

From: Blanca Alvarado <[REDACTED]>
Date: June 26, 2020 at 6:03:57 PM PDT
To: "Perez, Raul" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Charter Change

[External Email]

You can use my comments as you wish including distributing to others including the Mayor. I will call you this weekend.

Blanca

On Fri, Jun 26, 2020 at 3:26 PM Perez, Raul <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thank you Blanca! Did you receive my message? Would you be willing to send this in for public comment or maybe even speak with a Merc reporter who is doing a story?

Raul Perez
Councilmember, District 3
City of San José

On Jun 26, 2020, at 2:35 PM, Blanca Alvarado <[REDACTED]> wrote:

[External Email]

IN MY HUMBLE OPINION:

The rush to change the charter to a strong Mayor form of Government is a rush that is driven not as much by need but by political expedience by special interests,

The voters, if the measure goes to the November ballot, will be asked to lengthen the term of office of the current Mayoral office holder, with the somewhat questionable reason that more voters will go to the polls during a Presidential election cycle. With little, or no credible community process to discuss the merits and the minuses of such a change, we would, like President Trump. be guilty of voter suppression.

In 1978, then Mayor Janet Gray Hayes appointed a diverse charter review committee that spent a year studying various forms of municipal government structures. At the end of exhaustive research and discussion, the Committee submitted a recommendation calling for District elections, which the voters approved resulting in elections to the Council by district. The community dialogues on the subject were widely debated, and the majority of the electorate favored the Charter Change.

It seems to me that if Mayor Liccardo is interested in advocating for the best interests of the electorate over his own self interest, he would be wise to assemble a Charter Review Committee that would study the issue more broadly than is the case today. Democracy works best when the "people" determine the changes that are necessary to reflect the times. It is, otherwise, the rule of power over the rule of detemination by the people.

Kindly submitted,
Blanca Alvarado

From: Hau Ngo <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Friday, June 26, 2020 9:18 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The proposed change to the city charter would dramatically shift the way our local government runs and affects every single resident. Our voices should count but we are being shut out of this process as it's being rushed through without real and substantial community engagement. You have the current power to halt this proposal and allow for our voices to be heard.

I ask the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

Thank you

Hau Ngo

From: Robert Gonzalez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:14 AM
To: Groen, Mary Anne <[REDACTED]> McGarrity, Patrick <[REDACTED]>
Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Ramos, Christina M <[REDACTED]> Sykes, Dave
<[REDACTED]> Gomez, David <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]>
Herbert, Frances <[REDACTED]> Reed, Jim <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Pereira, Paul <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia
<[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Public Comment for Item 3.10 on 6/30/20 Agenda - Two More Years

?

[External Email]



Prime example of why I don't support the power grab by this guy.

From: Cheyenne Cary <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:56 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[?]

[External Email]

My name is Jeffree Cary and I live in Santa Clara. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process.

I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

Lastly, it is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

Sincerely, Jeffree

From: Ana Lopez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 12:16 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Ana and I live in District 3.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
Ana

From: Maricela Magana <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 1:00 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Maricela Magana and I live in SSSJ. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

Maricela Magana

From: Nick Hill <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 3:31 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

Dear Councilmembers,

The disproportionate impacts of COVID-19 and police brutality in communities of color have highlighted the urgent need to address systemic racism in San Jose and the obligation to include residents from these communities when creating city policy. By expanding the authority of the Mayor's office, the city would further exclude communities of color from having a voice at city hall. We have council members to speak on our behalf but their advocacy will not be enough against a Strong Mayor form of government. Many residents in my community work long hours, rely on public transportation, speak a language other than English, and may not have a computer or internet to keep up with City Council meetings -- but they vote for a councilmember to represent them and their interests at City Council. We voted you in to represent us, not to give in to the whims of a mayor who wants to extend his term by 2 years and have full control.

Allowing the Mayor to make unilateral decisions and yield power over other councilmembers or departments indiscriminately would not only suppress the voice of our communities of color but skew the checks and balances of our local government.

Please VOTE NO on the Mayor's, Vice Mayor's, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and use your authority wisely.

PS. Mayor Liccardo, you're a disappointment to justice in your community with your PD bootlicking.

Thank you

Nick

From: robert gonzalez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 1:00 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Robert Gonzalez and I live in DISTRICT 10

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Raisha Kruckmeyer <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 1:54 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Action Required: accountability needed 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Gra

My name is Raisha Kole and I live in Willow Glen San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Vita Grossman <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 2:00 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - REJECT the Mayor's Power Grab

My name is Vita and I volunteer in the San José Community.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is concerning.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I oppose the proposal that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there been been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San José.

I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: 21st CenturyFire <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 2:11 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Inquiry for Council Meeting 6/30/2020

My name is TC and I live in the fingers where San Jose, Campbell, and Cupertino intersect.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming and, quite frankly, and egregious overstepping of boundaries/abuse of power.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something disturbingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am deeply concerned about the mayor's attempt to power grab an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a gross misuse of current power that would only encourage delivery of even MORE power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor with a history of militarization leanings, currying favor with SJPd, posting logical fallacies on social media, and harboring racist views of BIPOC residents of San Jose and surround areas. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

Regards,
Taylor Chase

From: Falcon Bigney <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 2:46 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Falcon and I live in District 6.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

P.P.S I definitely saw that, you are a disgrace. How could that be your response to the horrific article... you are not fit to be our mayor, you should resign now... that's the only way to salvage your dignity.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Alyssa Galvan <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 3:11 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting Agenda Item 3.10: Public Comment, Sam Liccardo Power Grab

My name is Alyssa Galvan, and I'm a Willow Glen resident in San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter needs to be addressed.

A major change to the city charter should include community engagement at a bare minimum, and that's what's missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for June 30th.

I am also strongly against any changes that would mean that the mayor has an extra two years in office without voters being given a say.

This proposed charter amendment reads as an opportunistic power grab to assign more control to the mayor's office. This would diminish the voices of people of color who rely on their council members' power, not the mayor. Moving so much power into that office means stripping more power from San Jose communities of color.

These questions require a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and implement a community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

Rushing an initiative on the November 2020 ballot that could cost \$1.7 million, given that San Jose faces a \$100 million deficit, is unacceptable. The City Council debated how to shift over a million dollars around during the final budget meeting for several hours, which begs the question—how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

Sam Liccardo, with already a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is leveraging the recent incidents by the Police Department as a thinly veiled power play.

Sam Liccardo, I saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted, and I shared it with my family and my neighbors. It's a shocking and disgusting false equivalency, and you should feel ashamed of yourself for even attempting to draw a comparison between the two. People aren't protesting against teachers. Don't insult us.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Matus deLahunta, Rachel <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 3:41 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal



[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The disproportionate impacts of COVID-19 and police brutality in communities of color have highlighted the urgent need to address systemic racism in San Jose and the obligation to include residents from these communities when creating city policy. By expanding the authority of the Mayor's office, the city would further exclude communities of color from having a voice at city hall. We have council members to speak on our behalf but their advocacy will not be enough against a Strong Mayor form of government. Many residents in my community work long hours, rely on public transportation, speak a language other than English, and may not have a computer or internet to keep up with City Council meetings -- but they vote for a councilmember to represent them and their interests at City Council. We voted you in to represent us, not to give in to the whims of a mayor who wants to extend his term by 2 years and have full control.

Allowing the Mayor to make unilateral decisions and yield power over other councilmembers or departments indiscriminately would not only suppress the voice of our communities of color but skew the checks and balances of our local government.

Please VOTE NO on the Mayor's, Vice Mayor's, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and use your authority wisely.

Thank you,
Rachel Matus deLahunta
San Jose Resident

From: Linda MacLeod <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 3:56 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is LindaMacLeod and I live in willow Glen.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Monica Mallon <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 5:31 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

?

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The global movement against police brutality and systemic racism is grounded in the call for greater accountability for those in power -- not greater authority for local leaders. Just because protesters showed up to Mayor Liccardo's house does not mean protesters want him, or any future mayor, to have more power. Using the current movement as a pretense to expand the mayor's authority flies in the face of what people have been marching for -- especially considering that the mayor has not previously shown interest in tackling systemic racism in San Jose and only recently acknowledged that it even exists.

I urge the Council to NOT vote in favor of placing the Strong Mayor charter amendment on the ballot NOR extending Mayor's term for 2 additional years. Instead work to truly listen and engage the community to solve for problems that our city and nation are facing, such as structural racism and systemic inequality.

Thank you,

--

Monica Mallon

From: Jinji S. <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 6:14 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 San Jose City Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Angelique and I am a constituent who resides in District 8. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. Lastly, it is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? Sincerely, Angélique S.

From: cferguson rocketmail.com <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 6:46 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

?

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The global movement against police brutality and systemic racism is grounded in the call for greater accountability for those in power -- not greater authority for local leaders. Just because protesters showed up to Mayor Liccardo's house does not mean protesters want him, or any future mayor, to have more power. Using the current movement as a pretense to expand the mayor's authority flies in the face of what people have been marching for -- especially considering that the mayor has not previously shown interest in tackling systemic racism in San Jose and only recently acknowledged that it even exists.

Mr Mayor, work on eliminating 10-7 ODSPD so that all members of *your* city feel safe when the Police arrive at our location.

I urge the Council to NOT vote in favor of placing the Strong Mayor charter amendment on the ballot NOR extending Mayor's term for 2 additional years. Instead work to truly listen and engage the community to solve for problems that our city and nation are facing, such as structural racism and systemic inequality.

Thank you

Craig Ferguson

From: Brenda Lopez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 6:48 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Brenda and I live in District 6.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: cferguson rocketmail.com <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 7:14 PM

To: Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Perez, Raul <[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote No on the Mayors Proposal



[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The proposed change to the city charter would dramatically shift the way our local government runs and affects every single resident. Our voices should count but we are being shut out of this process as it's being rushed through without real and substantial community engagement. You have the current power to halt this proposal and allow for our voices to be heard.

Why is this occurring?

I **DEMAND** the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

I KNOW THE MAYOR WOULD NOT AGREE TO SUCH AN AMMENDMENT FOR THE CURRENT COMMANDER IN CHIEF OF THE UNITED STATES. If it were not against the US constitution.

Thank you

Craig Ferguson

From: Thao Pham <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 7:18 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Perez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The proposed change to the city charter would dramatically shift the way our local government runs and affects every single resident. Our voices should count but we are being shut out of this process as it's being rushed through without real and substantial community engagement. You have the current power to halt this proposal and allow for our voices to be heard.

I ask the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

Thank you

Thao Pham

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 7:27 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]>
Subject: no No NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

?

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

Do you find it ironic that the Mayor, Vice Mayor and a Council member want to consolidate power and extend their rein? I suspect that most all power hungry people want that kind of power. History is replete with people that rose to power and then took more & more power and took advantage of their station in life. The founding fathers were well aware of the fact when they created the Constitution. They did everything in their power to bind, shackle & hobble the Federal Government. We should learn from history and draw the same parallels here. **THEY ARE EXACTLY THE SAME ONLY ON A LOCAL LEVEL!**

IMHO that is why there are elections. There is enough time to allow the elected to affect change (hopefully good & positive) and not too long to get rid of them if they are not "serving & protecting". I think it was Thomas Jefferson that said that the common man should sacrifice some of his valuable time away from his business/profession/family in service to the public and then return to his business. It was not to be a long term situation and certainly not a career.

Allowing this to move forward is a rapid move away from our founding ideals and another step toward tyranny and centralized power. Much like Congress has abrogated many of its sworn duties. Stand up for America, Stand up for San Jose and Stand up for your position! As sure as the Sun rises and sets it will not be long before your position is lessened in power and or eliminated.

More & more we should look to the past to predict the future. I think that this is one of those seminal moments!

I hope that this will shine some light on the future because of your decisions today.

Do not let others rip off, usurp, take your position, power and for Gods sake do not make this a career!

Regards,

Doug Schneider

Business owner & resident.

The proposed change to the city charter would dramatically shift the way our local government runs and affects every single resident. Our voices should count but we are being shut out of

this process as it's being rushed through without real and substantial community engagement. You have the current power to halt this proposal and allow for our voices to be heard.

I ask the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

Thank you

From: Veronica Amador <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 7:28 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Veronica Amador and I live in East Side San José District 5.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. As a teacher who in community doing the work daily I would expect so much more respect! You have not listens San José and instead want to drag underpaid teachers in your monstros attacks. Shame on you Mr. Mayor.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

Veronica Amador

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 8:31 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Tim Espinoza and I live in District 5.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Thank you,

Tim

From: Juliana Martinez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 8:43 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Council Meeting on 6/30/2020 for the Agenda Item "3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab"

[External Email]

My name is San Jose and live in Camden area.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/2020.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. We must run as a democratic society as we claim so often to be.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? San Jose needs more transparency.

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

Sam Liccardo isn't listening to the people who live and make up San Jose. We do not need to give more power to someone who will not listen to the people he is supposed to serve.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Very Concerned Citizen

From: Joses Magno <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 8:44 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - More power for mayor.

My name is Mark Delacruz and I am an essential worker for the post office in San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
Mark Delacruz

From: Victoria Duran <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 8:46 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment

[External Email]

My name is Victoria Duran and I live in District 7.

How dare you compare defunding schools and defunding police! Schools have been defunded time and time again and we are working with limited resources your \$449 million police budget is insulting and only fuels this racist bigotry and blatant police brutality from SJP. You need to confront your own whiteness and truly show up for the people instead of trying to buy time and power in office and protect the PD. The people of San Jose need resources support services and equity not policing and Big Tech.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Sally Tran <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 8:50 PM

To: Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny

<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena

<[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam

<[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio

<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Sally and I live in Rose Garden.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is VERY alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

From: Kyle Pengosro <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:12 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

I am Kyle Pengosro and I'm from East Side San Jose, Tully and McLaughlin.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Samantha Lu <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:19 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

Hello,

My name is Samantha Lu and I live in San Jose, California.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Melissa Ortiz <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:22 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 Comment Power Grab Tweet

[External Email]

My name is Melissa Ortiz and I live in District 7.

I do not support a ballot measure to amend the City Charter (agenda item 3.10).

I do not think it's right to give the mayor an extra two years without a clear choice made by the people of SJ.

I like the level of power the mayor has now. It gives the people more room to be heard directly through our representatives on the council.

Please do not put anything on the November ballot. Please put together a presentation to show San José what these changes would mean.

Please don't use our tax dollars to put this on the ballot. Maybe use it to make sure our small businesses survive this pandemic.

Additionally, I saw the tweet about the teachers and I have to say that I have definitely lost trust in the mayor's plan for San José.

Sincerely,
Melissa Ortiz

From: Sarah Brockmeyer (Bonnell) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:22 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment

?

[External Email]

Dear Dev and Toni,

My name is Sarah Brockmeyer and I am a homeowner in District 6.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is concerning. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. I do not support Sam Liccardo and feel that he has not done enough to address housing insecurities and to end police violence in our city.

I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit.

I've had enough of Sam Liccardo and look forward to voting him out for a candidate who will defund the police and protect our community. Dev, that goes for you, too. If you continue to support police over people, I will actively campaign and donate to your political replacement.

Sincerely,
Sarah Brockmeyer
Your constituent

P.S. Sam Liccardo should not be disrespectful to teachers and should eat his lunch on his own time.

From: Samuel Harry Goldstein <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:25 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Council Meeting 6/30/20, Item 3.10, Public Comment re: Power Grab



[External Email]

To whom it may concern,

My name is Sam Goldstein, a resident of District 6, and I find the proposal for this potential ballot measure amending the City Charter to be deeply disturbing. A major change like this should include serious and substantial community engagement--which is notably missing from the proposal to City Council!

Why would we want to give the mayor an extra two years without actually VOTING him into office for another term??? It appears to me that this is a move by the mayor to consolidate power in the city to his own office--after weeks of telling upset voters that he has no power to do any more than he already is.

I fear this move would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

Please reject placing anything on the November ballot and instead create a process for community review of our current "council and manager" form of governing. It seems irresponsible at best to put this initiative on the ballot, which could cost up to \$1.7M while we are facing a deficit of almost \$100M. After watching yall spend hours debating how to shift barely a million dollars at the final budget meeting, I'm surprised and upset to suddenly see you act like we have funding for this initiative.

Sincerely,
Sam Goldstein

From: Krystal Franco <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:27 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Krystal Franco and I live in district 10.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Kathileen Tran <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:39 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Kathileen and I live in District 8.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. It is alarming that the mayor continues to ignore the concerns of his constituents and instead tries to clap back.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Zayra Huerta <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:40 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Zayra Huerta and I live in San Jose, California.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Emanuel Rivera <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 9:54 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Emanuel and I live in District 1.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Isaac Lara <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:07 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6.30.20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

Hello, my name is Isaac Lara and I live in District 5.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is utterly irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we all of the sudden have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: SK <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:27 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment Sahit Kavukuntla - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Sahit Kavukuntla and I live in Evergreen. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Council member and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

From: Mutasim Yassin <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:37 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Mutasim Yassin and I live in Evergreen.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. As a teacher, that's an insult. Education has been defunded for decades and teachers do not outright kill their students.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: eness eresh <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:42 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Aubrae and I live in South San Jose The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It is appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior is not new, and more power for Sam Liccardo is not the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

From: Zain Ahmed <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:49 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Zain Ahmed and I live in San Jose. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. He has blood on his hands and obviously does not have the peoples best interest in art whatsoever. This is mass genocide. This is reformed slavery. Its forced sterilization. What more proof do you need to see the violence is real? This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

Zain

From: Leon Joob Morimoto <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:49 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Leon Morimoto and I live in Luna Park in District 3

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is not just alarming but outright aggressive.

A major change to the city charter ideally should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

Do not make choices on the voters behalf without including the community in the decision making process with a vote.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment is a blatant power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color Who are already underrepresented -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. Period.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? That money that could provide social and educational services or how about addressing the growing homeless population with a lack of housing.

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
Lifelong San Jose Resident
Leon Morimoto

From: Stephanie Do <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:52 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Stephanie Do and I live in District 5.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Priscilla Espinoza <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 10:53 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Priscilla Espinoza and I live in the Downtown San Jose Area.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 11:23 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is [INSERT NAME] and I live in [INSERT DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD NAME].

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Breanna Williams <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 11:24 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Breanna Williams and I live in the Cambrian Park area of San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Zain Ahmed <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 11:27 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - no more liccardo

?

[External Email]

My name is Zain Ahmed and I live in San Jose. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. Liccardo has blood on his hands everyday he refuses to take the necessary action needed. We want him out for excusing police violence and genocide. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen
Zain

From: Aria Panisi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 11:42 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Aria Panisi and I live in District 9.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Kayla Nicholson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 11:45 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Kayla Nicholson and I live in Almaden.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Kayla Nicholson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 27, 2020 11:46 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Kayla and I live in Almaden.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Tiff <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 12:41 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Tiffany Do and I live in District 8.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Finally, defund the police. Reinvest in our community. Black Lives Matter.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Do

From: S Morita <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 12:47 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Staeci Morita and I live in San Jose, CA.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also extremely concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Evette Tapia <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 1:11 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Evette Tapia and I live in East SJ.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

Evette Tapia
Special Education Teacher

From: Kristan Suko <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 1:14 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Kristan Suko and I live in District 4 in San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Joann Le <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 1:47 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

I am Joann Le and I live in District 3 of San Jose.

The recent proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is something very concerning.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. Which goes against our right to vote. There should be no instance where citizens should not be able to CHOOSE their own mayor.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. Give the people the option of deciding what happens to their city, Sam Liccardo might be the highest representative for the city, however that does

not and should not give him that much power.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now sadly deleted.

Sincerely,

A Very Concerned Citizen

From: Chiara Mattioli <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 1:58 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Chiara Mattioli and I live in Downtown San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

Chiara Mattioli

A Concerned Citizen

From: Daniel Martinez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 2:46 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Daniel and I live in San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Stacey Hoelsing <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 3:03 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Stacey Hoelsing and I live in Ericson. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

Stacey Hoelsing

From: Kevin Boockholdt <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 4:17 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Kevin Boockholdt, and I live in District 2.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Michael Palacios <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 4:52 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Michael Camacho and I live in District 2.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Arihan Shah <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 5:31 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is [INSERT NAME] and I live in [INSERT DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD NAME].

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Samara Stone <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 6:17 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Samara Stone and I live in District 6. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

From: Chris Ellis <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 7:02 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The proposed change to the city charter would dramatically shift the way our local government runs and affects every single resident. Our voices should count but we are being shut out of this process as it's being rushed through without real and substantial community engagement. You have the current power to halt this proposal and allow for our voices to be heard.

I ask the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

Thank you

Chris Sobolev

Local business owner

From: Christophe Gonzales <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 7:17 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Chris and I live in South SJ

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Jessica Guzman <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:10 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Jessica Guzman and I live in North San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Isabel Gonzalez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:15 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Isabel Gonzalez and I live in Evergreen, San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:21 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is Ruben Bravo and I live in SUN DISTRICT.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Jordan Almaguer <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:21 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Jordan Almaguer and I live in Evergreen area. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

From: Jessica Pham <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:25 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is [INSERT NAME] and I live in [INSERT DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD NAME].

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Brennon Moore <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:27 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

My name is [Brennon Moore] and I live in [Mendocino County]

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Daniela Cortez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:38 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Daniela C. and I live in District 7.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Jessica Pham <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:44 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - We don't support Sam Liccardo

[External Email]

My name Jessica Pham and I live in district 2.

It has been clear that Sam Liccardo has been ignoring the concerned voices of his community instead of serving them. It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department to focus time and energy on securing his own power.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council. Especially since the change would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Beverly <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:56 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Beverly Hong and I live in Berryessa neighborhood. The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming. A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20. I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly. This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose. It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal. It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this? It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer. P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

Beverly Hong DDS

From: Trish Bass <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 8:58 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>
Subject: NO on Strong Mayor Proposal



[External Email]

I ask the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

Thank you

From: AILEEN CHRISTABEL <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 9:08 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Aileen Granadosin and I live in District 8

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Kimberly Chong <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 9:15 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Kim and I live in the Evergreen area.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 9:51 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Expressing Concern

[External Email]

My name is Ariana Kempis and I am a longtime community member of San Jose, formerly residing in Evergreen.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that is alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I would also like to express concern about changes that would give the mayor an additional two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab that would absolutely move additional power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color who would consequently find their council members' power reduced and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there have been few people of color to ever hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office would be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

These are the questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide a recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot (one that could cost up to \$1.7 million) while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how is it that we suddenly have the funding for this?

It is appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior is not new, and increased power for Sam Liccardo—who, in a now-deleted tweet, made an unthinkable comparison between teachers/defunding schools and the police—is clearly not the answer.

Sincerely,
Ariana Kempis

From: Zayra Lorena Galindo Lopez <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 9:52 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Zayra Galindo Lopez and I live in the Santa Teresa area.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Courtney Hill <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 10:00 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie

<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul

<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena

<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya

<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam

<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Entering a comment for the 6/30/20 Council Meeting, Agenda Item 3.10

Good morning,

My name is Courtney Hill and I am a resident in District 3.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color (like mine) -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Citizen

From: Sarah Berg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 10:02 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Lliccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]>
Subject: Community cleanup initiative

MR. PIZZA FACE LICCARDO AND FRIENDS,

My name is Sarah and I live in D1 WSSJ. It's evident that you've been taking notes from Mr. Trump in the White House because you've gotten quite good at ignoring the very people who gave you your job. Does someone need to remind you what it means to be a public servant? You have shown the people of San Jose that you're fine with our community being torn apart for the sake of some techies that will all come and go within a decade. YOU will be remembered as the clown who failed San Jose. But please, enjoy your pizza while it lasts.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Lliccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Lliccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Lliccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Rebecca Tien <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 10:02 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie <[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul <[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena <[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya <[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam <[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

?

[External Email]

My name is Rebecca Tien, and I live in Berryessa. I am a lifelong native and resident of San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there have been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. **Sam Liccardo**, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted. As someone, like you, who has flourished from Jesuit education, it is appalling to see you compare Education to Police Brutality and Systemic Racism, and appalling to see your lack of competence, conscience, and compassion. This is not the Jesuit way that we were taught.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: Sandra Marine Albini <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, June 28, 2020 10:12 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Sandra Albini and I live in district 4.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPd as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

From: A Renteria <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2020 11:40 AM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Amalia Renteria and I live in downtown San Jose.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

Mon 6/29/2020 12:36 PM

James Binahuan [REDACTED]

Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The global movement against police brutality and systemic racism is grounded in the call for greater accountability for those in power -- not greater authority for local leaders. Just because protesters showed up to Mayor Liccardo's house does not mean protesters want him, or any future mayor, to have more power. Using the current movement as a pretense to expand the mayor's authority flies in the face of what people have been marching for -- especially considering that the mayor has not previously shown interest in tackling systemic racism in San Jose and only recently acknowledged that it even exists.

I urge the Council to NOT vote in favor of placing the Strong Mayor charter amendment on the ballot NOR extending Mayor's term for 2 additional years. Instead work to truly listen and engage the community to solve for problems that our city and nation are facing, such as structural racism and systemic inequality.

Thank you

Mon 6/29/2020 12:36 PM

James Binauhan [REDACTED]

Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The disproportionate impacts of COVID-19 and police brutality in communities of color have highlighted the urgent need to address systemic racism in San Jose and the obligation to include residents from these communities when creating city policy. By expanding the authority of the Mayor's office, the city would further exclude communities of color from having a voice at city hall. We have council members to speak on our behalf but their advocacy will not be enough against a Strong Mayor form of government. Many residents in my community work long hours, rely on public transportation, speak a language other than English, and may not have a computer or internet to keep up with City Council meetings -- but they vote for a councilmember to represent them and their interests at City Council. We voted you in to represent us, not to give in to the whims of a mayor who wants to extend his term by 2 years and have full control.

Allowing the Mayor to make unilateral decisions and yield power over other councilmembers or departments indiscriminately would not only suppress the voice of our communities of color but skew the checks and balances of our local government.

Please VOTE NO on the Mayor's, Vice Mayor's, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and use your authority wisely.

Thank you

Mon 6/29/2020 12:36 PM

James Binauhan [REDACTED]

Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The proposed change to the city charter would dramatically shift the way our local government runs and affects every single resident. Our voices should count but we are being shut out of this process as it's being rushed through without real and substantial community engagement. You have the current power to halt this proposal and allow for our voices to be heard.

I ask the council to vote NO on the Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Councilmember Jimenez's memo and instead work to convene the Charter Revision Commission and take the time necessary to genuinely engage a diverse coalition of community members across San Jose. We also want to vote on our next mayor and don't agree with automatically extending the current mayor's term. We as residents deserve a say in how our city is run and we won't have that opportunity if this proposal moves forward. We need you to VOTE NO WHILE YOUR VOTE STILL COUNTS!

Thank you

From: Heather Ward <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2020 1:12 PM
To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>
Subject: 6/30/20 Council Meeting - Agenda Item 3.10 - Public Comment - Power Grab

[External Email]

My name is Heather Ward and I live in San Jose, 95111.

The proposal for a potential ballot measure to amend the City Charter is alarming.

A major change to the city charter should have a real and substantial process for community engagement -- but that's something alarmingly missing from the proposal to the Council (Agenda Item 3.10) for 6/30/20.

I am also very concerned about changes that would give the mayor an extra two years in office without voters being given that question directly.

This proposed charter amendment appears to be a power grab, that would move power into the office of the mayor. This would diminish the voices of people of color -- who would find their council members' power reduced, and replaced with a stronger mayor. Given that there has been few people of color to hold this office, the net effects of moving so much power into that office will be to reduce the power of communities of color in San Jose.

It's these questions that would benefit from a thorough community process. I ask that the Council reject placing anything on the November 2020 ballot, and first convene a process for community review of our current council-manager form of government to provide recommendation for a ballot proposal.

It is irresponsible to hastily place an initiative on the November 2020 ballot which could cost up to \$1.7 million, while the City faces roughly a \$100 million deficit. The City Council spent hours debating how to shift around a little over a million dollars during the final budget meeting, so how do we suddenly have the funding for this?

It's appalling that Sam Liccardo, who has had over a decade of influence over SJPD as a Councilmember and Mayor, is using these awful incidents by the Police Department as reason that he needs more power. This PD behavior isn't new, and more power for Sam Liccardo isn't the answer.

P.S. Sam Liccardo, we all saw that tweet about teachers that you've now deleted.

Sincerely,
Heather Ward

From: Nicole Evans <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2020 1:12 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

?

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

My name is Nicole Evans and I live in Pam Foley's district.

The global movement against police brutality and systemic racism is grounded in the call for greater accountability for those in power -- not greater authority for local leaders. Just because protesters showed up to Mayor Liccardo's house does not mean protesters want him, or any future mayor, to have more power. Using the current movement as a pretense to expand the mayor's authority flies in the face of what people have been marching for -- especially considering that the mayor has not previously shown interest in tackling systemic racism in San Jose and only recently acknowledged that it even exists. I urge the Council to NOT vote in favor of placing the Strong Mayor charter amendment on the ballot NOR extending Mayor's term for 2 additional years. Instead work to truly listen and engage the community to solve for problems that our city and nation are facing, such as structural racism and systemic inequality. Thank you,
Nicole Evans

From: Nicole Dioquino <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2020 1:14 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie
<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul
<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena
<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya
<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam
<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal



[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The global movement against police brutality and systemic racism is grounded in the call for greater accountability for those in power -- not greater authority for local leaders. Just because protesters showed up to Mayor Liccardo's house does not mean protesters want him, or any future mayor, to have more power. Using the current movement as a pretense to expand the mayor's authority flies in the face of what people have been marching for -- especially considering that the mayor has not previously shown interest in tackling systemic racism in San Jose and only recently acknowledged that it even exists.

I urge the Council to NOT vote in favor of placing the Strong Mayor charter amendment on the ballot NOR extending Mayor's term for 2 additional years. Instead work to truly listen and engage the community to solve for problems that our city and nation are facing, such as structural racism and systemic inequality.

Thank you

From: Alex Nguyen <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, June 29, 2020 1:23 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]> Liccardo, Sam <[REDACTED]> Jones, Chappie

<[REDACTED]> Jimenez, Sergio <[REDACTED]> Peralez, Raul

<[REDACTED]> Diep, Lan <[REDACTED]> Carrasco, Magdalena

<[REDACTED]> Davis, Dev <[REDACTED]> Esparza, Maya

<[REDACTED]> Arenas, Sylvia <[REDACTED]> Foley, Pam

<[REDACTED]> Khamis, Johnny <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Vote NO on Strong Mayor Proposal

[External Email]

Dear Councilmembers,

The global movement against police brutality and systemic racism is grounded in the call for greater accountability for those in power -- not greater authority for local leaders. Just because protesters showed up to Mayor Liccardo's house does not mean protesters want him, or any future mayor, to have more power. Using the current movement as a pretense to expand the mayor's authority flies in the face of what people have been marching for -- especially considering that the mayor has not previously shown interest in tackling systemic racism in San Jose and only recently acknowledged that it even exists.

I urge the Council to NOT vote in favor of placing the Strong Mayor charter amendment on the ballot NOR extending Mayor's term for 2 additional years. Instead work to truly listen and engage the community to solve for problems that our city and nation are facing, such as structural racism and systemic inequality.

Thank you