RULES COMMITTEE: 3/6/2024

Item: B.1

File ID: ROGC 24-526



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &

City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC

City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record

February 22, 2024 – February 29, 2024

DATE: March 6, 2024

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

- 1-8. Letters from 8 members of the public, dated February 22–29, 2024, regarding: Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland.
 - 9. Letter from Randol White, dated February 23, 2024, regarding: NEWS: New Rider Code of Conduct policy to be presented at SamTrans Citizens Advisory Committee.
 - 10. Letter from Glenna Howcroft, dated February 24, 2024, regarding: Bring Our Voices Back on Zoom.
- 11-13. Letters from 3 members of the public, dated February 26, 2024 regarding: 2/20/24 Council meeting.
- 14-18. Letters from 5 members of the public, dated February 27–28, 2024 regarding: Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings.
- 19-26. Letters from 8 members of the public, dated February 26–28, 2024, regarding: statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site.
- 27-28. Letters from 2 members of the public, dated February 26, 2024, regarding: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel.
 - 29. Letter from Hayley Currier, dated February 26, 2024, regarding: Protect parks in city budget.

Rules and Open Government Committee March 6, 2024 Subject: Public Record

Page 2

30. Letter from Anh Vo, dated February 28, 2024, regarding: Planting More Trees in San Jose for a Greener Future.

Toni J. Taber, CMC

City Clerk

TJT/tt

FW: Mary F Valderrama 95127 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 2/23/2024 9:03 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2024 4:58 PM

To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <<District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Mary F Valderrama 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Please protect our parks, especially in east San Jose.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under-construction parks. In addition, I

request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, Mary F Valderrama 95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 12:54 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2024 12:43 PM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 12:16 PM

To: District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Tue 2/27/2024 8:43 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 27, 2024 7:56 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 6:08 PM

<mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, Ricardo R Chavez 95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: julie cano 95127 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 2/27/2024 8:54 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 27, 2024 7:58 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: julie cano 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 6:04 AM

To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <<District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: julie cano 95127 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Thank you in advance for providing more parks and open spaces for our communities.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, julie cano 95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: Arlene Favila 95148 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 2/27/2024 1:09 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 27, 2024 12:56 PM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Arlene Favila 95148 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 11:23 AM

To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Arlene Favila 95148 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

The eastside offers beautiful scenery in all of the parks and greenspaces in the area. PLEASE PROTECT OUR PARKS!!

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also

includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, Arlene Favila 95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: alice nguyen 95136 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Thu 2/29/2024 8:56 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 8:11 AM
To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: alice nguyen 95136 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 10:49 PM

To: District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan

<District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan

<mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: alice nguyen 95136 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural

environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, alice nguyen 95136

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: kelly lanspa 95120 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Thu 2/29/2024 9:19 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Thursday, February 29, 2024 9:09 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: kelly lanspa 95120 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 8:51 AM

<mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: kelly lanspa 95120 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, kelly lanspa 95120

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: hugh scollan 95120 Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Thu 2/29/2024 9:12 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Thursday, February 29, 2024 8:57 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: hugh scollan 95120 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

From: San Jose United <

Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 8:52 AM

To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <<District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: hugh scollan 95120 - Protect Our Parks: Definition of Chartered Parkland

[External Email]

Dear City Council,

Protect Our Parks. We ask you to ensure that the definition of parkland fully protects existing, under construction, and planned parks in the City of San José.

Parks are important to the residents of San José across the city. Rich or poor, young or old, regardless of ethnicity, religion, gender, or ability: parks are important to us all – for our physical health, our mental health, and the health of the community.

The City Charter states "the public parks of the City shall be inalienable unless otherwise authorized by the affirmative votes of the majority of the electors voting on such a proposition in each case." Further, the Charter states "[a]s used herein 'public parks' means any and all lands of the

City which have been or are dedicated, improved and opened to the public for public park purposes." Additionally, the staff's memo (https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showdocument? id=108319&t=638406648249390275) notes:

"Opened to the public for public park purposes means that the public can access the park and enjoy the space. In other words, the City has made the space useable and safe for the public to use. This also includes open space in its natural condition with minimal or no improvements that are intended to be opened to the public."

I urge the Council to ensure parks are protected in their entirety. Whether "improved" parks, or unimproved parks that focus public access on contemplative, passive enjoyment of the natural environment and its biological resources. I urge the Council to push for precise and expansive language to provide the maximum protection of our park lands. I also urge the Council to recommend that the City take steps to ensure the protection of planned or under construction parks. In addition, I request that staff include a full list of the recognized Chartered Parks in the report, and in a maintained online directory, for public transparency.

Protect Our Parks.

Sincerely, hugh scollan 95120

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United https://www.sanjoseunited.net
Community Working Together

Fw: NEWS: New Rider Code of Conduct policy to be presented at SamTrans Citizens Advisory Committee

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 2/23/2024 5:03 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113 Main: 408-535-1260 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your <u>feedback</u> is appreciated!

From: Sent: Friday, February 23, 2024 3:5	on behalf of Randol White <
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjosec< td=""><th>a.gov></th></city.clerk@sanjosec<>	a.gov>
Subject: NEWS: New Rider Code of	f Conduct policy to be presented at SamTrans Citizens Advisory Committee
[External Email]	
You don't often get email from	<u>Learn why this is important</u>
samTrans	

Feb. 23, 2024

Media Contact: Randol White,

NEWS

New Rider Code of Conduct policy to be presented at SamTrans Citizens Advisory Committee SamTrans staff will present a new draft Rider Code of Conduct policy at the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting next Wednesday, Feb. 28, at 6:30 p.m.

The draft policy is part of a first comprehensive effort by SamTrans' Bus Operations, Office of Civil Rights, and Safety and Security departments to improve transit worker safety and prevent bus operator harassment.

The public transit industry is experiencing an increasing number of incidents in which the behavior of passengers threatens the safety of bus operators. To address this development, SamTrans has established a new policy aimed at deterring disruptive and prohibited behavior toward bus operators and other onboard passengers.

The Office of Civil Rights and SamTrans Bus Operations will present the full draft Rider Code of Conduct at the CAC meeting and the representatives from those departments welcome public input. Comments and questions may be sent to

###

About SamTrans:

The San Mateo County Transit District operates 71 routes and two on-demand service areas. Funded in part by a half-cent sales tax, the district also provides administrative support for Caltrain and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority. SamTrans has provided bus service to San Mateo County customers since 1976.

Check out our most recent $\underline{Next\ Stop}$ newsletter and $\underline{subscribe}$. Also, follow SamTrans on Facebook and X.

Free translation assistance is available. Para traducción llama al ; 如需翻譯,請電

This email was sent to cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov

San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans, Caltrain and TA),

Unsubscribe

Bring Our Voices Back on Zoom

Glenna Howcroft <

Sat 2/24/2024 5:13 AM

To:The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>;District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>;Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>;District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>;District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>;District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>;District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>;Bien Doan <dist7@sanjoseca.gov>;District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>;Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>;District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>;Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>;Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>;Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>;Martha O'Connell <

[External Email]

You don't often get email from Learn why this is important

Honorable Mayor and Council,

I am asking you to reinstate public access to participate in Zoom calls. To have this access pulled out from under us has been devastating. Those who were once actively participating now find themselves without a voice. You may say, "Write a letter", but it still eliminates those who do not have computer access.

There are many people who for many reasons cannot physically attend meetings at City Hall. To have our voices eliminated due to the extremely rude and offensive verbiage of a few is not acceptable. The overwhelming majority of zoom participants followed the "Code of Conduct" that was posted for all zoom participants to clearly see. Those who chose to disregard it, **did so by choice.**

Again, I will ask, "Why are you punishing **all** Zoom participants for the obnoxious and hateful choices of a **few**?" It is not right, nor is it fair.

Bring back full Zoom access to the people of San Jose so we can actively participate in our government.

Thank you for your consideration, Glenna Howcroft GSMOL Chapter President S0008

Fw: Camillia Brennan 95135 2/20/24 Council meeting

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 12:02 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2024 11:45 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Camillia Brennan 95135 - 2/20/24 Council meeting

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:33 AM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Camillia Brennan 95135 - 2/20/24 Council meeting

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to

the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. Camillia Brennan

95135

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Evgenii Puchkaryov 95126 2/20/24 Council meeting

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 2:17 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 1:41 PM
To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Evgenii Puchkaryov 95126 - 2/20/24 Council meeting

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 1:01 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Evgenii Puchkaryov 95126 - 2/20/24 Council meeting

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision.

Get back into the 21st century, please, to realize that most meetings are virtual or hybrid these days, as the most inclusive way of meeting. Virtual attendees should have the same rights of participation!

Evgenii Puchkaryov 95126

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 2/20/24 Council meeting

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Tue 2/27/2024 8:54 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 7:55 AM To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Subject: FW: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 - 2/20/24 Council meeting

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 6:07 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 - 2/20/24 Council meeting

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to

the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. Ricardo R Chavez

95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Veronica Amador 95116 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Wed 2/28/2024 8:31 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 7:52 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Veronica Amador 95116 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 9:19 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Veronica Amador 95116 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. Veronica Amador

95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Arvind Kumar 95148 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 2/28/2024 8:30 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 7:53 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Arvind Kumar 95148 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 9:53 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Arvind Kumar 95148 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. Arvind Kumar

95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Steve Robles 95116 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Wed 2/28/2024 8:30 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 7:53 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Steve Robles 95116 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 10:00 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Steve Robles 95116 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. Steve Robles

95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: alice nguyen 95136 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Thu 2/29/2024 8:53 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 8:11 AM
To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: alice nguyen 95136 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 10:53 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: alice nguyen 95136 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. alice nguyen

95136

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Victoria Partida 95122 Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Thu 2/29/2024 11:07 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 10:09 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Victoria Partida 95122 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

From: District 5 United

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 9:34 AM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Victoria Partida 95122 - Restore Virtual Comments at City Council meetings

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and San José City Council,

I ask you to rise to the challenge rather than cave to bullies intent on stifling the input of disadvantaged communities. The City needs to immediately restore virtual public comment for all City Council, Committee, and Commission meetings.

It is clear there is an effort to silence members of disadvantaged communities. Many residents rely on being able to provide virtual public comment due to reasons of disability, health, work schedules, parenting and other demands of modern life. The strategy appears to be to engage in racist and hateful speech in order to bully the Council into eliminating virtual public comment. The bullies scored a victory when the Council decided to eliminate this option without first seeking community input and not at the bequest from community members.

By eliminating remote access on the heels of restricting access to the council chambers in December, San José has fallen from a model of good government dedicated to promoting civic participation to a city displaying troubling behavior and giving the impression that hearing from its residents is a bother. We agree that the comments of a few bad actors are vile and have no place in a community meeting. Rather than using racist and hateful speech as an excuse to cut off community participation and hamper people's First Amendment right to petition their government, however, the City should rise to the challenge of finding solutions to preserve access for everyone while continuing to facilitate public comment by providing a virtual option.

Ending the process of remote participation, is to deny a large segment of the community the opportunity to participate in our democratic process. Some examples include:

Disabled residents who rely on technology to speak, or who otherwise have to arrange rides with Paratransit.

Immunocompromised residents who take on unnecessary risks to their health by spending hours in a packed room.

Working people without the ability to arrange their schedules around hours long council meetings. Parents with small children who can't take time off to attend City meetings.

For example, budget season is the most critical time of year for civic engagement. The ban on remote comments means the people most in need of an equitable city budget will be sidelined throughout the process.

The possibility that the ban on remote comments might only last months is no comfort to those who wish to comment on current City Council, Committee and Commission matters in order to ensure that quality of life in San Jose is maximized. I ask that you immediately restore virtual public comment to ensure that you and the City once again benefit from the voices silenced by your recent decision. Victoria Partida

95122

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Gus Meyner 95127 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 2/26/2024 11:02 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2024 10:57 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Gus Meyner 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 10:30 AM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Gus Meyner 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

This site is not suitable for development until public transport ion is better developed. The congestion to get from highways 101 and 280 is horrific.

This needs to be a park until light rail or something services the site. I largely disagree with the message generated by this site.

Gus Meyner 95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 12:51 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2024 12:43 PM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course

site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 12:13 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO 95116 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

RONNIE JESUS RACCA DIRECTO

95116

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 2/27/2024 8:54 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 27, 2024 7:56 AM **To:** Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 6:08 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Ricardo R Chavez 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Ricardo R Chavez

95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Arlene Favila 95148 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Tue 2/27/2024 1:09 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 27, 2024 12:56 PM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Arlene Favila 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 11:20 AM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Arlene Favila 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

tree canopy. To mitigate the impact of this loss, include green stormwater infrastructure and tree canopy in order to reduce flooding and heat island effect along with energy efficiency considerations. 5. A mix of transportation options that will directly benefit the residents of East San José. Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Please be especially mindful of the beautiful hillside view by not building high density housing which developers have a tendency to do. The current trend is to build 3 story + structures which become an eyesore in the backdrop of the natural beautiful terrain of the east foothills. We are very proud of our beautiful scenery here and we enjoy our greenspaces during all the seasons.

Arlene Favila

95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Arvind Kumar 95148 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Wed 2/28/2024 8:30 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 7:53 AM
To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Arvind Kumar 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 9:54 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Arvind Kumar 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Arvind Kumar

95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Alice Chen 95127 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 2/28/2024 8:31 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 7:53 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Alice Chen 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 9:56 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District1@sanjoseca.gov>; District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Alice Chen 95127 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Alice Chen

95127

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: alice nguyen 95136 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Thu 2/29/2024 8:52 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Thursday, February 29, 2024 8:11 AM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: alice nguyen 95136 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 10:51 PM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 < district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: alice nguyen 95136 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on-site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high-quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.

4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and tree canopy. To mitigate the impact of this loss, include green stormwater infrastructure and tree canopy in order to reduce flooding and heat island effect along with energy efficiency considerations.

5. A mix of transportation options that will directly benefit the residents of East San José.

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

alice nguyen

95136

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Ashok Jethanandani 95148 statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 2/28/2024 1:22 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 1:07 PM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Ashok Jethanandani 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

From: District 5 United <

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 11:31 AM

To: District1 < district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 < District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 < district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 < District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 < District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 < District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 < district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 < district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 < District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan < mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>;

Subject: Ashok Jethanandani 95148 - statement of principles for former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council,

The land use plan for the former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity for the City of San Jose to envision a development strategy in partnership with its residents. This is an unusually large, empty site that is very rare in our community. Its development provides an opportunity to address multiple needs.

- 1. Housing with a gradual transition from existing single family homes on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave to higher density, including 15%+ affordable housing units (such as for seniors, firefighters, teachers, service workers, etc.).
- 2. Significant amounts of on site parkland and green space. Development on this site should include significant acreage of publicly accessible parkland, green space, and tree canopy and should prioritize native plants to maximize biodiversity and habitat value.
- 3. A mix of uses that includes jobs, high quality recreational public space including a community center, and green space with quality retail and commercial facing White Rd.
- 4. Resilience to climate change. With the development of this site, the surrounding community will lose the cooling effect and stormwater absorption capability of the existing 115 acres of open space and

Transportation facilitation that promotes the use of public transit and mitigates high volume campus entrance and egress on Flint Ave and Vista Verde Ave.

Ashok Jethanandani

95148

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via District 5 United https://www.district5united.org
Community Working Together

Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 11:40 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:30 AM
To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: citlali martinez <

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:17 AM **To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Subject:** Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

San Jose City Clerk,

I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then-Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel. Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how

Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill-treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.



Fw: Ban City Sponsored Travel to Israel

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 11:40 AM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:30 AM
To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

From: carina cañas

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:20 AM **To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Subject:** Ban City-Sponsored Travel to Israel

[External Email]

San Jose City Clerk,

My name is Carina Canas and I have been a citizen of San Jose for more than half my life, it has become a very important city to me. I urge you to take action and ban city-authorized travel to Israel. In 2022, the City Council blocked then Mayor Sam Liccardo from traveling to Qatar due to concerns about that country's human rights record, yet said nothing when he made a taxpayer-funded official trip to Israel in 2019. As Israel's extreme violations of human rights grow day by day, I ask that you act consistently and institute a policy ending official City travel to Israel.

Today, residents of San Jose are hurting, witnessing families and innocent civilians being killed by Israel in Gaza, the West Bank, and East Jerusalem. As someone who has Palestinian blood, I fear that I will never be able to become in touch with those roots of mine due to the genocide currently going on. Over the past 100+ days, Israel has killed over 27,000 Palestinians, besieged educational, cultural, and medical institutions in Gaza, and committed countless human rights violations against the Palestinian people. According to the United Nations Human Rights Office in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, these violations include the targeted destruction of civilian infrastructure, the intentional deprivation of food, water, and healthcare from the civilian population of Gaza, and the indefinite detention of Gazans without trial.

In 2019, the City Council rejected then-Mayor Liccardo's trip to Qatar based on a report by Amnesty International that Qatar had committed human rights abuses. Here is how Amnesty International described Israel's human rights record before that country began its military invasion of Gaza:

"Israel's continuing oppressive and discriminatory system of governing Palestinians in Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT) constituted a system of apartheid, and Israeli officials committed the crime of apartheid under international law."

The Amnesty International report further noted that Israel has "imposed arbitrary restrictions on freedom of movement and closures that amounted to collective punishment" and employs "torture and other ill treatment" against Palestinian detainees. These are serious and ongoing human rights abuses that require our City to act consistently and institute a policy against official travel to Israel to avoid lending legitimacy to these unconscionable acts.

We cannot ignore the humanitarian crisis in Gaza. We need to see concrete action from the San Jose City Council to pressure Israel as it carries out a genocide with the U.S.'s financial and diplomatic backing. I ask that you safeguard our city's commitment to human rights just as you did in 2022. Ban city authorized travel to Israel now.



Fw: Protect parks in city budget

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Mon 2/26/2024 2:17 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk < city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 1:43 PM
To: Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: FW: Protect parks in city budget

I'm using Adobe Acrobat.

Here's the City of San José Parks Budget Ask January 2024.pdf for you to review.

From: Hayley Currier

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 1:03 PM

[External Email]

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached letter regarding the upcoming budget message and ongoing San Jose city budget planning. Protect our parks. We represent a broad base of stakeholders and organizations who care deeply about San José. We are writing to implore you to protect funding for parks in the City's budget.

Thank you,

Hayley CurrierPOLICY MANAGER

| c 415-659-8624 o 510-463-6869 | <u>www.saveSFbay.org</u>

Pronouns: she, her



<u>Protect and Restore San Francisco Bay</u> <u>For People and Wildlife</u>

San José City Council City of San José 200 E. Santa Clara Street San José, CA 95113

Re: Protect Park Funding in San José City Budget

Dear Mayor Mahan, Vice Mayor Kamei and Councilmembers:

Protect Our Parks. We represent a broad base of stakeholders and organizations who care deeply about San José. We are writing to implore you to **protect funding for parks in the City's budget.** As the City develops its priorities for the coming fiscal year, remember that public open spaces are essential to the fabric of the City. They are the lifeblood of our community, the heart of our neighborhoods, and the catalyst for stronger, more connected lives. Especially in light of the epidemic of loneliness being faced by so many, public places to gather and connect are more important than ever. They make the urban landscape more resilient to the impacts of climate change, absorbing stormwater and reducing heat, and make the communities they serve more resilient, too.

Last fall, you received hundreds of emails, phone calls, and public comments from San José residents calling on you to protect Viva Calle and Viva Parks, beloved public programs that activate our public spaces and bring communities together. As you consider the needs of the City, you must protect the already beleaguered park funding we have, and restore the budget to previous levels. Your constituents are counting on you to keep the City vibrant, safe, and healthy.

Preserving San José's public parks and placemaking efforts is an investment in the future of the City. The City's Parks, Recreation and Neighborhood Services Department (PRNS) and its staff manage over 206 parks, 50 community centers, as well as over 61 miles of trails. Parks funding delivers critical community and neighborhood services that contribute to the health and well-being of the nearly one million people who call San José home. Additionally, open green spaces are a testament to our commitment to sustainability, providing essential habitats for wildlife and native plants, purifying the air we breathe, and mitigating the effects of climate change.

The City has faced a continuing challenge of funding parks and placemaking efforts, as borne out by studies and real-world examples. The pandemic demonstrated the indispensable offerings that parks and public spaces bring to the community – parks and public spaces are "essential services" not simply "nice to haves."

San José has faced budget cuts in the past, most notably after the Great Recession of 2008. By 2011, the City had cut almost 200 staff positions within PRNS, lost 18 park rangers, and about

37% of its budget.¹ Our parks system and programming has suffered from these decisions and has been on a long road to recovery. Still, deferred maintenance and deterioration of the quality of our public green spaces will require sustaining the levels of staffing and service that the City depends on.

We urge you to recognize the profound significance of parks and placemaking in shaping the identity and resilience of our City. By protecting parks and placemaking funding, you champion the well-being of residents, foster a sense of unity, promote physical and mental health, boost the local economy, and secure a sustainable and climate resilient future. Let us work together to ensure that our parks and streets continue to flourish as spaces of joy, connection, and vitality. Parks are an integral and basic part of our City's resources for community and neighborhood well-being. Protecting these resources facilitates the safety and vitality of our City as a whole.

Now is the time to protect our essential parks and public services like Viva Calle and Viva Parks. By ensuring parks are well-maintained and their staffing adequately funded, we create an inclusive environment that fosters a sense of belonging and unity in San José.

Sincerely,

David Lewis, Executive Director **Save The Bay**

James P. Reber, Executive Director **San Jose Parks Foundation**

Alie Victorine, Lead

Coyote Meadows Coalition

Dorsey Moore, CEO
San Jose Conservation Corps

Ciana Moreno, Communications Manager Guadalupe River Park Conservancy

Alice Kaufman, Policy and Advocacy Director **Green Foothills**

Jordan Grimes, South Bay Resilience Manager **Greenbelt Alliance**

¹ Rosen, Carl. "San Jose struggles to keep its many popular parks safe and clean after staff, budget cuts." Mercury News. 21 Jul 2011.

https://www.mercurynews.com/2011/07/21/san-jose-struggles-to-keep-its-many-popular-parks-safe-and-clean-after-staff-budget-cuts/

Fw: Planting More Trees in San Jose for a Greener Future

Agendadesk < Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov >

Wed 2/28/2024 1:34 PM

To:Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, February 28, 2024 1:07 PM **To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: Planting More Trees in San Jose for a Greener Future

From: Anh Vo <

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2024 12:42 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov> **Cc:** Sharon Quach <

Subject: Planting More Trees in San Jose for a Greener Future

[External Email]

You don't often get email from . <u>Learn why this is important</u>

Dear Editor,

I am writing to express my concern and enthusiasm regarding the need to plant more trees in San Jose. As a resident of this beautiful city, I have witnessed firsthand the benefits that trees bring to our community, and I believe that we should prioritize their conservation and expansion for a sustainable and healthier future.

Trees are essential to the well-being of our city in numerous ways. They improve air quality by absorbing carbon dioxide and releasing oxygen, making our environment cleaner and healthier. Additionally, trees act as natural air conditioners by providing shade and reducing the urban heat island effect, which is particularly important during our scorching summer months.

Furthermore, trees contribute to the overall aesthetics of our city, making it more appealing and inviting to both residents and visitors alike. They create a sense of place and community pride, which can boost tourism and local businesses. Moreover, trees enhance property values and can even reduce energy consumption by providing natural insulation.

In recent years, San Jose has experienced rapid urban development, leading to the loss of many trees due to construction and expanding infrastructure. It is high time that we take concrete steps to reverse this trend and ensure a sustainable future for our city. To achieve this, I propose the following:

- Increase Tree Planting Programs: The city should invest in more tree planting initiatives, with a focus on native and drought-resistant species that require minimal maintenance.
- Protect Existing Trees: Strict regulations should be put in place to protect mature trees during construction and development projects. Preservation should be the priority whenever possible.
- Educational Campaigns: Promote awareness among residents about the importance of trees and how they can contribute by planting and caring for trees on their properties.
- **Community Engagement:** Encourage community participation in tree-planting events and maintenance activities to foster a sense of ownership and responsibility.
- Collaboration with Environmental Organizations: Partner with local environmental organizations to leverage their expertise and resources in tree planting and maintenance efforts.

By taking these steps, we can ensure that San Jose remains a green and vibrant city, known for its commitment to environmental sustainability. Let us work together to preserve and enhance our urban forest for the benefit of current and future generations.

Best regards,

Anh Vo (she/her) | Emerging Community Leaders (ECL) Internship



Of the Bay Area, Golden Gate, and Central Coast

Web: <u>www.lungsrus.org</u>

Breathe California is dedicated to fighting lung disease, advocating for clean air, and promoting public health for all its communities.