



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, MMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
October 17 – October 24, 2024

DATE: October 30, 2024

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public Comment:

1. Letter from Quyen Mai, dated October 17, 2024, regarding: Urgent Call to Suspend Councilmember Omar Torres from Official.
2. Letter from Aurelia Sanchez, dated October 20, 2024, regarding: San Jose City Council Meeting – Regarding CM Torres Absence.
3. Letter from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated October 17, 2024, regarding: NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO CHANGE RATES FOR ITS 2023 GENERAL RATE CASE PHASE II APPLICATION (A.24-09-014).
4. Letter from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated October 17, 2024, regarding: NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO INCREASE RATES FOR ITS TRANSMISSION REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECLASSIFICATION MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT APPLICATION (A.24-09-015).
5. Letter from Faye Wilson, dated October 23, 2024, regarding: Illegally parked Trailers.
6. Letter from Joanie Murphy, dated October 23, 2024, regarding: Scoping concerns FOR GLIDER RESIDENTIAL PROJECT FILE No: PROJECT APPLICANT: APNs: H23-028 and ER23-230 The True Life Companies, LLC.

Rules and Open Government Committee
October 30, 2024
Subject: Public Record
Page 2



Toni J. Taber, MMC
City Clerk

TJT/tt



Urgent Call to Suspend Councilmember Omar Torres from Official

From [REDACTED]

Date Thu 10/17/2024 12:30 PM

To City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; Cranford, Sandra <Sandra.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov>

1 attachments (510 KB)

citycouncil_suspend_Omar_Torres_final.pdf;

[External Email.] Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

We would like to submit the attached letter to the City Council,

Dear Members of the San Jose City Council,

We are writing to express our grave concern regarding the recent allegations against Councilmember Omar Torres, who is currently under investigation for potential child sexual misconduct. The allegations involve severe accusations, including disturbing findings detailed in a sworn affidavit by detectives. According to the affidavit, the investigation has revealed explicit communications about minors, with specific references to the anatomy of an 11-year-old autistic child.

While the investigation is ongoing, the seriousness of these accusations has raised significant concerns within our community about public safety and the integrity of city leadership. Allowing Councilmember Torres to continue engaging in official city business during this investigation poses a potential risk and may send the wrong message to the community about how San Jose prioritizes safety and ethical standards. As an organization committed to fostering active community engagement and empowering individuals to take part in civic life, we urge the City Council to take immediate and decisive action to suspend Councilmember Torres from all official duties until the investigation concludes.

This precautionary step is essential to protect the safety, maintain the credibility, and uphold the reputation of San Jose, as well as to reinforce the values of transparency and accountability that the city represents.

While all individuals are entitled to due process, the severity of these allegations warrants decisive action to protect public trust.

We respectfully request that the City Council consider the following measures:

1. Suspend Councilmember Torres from all official duties and city business until the investigation is resolved.
2. Restrict access to city facilities and resources during the suspension.

3. Provide regular updates to the public regarding the status of the investigation to ensure transparency.

These steps are necessary to safeguard the integrity of San Jose's leadership and reassure residents that serious allegations involving public officials, especially those related to child sex crimes, are treated with the utmost concern. We believe this precautionary approach is essential for upholding the values of transparency, accountability, and public safety.

We thank you for your attention to this matter and trust that you will prioritize the well-being of the community during this challenging time.

Sincerely,

Quyen Mai

Founder / Executive Director

Pronouns: (he/him/his)

office: [REDACTED]

direct: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

THINK GREEN!



please don't print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary.

This e-mail message may contain proprietary, confidential or legally privileged information for the sole use of the person or entity to whom this message was originally addressed. Any review, e-transmission dissemination or other use of or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, kindly delete this e-mail from your records. If it appears that this mail has been forwarded to you without proper authority, please notify [REDACTED] immediately and delete this mail.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Empower the local community

Date: 10/16/2024

San Jose City Council
200 E. Santa Clara St.
San Jose, CA 95113

Subject: Urgent Call to Suspend Councilmember Omar Torres from Official Duties

Dear Members of the San Jose City Council,

We are writing to express our grave concern regarding the recent allegations against Councilmember Omar Torres, who is currently under investigation for potential child sexual misconduct. The allegations involve severe accusations, including disturbing findings detailed in a sworn affidavit by detectives. According to the affidavit, the investigation has revealed explicit communications about minors, with specific references to the anatomy of an 11-year-old autistic child.

While the investigation is ongoing, the seriousness of these accusations has raised significant concerns within our community about public safety and the integrity of city leadership. Allowing Councilmember Torres to continue engaging in official city business during this investigation poses a potential risk and may send the wrong message to the community about how San Jose prioritizes safety and ethical standards. As an organization committed to fostering active community engagement and empowering individuals to take part in civic life, we urge the City Council to take immediate and decisive action to suspend Councilmember Torres from all official duties until the investigation [REDACTED]

This precautionary step is essential to protect the safety, maintain the credibility, and uphold the reputation of San Jose, as well as to reinforce the values of transparency and accountability that the city represents.

While all individuals are entitled to due process, the severity of these allegations warrants decisive action to protect public trust.

We respectfully request that the City Council consider the following measures:

Social Service – Education – Leadership – Cultural Activities
[REDACTED]

Vietnamese American Organization

A non-profit organization [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED] Website: [REDACTED]

1. Suspend Councilmember Torres from all official duties and city business until the investigation is resolved.
2. Restrict access to city facilities and resources during the suspension.
3. Provide regular updates to the public regarding the status of the investigation to ensure transparency.

These steps are necessary to safeguard the integrity of San Jose's leadership and reassure residents that serious allegations involving public officials, especially those related to child sex crimes, are treated with the utmost concern. We believe this precautionary approach is essential for upholding the values of transparency, accountability, and public safety.

We thank you for your attention to this matter and trust that you will prioritize the well-being of the community during this challenging time.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
[Redacted]
C41DDF0014304DA...
Quyên Mai

Founder/Executive Director

[Redacted]
Board Member

DocuSigned by:
[Redacted]
543F899756C94EA...
Tracy Nguyen

Board Member

DocuSigned by:
[Redacted]
0740B4E1D3414BF...
TheAnh Truong

Board Member

DocuSigned by:
[Redacted]
F21F774EB8C544A...
Bình Nguyen

Team Member

Signed by:
[Redacted]
BD76A592AC2A4AF...
Audrey Doan

Team Member

Signed by:
[Redacted]
1FC4CD9910994EC...
Thao Le

Team Member



San Jose City Council Meeting-Regarding CM Torres Absence

From Aurelia Sanchez [REDACTED]
Date Sun 10/20/2024 7:32 AM
To City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.]

Honorable Mayor, Council members and City Clerk,

I live in District 3 and am concerned regarding the absence of CM Torres. I would like the Mayor and council to consider having our Mayor appoint someone immediately until we can have an election to fill the District 3 seat. My recommendation would be to appoint Irene Smith who ran against CM Torres and lost. This appointment would be until our city could hold a special election that would allow district 3 residents to vote on either Irene Smith or anybody else who considers running.

The Mayor and his staff should not or cannot fill the District 3 responsibilities. This is a burden for his office and would not be fair to District 3 residents and businesses in the district. If the Mayor and council chose to appointment someone other than Irene Smith let it be someone who has worked at city hall and understands city government.

Best,
Aurelia Sanchez

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

DATE: October 17, 2024

TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO CHANGE RATES FOR ITS 2023 GENERAL RATE CASE PHASE II APPLICATION (A.24-09-014)

Acronyms you need to know

PG&E: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

CPUC: California Public Utilities Commission

Why am I receiving this notice?

On September 30, 2024, PG&E filed its 2023 General Rate Case (GRC) Phase II Application with the CPUC. This filing proposes changes to PG&E's rate design based on costs already approved in PG&E's Phase I proceeding (A.21-06-021). As a result, PG&E's customer classes may experience revisions to their current rates. However, no new costs are being proposed in this Phase II Application.

Every four years, PG&E is required to file a GRC with the CPUC, which includes both Phase I and Phase II applications. In Phase I of the GRC, costs for operating and upgrading the electric and gas distribution systems, and how much of those costs PG&E will recuperate in rates, is determined by the CPUC. In Phase II of the GRC, PG&E's electric rates are designed by allocating those approved electric costs among each customer class (residential, commercial, etc.). Gas rate design is addressed in separate gas applications and is not included in the scope of this application.

Why is PG&E requesting this rate change?

PG&E is requesting this rate change to align rates more closely with the cost of providing service to each customer class. The proposals in this application also support state-wide policies for a cleaner energy future by encouraging customers to adopt electrification technologies, such as electric vehicles, to decarbonize California's overall energy usage.

In addition, PG&E presents rate design proposals intended to provide customers with clear, cost-based price signals associated with their electric use. The proposals in this application provide greater opportunity for bill savings by encouraging customers to shift their electricity use to off-peak hours and limit electric usage during on-peak periods. This not only results in reductions in greenhouse gasses (GHG) emissions, but also results in reduced rates for all customers because electric generation during the on-peak period is more costly than during non-peak periods.

How could this affect my monthly electric rates?

Many customers receive bundled electric service from PG&E, meaning they receive electric generation, transmission and distribution services. Detailed rate information will be sent directly to customers in a bill insert in November 2024.

At the end of the four-year period covered by this Application, the bill for a typical residential customer using 500 kWh per month would increase from \$205 to \$217 or 5.9%. The bill for a similar customer using 750 kWh per month would increase from \$326 to \$338 or 3.6%.

Direct Access (DA) and Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) customers receive electric transmission and distribution services and select Commission-ordered services from PG&E. On average, rates for services provided by PG&E to these customers would decrease by 1% if this application is approved. DA providers and CCAs set their own generation rates. Please check with your DA provider or CCA to learn how this would impact your overall bill.

Another category of nonbundled customers is Departing Load. These customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E. However, these customers are required to pay certain charges by law or CPUC decision. On average, existing Departing Load customers would see a rate increase of 0.4%.

Actual impacts will vary depending on usage and are subject to CPUC regulatory approval.

How does the rest of this process work?

This application will be assigned to a CPUC Administrative Law Judge who will consider proposals and evidence presented during the formal hearing process. The Administrative Law Judge will issue a proposed decision that may adopt PG&E's application, modify it, or deny it. Any CPUC Commissioner may sponsor an alternate decision with a different outcome. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon by the CPUC Commissioners at a public CPUC Voting Meeting.

Parties to the proceeding may review PG&E's application, including the Public Advocates Office. The Public Advocates Office is an independent consumer advocate within the CPUC that represents customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. For more information about the Public Advocates Office, please call **1-415-703-1584**, email: **PublicAdvocatesOffice@cpuc.ca.gov** or visit **PublicAdvocates.cpuc.ca.gov**.

Where can I get more information?

CONTACT PG&E

If you have questions about PG&E's filing, please contact PG&E at [REDACTED]. For TTY call **711**. Para obtener más información sobre cómo este cambio podría afectar su pago mensual, llame al [REDACTED] • 詳情請致電 [REDACTED] - [REDACTED]

If you would like a copy of the filing and exhibits, please write to the address below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
2023 General Rate Case Phase II Application

CONTACT CPUC

Please visit [REDACTED] to submit a comment about this proceeding on the CPUC Docket Card. Here you can also view documents and other public comments related to this proceeding. Your participation by providing your thoughts on PG&E's request can help the CPUC make an informed decision.

If you have questions about CPUC processes, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at:

Email: [REDACTED]

Mail: CPUC
Public Advisor's Office
[REDACTED]

Call: [REDACTED] (toll-free) or [REDACTED]

Please reference **PG&E's 2023 General Rate Case Phase II Application A.24-09-014** in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter.

DATE: October 17, 2024

TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY’S REQUEST TO INCREASE RATES FOR ITS TRANSMISSION REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECLASSIFICATION MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT APPLICATION (A.24-09-015)

Acronyms you need to know

PG&E: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

CPUC: California Public Utilities Commission

FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

RECEIVED
SAN JOSE CITY CLERK
2024 OCT 22 AM 11:11

Why am I receiving this notice?

On September 30, 2024, PG&E filed its Transmission Revenue Requirement Reclassification Memorandum Account (TRRRMA) Application with the CPUC.

In this application, PG&E is requesting \$338 million to be collected in electric and gas rates. This is an accounting-related application to account for costs that used to be collected in FERC-jurisdictional rates and will now be collected in CPUC jurisdictional rates. PG&E is proposing to recover these costs over a one-year period beginning January 2026. FERC-jurisdictional rates are being adjusted accordingly in 2025.

Why is PG&E requesting this rate increase?

FERC regulates PG&E’s electric transmission assets; all other PG&E assets are regulated by the CPUC. The purpose of the TRRRMA is to record costs that have shifted from FERC jurisdiction and CPUC jurisdiction.

Costs included in this application are related to the period 2017–2023. These costs were previously approved to be included in FERC rates. No new costs or spending are being requested in this application.

PG&E customers benefit from the common assets (structures, telecommunications equipment, vehicles, computer hardware, and computer software) and transmission assets included in the application.

How could this affect my monthly electric rates?

Many customers receive bundled electric service from PG&E, meaning they receive electric generation, transmission and distribution services. Detailed rate information will be sent directly to customers in a bill insert in November 2024.

The bill for a typical residential customer using 500 kWh per month would increase from \$206.01 to \$208.44, or 1.2%.

Direct Access and Community Choice Aggregation customers receive electric transmission and distribution services and select Commission-ordered services from PG&E. On average, rates for services provided by PG&E to these customers would increase by 1.7% if this application is approved. DA providers and CCAs set their own generation rates. Check with your DA provider or CCA to learn how this would impact your overall bill.

Another category of nonbundled customers is other Departing Load. These customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E. However, these customers are required to pay certain charges by law or CPUC decision. These customers are not impacted by this application.

How could this affect my monthly gas rates?

Bundled gas customers receive transmission, distribution, and procurement services from PG&E.

Based on rates currently in effect, the bill for a typical residential customer averaging 31 therms per month would increase from \$75.25 to \$77.07, or 2.4%.

Actual impacts will vary depending on usage and are subject to CPUC regulatory approval.

How does the rest of this process work?

This application will be assigned to a CPUC Administrative Law Judge who will consider proposals and evidence presented during the formal hearing process. The Administrative Law Judge will issue a proposed decision that may adopt PG&E’s application, modify it, or deny it. Any CPUC Commissioner may sponsor an alternate decision with a different

outcome. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon by the CPUC Commissioners at a public CPUC Voting Meeting.

Parties to the proceeding may review PG&E's application, including the Public Advocates Office. The Public Advocates Office is an independent consumer advocate within the CPUC that represents customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. For more information about the Public Advocates Office, please call [REDACTED] email: [REDACTED] or visit [REDACTED].

Where can I get more information?

CONTACT PG&E

If you have questions about PG&E's filing, please contact PG&E at [REDACTED]. For TTY call 711. Para obtener más información sobre cómo este cambio podría afectar su pago mensual, llame al [REDACTED]. 詳情請致電 [REDACTED].

If you would like an electronic copy of the filing and exhibits, please write to the address below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
TRRRMA Application

[REDACTED]

CONTACT CPUC

Please visit [REDACTED] to submit a comment about this proceeding on the CPUC Docket Card. Here you can also view documents and other public comments related to this proceeding. Your participation by providing your thoughts on PG&E's request can help the CPUC make an informed decision.

If you have questions about CPUC processes, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at:

Email: [REDACTED]

Mail: CPUC
Public Advisor's Office

[REDACTED]

Call: [REDACTED] (toll-free) or [REDACTED]

Please reference the **PG&E's TRRRMA Application A.24-09-015** in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter.



Fw: Illegally parked Trailers

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 10/23/2024 11:24 AM

To Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

3 attachments (495 KB)

dog.jpg; 10.24.2024.02.jpg; 10.22.2024.01.jpg;

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? Your [feedback](#) is appreciated!

From: Barbara Gallaty [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2024 9:31 AM

To: Cc: Faye Wilson [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cc: Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; Kamei, Rosemary <Rosemary.Kamei@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Candelas, Domingo <Domingo.Candelas@sanjoseca.gov>; Torres, Omar <Omar.Torres@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Ortiz, Peter <Peter.Ortiz@sanjoseca.gov>; Doan, Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>; Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Batra, Arjun <arjun.batra@sanjoseca.gov>; Cranford, Sandra <Sandra.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov>; Wilcox, Leland <Leland.Wilcox@sanjoseca.gov>; Solivan, Erik <Erik.Solivan@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; HomelessConcerns <homelessconcerns@sanjoseca.gov>; beautifysj <beautifysj@sanjoseca.gov>; Stanton, Garrett <Garrett.Stanton@sanjoseca.gov>; Kamath, Sudha <Sudha.Kamath@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Illegally parked Trailers

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.]

One trailer gone today. But now we have two trailers who have been here before. One has a vicious dog. Customers cant get by it or people walking on the sidewalk. This dog is usually hanging ½ way out one of the windows. Or tied up to the door. The other trailer, Faye is correct below.

(They lady who is parking across the street from my office is extremely violent. We have all seen it and so have our customers. Your officers and other city employees including a city inspector has even seen her urinating outside of her RV before and nothing was done. She's the one that busted out windows of one of the businesses.) She is the drug addict who broke out windows in 3 businesses one night. She is back again across from the bldg. who had to put up a very expensive fence at their cost, because the police wouldn't do anything. She should of gone to jail, but they left her here. She has to go. She can't stay here. She is dangerous.

Barbara Gallaty
Ace Seal

From: Faye Wilson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 21, 2024 3:47 PM
To: Barbara Gallaty [REDACTED]; Stephen Donohue <Stephen.Donohue@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Matt Mahan <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; Rosemary Kamei <Rosemary.Kamei@sanjoseca.gov>; Sergio Jimenez <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Domingo Candelas <Domingo.Candelas@sanjoseca.gov>; David Cohen <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Dev Davis <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Peter Ortiz <Peter.Ortiz@sanjoseca.gov>; Doan Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>; Pam Foley <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Arjun Batra <arjun.batra@sanjoseca.gov>; Sandra Cranford <Sandra.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov>; Leland Wilcox <Leland.Wilcox@sanjoseca.gov>; Erik Solivan <Erik.Solivan@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District <district10@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; HomelessConcerns <homelessconcerns@sanjoseca.gov>; beautifysj <beautifysj@sanjoseca.gov>; issa@saferjs.com; Jennifer Maguire <jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov>; Keith Hertzberg <Keith.Hertzberg@sanjoseca.gov>; parking.compliance <parking.compliance@sanjoseca.gov>; sanchez.denise444@gmail.com; Martabulaich@gmail.com; rajan.dhanya@gmail.com; mila1.clna@gmail.com; Garrett Stanton <Garrett.Stanton@sanjoseca.gov>; Sudha Kamath <Sudha.Kamath@sanjoseca.gov>; Tara Dang <Tara.Dang@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] Gina Gallaty [REDACTED] Doan Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: RE: Illegally parked Trailers

Hello Captain Donohue,

We complain about the RV's semi trucks and Penske trucks all of the time and nothing is being done about them. I came to work this morning and turning from San Ignacio on to Las Colinas it looked like a parking lot. There were so many of them on this end, I couldn't see cars around the Penske trucks when I turned the corner. This is a huge safety concern.

They are taking away parking from our business's customers during the day as they park in front of our business and violate the two hour parking rule. As Barbara stated and we all know, they continue to do what they want

because there is no follow through. They get spoken to and then it is dropped.

They lady who is parking across the street from my office is extremely violent. We have all seen it and so have our customers. Your officers and other city employees including a city inspector has even seen her urinating outside of her RV before and nothing was done. She's the one that busted out windows of one of the businesses.

What is it seriously going to take to get help down here?

*Thank you and Warm Regards,
Faye Wilson
Customer Service*



THE SMARTER WAY TO HOA

Visit us at [REDACTED] or via [Facebook](#) and [LinkedIn](#)!

From: Barbara Gallaty [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 21, 2024 3:27 PM
To: Stephen Donohue <Stephen.Donohue@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Matt Mahan <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; Rosemary Kamei <Rosemary.Kamei@sanjoseca.gov>; Sergio Jimenez <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Domingo Candelas <Domingo.Candelas@sanjoseca.gov>; David Cohen <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Dev Davis <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Peter Ortiz <Peter.Ortiz@sanjoseca.gov>; Doan Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>; Pam Foley <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Arjun Batra <arjun.batra@sanjoseca.gov>; Sandra Cranford <Sandra.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov>; Leland Wilcox <Leland.Wilcox@sanjoseca.gov>; Erik Solivan <Erik.Solivan@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District <district10@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; HomelessConcerns <homelessconcerns@sanjoseca.gov>; beautifysj <beautifysj@sanjoseca.gov>; issa@safersj.com; Jennifer Maguire <jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov>; Keith Hertzberg <Keith.Hertzberg@sanjoseca.gov>; parking.compliance <parking.compliance@sanjoseca.gov>; sanchez.denise444@gmail.com; Martabulaich@gmail.com; rajan.dhanya@gmail.com; mila1.clna@gmail.com; Garrett Stanton <Garrett.Stanton@sanjoseca.gov>; Sudha Kamath <Sudha.Kamath@sanjoseca.gov>; Tara Dang <Tara.Dang@sanjoseca.gov>; [REDACTED] m; [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] Faye Wilson
 [REDACTED] Gina Gallaty [REDACTED] Doan Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Re: Illegally parked Trailers

The additional cars were part of the trailers you had moved. That's a problem to. The trailer that didn't move now parks her car in the Great oaks water parking lot.

And now we have more trailers.
 It's like wack a mole.
 We need to see progress.
 These people in these trailers know you won't do anything.
 The lady in the trailer has lived in that spot for more than 3 years.

That's just ridiculous.

Figure it out. Tell them laws are going to be enforced. Because they know you won't do anything. Just like the guy who parks his big rig on our street and puts cones out to save his space. He lives in Morgan Hill. For years he's using the system. Nothing is being done.
Barbara

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 21, 2024, at 3:11 PM, Donohue, Stephen <Stephen.Donohue@sanjoseca.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon, Barbara,

Thank you for your email and for continuing to bring these concerns to our attention. Last Thursday, we had two officers in the area, and they were able to convince two of the RVs to leave, along with three additional vehicles. Unfortunately, for the remaining RVs, our officers attempted to have them towed, but the tow companies refused to take them. We are currently working on a solution with the tow companies, which we expect to have in place soon. Once that happens, we will have more options available to address these vehicles.

One of the challenges we're facing with the Safe Parking program is that there are currently only 42 available spaces citywide. To put that in perspective, our most recent inventory counted over 1,800 oversized vehicles, including RVs, throughout the City. This imbalance between available spaces and the number of vehicles presents a significant obstacle in addressing the situation more quickly. However, we are working to expand services, and there will be additional spaces when the new Berryessa Safe Parking site opens, which we are hoping will happen in 2025.

Regarding your concerns about Bernal, the individuals from that area have been offered services, but as you noted, the challenge persists. We will continue to engage with them and direct them toward resources.

We kindly ask for your patience as we work through these issues and seek lasting solutions.

Thank you,

-Steve

*Captain Steve Donohue | Southern Division
Bureau of Field Operations | [San José Police Department](#)*

From: Barbara Gallaty [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 21, 2024 1:55 PM
To: Mahan, Matt <Matt.Mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; Kamei, Rosemary <Rosemary.Kamei@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Candelas, Domingo <Domingo.Candelas@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Ortiz, Peter <Peter.Ortiz@sanjoseca.gov>; Doan, Bien <Bien.Doan@sanjoseca.gov>; Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Batra, Arjun <arjun.batra@sanjoseca.gov>; Cranford, Sandra <Sandra.Cranford@sanjoseca.gov>; Wilcox, Leland <Leland.Wilcox@sanjoseca.gov>; Solivan, Erik <Erik.Solivan@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District 2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; HomelessConcerns <homelessconcerns@sanjoseca.gov>; beautifysj

<beautifysj@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: Maguire, Jennifer <jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov>; Hertzberg, Keith <Keith.Hertzberg@sanjoseca.gov>; parking.compliance <parking.compliance@sanjoseca.gov>; Sanchez.denise444@gmail.com; martabulaich@gmail.com; rajan.dhanya@gmail.com; mila1.clna@gmail.com; Stanton, Garrett <Garrett.Stanton@sanjoseca.gov>; Kamath, Sudha <Sudha.Kamath@sanjoseca.gov>; Dang, Tara <Tara.Dang@sanjoseca.gov>; Donohue, Stephen <Stephen.Donohue@sanjoseca.gov>;

Gina Gallaty

Subject: Illegally parked Trailers

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.]

These trailers need to be moved. In order to show progress, having two trailers leave last week and three more show up this week, is not progress.

They have been called in to 311, but in the meantime they are allowed to live on Las Colinas indefinitely.

That's not how it should be while you guys take months and years to figure this out.

You took parking lots from the light rail for your Safer Parking, but why are they still on the streets?

Something is wrong with your system. You need to show in good faith that you are listening to us, and show progress.

You can't be doing the same thing over and over, on our tax dollars, and get nothing done.

You have a place for them, tell them they need to go there or move away from this business area.

We have rights to. We have customers who don't want to come to this area, because of how it looks.

I'm tired of this, 4 years and we are still dealing with the same issues.

You cleared out Bernal, and guess what, they are on foot at the grocery store all week end long, because they have nowhere to go.

So that problem is not fixed either.

How about getting into one big room and talking this out. Stop pushing this off to other departments.

Show us you know what you're doing, because it doesn't look that way now.

Barbara Gallaty









Fw: Scoping concerns FOR GLIDER RESIDENTIAL PROJECT FILE No: PROJECT APPLICANT: APNs: H23-028 and ER23-230 The True Life Companies, LLC

From Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Wed 10/23/2024 11:42 AM

To Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2024 11:42 AM

To: PlanningSupportStaff <PlanningSupportStaff@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Fw: Scoping concerns FOR GLIDER RESIDENTIAL PROJECT FILE No: PROJECT APPLICANT: APNs: H23-028 and ER23-230 The True Life Companies, LLC

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2024 11:19 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Fw: Scoping concerns FOR GLIDER RESIDENTIAL PROJECT FILE No: PROJECT APPLICANT: APNs: H23-028 and ER23-230 The True Life Companies, LLC

From: jonie murphy [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2024 11:47 PM

To: Telahun, Bethelhem <Bethelhem.Telahun@sanjoseca.gov>

Cc: The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; district10@sanjose.ca.gov <district10@sanjose.ca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Scoping concerns FOR GLIDER RESIDENTIAL PROJECT FILE No: PROJECT APPLICANT: APNs: H23-028 and ER23-230 The True Life Companies, LLC

[External Email. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.]

1. The allotted parkland-to-resident ratio is **much too small.**

In PR1.1

"3.5 acres per 1,000 population through 1.5 acres of public park and 2.0 acres of recreational school grounds open to the public per 1,000 SJ residents"- NOT ENOUGH PUBLIC PARK SPACE. Common area of only .68 acre is nadequate and almost insulting.

Cut the density of housing by half and maybe you might justify a better ratio of population to public park.

2. There is no **timely** emergency mode of evacuation with such high density. Need to lower the density of housing.

3. The setbacks are inadequate- too close to existing residential structures. Need to work on improving setback distance to at least 20 feet.

4. Traffic is already heavy as Santa Teresa HS begins and ends. Lots of people use back roads to escape the traffic (like using Suisse Dr). I do not see a way out of this heavy congestion and residents have been dealing with taking back roads for years who live there. Now you will multiply the traffic with more cars, more emissions. You have put this proposed high density housing right smack in an established older neighborhood where the extra water, extra traffic, extra emissions from all the cars will cause bedlam.

5. Emergency vehicles cannot get a patient out of the traffic gridlock in either morning or afternoons due to STHS. Should a patient have his/her heart attack during this gridlocked time, it could be fatal for the patient(s).

6. Have you considered using the high density housing downtown San Jose. I have heard very sound proposals from some council folks to bring more business downtown. So why not have the residential housing close to the business model that is being proposed instead of disturbing an older established neighborhood already struggling with high traffic from the high school? Downtown also has established forms of public transit so that would be a plus for less congestion and less emissions.

7. Consider turning the property into a park or community center.

Thank you,
Joanie Murphy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.