
FW: Mark Luiso 95118 – 8/26/25 Item 10.2: GPT25-002 - General Plan Text Amendment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 8/27/2025 7:49 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2025 8:53 PM
To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; sanjoseunity [REDACTED]
Subject: Mark Luiso 95118 – 8/26/25 Item 10.2: GPT25-002 - General Plan Text Amendment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear San Jose City Council and San Jose Planning Commission,

Regarding the proposal to replace the broad-based, cross-sector Envision San José 2024 General Plan Four-Year Review Task Force (Task Force) with the smaller, less representative Planning Commission: To make up for this reduction in expertise, the Council should require that staff identify key stakeholder groups so the Planning Commission can hold deliberate panel input sessions with those stakeholder groups. Key stakeholder groups can fill in the gaps created by the replacement of the Task Force for the third Four-Year review cycle.

Please also consider incorporating language to ensure the use of the Planning Commission, instead of the Task Force, is an exception rather than a default.

Sincerely,
Mark Luiso
95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United
[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

FW: Patricia Blevins 95118 – 8/26/25 Item 10.2: GPT25-002 - General Plan Text Amendment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 8/27/2025 7:48 AM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2025 5:32 PM
To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; sanjoseunity [REDACTED]
Subject: Patricia Blevins 95118 – 8/26/25 Item 10.2: GPT25-002 - General Plan Text Amendment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear San Jose City Council and San Jose Planning Commission,

Regarding the proposal to replace the broad-based, cross-sector Envision San José 2024 General Plan Four-Year Review Task Force (Task Force) with the smaller, less representative Planning Commission: To make up for this reduction in expertise, the Council should require that staff identify key stakeholder groups so the Planning Commission can hold deliberate panel input sessions with those stakeholder groups. Key stakeholder groups can fill in the gaps created by the replacement of the Task Force for the third Four-Year review cycle.

Please also consider incorporating language to ensure the use of the Planning Commission, instead of the Task Force, is an exception rather than a default.

Sincerely,
Patricia Blevins
95118

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United
[REDACTED]
Community Working Together

FW: ROBERT A HORENSTEIN 95120 – 8/26/25 Item 10.2: GPT25-002 - General Plan Text Amendment

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Date Wed 8/27/2025 12:49 PM
To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: San Jose United <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2025 12:30 PM
To: District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Matt Mahan <mayor@sanjoseca.gov>; City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; sanjoseunity [REDACTED]
Subject: ROBERT A HORENSTEIN 95120 – 8/26/25 Item 10.2: GPT25-002 - General Plan Text Amendment

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear San Jose City Council and San Jose Planning Commission,

Regarding the proposal to replace the broad-based, cross-sector Envision San José 2024 General Plan Four-Year Review Task Force (Task Force) with the smaller, less representative Planning Commission: To make up for this reduction in expertise, the Council should require that staff identify key stakeholder groups so the Planning Commission can hold deliberate panel input sessions with those stakeholder groups. Key stakeholder groups can fill in the gaps created by the replacement of the Task Force for the third Four-Year review cycle.

Please also consider incorporating language to ensure the use of the Planning Commission, instead of the Task Force, is an exception rather than a default.

Sincerely,
ROBERT A HORENSTEIN
95120

You may not use my contact information for any purpose other than to respond to my concern regarding the topic listed above, nor may you share my address with any other organization(s) or individual(s).

This mail was sent on behalf of a San Jose resident via San Jose United
[REDACTED]
Community Working Together