

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 6:59 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 10:59 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Stacey Kelloqq
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 6:59 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 10:59 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I oppose development of the Pleasant Hills Golf Course. The planning commission also opposes it. Please respect the view of the commission and citizens and reject this proposal. We need to preserve the little open space that we have left.

Sincerely,

Carol Wilson Linney

Sincerely,

Carol Linney
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 6:59 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:00 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

As the Planning Commission wrote "One developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy."

We agree that if the Council would like to consider allowing the Pleasant Hills Golf Course to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Maria Hennessy



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:00 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:00 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Tammy Thai

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:00 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:01 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Schoenenberger

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:00 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:02 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Newburn

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:00 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:03 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Tora Troop

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:00 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:04 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I humbly request that you please follow the Planning Department staff recommendation and reject the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. San Jose is a special place, and we owe it to future generations to be thoughtful about how we use the land.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Wilder
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:00 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:06 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Bobbi Coleman

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:01 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:09 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Allan Berkowitz

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:01 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:11 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jesse Goldstein

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:01 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:12 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Martin Montoro

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:01 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:13 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Sandy Blair

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:01 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:18 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Alie Victorine

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:01 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:18 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Chris Anamerican
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:02 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:20 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Tate

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:02 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:20 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Ken Schneebeli

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:02 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:31 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Straub

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:02 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:32 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Stephen McHenry

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:03 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:33 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Krenzin

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:03 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:35 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Colleen Cabot

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:03 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:37 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Emily Soth

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:03 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:49 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Catherine Derringer

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:03 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:52 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Gina Whitney

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:03 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:52 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Gina Whitney

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:04 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:53 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Keith Byars

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:04 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 11:56 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Israel Guzman

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:04 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 12:02 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Matthew Burrows

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:04 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 12:03 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Wate Bakker

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Fri 12/2/2022 7:04 PM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 12:05 PM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,
Ted Fishman

[REDACTED] message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Evergreen VMT Policy

Kathleen Chopra <[REDACTED]>

Tue 12/6/2022 8:39 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Kathleen Perry

[REDACTED]

Dear Mayor and City Council members,

As a longtime Evergreen community resident, I write to ask you to approve the T&E Committee's preferred VMT policy update option 5-1B as proposed by T&E Committee Chair Debra Davis and Councilmember Raul Perez in their November 23, 2022 memorandum to the City Council.

While researching this issue, I've discovered that the City of San Jose currently has the most extreme VMT policy in the Bay Area which is affecting the city's ability to create more housing opportunities. Yet, our homeless population continues to grow. The lack of housing unit production has caused our city to be over-run by the homeless, increase the crime rate and lower property values of people's homes.

Our state and local community is in a housing crisis and we need to be responsible by respecting property rights and at create more needed affordable and market rate housing for local families. The will of the San Jose voters have made it loud and clear during this election that the status quo that caused this crisis will not be supported. The cost of doing little has cost the City of San Jose way too much. The time for action is now, please approve the T&E Committee's preferred option VMT 5-1B as proposed by Councilmember Dev Davis and Raul Perez.

Kathie Perry (Chopra)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Comment letter from Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority to agenda item 8.4 on 12-6-22

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 12/5/2022 4:53 PM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Marc Landgraf [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, December 5, 2022 4:53 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Lena Eyen [REDACTED] District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Comment letter from Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority to agenda item 8.4 on 12-6-22

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk,

Please find attached a comment letter from the Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority, pertinent to agenda Item 8.4 on tomorrow's (Dec 6, 2022) City Council Agenda. This letter was originally submitted on Nov 29, 2022 for item 8.5 on last week's agenda, when the item was deferred to this week, though I didn't see it in the currently posted Letters from the Public.

Thank you!

Marc Landgraf

Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority

From: Marc Landgraf

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:25 PM

To: councilmeeting@sanjoseca.gov

Cc: Lena Eyen <[REDACTED]>; Andrea Mackenzie <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Comment letter to agenda item 8.5 from Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority

Please find attached a comment letter, from the Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority, to be submitted to the public record pertinent to agenda Item 8.5 on today's City Council Agenda.

Thank you very much,

Marc

Marc Landgraf (he/him)

External Affairs Manager



Nature is for everyone, and everyone is welcome in the preserves. Help us keep your beloved open spaces [fun and safe for all](#).



Please print on yellow if necessary.

Confidentiality Notice: This message, including any attachments, is intended to be used only by the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed. This message may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient of this message or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message, you are hereby notified that you are prohibited from printing, copying, storing, disseminating, or distributing this communication. If you received this communication in error, please delete it from your computer along with any attachments and notify the sender by telephone or by reply e-mail.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



November 29, 2022

San Jose City Council
200 E. Santa Clara Street
San Jose, CA 95113

Andrea Mackenzie, General Manager
Alex Kennett, District 1
Mike Flaughner, District 2
Helen Chapman, District 3
Garnetta Annable, District 4
Vicki Alexander, District 5
Mike Potter, District 6
Kalvin Gill, District 7

RE: Exclusion of Conservation Areas within Item 8.5 Transportation Analysis

Dear Mayor Liccardo and Members of the City Council,

As the Council considers amendments to the Transportation Analysis Policy to help address the housing crisis, the Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority (Open Space Authority) expresses strong support for building more affordable housing in appropriate areas AND for **excluding the following** from a Statement of Overriding Consideration under CEQA for any project due to significant and unavoidable transportation impacts:

- The Coyote Valley Conservation Program Area, as defined by AB948 (Kalra, 2019)
- Areas outside of the Urban Growth Boundary
- Any other land formally designated for conservation

The Open Space Authority is a public, independent special district created by the California State Legislature in 1993 to conserve the natural environment, support agriculture, and connect people to nature by protecting open spaces, natural areas, and working farms and ranches for future generations.

Our conserved natural and working lands provide green infrastructure that helps protect communities and build resilience against the impacts of climate change through nature-based solutions. Natural and working lands will also be an important part of the City's goal to achieve net zero GHG emissions by 2030, and have recently been incorporated as a Natural and Working Land element of Climate Smart San Jose that will come to Council early next year.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments to this item.

Sincerely,

Andrea Mackenzie
General Manager

cc:
Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Board of Directors

FW: Subject: Item 8.4 Council Agenda December 6, 2022

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 12/6/2022 11:25 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

 1 attachments (12 KB)

Letter to Council 12062022.docx;

From: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, December 06, 2022 11:15 AM

To: Sahid, Robyn <Robyn.Sahid@sanjoseca.gov>; Lomio, Michael <Michael.Lomio@sanjoseca.gov>; Green, Scott <scott.green@sanjoseca.gov>; Lake, Julian <Julian.Lake@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Fw: Subject: Item 8.4 Council Agenda December 6, 2022

FYI

From: Bob Dhillon [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, December 6, 2022 11:09 AM

To: The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Subject: Item 8.4 Council Agenda December 6, 2022

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and City Councilmembers,

Subject: Item 8.4 Council Agenda December 6, 2022

I have longtime interest in Evergreen properties, I write to ask you to approve the T&E Committee's preferred VMT policy update option 5-1B as proposed by T&E Committee Chair Debra Davis and Councilmember Raul Peralez in their November 23, 2022 memorandum to the City Council.

While researching this issue, I've discovered that the City of San Jose currently has the most extreme VMT policy in the Bay Area which is affecting the city's ability to create more housing opportunities. Yet, our homeless population continues to grow. The lack of housing unit production has caused our city to be over-run by the homeless, increase the crime rate and lower property values of people's homes.

Our state and local community is in a housing crisis and we need to be responsible by respecting property rights and at create more needed affordable and market rate housing for local families. The will of the San Jose voters have made it loud and clear during this election that the status quo that caused this crisis will not be supported. The cost of doing little has cost the City of San Jose way too much. The time for action is now, please approve the T&E Committee's preferred option VMT 5-1B as proposed by Councilmember Dev Davis and Raul Peralez.

Sincerely,

b.s. Dhillon -digitally signed

Bob Dhillon



Fw: Item 8.4 Council Agenda Dec 6, 2022.

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 12/6/2022 11:45 AM

To: District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Jesse-Singh Powar [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, December 6, 2022 11:40 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; CAO Main <cao.main@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Item 8.4 Council Agenda Dec 6, 2022.

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear city clerk.

Please distribute this letter to the Mayor, Vice mayor and the San Jose City Council.

Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and City Councilmembers,

Subject: Item 8.4 Council Agenda December 6, 2022

As a longtime Evergreen community resident, I write to ask you to approve the T&E Committee's preferred VMT policy update option 5-1B as proposed by T&E Committee Chair Debra Davis and Councilmember Raul Perez in their November 23, 2022 memorandum to the City Council.

While researching this issue, I've discovered that the City of San Jose currently has the most extreme VMT policy in the Bay Area which is affecting the city's ability to create more housing opportunities. Yet, our homeless population continues to grow. The lack of housing unit production has caused our city to be over-run by the homeless, increase the crime rate and lower property values of people's homes.

Our state and local community is in a housing crisis and we need to be responsible by respecting property rights and at create more needed affordable and market rate housing for local families. The will of the San Jose voters have made it loud and clear during this election that the status quo that caused this crisis will not be supported. The cost of doing little has cost the City of San Jose way too much. The time for action is now, please approve the T&E Committee's preferred option VMT 5-1B as proposed by Councilmember Dev Davis and Raul Perez.

Sincerely,



Jasvir Powar