

## 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

Kathryn Zeidenstein <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 11:01 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Zeidenstein  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

Moises Mena <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 11:01 AM

To: City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Moises Mena  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:08 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)*

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Mary Redigan <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:42 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,


I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city

I really do not want to see this land developed because San Jose is already too crowded. We need to preserve undeveloped areas for our community and for the environment. I am also frustrated and concerned by the fact that rules are changed at the whim of our city government. Residents of the East Side of San Jose should be given information when things like this are being considered. East side residents are tired of city government making decisions which impact the East side without consulting them. This is why the elections didn't go as government officials thought they would. People are tired of the same old thing.

Sincerely,  
Mary Redigan



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:17 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Richard Cady <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Monday, November 28, 2022 10:30 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Richard Cady  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:17 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jordan Coward

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:00 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jordan Coward

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:17 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jason Zaha

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:00 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Jason Zaha

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:18 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jocelyn Hart

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:00 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Jocelyn Hart

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:18 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Paul Schneider

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:06 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Paul Schneider

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:18 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of William Benson

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:13 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at

<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

William Benson

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:18 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Marlon Ragsac

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:22 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Marlon Ragsac

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:18 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Peggy Gagliardi Skinner

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:22 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Peggy Gagliardi Skinner

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:19 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Carol Chivers

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:25 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Carol Chivers

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:20 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of carol christensen

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:08 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
carol christensen

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:20 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Rosalie Govek

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:52 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I am appalled that the development of this large land parcel could be developed without input from the adjacent community's input. I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely, Rosalie A. Govek

Sincerely,  
Rosalie Govek

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:20 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Roslyn Weisenburger

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 6:26 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Roslyn Weisenburger

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Lisa Menge

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 6:28 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Menge

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Donna Yarwasky

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 6:30 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Donna Yarwasky

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Yolanda Palacios

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 7:31 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Yolanda Palacios

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Surendra Adodra

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:33 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Surendra Adodra

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Toby Villa

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:34 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Toby Villa

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Kim Hayes

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:39 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Kim Hayes

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:23 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Cirilo De La Rosa

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:47 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Cirilo De La Rosa

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:23 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ashwin Patel

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:51 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Ashwin Patel

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:23 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Maria Moya

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:22 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Maria Moya

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:23 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Gilbert Salcedo

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:47 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Gilbert Salcedo

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:23 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Sandra Sundberg

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:52 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Sandra Sundberg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:23 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ryan Berbick

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:57 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Berbick

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:24 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Aarti Shah

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:58 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Aarti Shah

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:24 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service?* [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Vicki Jeska <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:03 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Vicki Jeska

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:24 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Rosalie Hackett

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:05 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I have lived most of my adult life in San Jose and I am VERY COMMITTED to protecting our open space areas.

I Respectfully demand that you approve the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1.

Also it is important that you deny the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on PRIVATE RECREATION and OPEN SPACE LAND. San Jose City Council must show that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should NOT drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is INCONSISTENT with the city's General Plan and would enable development of huge parcels of open space WITHOUT a community visioning process.

This visioning process is now being followed for many other large parcels in San Jose. This process is critical to ensure that San Jose residents receive the benefits they deserve.

The OBVIOUS beneficiary of this FAILURE TO FOLLOW THE VISIONING PROCESS would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site.

San Jose CANNOT allow this to happen.

The former golf course is an excellent opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site.

If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a TRANSPARENT community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its current and future residents,



the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Rosalie Hackett



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:24 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Debbie Ramirez

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:29 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at

<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Dear City Council I have lived in this neighborhood for over 50 years. Before you decide to let a Developer build please consider that on Flint Ave you have 3 schools with approximately 1500 students. Flint is a two way road so you can imagine what morning and afternoon traffic is like. You also have Mt Pleasant High s hook on Martin with over 2,000 students. Traffic in the area is very crowded. This open space has been cherished for years by this community the thought of apartments or Amy residential building would only impact the surrounding streets. Our hope was the Cunningham Park would utilize or expand their facilities keeping it a place for families to enjoy. Please think about the traffic before you say yes just to say yes. Thank you

Sincerely,

Debbie Ramirez

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:24 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Manh Nguyen

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:32 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Manh Nguyen

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:24 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service?* [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jeffrey Johnston

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:33 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Other than the obvious (Traffic) please consider the green open space that this parcel offers to the surrounding community and wildlife.

The Eastside of San Jose on many levels is treated unfairly.

Adding more housing and more traffic is just another downer to living on the Eastside.

Jeffrey Johnston

Participant and coordinator in fighting against the Pre-school in our neighborhood on Klein Road.

This was a golf course and now they want to convert to housing..

Does our saving precent apply here? " Conversions shall be discouraged"

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Johnston

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:25 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Charles Carroll

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:33 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114-acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Charles Carroll

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:25 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of David Byam

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:36 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
David Byam

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:25 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Sharon Byam

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:39 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Byam

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:26 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Wesley Lee

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:49 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Dear City Council member,

I am writing object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community input. As a matter of policy, there needs to be a joint effort with all stakeholders involved to create the best possible outcomes. Without a thorough, transparent review process, unintended, harmful consequences may arise.

Please vote no on the Alternative Recommendation that was overwhelmingly rejected by the Planning commission and by the Community at large.

Regards,

-Wesley Lee

D8CRT Land Use Committee member

Sincerely,

Wesley Lee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:26 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of John Alviso

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 11:00 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
John Alviso

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:26 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Wm.Derek Grasty

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 11:12 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely  
Steve Bennette

Sincerely,  
Wm. Derek Grasty

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:26 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Evelyn Sanchez

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 11:13 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Sanchez

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:27 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Mary Zlotoff

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 11:16 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Mary Zlotoff

[REDACTED] 38

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:27 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service?* [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Linda Neal <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 11:29 AM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Linda Neal  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:27 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jerome McClory

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:13 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jerome McClory

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:27 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Andrea McPherson

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:17 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Andrea McPherson

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:27 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Sara Calkins

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:24 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Sara Calkins

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:27 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Rosie Soares

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:42 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Rosie Soares

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:28 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Bertha Torres

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:42 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Bertha Torres

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:28 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Irma Sandoval

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 12:56 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Irma Sandoval

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:28 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)*

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of rod kirk <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:09 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please, please, please! We need valuable open space to remain open space. Do not throw away this opportunity to allow the wonderful plot of land to benefit the people of the East Side area. Please do not do change the course until you have gathered info on what the desire of the community residents would like to see for this plot of land!! Don't rubber stamp for commercial building and/or residential building on that site. This is very important. Be a part of the solution!

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
rod kirk  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:28 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Brenda LeBeck

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:14 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Brenda LeBeck

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:28 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Richard Mendoza

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:14 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Richard Mendoza

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:28 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Octavio Velez

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:26 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Octavio Velez

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Kent Campbell

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:34 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Kent Campbell

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ranju Arya

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 1:59 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Ranju Arya

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Patrick Rowan

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:13 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Rowan

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Sreenivas Andra

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:19 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Sreenivas Andra

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service?* [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Liz Holtz

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:33 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land.

Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site.

If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Liz Holtz

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:32 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Claudia Quinonez

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:39 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Claudia Quinonez

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ajay Badagowni

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 2:41 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Ajay Badaqowni

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Mary McDonald

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:00 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

Please follow the Planning Department staff recommendation and reject the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land.

Sincerely, East San Jose Foothills resident since 1960 an admirer of the beauty we enjoy!

GOD BLESS YOU ALL AND KEEP YOU SAFE AND JOYFUL.

Mary Juarez McDonald

Sincerely,

Mary McDonald

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Rhonda Lakatos

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:13 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Rhonda Lakatos

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Adelaide De Medeiros

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:19 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Adelaide De Medeiros

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Fernando Martins

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:26 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

The East side does not need more congestion in this area. This is space is suited to be an open space in our community which the east side doesn't have very much of. For the billions of dollars the county gets from our property taxes, why don't you do something good for our community. I don't have all the answers but purchase the property from the owners and do something constructive for this side of town.

Sincerely,  
Fernando Martins  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:33 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Sheila Perry

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:32 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Sheila Perry

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Stephen Rogers

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:33 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Rogers

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Birgit Lucas

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:45 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Birgit Lucas

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service?* [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Maria Sanchez

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 3:50 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I have lived next to this space for the last 50+ years. I've seen the changes around this area and firmly believe the quality of life is getting worse with each "open space" property that has been filled in with city type building structures including tract housing.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Maria Sanchez

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Subbayyan Venkatesan

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:14 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Subbayyan Venkatesan

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Tes Ilio <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:14 PM  
**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Tes Ilio

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Tricia Sommers

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:15 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Tricia Sommers

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:34 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Yolanda Amaro

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:19 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Yolanda Amaro

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ana Gambelin

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:22 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Ana Gambelin

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Mohammed Palla

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:27 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Mohammed Palla

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

*How is our service?* [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ernie Lipari <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:28 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Ernie Lipari

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Sally Duffy

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:30 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Sally Duffy

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Ro Sagun

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 4:59 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Ro Sagun

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:35 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jolene Sagun

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:00 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jolene Saqun

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:36 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Colette Farabaugh

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:06 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Colette Farabaugh

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:36 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jerry Lodge

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:21 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Jerry Lodge

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:36 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Carla McNeil

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:25 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Carla McNeil

Sincerely,  
Carla McNeil  
[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:36 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Barbara Guevara

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:43 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Guevara

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:36 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Carmen Hernandez

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 5:52 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Carmen Hernandez

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:36 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jeanine Re <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 6:55 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jeanine Re

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:37 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Geena Re

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 6:58 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Geena Re

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Rosa Garcia

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 7:19 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Rosa Garcia

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Christina Robledo

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 7:22 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Christina Robledo

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Advyth Ramachandran

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 7:44 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I am writing to ask that you, respect the wishes of hundreds of community members, the Planning Commission, and the Planning Department staff, by updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land.

The proposed Alternative Recommendation is inconsistent with the city's General Plan which was worked out with numerous community engagement meetings. Developing large parcels of open space without any community visioning process is a huge mistake that will reduce the quality of life for residents.

While we definitely need additional housing in San Jose, and need to ensure that city policy allows developers flexibility to build it, the interest of any one particular developer should not sway Citywide policies. The former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site is a unique opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for residents, and is close to Lake Cunningham Park and the East Foothills, potentially making it a prime conservation opportunity as well. The land is located on a former wetland that used to harbor immense biodiversity and riparian woodland habitat. Any development on the site should include a city-led community engagement process.

I will most certainly consider the votes taken by my City Council Member and the Mayor on this issue when I vote in the next election. I implore you to listen to city staff, hundreds of community members, and the Planning Commission in rejecting the Alternative Recommendation.

Sincerely,

Advyth Ramachandran

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Klaus Schindler

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:15 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Klaus Schindler

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Francine Gonzalez

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:25 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I believe we need to try and retain San Jose's beauty and ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Francine Gonzalez

[REDACTED]

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Alexandra Hughes-Caley

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:34 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Alexandra Hughes-Caley

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Shekhar Krishnan

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:38 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Shekhar Krishnan

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:38 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Pamela Frey

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 8:55 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Pamela F.

[REDACTED]

Sincerely,

Pamela Frey

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:39 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Mary Sears

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:00 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Mary Sears

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:39 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Brandy Smith

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:37 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

San Jose lacks green space and the east side is already crowded enough. We do not need townhouses with little parking.

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Brandy Smith

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:39 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Keith Wandry

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:01 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

Additionally, this land is an environmental area near an underserved portion of the City. This land and the nature located within it, could be leveraged in an Environmental Education Program which would be easily accessible by these nearby areas and the Families and Children who live there. Huge educational opportunity for exposure to Nature, the Environment, Science, etc.. A great opportunity to change lives! If kids are exposed to Nature when young, it doesn't matter where their life takes them, one thing is certain: anytime they see that nature again in their lives, it will be seeing an old friend. And that is priceless..

Sincerely,  
Keith Wandry

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:39 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Olivier Castaneda

<[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:31 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Olivier Castaneda

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:40 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Guillermo Uribe

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 9:55 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at  
<https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Guillermo Uribe

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:40 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Jesus Martinez

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:16 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,

Jesus Martinez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Fw: 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation**

City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

Wed 11/30/2022 10:40 AM

To: Agendadesk <[REDACTED]>

**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> on behalf of Stephanie Vargas

<[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 29, 2022 10:25 PM

**To:** City Clerk <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** 11/29/22 Agenda Item 8.5 Council Policy 5-1: Reject the Alternative Recommendation

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk City Clerk,

I ask that you join hundreds of community members and the Planning Commission by following the Planning Department staff recommendation for updating Transportation Analysis Policy 5-1 while rejecting the Alternative Recommendation that would facilitate development on Private Recreation and Open Space land. Send a clear message that one developer's interest in one potential redevelopment project should not drive the direction of Citywide policy.

I object to the proposed Alternative Recommendation because it is inconsistent with the city's General Plan and would facilitate development of huge parcels of open space without a community visioning process, such as is currently being provided for multiple other large parcels in San Jose.

The most immediate and obvious beneficiary of this harmful policy would be the owners of the 114 acre former Pleasant Hills Golf Course site, which presents an unparalleled opportunity to gain publicly accessible open space for local communities as part of a community-centered visioning process for future development of the site. If the Council would like to consider allowing this site to redevelop, the city should lead a transparent community engagement process to determine how the development of the site could meet the needs of its future residents, the larger community, and the city.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Vargas

[REDACTED]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.