# Item 3.7 JPA Bonds for Moderateincome Rental Housing

**Department of Housing**Jacky Morales-Ferrand Di

Jacky Morales-Ferrand, Director Kristen Clements, Division Manager

**Department of Finance** 

Julia H. Cooper, Director Nikolai J. Sklaroff, Deputy Director **Office of Economic Development** 

Nanci Klein, Director Jerad Ferguson, Housing Catalyst



# **Summary of Structure**

- ☐ City joins a Joint Powers Authority (JPA) as a non-voting additional member
- ☐ Allows for issuance of tax-exempt public purpose bonds
  - Bonds used to purchase multifamily properties
- ☐ Units are income-restricted generally at 80% AMI, 100% AMI, and 120% AMI levels
  - Rent increases capped at 4% per year
- ☐ Between years 15 and 30, City may acquire the property by assuming all outstanding debt
- ☐ If City declines, JPA sells property without affordability restrictions
  - Net sale proceeds to City after paying off debt



#### **Staff Recommendation**

Direct the Administration not to move forward with releasing a term sheet in order to pursue membership in one or more JPAs for moderate-income housing.



# **Overview of Staff Concerns**

### No Third Party to Oversee Income Compliance

- Entity overseeing property also receives ongoing fees in form of B bonds
- Could be perverse incentive to increase revenue and rents in order to repay debt
- City is only a non-voting 'Alternate Member" of JPA with no voting rights

#### No obvious mitigant:

Inherent to the structure of the product



#### **Overview of Staff Concerns**

#### Transaction fees are high relative to public benefit to renters

- Fees directly impede ability to further reduce rents
- Ongoing fees exceed the affordability benefit

#### **Potential partial mitigants:**

- Each transaction must demonstrate rent reductions meet or exceed forgone property tax revenue within 10 years
- Must set rents at 30% of income including utility payments



# **Overview of Staff Concerns**

### High purchase offers may alter the San José real estate market

- Concern that acquisition prices offered may exceed market-value
- Product could alter San José's real estate market
- Overpayment increases debt on property

#### **Mitigants:**

- Require third-party appraisal prior to purchase
- Require physical needs assessment for each property to ensure capital replacement reserves are properly sized



# **Policy Alternative #2**

# Direct staff to work with the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA) to create a Bay Area JPA to issue this type of bond.

#### • Pros:

- Created specifically to serve affordable housing needs in Bay Area
- BAHFA staff has expressed strong interest to City in working on this model regionally
- Governed locally by ABAG and MTC
- City can play a role in its creation to meet our objectives

#### • Cons:

- BAHFA needs additional time and resources
- City staff resources are limited; moderate-income housing plan previously deprioritized (#23)



# Item 3.7 JPA Bonds for Moderateincome Rental Housing

Department of Housing

Jacky Morales-Ferrand, Director Kristen Clements, Division Manager

**Department of Finance** 

Julia H. Cooper, Director Nikolai J. Sklaroff, Deputy Director **Office of Economic Development** 

Nanci Klein, Director Jerad Ferguson, Housing Catalyst

