

Early Consideration Response Form

Department Department Rep. Name/Ext. Policy/Ordinance Subject		Plannii	ng, Building	and Code Ent	Rul	r in in								
		Chris E	Burton/x5-7	911	Councilmember Sponsorship									
			opment Serv	/ices										
i oney, c	oramanee sabje		-	·										
Staff Re	commendation	1												
			n tradeoffs	s YELLO	OW Refer to Pri	ority Setting	RED Re	ecommend	Council	not	NEED	OS CLARIFICA	ATION OR	
	outlined						· •							
Staff Ev	aluation													
Is this al	ready underwa	y in a	departme	nt work plan	? Is this time cr	itical or an en	nergency?			•		ial resources,	staffing, budget	
✓ v						7				tegic <u>su</u>				
✓ Yes	No n to Determine	Scalo	of Projec	t Comployity		No			Ye	s 🗸	No			
				<u> </u>	oject in each of t	he 3 criterion	s helow and th	en summin	σ the sc	ore				
Troject	a. Low Compl		•		oject iii cacii oi t	ire 5 circerion.	s below and th	CII Saiiiiiiii	g the se	.01 C.				
	b. Medium Co						Total Score	= 7						
	c. High Comp	lexity i	is a sum of											
				Low Compl	-		Medium Complexity				High Complexity			
	Estimated Duration				=	_	9 - 18 months				More than 18 months $\square = 3$			
Scoring Criterion	Organizational Complexity			ly be absorbe ting work pla		1 Planned w	Planned work (future) $= 2$				Work not currently proposed $\square = 3$			
	(Internal)		Have sta	ff with requi	red ×=	1 Have staff	Have staff with required skillset/ $\square = 2$				Do not have staff with required $\Box = 3$			
g Cr			skillset/knowledge requires				moderate research			skillset/requires significant research				
Scorin			Less than	n or equal 2 uired	x =	1 3 - 4 staff	3 - 4 staff required $\square = 2$				More than 5 staff required $\Box = 3$			
	(External)			nal departm	•		? Other departments Involved; $\square = 2$			•				
			commun	ity outreach	required	some com	munity outrea	ch required			ers involved ach require	d; significant o	community	
. pa	☐ Airport	☐ Au	ditor	□ смо	■ OEDCA	□ ESD	× Fire	□ HR	Пп		■ PRNS	□ Police	☐ Retirement	
DEPT. Required	Allport					L LJU		/III	" "			- Tollec	Retirement	
D	☐ Attorney	□ CI	erk	☐ CMO – Budget	☐ Community Energy	☐ Finance	★ Housing	□ IPA	☐ Lib	rary	▼ PBCE	× PW	≭ DOT	
СМО Арј	oroval: /s/ Lee	Wilco	XC		Date	1/6/23								

Analysis
Explain the rationale for staff recommendation, including any mitigating factors that need to be considered (recent legislative action, significant work plan changes, etc.). Please address the following as well.
GREEN LIGHT: The Administration can implement this nominated idea under its current work plan. Item should be sent to Council to add to department work plan. (1) How will the idea be approached? (2) If adopted, what is its impact and/or tradeoff to the City Council Focus Area or to a department work plan, including strategic support? (3) What is the minimum viable scope to move the idea forward and reduce its complexity?
The nominated ideas align with the long term goals of the Department to improve process and service to customers. Most of the ideas can be implemented with existing staff with only nominal impact to existing programs by re-prioritizing department management from addressing daily service issues to focusing on the bigger picture of the entire process.
YELLOW LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council send this nominated idea to the Priority Setting Process or to the Budget Process due to (describe cost
implications, workload impacts, or other factors).
RED LIGHT: The Administration recommends Council not to adopt this nominated idea due to (describe reason implementation would be difficult if not impossible – conflict with other laws, etc.).