

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:52 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:31 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Please work to keep our open space!

Sincerely,

Lisa Barboza

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:52 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:36 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Marion Farber

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:53 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:41 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Sheila Perry

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:00 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:08 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jim Frizzell

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:00 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:11 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Wanda Waldera
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:00 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:11 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please keep commitments to grow our city from the city center, rather than develop personal recreation and open space land.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Kristine Karnos

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:00 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:16 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Michael Masterson

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:18 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Carole Gonsalves

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:24 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

JANET BURCHINAL

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:42 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Silvia Tover

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:42 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Tyrone Tover

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:44 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Allan Campbell

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:01 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:47 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Robert R Lombardi

Sincerely,

Robert Lombardi

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:02 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 5:52 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Chloe Van Loon

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:02 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 6:02 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Judith Lacy

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:02 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 6:05 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Susan Carlson

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:02 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 6:06 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Lynn Buck

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:02 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 6:26 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Christine Kras

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:02 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 6:29 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Vargas

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 6:37 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Now is the time to put action behind the talk about protecting Coyote Valley. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Audrey Rust

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:05 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Kras

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:08 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Lorena Salinas

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:13 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I request that you join the community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land.

Please send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Hita Bambhania-Modha

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:15 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Gina Pacheco

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:19 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Mary McLaughlin

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:27 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Let the community have input. Don't bypass community involvement to benefit the owner of the land and the developer. Let's look at the larger picture together.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Marilynn Smith

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:03 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:41 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Ann Ellis

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:04 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:44 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Lorie Bowlby
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:04 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:50 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Mark Luiso

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:04 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 7:54 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.


The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

To whom it may concern,
To the City Council
To Planning Commission

No more hail Mary's
Let's stick to the well thought out and developed plans.
No last minute confusions.

Everyone deserves transparency.
Let your YES BE A YES.
AND YOUR NO BE A NO...

Sincerely,
Marilyn Manuel

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the signature area, obscuring any handwritten notes or additional text.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:04 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 8:07 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Erin Redfern

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:04 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 8:16 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

William Henzel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:04 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 8:23 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Deborah Julien

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:05 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 8:34 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Julie Hill
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:05 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 8:37 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Diana Morgan-Hickey

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:05 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 9:12 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you please join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Please send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I oppose the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Roberts

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:05 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 9:12 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you reject the Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 Alternative Recommendation that would allow development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. One developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy. I urge you to remain consistent with the approach to benefit the wider community and reject the proposed Alternative Recommendation.

The Alternative Recommendation is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process.

The Alternative Recommendation benefits the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site while causing the loss of a potential publicly accessible open space. The city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city; not just one developer.

Please reject the Alternative proposal to the Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1

Sincerely,
Mike Beqqs

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:05 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 9:32 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Virginie Mitchem

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:05 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 9:32 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

THE EAST SIDE NEEDS MORE GREEN SPACES!!!! Offer Developers old industrial sites to develop, instead.
PLEASE PLEASE REJECT THE ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION!!!!

Sincerely,
Denise Acomb

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 10:15 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Juan Estrada

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 10:31 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Hannah Laszlo-Rath

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 10:37 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Melva Anima

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 12:00 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Arlette Mena

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 12:00 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

One landowner does not deserve to benefit at the expense of many other residents, human and other species included!

Sincerely,

Virginia Smedberg

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:06 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 5:35 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Rick Shrum

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 7:49 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
steven bruni

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 8:05 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

As a longtime SanJ resident and forest preschool teacher, I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Meg Pelose

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 8:08 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Frida Camacho

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 8:09 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Ed Von Runnen

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:07 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 8:19 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Robert Reese

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 11:01 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Sue Ellen Tomasic

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 11:49 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Linda Marquez

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 12:00 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

My daughter is a long time volunteer and City employee for the Open Space Authority and has great experience both enjoying and caring for Open Space on the City of San Jose.. These areas bring happiness to San Jose residents and visitors. They also reduce the heat island and pollution effects of built up areas. They should be maintained and expanded.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Kenneth MacKay
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 12:50 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
John Fioretta

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Susan Butler-Graham

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 1:10 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

As a San Jose resident and a member of the recent General Plan Review Task Force, I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Susan Butler-Graham

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 1:29 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

San Jose City Council members,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city. Please consider the voices of the community to be heard! We all live here.

Sincerely,
Kathy Dyer

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 2:31 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose. Green space is essential for human well-being. Housing without open spaces addresses only half the problem.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city. Slower and thoughtful consideration is essential.

Sincerely,

Chris MacIntosh

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 4:29 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Theresa Sherman

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 4:51 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Marina Tchetchetkina

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 5:33 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Andrea Caballero

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 8:23 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Colleen McCarthy Reves

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:09 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 8:35 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Dolores Jandik

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:10 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 10:36 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Eileen Parks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:10 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 10:49 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

San Jose has far too little greenspace for a city of this size.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Ramona Lione

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:10 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2022 9:43 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I vehemently ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Gallardo

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:10 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2022 9:51 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Sally Duffv

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:10 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2022 9:54 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

David Horton

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:10 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2022 11:10 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Ashley Lopez

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Carlin Black

Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2022 6:49 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose. Open spaces in San Jose are critical to the wellbeing of all residents of the city not to mention the wildlife that contributes to maintaining the ecosystem of these open spaces.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Carlin Black

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 12:59 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Entin

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 6:44 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Keith Wandry

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:03 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Alice Palsgrove

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:04 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Annette Haines

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:05 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Alice Palsgrovel ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Alice Alice

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:11 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:06 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Joseph Guevara

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:12 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:09 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Gloria Oviedo

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:12 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:09 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Charles Hammerstad

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:12 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:12 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Tammy Newman

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:12 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

>

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:15 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Turner

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:12 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:16 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Juan Perez

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:12 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:28 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Over Thanksgiving several neighbors discussed the crowding in San Jose. Several of us are Professional Engineers and know from science that there are maximum load calculations for any project. We all agreed that San Jose is already over the maximum load of people / space. I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
John Martin

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:32 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city. Please choose to protect our environment and reject the alternative recommendation.

Sincerely,

Kathy Ruiz

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:34 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Tina Tabuchi
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:35 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

When I was a child the Santa Clara valley was "The Valley of Heart's Delight." So much has been destroyed, the beautiful orchards, the little creeks, the old farmhouses, the wildflowers and spring blossoms. How can you even contemplate destruction of the remnants that are left??

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Maryann Emery
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:35 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Susan Anderson

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:36 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Darlene THorne

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:38 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Susan Carlson

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:40 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
David Cook

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:42 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Susan Korp

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:42 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Toby Villa

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:45 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Karen Hanami

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:47 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Leann Blamer

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:49 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please reject the Alternative Recommendation while continuing to follow the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

We must guard our open spaces for the health and beauty of our current City residents and for future generations of all San Joseans.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Helen Blain

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:58 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Eric Bergles

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 8:59 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Rick Umstattd

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:15 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:02 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Patricia Blevins

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:15 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:03 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Patricia Blevins

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:15 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:06 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Theresa Sherman

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:15 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

>

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:08 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Adrian Simpkins

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 10:15 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, November 25, 2022 9:24 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I was born and raised in the Evergreen area, and I currently live in The Villages. I've watched the Pleasant Hills Golf Course transition to open space where the wildlife have a respite area in our urbanscape, and where ranchers bring their cattle to feed.

I ask that you join the hundreds of community members like myself and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.


I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site who have obviously let their property become open space with no effort on their part to do anything else until now.

The current action you are considering presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Mary E Martin

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the top portion of the page, likely obscuring a logo or header information.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.