	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 2	Do no skills More 3 or i partr outre	e than 18 mo k not current ot have staff et/requires e than 5 staf more depart ners involved each require	tly proposed  with required significant res f required tments and/o d; significant o	□= 3  d □= 3  search  x= 3  r external x= 3  community
	Organizational Complexity (Internal)  (External)	☐ Aud	6 – 9 mo Can easily into exist Have staf skillset/kr Less than staff requ 1 Addition communi	y be absorbed ing work place ing work place in owledge in or equal 2 dired in all departments outreach in the composition of the composition of the composition of the composition of the composition in the composition of th	□ = 1 ed	Planned wo Have staff requires m 3 - 4 staff r  2 Other de some comi	ths ork (future) with required noderate resea required epartments Inv munity outread	skillset/ arch volved; ch required	□= 2 □= 2 □= 2 □= 1 □= 1 □= 1	Do no skills More 3 or i partr outre	e than 18 modern than	with required significant restricted the significant of the significan	☐= 3  d ☐= 3  search  x= 3  r external x= 3  community  ☐ Retirement

Analysis
Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.
GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this nominated idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to department work plan. (1) How will the idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Council Focus Area or to a department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the idea forward and reduce its complexity?
1. PRNS developed a preliminary scope for the Study Session (see below) and will work with the City Clerk's Office and City Manager's Office to bring it forward. Staff tentatively proposes early February 2025 as the time frame for this study session
2. PRNS can support the stakeholder outreach being led by the District 5 Council Office. Staff would propose the following approaches to items 2a. and 2b. from the memorandum:  2a. Staff recommends pursuing this recommendation in two parts. First, in alignment with PRNS' existing practice, staff will work with the community and the Council Office to develop a maintenance plan for the lowest scoring parks, for the purpose of identifying and prioritizing maintenance needs and improving park conditions. Second, as part of staff's work to develop ballot measures to fund park maintenance for 2026, staff will develop a comprehensive plan to spend any potential ballot measure revenue, with the goal of maintaining parks consistent with community expectations. Council feedback at the study session directed in item 1 will help inform this planning effort.  2b. Participating in town halls on the topic of park maintenance aligns with PRNS' existing project to conduct public outreach on park maintenance ahead of consideration of 2026 revenue ballot measures. PRNS will coordinate with District 5 Council Office to develop a schedule for participation in this outreach.
YELLOW LIGHT: Administration recommends Council defer this nominated idea to a later designated date or the annual Budget Process due to (describe cost implications, workload impacts, or other factors)
RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not to adopt this nominated idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).

## **Analysis (Continued)**

Park Maintenance Study Session Draft Scope

(Note scope may be subject to change as staff develops the study session.)

The purpose of this study session is to inform the City Council and the public on the current approach to park maintenance and park maintenance funding and to discuss opportunities to increase or reconfigure maintenance funding with the goal of improving service to residents. To achieve this purpose staff anticipates covering the following topic areas:

Program History: The session will start with a brief history of San Jose's park maintenance and capital programs, including past efforts that have shaped the current service landscape.

Funding Overview: This section will provide an overview of how maintenance and capital programs are funded, including a description of the various funding sources, a review of funding needs as captured by the deferred maintenance backlog, and both internal and external partnerships that may present funding opportunities.

Maintenance Approach: This section will discuss how PRNS approaches park maintenance, including a discussion of maintenance level of service goals, how maintenance staff are deployed, and how data is used to inform maintenance decisions.

Future Funding Possibilities: This section will provide an overview of opportunities to improve funding for park maintenance, including presentation of staff's work plan for outreach and development for 2026 revenue ballot measures and potential next steps for reconfiguration of how park fees on new development are distributed throughout the City. Staff will also present on funding streams that other jurisdictions have used to fund park maintenance.