



## **HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION**

### **Action Minutes**

**Wednesday, June 5, 2024**

#### **Regular Meeting**

**Commencing at 6:30 p.m.**

#### **City Hall Wing**

**Wing Rooms 118, 119 & 120**

**200 East Santa Clara Street**

**San José, CA 95113**

#### **Commission Members**

**Paul Boehm, Chair**

**Rachel Royer, Vice Chair**

**Harriett Arnold**

**Lawrence Camuso**

**Sara Ghalandari**

**Edward Janke**

**Christopher Burton, Director**

**Department of Planning, Building & Code Enforcement**

Access the video, agenda, and related reports for this meeting by visiting the City's website at:  
<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments/planning-building-code-enforcement/planning-division/commissions-and-hearings/historic-landmarks-commission>

# AGENDA

## ORDER OF BUSINESS

### WELCOME

*Meeting called to order at 6:35 p.m.*

### ROLL CALL

**PRESENT:** Commissioners Boehm, Royer, Camuso, Ghalandari and Arnold (arrived at 6:37 p.m.)

**ABSENT:** Commissioner Janke

*After meeting roll call, Chairman Boehm made an announcement about the upcoming retirement of Dr. Robert Manford from his role of Deputy Director in Planning at the City of San José, offering congratulations and expressing appreciation for all Dr. Manford's hard work over the years. Chairman Boehm also expressed appreciation for Dr. Manford's support for Historic Preservation Officer, Dana Peak Edwards and for the Commission. Ms. Peak Edwards echoed the appreciation expressed by the Commission, and for Dr. Manford as a mentor, informing the Commission that Dr. Manford leaves the City of San José after 30 years of experience in planning, private consulting, environmental review, and working for the City of Los Angeles for many years finishing his time in public service for the City of San José. Chairman Boehm reiterated the congratulations of the Commission.*

### 1. DEFERRALS

---

**No Items**

### 2. CONSENT CALENDAR

---

**No Items**

### 3. PUBLIC HEARINGS

---

**No Items**

## 4. PLANNING REFERRALS

---

- a. [H23-047](#). Site Development Permit to allow the demolition of an existing 2,305-square-foot commercial building and the construction of an 11-story mixed-use building with 1,335 square feet of commercial space and 80 dwelling units on an approximately 0.16-gross-acre site (101-109 Delmas Avenue) in the Lakehouse City Landmark District.

**PROJECT MANAGER, JASON LEE**

**Recommendation:** Receive public comment and provide recommendations regarding information to be included in the analysis of the proposed 101 Delmas Avenue Mixed-Use Residential Project (“Project”) located at 101-109 Delmas Avenue (APNs 259-45-057 and -058) (File No. H23-047) under the City Council Policy on the Preservation of Historic Landmarks.

*Chairman Boehm introduced the item.*

*Project Manager Jason Lee gave an overview of the project, which proposes demolition of an existing structure and construction of an eleven-story mixed use building in the Lakehouse City Landmark District, and was referred to the Commission under the City Council policy on Historic Landmarks. Mr. Lee informed the Commission they are not being asked to make a decision on the project but to provide comments as part of the early referral process. Mr. Lee noted a Historic Preservation permit will also be required for this project, but an application for such a permit has not yet been received and explained this project was submitted under the “Builder’s Remedy,” in which a local jurisdiction without a substantial compliant Housing Element cannot use inconsistency with the General Plan land use designation or Zoning Ordinance in order to deny a qualifying project. Mr. Lee noted this proposal is not in compliance with General Plan or Zoning Ordinance as the proposed new structure exceeds the Floor Area Ratio associated with the General Plan designations and zonings of the site.*

*Mr. Lee informed the Commission the structure proposed to be demolished is a non-contributor to the Lakehouse City Landmark District, designated in 2007, which is made up of Queen Anne Victorian single-family homes from the late 1800s. The site is comprised of two parcels, which have a building on one and adjacent parking lot on the other. The building houses Delmas Market, which has been evaluated as a non-contributing structure to the neighborhood, but which has since been determined to be individually eligible as a Structure of Merit.*

*Mr. Lee informed the Commission the proposed new building is eleven stories tall. Both building elevations on Delmas and San Fernando Streets are adjacent to single-family houses. Required environmental impact analysis is currently being prepared, and the Historic Landmarks Commission will have the opportunity to review and offer comment on the environmental document during the public comment period, whether it is determined to be a Mitigated Negative Declaration or an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).*

*Chairman Boehm called for questions from the Commission.*

*Commissioner Camuso inquired about the significance of the building proposed for demolition and who occupied it. Mr. Lee responded it has been a market since the 1940s, first a Red and White franchise grocer originally owned by the Mandala family, and since the 1960s the store operated as Delmas Market.*

*Commissioner Ghalandari inquired about the buildings adjacent to the project site, whether they are contributors or landmark structures, and inquired about the zoning setbacks for the project. Mr. Lee responded the required setback at the rear of the property opposite Delmas is 15 or 25 feet depending on the zoning district, which the proposed setback of 9 feet does not meet, but that the required side interior setback opposite San Fernando is 5 feet, so the proposed 9-foot setback does meet the setback requirement there.*

*Historic Preservation Officer, Dana Peak Edwards, responded to the earlier inquiry and reported that there is only one individual landmark in the district, nearby but not adjacent to the project site. She noted other properties in the district may be eligible but are not currently listed as Candidate City Landmarks in the HRI.*

*Chairman Boehm commented that while there are not currently more individual City Landmarks, this is a City Landmark District, where the project would need to meet the required setbacks, and requested clarification about one of the proposed setback requirements was not being met by the proposed project. Mr. Lee responded yes, the project does not meet the rear setback requirements, but as the project was applied for under Builder's Remedy, that means from a land use perspective, the City may not be able to deny the project for inconsistency with zoning standards, including setbacks.*

*Commissioner Ghalandari inquired if any evaluation has been done so far in terms of conformance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties or impact of the proposed setbacks of the project on surrounding properties. Mr. Lee responded there is are DPR 523 series forms for the building on site, which was included in the attachments with the staff report, but they do not yet have an application for the HP permit, so no evaluation has been done to date on the effects of the building on the district.*

*Commissioner Arnold commented on the number of Victorian Queen Anne historic style homes in the district and inquired about the impact of the proposed design of the project on the surrounding buildings, and asked if there will be an analysis into the cultural impact on the community which has been in place since the late 1800s. Mr. Lee responded at this time only DPR 523 series forms are available for the building on site, but that a Historic Preservation permit will be required and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) analysis of the project will require study into the impacts of the project when it comes back before the Commission.*

*Commissioner Arnold inquired if part of the analysis will be to speak to members of the community as part of the process of evaluating the project. Mr. Lee responded as part of the early referral process, the Commission is asked to receive public comment and provide recommendations. This recommendation for community feedback in the analysis will be included in the record.*

*Vice Chairman Royer inquired how far surrounding the project will the impact be studied, and whether the analysis will include the area outside of the district, noting the building is wildly out of scale with the district and would be out of scale with the surrounding area as well. Dana Peak Edwards responded it is usually 200 feet surrounding the site. David Keyon, Principal Planner supervising the Environmental Review team, responded that across San Fernando Street behind the light rail station is the Google Downtown West site where buildings are allowed up to 280 feet tall.*

*Vice Chairman Royer commented there is quite a distance between the future Downtown West structures and the proposed building site, and those buildings would not have a direct impact with shadows on the site like the proposed project would have with an eleven-story building right next to one or two-story buildings. Mr. Lee responded the proposed project is also subject to conformance with the Downtown Design Standards and Guidelines which address the historic context and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties, so analysis of the project impacts would be analyzed in the development review and CEQA processes.*

*Vice Chairman Royer inquired if the Builder's Remedy prohibits more than zoning review and whether the Downtown Design Standards and Guidelines would also be prohibited as a requirement. Mr. Lee responded the City's interpretation of the law is that it specifically calls out Zoning Code and General Plan designations, so the project cannot be denied on that basis, but that the Downtown Design Standards and Guidelines and General Plan policies that are not part of the land use designation still apply. The City believes that policies regarding historic preservation in the General Plan still apply, as well as the Downtown Design Standards and Guidelines as a whole, including the historic parts, and that Title 13, which governs historic preservation and other things like streets and sidewalks, tree removals, etc., is not part of the zoning code (Title 20) That is the City's current position, but the interpretation of the law can change as more information becomes available.*

*Chairman Boehm inquired if Delmas Market is still in business. Mr. Lee responded that Delmas Market is still in business.*

*Chairman Boehm inquired if the mural on the building which reads "Welcome to San Jose," would be lost as part of the proposal and commented that while not historic, it is aesthetically pleasing, and it would be a shame to see art destroyed. Mr. Lee responded the mural is not currently shown on any of the elevations for the new building, but the applicant would better be able to answer that question.*

*Chairman Boehm inquired if any study of alternatives which would include integrating the existing building into the new building have been completed. Mr. Lee responded that no study has been done to retain the building, but that a new market with the same name is proposed on the ground floor of the building. Chairman Boehm asked for clarification.*

*Chris Hall, Principal at MANU Studios, Inc., project architect said the building owner who operates Delmas Market is present at the meeting, and stated that the owner's intent is to retain the Delmas Market business in the new building, but that could change.*

*Chairman Boehm opened public comment.*

*Cathy Sutherland commented she has been a resident of the Delmas Park neighborhood for forty years and the Delmas Park Neighborhood Association understands and supports new development in the area. The neighborhood association recently celebrated the opening of the Solaire Apartments development, a 100% affordable housing development with surrounding services at the corner of Auzerais and Delmas, so the neighborhood association welcomes development in the neighborhood. That development is a five-story tall surrounded by single-family houses, similar to the proposed project. Ms. Sutherland also commented the proposed project is located in a historic district which was designated a City Landmark District because community members knew developers would look at this area for redevelopment and they wanted to protect the historic character of the neighborhood. That way there would be guidelines for developers to follow so they could support affordable housing developments. There are three in the*

*neighborhood thanks to the guidelines. She commented that the neighborhood association has not seen anything about the proposed development but they would love to have a conversation and work with the owner to achieve a building design that is respectful of the existing historic homes and . Ms. Sutherland also commented the neighborhood association paid for the mural on the existing building.*

*Chairman Boehm called for Commissioner comments.*

*Commissioner Camuso expressed concerns about the potential for the building to overshadow neighboring houses and suggested the proposal could be modified to respect the historic homes such as the beautiful Queen Anne style house across the street. He commented that because the project is in its early stages the design of the building could be modified to better blend in with the historic resources which have been lovingly preserved.*

*Cathy Sutherland added the neighborhood association would welcome a conversation. She commented that she raised the concern about setbacks because of the proximity of homes to the lot lines and ages of the homes, that podium parking for the building would cause fumes for neighboring houses. Mr. Lee clarified there was no parking proposed the project.*

*Commissioner Camuso inquired where the residents would park for these eighty proposed residential units. Mr. Hall responded that the project site is located in the downtown core and the idea is that residents would not need cars as part of a pedestrian-oriented development. Mr. Lee responded the City has eliminated minimum parking standards so proposing zero parking is allowed.*

*Commissioner Arnold expressed concerns about the needs of the community and said she would like to see a community discussion. She recommended that before the project comes back before the Commission again, the property development team engage the community and discuss how to better blend the building into the neighborhood character.*

*Commissioner Ghalandari commented that the building looks out of scale with the neighborhood. She suggested the applicant consider incorporating setbacks, especially rear yard setbacks, that comply with the zoning standards because the project is right up against single-family homes which have been there for over a hundred years.*

*Commissioner Ghalandari recommended design refinements to allow setback conformance and stepping back and articulating the massing of the building to minimize the impact of the bulkiness in comparison to the beautiful historic homes.*

*Vice Chairman Royer echoed concerns over the scale of the project for its location and commented this would have a very negative impact on the historic district and the buildings around it. She commented that this project would cast shadows on the existing buildings and yards, saying this is not the right location for this project given the district and surrounding area.*

*Chairman Boehm summarized Commissioner comments , saying there was a lot of concern expressed about the scale of the project, including issues with the proposed setbacks. He stated that the building would need to be articulated and the upper floors set back . Chairman Boehm also echoed the need for community input. He stated there is one individual here representing her neighborhood but that a community meeting is needed. Chairman Boehm stated that the project would likely be of interest to the neighborhood as evidenced by their involvement in the mural and the City Landmark District designation. Chairman Boehm highlighted guideline 6.10 which states that there must be*

*a 5-foot setback, but also that there must be a transitional height of 70 feet or less. He commented that under Downtown Guidelines LU 14.6, the feasibility of incorporating structures into the development proposal should be considered, rather than demolition, particularly those structures which contribute to the historic district . Chairman Boehm expressed agreement with the Page and Turnbull report. He cited page 17 which says 101 Delmas contributes to the character of the Delmas Park area. Chairman Boehm said section 4.2.4 of the Downtown Design Standards and Guidelines has many standards, one of which is setbacks, but setbacks are not the only standards and standards A, D, E, G, H, and also must be met. He stated that the project would benefit from review by the Design Review Subcommittee of the HLC.*

*Historic Landmarks Commission comments on the 101-109 Delmas Avenue project were provided, no action was taken.*

## **5. GENERAL BUSINESS**

---

a. **Five Wounds Historic Resources Survey.**  
**PROJECT MANAGER, DANA PEAK EDWARDS**

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Historic Landmarks Commission receive the final presentation for the Five Wounds Historic Resources Survey by Garavaglia Architecture Inc.

*Chairman Boehm introduced the item.*

*Dana Peak Edwards welcomed back the team of Garavaglia Architecture Inc. to present the final draft, including Mike Garavaglia, Principal of the firm, Kathleen McDonald, and Joseph van den Berg. Garavaglia Architecture Inc. gave a presentation on the project, the methodology, document revisions since the presentation on draft findings in February, and an overview of the final survey findings.*

*Chairman Boehm called for Commissioner questions.*

*Commissioner Camuso inquired if there is a list of properties which have been determined to be historic. Mr. van den Berg responded there is a survey matrix in which all the buildings are listed with their status and eligibility or where further study may be needed.*

*Commissioner Camuso asked for clarification on the boundaries of the survey area. Ms. Peak Edwards shared a PowerPoint presentation with images of eligible and designated properties in the four Urban Villages in the survey area, including National Register, California Register, and City Landmark eligible properties and Structures of Merit.*

*Chairman Boehm inquired about the written correspondence received from Davide Vieira earlier in the day and asked for clarification about the status of the two properties . Ms. Peak Edwards responded these buildings are the Community Service Organization at 1402-1412 East Santa Clara Street and Friendship Hall at 1121 East Santa Clara.*

*Commissioner Ghalandari inquired whether Structures of Merit are considered historical resources for the purposes of CEQA. Ms. Peak Edwards responded that Structures of Merit are not considered historical resources under CEQA, but the City considers Candidate City Landmarks to be historical resources under CEQA.*



*Commissioner Ghalandari inquired about the basis for the Candidate City Landmark status assigned to the Old Steel Plant at 1350 East Saint James Street. Mr. van den Berg responded the property represents large scale industry in the area, and this was the most historically significant of those industrial properties in the survey area and that architecturally it represents its use well. He noted in terms of significance, the steel company operated throughout California for a number of years, especially post World War II. Commissioner Ghalandari inquired about the current building use. Mr. van den Berg responded it is currently used by the Monarch Trucking Company. Since the property remains in industrial use, its historic association is maintained, and the structure has a high level of historic integrity.*

*Chairman Boehm expressed appreciation for the consultant efforts on the survey, citing the scope of work and high number of properties surveyed as challenges. Chairman Boehm commented on the survey report, stating the Ohlone languages were very different from one another, and the Fremont and Santa Clara Valley Ohlone people would likely not easily communicate as their languages were different. He also clarified that San Jose was the first pueblo prior to statehood saying the cited establishment date of 1850 is inaccurate and the true date was earlier.*

*Chairman Boehm inquired about the status of four properties listed as Structure of Merit that he felt should have been classified with a higher historic significance status: 1) Basso House, built in 1937, at 1592 Alum Rock Avenue, should receive a higher level of listing because there are so few Mission Revival houses and it is rare and exceptional, especially as this property is well maintained and has a high level of integrity; 2) .948 East Santa Clara which is a Wolf and Higgins design, also Mission Revival, which is rare and exceptional and could easily be converted to its original 1927 design from the additions added, so he believes it should be listed as a Candidate City Landmark under criteria 1 and 3; 3) Garden Sanitorium on 99 East Santa Clara, also a Mission Revival property, saying the use of the building might also qualify it for higher status; and 4) .Vicari Building at 1201 East Santa Clara Street, built in 1927, saying the polished granite at the base of the building enhances the aesthetics but could easily be removed if that is part of the concern over its level of significance. Commissioner Camuso added, the adjacent home south of 948 East Santa Clara is a Wolf and Higgins building designed for the owner of the retail building on the corner and should be considered for higher listing.*

*The Commission received the presentation, no action was taken.*

- b. **Modifications to the San José Historic Resource Inventory.** *Deferred from 2/7/24 and 4/3/24. Dropped to be noticed from 5/1/24.*

**PROJECT MANAGER, DANA PEAK EDWARDS**

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Historic Landmarks Commission take no action to add 1280 Wabash Street (APN 015-12-068) to the Historic Resources Inventory and defer action on the addition of 98 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street (APN 467-13-005) to the Historic Resources Inventory to August 7, 2024.

*Chairman Boehm introduced the item.*

*Dana Peak Edwards informed the Commission that staff recommends deferral of 98 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street in order to add all the properties identified in the Five Wounds Historic Resources Survey at one time, following property owner and resident noticing prior to the August n Historic Landmarks Commission meeting. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission that for 1280 Wabash Street, staff recommends not adding the property to the Historic Resources Inventory as the religious/cultural use of the building is*



*contemporary and later than the period of significance for the cultural communities in the Alviso neighborhood and has not been formally studied.*

*Vice Chairman Royer made a motion to accept the recommendations of staff for item 5.b., and the motion was seconded by Commissioner Arnold.*

*The Commission voted 5-0-1 (Commissioner Janke absent) to approve the motion*

## **6. REFERRALS FROM CITY COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, OR OTHER AGENCIES**

---

**No Items**

## **7. OPEN FORUM**

---

Members of the public are invited to speak on any item that does not appear on today's Agenda and that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission. The Commission cannot engage in any substantive discussion or take any formal action in response to the public comment. The Commission can only ask questions or respond to statements to the extent necessary to determine whether to: (1) refer the matter to staff for follow-up; (2) request staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting; or (3) direct staff to place the item on a future agenda. Each member of the public may fill out a speaker's card and has up to two minutes to address the Commission. If you have joined by teleconference and wish to speak on one of these items, please use the 'raise hand' feature in Zoom or press \*9 from a touch tone phone to raise a hand to speak.

*Boehm opened public comment.*

*Dr. Robert Manford, Deputy Director of Planning, commented he wanted to come before the Commission in person to announce he would be retiring from public service at the end of July of this year. Dr. Manford thanked the Commission for all the support they have given historic resources in the City. He informed the Commission that after a break, he will go into teaching and consulting. Chairman Boehm congratulated Dr. Manford on behalf of the Commission, and Vice Chairman Royer thanked Dr. Manford for all his support of the Historic Landmarks Commission.*

*Chairman Boehm announced Council member Omar Torres was quoted in the newspaper stating: "I submitted a memo to the Rules Committee, along with Mayor Mahan, Council Members Jimenez and Foley, asking for a study session to understand the process for getting these buildings [referring to historic buildings burned or damaged], into compliance and identify where breakdowns occur." Chairman Boehm commented the Commission has been wanting this for some time and they had proposed a "Demolition by Neglect" ordinance provision.*

*Mohit commented on the 11-story building from item 4.a., saying it is a total misfit in the Queen Anne neighborhood. He noted the design of the building looked like it could be anywhere rather than being designed for placement in a historic district and it would be appropriate for design review so it could be analyzed and given a critical look. Mohit thanked the Commission for the decision on 1280 Wabash Street.*

## 8. GOOD AND WELFARE

---

### a. Election of Chairman and Vice Chairman

*Commissioner Arnold made a motion for Chairman Boehm to continue in the position of Chair, seconded by Commissioner Camuso. The Commission voted 5-0-1 (Commissioner Janke absent) to approve Commissioner Boehm as Chairman for FY2024-2025. Vice Chairman Royer sent a letter to the Commission expressing a desire to continue in her position as Vice Chairman. Chairman Boehm made a motion for Vice Chairman Royer to continue in her position. The Commission voted 5-0-1 (Commissioner Janke absent) to approve Commissioner Royer as Vice Chairman for FY2024-2025*

### b. Report from Secretary, Planning Commission, and City Council

- i. Verbal update on the City Council nomination of the Schiele Subdivision and Alameda Park neighborhood for designation as a City Landmark District.

*The Commission received a verbal update on the nomination of the Schiele Alameda historic district. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission that on April 30, 2024 the City Council voted to nominate the Schiele Subdivision and Alameda Park area for designation as a City Landmark District and to direct staff to initiate the required process and proceedings to designate the historic district, including outreach to community members and property owners. The first community meeting was held on May 30, 2024 and was well attended by 18-20 people., Several questions were asked and the questions were posted on the project website with the corresponding answers. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission the next community meeting will be held June 13<sup>th</sup> and will be a hybrid meeting - online and in the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor Planning conference room at City Hall. Following the meeting the item will come before the Commission for a recommendation at the August meeting of the HLC.*

- ii. Verbal update on the City Council nomination of Grace Baptist Church as a City Landmark.

*The Commission received a verbal update on this City Landmark nomination. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission that on May 7, 2024 the City Council voted to nominate the Grace Baptist Church for designation as a City Landmark and to direct staff to initiate the required process and proceedings to designate the property, She reported that the nomination will be processed on the same schedule as the Schiele Subdivision and Alameda Park City Landmark District designation.*

- iii. Verbal update on the preparation of Eichler Design Standards.

*The Commission received a verbal update on the Eicher Design Standards project, associated with the Fairglen Additions Historic District. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission the neighborhood asked for Eichler specific design guidelines because application of Your Old House guidelines can be difficult to apply to the distinctive modern Eichler style. The consultant, Page and Turnbull, has experience with similar guidelines for other cities, The new guidelines and standards will be applied to any Eichler houses in the City that is listed on the Historic Resources Inventory. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission that a community meeting on the draft document is expected in the Fall, and the draft document will come before the Commission for comment later this year.*

- iv. Verbal update on the Eastside Alum Rock and Saratoga Avenue Historic Resources Surveys and appointment of Ad Hoc Committee to review draft survey documents if desired.

*The Commission received a verbal update on two surveys running concurrently which will begin in June 2024. The work is being conducted by consultant firm Architectural Resources Group and is expected to be completed by the end of the year. Ms. Peak Edwards informed the Commission that it may create an ad hoc committee to review the draft survey materials before they are brought before the full Commission.*

*Chairman Boehm inquired if Commissioners would like to establish such an ad hoc committee and Commissioners Boehm, Ghalandari and Camuso expressed interest in participating. The Eastside Alum Rock and Saratoga Avenue Historic Resources Surveys Ad Hoc Committee was established with the following members: Commissioners Boehm, Ghalandari and Camuso.*

- v. There will be no Historic Landmarks Committee meeting in July 2024. Next Meeting is August 7, 2024 in San Jose City Hall, Wing Rooms 118-120.

*No comments*

**c. Report from Committees**

- i. [Historic Preservation Month/Preservation Awards Night Standing Committee: Receive report on 2024 Preservation Awards Night](#)

*Chairman Boehm gave an update on the 2024 Preservation Awards Night and displayed the plaque awarded to the Santa Clara County Preservation Alliance by the City of San Jose. He asked for feedback to identify an appropriate location to display the plaque. Dr. Robert Manford suggested the lobby of the eighteenth floor where the City Council members offices are located would be appropriate, as it is open to the public and has other items on historic San Jose. Chairman Boehm shared slide photographs of the award recipients.*

*The Commission received the report, no action was taken.*

- ii. Design Review Subcommittee: No meeting was held on May 16, 2024. The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 20, 2024 at 11:00 a.m.

*No comments*

**d. Approval of Action Minutes**

- i. **Recommendation:** [Approval of Action Minutes for the Historic Landmarks Commission Meeting of April 3, 2024.](#)

*Chairman Boehm offered an amendment to the April 3<sup>rd</sup> minutes to include the nomination and vote for both the Smith House and Fairglen Additions under item 5.c. Vice Chairman Royer voted to approve the minutes as amended, seconded by Commissioner Arnold. The Commission voted 5-0-1 (Commissioner Janke absent) to approve the amended meeting minutes of April 3, 2024.*

*Staff noted the motion and result of the vote was previously recorded and included in the action minutes from April 3<sup>rd</sup> under item 5.c., so no amendment was required.*

**e. Status of Circulating Environmental Documents**

*No updates*

**ADJOURNMENT**

*Meeting adjourned at 8:27 p.m.*