



Memorandum

TO: Honorable Mayor &
City Council

FROM: Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

SUBJECT: The Public Record
September 9, 2021 – September 16, 2021

DATE: September 22, 2021

ITEMS FILED FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD

Letters from Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Letters from the Public

1. Letter from Sowmya Mruthyunjaya, dated September 10, 2021, regarding: Open forum - Safe RV parking site at 71 vista montana.
2. Letter from Sheena Madan, dated September 10, 2021, regarding: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 vista Montana.
3. Letter from Jennifer Cheung, dated September 10, 2021, regarding: Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana.
4. Letter from Giyoung Yoon, dated September 11, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
5. Letter from Murali Kolli, dated September 12, 2021, regarding: Re: City Council meeting 9/14/21: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
6. Letter from Angee Yang, dated September 12, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
7. Letter from Vickie Wei, dated September 12, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
8. Letter from Randy Shree, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: Concerns on Safe Parking Site @ 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose.
9. Letter from Darren Sandusky, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: Vista Montana Safe Parking Site.
10. Letter from Srini Ranga, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: Concern @71 Vista Montana, North San Jose.
11. Letter from Paul Lee, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.

12. Letter from Alma Goldchain, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: City Council Meeting on 9/14/21, Open Forum.
13. Letter from Rod Dincoff, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
14. Letter from Kevin HCS, dated September 13, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 vista motana.
15. Letter from Su Partha, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
16. Letter from Krishnakumar Ps, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: open forum meeting 9/14/2021: regarding RV safe parking site at 71 vista Montana.
17. Letter from Notario Family, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: Open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
18. Letter from Kathy Doan-Walter, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14, open forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana.
19. Letter from Sowmya Mruthyunjaya, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting 09/14/21, open forum: RV Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
20. Letter from Rajasekar N, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
21. Letter from Shprese Demiri-Head, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
22. Letter from Joe L, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
23. Letter from California Impressions, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: Petition against 71 Vista Montana.
24. Letter from Rajani Palacherla, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City Council Meeting 9/14/21, Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana.
25. Letter from Rajasekar N, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
26. Letter from Rajani Palacherla, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City Council Meeting 9/14/21, Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana.
27. Letter from Shweta Shingote, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 9/14/21,open forum:safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
28. Letter from Sandip Madan, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City Council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.

29. Letter from Julio Ilerenas, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: Safe RV Parking @ 71 Vista Montana.
30. Letter from Arun Mariappan, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
31. Letter from Anithakumari Thevasigamani, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
32. Letter from Anitha, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana.
33. Letter from Jinnyeng Son, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: I need to protect my toddlers - Please give me response.
34. Letter from Jinnyeng Son, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 vista Montana.
35. Letter from National League of Cities, dated September 14, 2021, regarding: The American Rescue Plan & Rebuilding Your City.
36. Letter from Julio Ilerenas, dated September 14, 2021, regarding Safe parking site 71 Vista Montana 95134.
37. Letter from Bharat Ramakrishnan, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: City Council Meeting Open Forum: Transcript for records.
38. Letter from Alma Goldchain, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: 71 Vista Montaña.
39. Letter from K Panikka, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: open forum Joint Meeting for the Rules and Open Government Committee.
40. Letter from Sheena Madan, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: Rules and Open Government mtg Sept 15 public comment.
41. Letter from Rajani Palacherla, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose.
42. Letter from National League of Cities, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: Important Update: City Summit is Going Virtual.
43. Letter from Sandip Madan, dated September 15, 2021, regarding: Safe RV Parking at Vista Montana - full statement.



Toni J. Taber, CMC
City Clerk

Fw: Open forum - Safe RV parking site at 71 vista montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 9/10/2021 8:48 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Sowmya Mruthyunjaya <
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 6:19 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Open forum - Safe RV parking site at 71 vista montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

The site that councilman Cohen has chosen 71 Vista Montana is opposite an office building recently purchased by Google. The homeless people were booted from Orchard park - Component Dr because an RV went up in flames. Apple paid millions to the City and Housefirst to remove them from their site. This effort was going on for months behind closed doors. Mr. Cohen calls this a social experiment. So what after 9months ? Google will come next and ask the city to boot the Homeless in RV to another location because its right opposite their office space. So basically these homeless people are pawns for these powerful policy making politicians for their selfish gains. Please show the homeless some respect and provide them permanent housing away from such densely populated areas. Provide them with wrapround services, vocational training so that they can get back up on their feet and be financially independent.

My question to Mr. Cohen - the supertex building has been vacant for years. When you chose this site for RV encampment, why did have the entrance to the building bolted ??? The building has bathrooms and showers and electricity. With winter setting in soon, you could have housed them inside the building but instaed you had the entry points bolted.

I really hope you rethink before making such hasty decisions. It has hurt the residents, it has hurt the homeless. Only ones gaining from this are councilman Cohen. Apple received good press, projecting them as philanthropists and we were projected in bad lights, called NIMBYs.

We really hope you reverse this decision, if not use these 9months to come up with a better solution which is long term and cost effective for the city. Current social experiment from Mr. Cohen is happening at a cost of \$500k to house 20 people for 9months.

Thanks

9/16/21, 11:44 AM

Mail - Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas - Outlook

Sowmya

--

Sowmya

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Fri 9/10/2021 1:02 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Friday, September 10, 2021 12:50 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 vista Montana**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Sheena Madan <**Sent:** Friday, September 10, 2021 12:47 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

I found Sam Liccardo's memo from March 18:

<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/70516/637517467324070000>

It recommended that encampments not be close to daycares or parks. We have both in our neighborhood very close to this site. This criteria makes a lot of sense and a San Jose Spotlight article at <https://sanjosespotlight.com/san-jose-delays-sanctioned-homeless-encampments/> implies that the councilmembers were on board with this?

Was this recommended criteria considered by the housing authority?

A memo from Rosalynn Hughes shows that other sites considered were deemed infeasible due to "location" or "adjacent land use".

Given the proximity to the park 2' away, which has multiple playgrounds for little kids, why was this site not ruled out early? Do councilmembers and planning committees now believe that placing homeless encampments next to children is a good idea after all?

Which city sites were considered and why specifically was each one considered infeasible?

Are welfare programs for homeless people under the jurisdiction of the city or the county? If it's under the county, why weren't the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds or other county land considered?

I also want to note that one of the concerns cited has been that the RVs would disperse to park in North San Jose. However, several of the RVs brought into the site had to be towed in, so there was no urgency there. The only one we saw driving there was not initially part of the program.

I strongly advise that the city picks a property away from residential neighborhoods to pilot this program and show it is successful before very carefully introducing it to neighbors with their buy-in.

Today, my dentist said that while she had experienced a lot of issues related to homelessness at her office building, such as naked people walking into her office, seeing people urinate and defecate right outside her building, and constant break-ins, if she had to choose, there was no question that she would choose to have them near the office. "It's only property," she said, "not the safety of my children at stake."

Thank you,
-Sheena

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 2:38 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 8:58 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

-----Original Message-----

From: Jennifer Cheung <

Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 10:44 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

[You don't often get email from <http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>.]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

To Whom it May Concern,

I am a resident of the San Jose Renaissance community living directly across the street from this newly opened "safe parking" site. I have many concerns with the location of this site, including:

- 1) Proximity to children's playground: my 3.5 year old along with many other children play here daily, and I am quite uncomfortable with the safe parking site being within sight
- 2) Lack of sustainable resources for the members of the parking site: where do they have running water? Toilets? They will use the bathrooms at the soccer park nearby or even the bushes, etc. rather than the portable toilets.
- 3) Lack of parking in the area: the parking site is available for only select residents, but in the few days the site has been there, we've already seen other "visitors" of these residents, parking their vehicles on the already overcrowded street
- 4) Lack of security: there's a security guard, yet we have seen several people who had not been permitted to use the safe parking site in the area. Before the safe parking site was put in place, we've already had MANY car break ins - weekly you can find shattered glass along the sidewalks, yet nothing has been done about this. Why should we feel comfortable with the addition of this safe parking site adding homeless individual to our neighborhood which may increase the crime in the area?

I hope you take into consideration my thoughts as a resident of this neighborhood in San Jose. Thank you for your time.

Jennifer Cheung

Sent from my iPad

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 2:31 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 8:02 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: giyoon21c <**Sent:** Saturday, September 11, 2021 1:08 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi esteemed Sir/Ma'am,

Today is 9.11.2021 - a very solemn day. Very bright and nice day to take a morning walk and get ready for the weekend for another productive day.

I am not sure how many of you may actually read this letter, but I have to write this to express my concern as a long time resident at Renaissance complex.

But I have been suffering emotionally and mentally ever since the Nextdoor post on Renaissance group from Mr. Cohen. My focus at work is suffering as I constantly check for updates on the issue and take off early to stand in the corner to protest against the plan.

Here is why please.

After over 21 years of calling Silicon Valley home (mostly a resident in the City of San Jose for the most part), as my wife was about to start the morning walk, I told her to be more vigilant and careful.

Participatory democracy process was completely gutted for the people who live nearby. Just a quick posting on our own nextdoor (<https://tinyurl.com/jZptpxna>) announcing that homeless people will be moving in during the Labor day weekend.

Here are my concerns.

Mr. Cohen says there will be 24/7 security. Yes, but Mr. Cohen is omitting that they are not confined to the site. There is a park right next to it where children play. You are being paranoid, you may say. I don't think so. I am being alert and preventative.

I always thought the success of democracy is to have people informed and make a collective decision. Of course things can be done faster if the authoritarian system is de facto governing method. We see too many of those systems outside of the US.

You cannot put ankle brackets to safe site occupants due to civil liberty, then you cannot revoke our right to be informed and heard for the issues that will have direct impact on our peaceful daily lives. You cannot give heads up to fence contractors and homeless advocates and leave out the people who will be most affected. You cannot play when to select which system to operate under the "experiment" umbrella.

Please reconsider as the experiment was hastily planned and being executed.

- 1.
- 2.
3. Please pause the plan immediately.
- 4.

- 2.
- 3.
4. Work efficiently to mobilize all the resources
5. to find better places to find RV sites for those who decided to stay with their RVs rather than motels that were offered.
- 6.

- 3.
- 4.
5. Put in a San Jose city agenda to create a better
6. "Safe RV parking" idea with all sides discussed and agreed.
- 7.

Thank you.

Giyong Yoon

District 4 resident affected by this Safe RV parking lot experiment.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City Council meeting 9/14/21: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 1:59 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 8:01 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City Council meeting 9/14/21: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Murali Kolli <**Sent:** Sunday, September 12, 2021 5:02 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Re: City Council meeting 9/14/21: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Mr. Taber, Council members and Mr. Liccardo

I am a neighbor of 71, Vista Montana San Jose.

I would like to discuss the Safe parking site on 71, Vista Montana during the open forum of City council meeting on 9/14/2021.

Few questions I have and I would like to discuss in the meeting

1. What are other sites considered for the safe site?
2. What is the criteria for selection?
3. When did we know of the need and how long did the city have to make the decision?
3. Is it true that 71 Vista Monata has unhealthy levels of Arsenic in the ground? If so, why was 71 Vista Montana chosen for this purpose?

thanks

-Murali

On Sun, Sep 12, 2021 at 4:48 PM Murali Kolli <

wrote:

Dear Mr. Taber,

I am a neighbor of 71, Vista Montana San Jose.

I would like to discuss the Safe parking site on 71, Vista Montana during the open forum of City council meeting on 9/14/2021.

Few questions I have and I would like to discuss in the meeting

1. What are other sites considered for the safe site?
2. What is the criteria for selection?
3. When did we know of the need and how long did the city have to make the decision?
3. Is it true that 71 Vista Monata has unhealthy levels of Arsenic in the ground? If so, why was 71 Vista Montana chosen for this purpose?

thanks

-Murali

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 1:58 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 9:04 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

-----Original Message-----

From: Angee Yang <

Sent: Sunday, September 12, 2021 10:37 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

[You don't often get email from <http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>.]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

To San Jose Council members,

We are the residents at the community of this site, and very worried about the outcome of this experiment. We believe if this experimental project can be set at the parking lot of city hall and success, the community will be more open with confidence to this kind of project.

Please seek harder for better and effective alternatives to both the community and the homeless group.

Best regards,
Angee

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 2:31 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 8:00 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Vickie Wei <**Sent:** Sunday, September 12, 2021 11:16 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear City Clerk,

I hope this email finds you well.

I'm a residence in North San Jose, across the street from 71 Vista Montana, where the City decided to house a house-less RV parking site without prior any prior notice to the local residents. I am writing to raise concerns and questions, as well as request city councils to reconsider a better site for such experiment.

1. I'm concerned about the safety of this neighborhood. Our neighborhood, according to Council Man Mr. Cohan, has the least emergency response rate. With the invitation to host the house-less RV, it may indeed attract more to gather. Based on many accidents and incidences happened in other encampment, safety is my top concern.
2. According to Mayor [Liccardo's memo on "Homelessness Annual Report and Addressing Homelessness During COVID19 Pandemic: Encampment and Abatement"](#) dated March 18th, encampment should "relocate any time their encampment is located near a school, day care facility, or other child-serving location. In other words, stay away from these places. How can 71 Vista Montana then be a suitable location if it's adjacent to a park serving toddler, and the other serving all ages?
3. Mr. Council Man Mr. Cohan said that this is an "emergency situation" so that he did not have to inform anyone. And all decisions were made in rush. He also said that this is an experiment. If this is an "experiment", it should have been well planned. Has the environment and surroundings of the site been carefully evaluated? I found "experiment" and "emergency" contradicting each other.
4. When the homeless encampments at several other places in San Jose like seven trees did not work, why are we calling this as a new pilot or experiment?

I am a mother of a 6 year old child. I'm surrounded by residents with small kids. All of us work very hard to make a living and keep our children safe. We have already been stressed out during pandemic. This incidence has adding even more stress to us which is not necessary as there must be a better place for these RV residents.

9/16/21, 11:59 AM

Mail - Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas - Outlook

We totally understand the need to take care of house-less people, and I'm sure the large amount of tax that we have been paying goes towards that efforts. While caring for them, we ask the San Jose City government also take care of us, the residents in San Jose, so we feel safe at our own home.

Thank you.

regards,
Vickie Wei

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Concerns on Safe Parking Site @ 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 1:54 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 11:13 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Concerns on Safe Parking Site @ 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

From: randy shree <**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 11:13 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Concerns on Safe Parking Site @ 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello

I am a resident of a nearby community. All the neighbors of nearby communities have come together to express our concerns against the decision made by city without any notice to us and so you will hear similar message of concern from all neighbors.

With the recent announcement from David Cohen about a "temporary" safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana for people sheltered on Orchard Parkway, I feel compelled to voice my concern. We are strongly against the city's decision.

Following is the list of top concerns for opposing this :

1. This is a huge safety concern. There are daycares and several parks very close to this site. We are very concerned about the safety of our children.
2. The city already has a bad track record of deterring crime in the area. Car break-ins and theft are common for years despite video evidence, with the excuse that there aren't enough resources.
3. We do not believe the security promises being made. As if signing a piece of paper has ever prevented anyone from committing crimes. When eventually someone gets hurt, who is going to be held responsible for that ? Is the city taking full responsibility ? How can we be sure of this when the city cannot prevent crimes ALREADY taking place here ?
4. There will be weed lying around, the area is going to smell of weed along with needles etc lying around. What if kids playing nearby get affected by these? Many of us go for a run/walk around the loop on renaissance / vista

montana. What if our health and safety gets impacted by activities going on in the RV park ? Is the city going to claim responsibility ?

5. The area is surrounded by a playground for kids, a field where people exercise and play soccer, multiple housing and an apt complex. The health and safety of all individuals living here is at risk.

Was the city not able to find a better spot than a RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD in the entire 200 sq mile area of San Jose ?

We understand there is a homelessness problem and we support solutions addressing it. We pay one of the highest taxes in the USA. But that does not mean we should create more problems that impact the lives of law abiding citizens while solving one.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas that could be used for a "temporary" and safe RV parking lot. After all if this is only for 9 RVs and "temporary" the city can rent a spot anywhere in 200 sq mi of San Jose to house them.

Thank you

Randy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: Vista Montana Safe Parking Site

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 1:53 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 11:49 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: Vista Montana Safe Parking Site

From: Darren Sandusky <**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 11:42 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: Vista Montana Safe Parking Site

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

The neighborhood is concerned as a result, for several reasons -

1. Safety concern - There are daycares and several parks very close to this site. We are very concerned about the safety of our children.
2. Increasing crime - The city already has a bad track record of deterring crime in the area currently. Car break-ins, theft and suspicious people walking around are common and despite video evidence we do not seem to get timely help or action. The excuse being that there aren't enough resources with the city. At times we cant even report crimes because they are non-violent.
3. The plan was not disclosed earlier and the neighboring communities were not given sufficient time and information to react. This feels deliberate.
4. San Jose has been trying to deal with current homeless encampments for years and they are out of control with rampant drug use, trash everywhere, and the recent fire on Orchard Pkwy. We do not trust the city to keep this sanctioned "RV parking lot" safe for the neighborhood. We completely oppose having this parking site, even temporarily.
5. The city has failed miserably in maintaining peace and safety and stopping illegal parking/parking violation with subsidized housing. We can't expect the city to do better with the encampment moving here.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas such as city-owned commercial property or the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds, that could be used for a "temporary" and safe RV parking lot.

As much as we understand that this is a problem that city needs to resolve and be compassionate at the same time, it is equally important that they consider the safety

and other concerns the current residents of the neighbourhood have.

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Concern @71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 1:59 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 1:33 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Concern @71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

From: Srini Ranga <**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 1:27 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Concern @71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

I have been a resident here since 1997 and have seen the entire neighborhood built up from the ground level and it's already densely populated with four high raise apartment complexes.

The city has allowed high raise apartments without a parking plan. Apartment residents park in the middle of the road, blocking traffic, to load/unload items.

Too many cars are parked along these streets causing blind spots to merge from intersections.

All the mature ever greens were cut and we are left with dry trees that cause allergies and fire hazard.

City should find a better place and solution for homeless and consider building a park and open space at 71 vista montana to balance the dense population here. City should make the parking structure, across vista montana, available as paid resident parking and reduce the parking space on the road side by marking blind spot areas as red zone.

Srini

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 3:16 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 3:16 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: paul lee <**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 3:04 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Open forum: Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi

I'm writing today, regarding your recent decision to place a homeless or 'Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana'. I'm hoping you reconsider your recent decision. If I'm reading David Cohen's bio or his campaign statement or promise, he "claims" to be listening to his constituents. I'm not who he is listening to, but his latest decision to place this parking site here, without even advising the people that lives in the area (a day or two before to me doesn't really count), to me it doesn't appear to be a very good decision. Especially, since this parking site is smack in the middle of the neighborhood with a park/playground right next door. With all the news lately, on kidnapping / sexual predators and having strangers looking at or staying near playgrounds especially where small kids play..... Plus with very limited or no police presence in the area. I do hear an increase in police helicopters lately in the area, but if you're saying that is enough

I wished you could have reached out to the local residents that live in the area and worked out a compromise and selected an area not as prominent or near a playground, instead of rushing to this decision. I beg you, please reconsider.

Thanks

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City Council Meeting on 9/14/21, Open Forum

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Mon 9/13/2021 3:35 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 3:32 PM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City Council Meeting on 9/14/21, Open Forum

From: Alma Goldchain <**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 3:23 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City Council Meeting on 9/14/21, Open Forum

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To the Members of the San Jose City Council, especially to Councilmember David Cohen,

I am beyond upset at the handling of the decision to place displaced homeless individuals in a parking lot at 71 Vista Montana, San Jose, 95134.

As a long time resident of San Jose, I have seen this area change dramatically! Mostly for the good and some things that haven't been that good. The city has overcrowded this neighborhood with two sets of huge apartment complexes that have caused numerous issues in this neighborhood, along with the building of the Levi Stadium. Why would the City further these issues in our neighborhood by adding more people and more crime?

My issues are these:

- **FIRE HAZARD** -The City has not been able to stop the car break-ins and crime that has dramatically risen on Renaissance Drive, what makes the City believe that they will be able to handle homeless residents who were part of the Apple encampment that just caused a fire 2 weeks ago? As a matter of fact, there was another fire today (September 12, 2021) at the encampment near the airport. 71 Vista Montana is a HUGE fire hazard because there are several dead trees on that property due to that building being abandoned for over a year now. When this encampment site opened, fire extinguishers were out in the hot sun and on the ground instead of being properly contained, which could have caused a fire on their own.
- **SECURITY** - Although there is security on site, they are only responsible for what happens inside the fenced area not what happens outside of the fenced area. In just a couple of days, our streets have had trailers full of homeless belongings parked outside the fenced area, found drug paraphernalia (outside the gate), random homeless people wondering around and clearly in an unstable condition. Our neighborhood has

not been perfect, but we haven't had this many issues either! They placed this encampment right next door to a children's park! How safe is that for the children?

- **LIES/DECEPTION BY THE CITY** - Although we recognize that there is a huge homeless problem in San Jose and it is evident that it has gotten worse since COVID hit. This decision happened right before a 3 day weekend in hopes that we wouldn't be able to oppose this. Very DECEPTIVE! Also, it has been revealed that the City has been fully aware, since July of 2020, that this lot has an extremely high level of arsenic toxicity and deemed uninhabitable! Yet the City of San Jose and Home First has placed these individuals on top of this very poisonous land! This act is quite cruel and inhumane!

There are many more viable options to place homeless in a site that meets their needs more thoroughly than this one. This site doesn't have running water, only porta-potties! There is a better option at Gold Street in Alviso that has the proper medical care that these people need from the get go and less residential issues. These individuals require a better setup than what 71 Vista Montana provides.

When this site opened the security guards didn't have any masks, gloves, or hand sanitizers to prevent the COVID virus from spreading, so now we have to take precautions against that here too. This plan was poorly executed and continues to be that way.

Please re-consider and move these individuals to a better location with a better more humane setup. They do not deserve be on toxic land!

Best regards,

Alma Goldchain, San Jose 95134

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 9:02 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: City council meeting on 9/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: rod dincoff <

Sent: Monday, September 13, 2021 6:57 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi,

My name is Rod Dincoff and I've been a resident of San Jose California Renaissance since 1994. I am opposed to the "safe parking" site at 71 Vista Montana.

There are many vacant properties that are not near residences, schools, playgrounds and parks, such as this one. In fact, Levis stadium has plenty of parking that could be used (they're set up for RV's and tailgating anyways).

Reasons for opposition to his site include:
possible depreciation of property value
sanitation: on site porta potties, waste removal
security:
trash
RV traffic
RV support vehicle parking on nearby streets
unsightly tents and outside camping setups

Please contact me with any questions.

Regards,

Rod Dincoff

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 vista motana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 9:02 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:44 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 vista motana

From: Kevin HCS <**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2021 11:04 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 vista motana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi,

I wrote this email to express my concerns regarding the homeless RV park near vista montana:

1. City council completely ignored the residents before the decision was made:
 - 1) Residents near the neighborhood showed safety concerns. City gov requires RV park homeless people to sign an agreement. However, it lacks an executable enforcement and no clear actions if violation is identified.
 - 2) The decision will significantly impact the home owners' proper value. City council has no evaluation or compensation plan.
2. City council creates the concerns, distrust and tension between local residents and homeless people. City council overlooked the recent protest in Fremont. Allocating homeless people to residential areas without proper communication does not hurt the benefits of residents but also adds stress to homeless people when they see the news and protests.
3. Spending so much taxpayers' money to hurt both local residents' benefits and homeless feelings showed a broken decision making process and ignorance of city council decision makers on this issue. It causes concern for other unseen decisions.

Please consider addressing this issue properly as it is important to local residents, homeless people and our trust to city council. Thank you!

Regards,
Kevin

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 8:58 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov> 1 attachments (498 KB)

Kathy Watanabe letter to Cohen.png;

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:46 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>; PublicRecordsRequest <PublicRecordsRequest@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Su Partha <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 6:57 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

For the attention of the City Clerk, San Jose.

I am a resident of North San Jose who is impacted by the hasty decision of using 71 Vista Montana as a safe parking site for the homeless that were displaced by Apple. I recognize the difficulties the homeless face and the city's good intentions to help the homeless lead better lives.

However, we, the existing residents here, are frustrated with the city's non-transparency to, non-engagement with, and non-inclusiveness of those who live in the impacted communities around this site.

We found out about this by accident and were accorded a hastily put together meeting on the Friday before the long weekend because we petitioned the District 4 Council member office for it.

There was no pro-active outreach to the community that was forced into this "experiment" (in the words of the District 4 Council member)

Surely, the city council, the housing commission and the mayor have an obligation to the tax paying residents of a residential neighborhood as well and not just to the homeless?

Councilmember Cohen was unable to address any of our questions or concerns.

1. According to several reports, the City has been in talks with Apple for months about this.

Why then did this become a rush decision ? With the city monitoring and assessing, should this not have been raised much before it became an "emergency" ?

2. Can you share a fully documented **detailed comparison** of each site that was evaluated and why it was discarded in favor of 71 Vista Monatana? What was the process of evaluation? Who is the final decision maker?

3. This site is smack in the middle of a residential neighborhood, luxury midrises, a soccer park, kids park, day care etc. Can you substantiate why despite all this, the site was selected and approved as a safe parking site for the homeless?

We are concerned about safety issues such as fires that have been started at various homeless sites, one as recent as yesterday. The city has inadvertently invited other homeless people outside of this program into this neighborhood with this action.

I also have attached the picture of a letter to Councilmember Cohen from the Councilmember of our neighboring bordering district in Santa Clara, Kathy Watanabe that was posted on Nextdoor as well, asking the same questions and citing similar concerns. She is more in touch with and more aware of the neighborhood issues than the Councilmember for District 4.

4. What work has begun to identify the site that these folks with their RVs and trucks will ultimately relocate to as promised, since this is a temporary space. I urge that there be transparency on this from the get-go.

5. How is it beautifying San Jose, if the problem is being moved from one place to another, that too next to a kids park? Also, there are restrooms in the soccer park on the other side - is the idea that those could be used by the people from the encampment as well? If not, how are you going to prevent it?

The porta potties in the middle of thousands of homes with families and kids and harsh floodlights through the night are impacting the homes adjacent to the site (that is right, the site is immediately adjacent to homes).

5. Why did the memo also not include a recommendation of a meeting at the very least with the impacted community residents in the neighborhood? Should it not be a holistic recommendation?

6. Councilmember Cohen has acknowledged that this area has the worst police response times. How then can it be safe for either the existing residents or those in the temporary safe parking site?

We, the residents, are invested in finding a win-win solution for the issue. We recommend that this parking site be moved to a more commercial area or even RV parks with facilities, or sites that can also provide wraparound services. In addition, we recommend that the space at 71 Vista Montana be developed for more open space/park to balance this dense housing in this neighborhood.

We also request that there be a hearing on the concerns expressed by the citizens of the neighborhood, a rigorous audit of the decision making process by the city, and the city institute policies that provide transparency, and inclusiveness of the city's tax paying residents in such decisions.

Regards,
Sugandha.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



Kathy Watanabe • Rivermark



My email sent to Councilmember David Cohen this morning:

Dear Councilmember Cohen,

I am reaching out in response to the many emails I have received from North San Jose residents who are concerned about the RV safe parking planned in the area of 71 Vista Montana. This area is also right on the Santa Clara border.

As a member of NextDoor, I am continually aware of the issues already impacting North San Jose residents. Particularly this area. It is very dense with many apartments, homes, soccer parks and playgrounds as well as a retail shopping center and a target for break-ins and most recently, stealing of a lot catalytic converters. It seems the helicopter stationed in the area flies around the area at least once a week as a result of a crime in the area.

While I know there is a housing crisis issue impacting all of the Bay Area, there have been recent incidents in the area (at least once a week) where fires have broken out at encampments that have been created. I read that there will be security and oversight on Vista Montana but area residents still do not feel safe at how quickly this decision was made. I have to speak on behalf of my constituents who are literally right across the Guadalupe River and concerned at how this could potentially impact North Santa Clara.

Were other locations in North San Jose/Alviso considered before this location was chosen?

Again, while I understand there is a need for safe housing/parking, I am concerned that this is not the best location to create this safe parking area.

Thank you for listening to the concerns. I have a very busy day today after 12 PM but am happy to talk.

Sincerely,

Kathy Watanabe
Councilmember, District 1
City of Santa Clara

6 days ago **Like** **Reply** **Share**



Fw: open forum meeting 9/14/2021: regarding RV safe parking site at 71 vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 8:57 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:47 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: open forum meeting 9/14/2021: regarding RV safe parking site at 71 vista Montana

From: krishnakumar ps <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 7:39 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** open forum meeting 9/14/2021: regarding RV safe parking site at 71 vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Council members, Mayor and City officials,

Thank you for listening to me today. Thank you for your dedication to public service.

I heard just last week that the council decided to designate an unused parking lot near my home as a homeless RV park. Council man Mr. Cohen mentioned in a meeting to us that they found this place "in a rush"! He also mentioned that this is being done as an experiment!

Of course, the city had ZERO discussions with the community before making this decision.

I request you all - the council, the mayor, and officials involved - to think about this again: Was that a wise THING to do? To make a decision affecting a community of around 5000 people without discussing with anyone in that community? The only rational that justifies this action by you is that "you had to do it in a rush"!

This decision affects, first and foremost, our safety. Council man Cohen acknowledged that this area has the most sluggish police response time in the whole of San Jose.

Among many other matters this decision affects, it affects the next generation. My son, for example, had stopped playing in the basket ball court near this site a few months back because he felt unsafe playing here seeing multiple homeless people using the restrooms and benches. This decision is to stress this area even more and make the situation worse

Please reflect on this RUSHED decision by you. It has no good consequence.

This is AMERICA - let us do things in a structured manner, not in a rush. Council man Mr. Cohen had no answer to our question: "What if something happens as a result of this

experiment?" - We are deeply worried about this question that he had no answer for. Please answer this question. City owns this property - and YOU - everyone on this council - is responsible for any bad outcomes of this experiment!

Now that APPLE is not-pressurizing you anymore to get this encampment off their lot, please re-consider this decision in a non-rushed manner. This homeless RV park will keep affecting the lives of 5000 people around here for many months to come, and as a city memo says, may be indefinitely! Please move it to a less DENSE area - if possible, non-residential

Thanks, again, for listening

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 8:38 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:33 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: Open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

-----Original Message-----

From: Notario Family <

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 8:28 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

[You don't often get email from
<http://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>.]

Learn why this is important at

[External Email]

City leaders: it was a great disservice to our community to keep us in the dark about the safe parking site. The community is made up of managed apartments and homes that are organized in HOAs. Communication/notices could've been manageable if the city sought meaningful engagement. Instead, the community learned via a web post just a few days before the site was to open. Of course there will be resistance— when there's not a whole lot of transparency and engagement! We may not agree on everything, but there needs to be a process to be heard and a chance to collaborate on solutions. Not knowing sows fear, and it's difficult to be understanding and welcoming in these circumstances. Sadly this probably will not be the last community to be affected. City leaders need to step up to work with communities to truly support the unhoused.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14, open forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 9:27 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:23 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14, open forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Kathy Doan-Walter <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:22 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14, open forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To Whom it May Concern and David Cohen,

The current relocation of the RV Camp/Homeless "Safe Parking" at 71 Vista Montana in San Jose will cause further damage than good.

As far as concerns go, safety has already been a major issue that our community has been dealing for years. From packages getting stolen, to vehicle thefts, vandalism, and robberies, WE ARE FED UP.

With that being said, the newest "Safe Parking" addition to our community is the last thing we need to feel "Safe". It is our duty as a parents to prioritize safety for our families and the well-being of our households.

The lack of respect and communication for shows your unprofessionalism to the community. We are very disappointed with your action.

As a community we expect more from the city. Instead we feel back-stabbed as a major issue was shifted from one area to our neighborhood which we call home.

The low income housing solutions at the Corner of Tasman/Renaissance are already solid evidence of what happens when low income sectors are moved in our neighborhoods. Despite all those issues, we also have a major trash issue that is caused by renters and those that do not own/care about the property they live in.

There are other options that should not include relocation the "safe parking" initiative to our neighborhood (right next to a kids playground and in the middle of residential areas). It does not make sense! The Santa Clara County Fairground is one of the options.

We worked extremely hard to provide a safe environment for our families to enjoy, and to watch it being stripped from us without our consent is absolutely ridiculous.

MR. David Cohen, try moving these people to your neighborhood to understand how it truly feels.

PLEASE STOP the SAFE PARKING at 71 Vista Montana ASAP.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting 09/14/21, open forum: RV Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 9:50 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:50 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: City council meeting 09/14/21, open forum: RV Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Sowmya Mruthyunjaya <

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:38 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: City council meeting 09/14/21, open forum: RV Safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

The site that councilman Cohen has chosen- 71 Vista Montana is right next a heavily used children's park, across the street from a soccer park where once again kids and teenagers play soccer, opposite an office building recently purchased by Google, surrounded by housing communities with families with children.. The homeless people were booted from Orchard park - Component Dr because an RV went up in flames. Apple paid millions to the City and Housefirst to remove them from their site. This effort was going on for months behind closed doors. Mr. Cohen calls this a social experiement. So what after 9months ? Google will come next and ask the city to boot the Homeless in RV to another location because its right opposite their office space. So basically these homeless people are pawns for these powerful policy making politicians for their selfish gains. Please show the homeless some dignity and respect and provide them permanent housing away from such densely populated areas. Provide them with wrapround services, vocational training so that they can get back up on their feet and be financially independent.

My question to Mr. Cohen - the supertex building has been vacant for years. When you chose this site for RV encampment, why did have the entrance to the building bolted ??? The building has bathrooms and showers and electricity. With winter setting in soon, you could have housed them inside the building but instaed you had the entry points bolted.

I really hope you rethink before making such hasty decisions. It has hurt the residents, it has hurt the homeless. Only ones gaining from this are councilman Cohen. Apple received good press, projecting them as philanthropists and we were projected in bad lights, called NIMBYs. We really hope you reverse this decision, if not use these 9months to come up with a better solution which is long term and cost effective for the city. Current social experiment from Mr. Cohen is happening at a cost of \$500k to house 20 people for 9months.

Thanks

Sowmya

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Roche, Megan

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:51 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Rajasekar N <
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:49 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov, <district11@sanjoseca.gov>; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [\[redacted\]](#) [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

We already have our share of concerns around break-in's, mail theft, illegal parking, litter, noise, etc. The city has been near hopeless in helping our community, which comprises of kids and elderly, alike. Please do not make a bad situation worse by sneaking in a homeless encampment in our neighborhood, Mr. Cohen! Do your homework (and some leg work) by visiting the neighborhood and discussing your plans with the several communities in this neighborhood. We do not approve of your plans.

DO NOT EXPERIMENT with our lives and our community. Find an appropriate place for this experiment and provide them a safe and healthy environment. NOT where there is a CHILD PARK and densely populated neighborhood. We started to see abandoned vehicles, people sleeping in cars, needles and many things we haven't had for so many years. STOP this and do some homework before making decisions.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Roche, Megan

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:51 AM
To: Agendadesk
Subject: FW: Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Shprese Demiri-Head <
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:49 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>
Cc: Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [\[redacted\]](#) [Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To the city of San Jose and all of the council members,

My community is my everything. When the city decided to set up this homeless encampment without notifying all of our community, you took away our rights. We do not feel like we are being treated fairly or equally.

Since moving the encampment to my neighborhood, I wake up every morning and I see the same exact van parked in front of my home with a person sleeping inside of it. I have seen this man extremely intoxicated. I'm not even sure how he was able to get into his parking spot because he was clearly under the influence of something. I bring this to your attention because my children play in front of my house and easily could have been killed by this man attempting to pull into his parking spot 7 days ago.

I'm a mother of four and I fear for the safety of my children both playing in front of my house and at the park on Vista Montana. I am absolutely positive that you would not be okay with putting your own children at risk. So please stop what you're doing and stop putting my children at risk with their safety.

We have seen time and time again in the city of San Jose and everywhere in California that these safe site parking for the homeless is ineffective and does not work. So why do we keep continuing with it? Why continue to put people in danger and to take away the childhood of all of our children together. This is not right. This is not fair. Our children are not being treated fairly.

Just because Apple has paid big dollars to move, these people does not mean it's an emergency. They're clearly was no plan for them. We as a community have compassion for the homeless. And we want to see them in a secure place that

meets their needs and this is not one of those places. We asked that you move this RV camp away from children so that both the children and parents can get back to living our lives and contributing to society like we have been. The city is causing a lot of damage to the future of our generation and to the future of California. Our kids need to be safe and they need to be able to use the park whenever they want to. You put a stop to that when you bought this encampment to our home.

The city of San Jose has ambushed us with this "experiment" and is now asking us to be your eyes and ears , Well we are and this experiment is FAILING!!!! ARE you going to wait until a child is killed before you pull the plug? Please DO NOT let it get to that point.

I have witnessed a man running a red light, intoxication in public, unleashed pitbull running around and a neighbor has found a needle on the ground in front of encampment. NONE OF THESE ACTIONS ARE OK AND NONE SHOULD BE NEXT TO CHILDREN!!! FAILED EXPERIMENT MUST COME TO AN END BECAUSE YES NOW THIS IS A TRUE EMERGENCY!!!! Thank you.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 9:57 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov> 2 attachments (66 KB)

FW: Subject: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana; FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana;

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:51 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

From: Joe L <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:50 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Some people who received this message don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi City Council member,

With recent decision made from City council District4 to move Homeless RV into the site at 71 Vista Montana, here are our voices and commens would like City to hear:

1. Why City did not inform and or even dialogue with local neighborhood on this decision before making this what City claimed as an emergency decision?
2. And City council member David Cohen also claimed this is experiment , if it's experiment, it should be well planned ahead, as this experiment is matter of people's safety. Experiment and emergency plan contracting to each other. How can people living in San Jose trust the city's decision in the future?

3. This site is sitting right next to Kid's park in crowd residential area. Per San Jose City Council Memorandum on 03/23/2021, the conclusion is: Require unhoused individuals to relocate any time their encampment is located near a school , day care facility or other child-serving location. this is another contraction to the pervious decision. Did City ever do any research how much impact it will be? What about Kid's safety, did City ever think of it before making this rush decision?

We are all fighting for life living in the bay area. We got local neighborhood, who are single mom / Dad, who need to work very hard or multiple jobs to pay for the mortgage and education in order to raise kids living here. Homeless is human, and so we are. Homeless may have kids, and so do we. Our daily mental stress is no less than those unhoused people, and we sympathize them as well. However, City Council member dosen't care about our safety and voices at all, and making this decision with close door, which is not fair to the local neighborhood and unhoused people. The site is right next to kid's park, without medical and social workers on the site, this is not a right site for both of parties.

We urge the City to move this site to a suitable location right away.

Thank you for your time to check this email.

Joe

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Record: 23

FW: Petition against 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

 3 attachments (2 MB)

Signatures.pdf; Comments.pdf; Petition.pdf;

From: California Impressions <
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:56 AM
To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Petition against 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi Toni,

Good Morning.

As a concerned resident of North San Jose, I wanted to share the petition, signatures and comments by the residents of the neighborhood. Kindly share it with the city council and the honorable Governor.

Regards,
Residents of North San Jose

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
Karthik Suresh	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Anitha T	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Ying Guo				US	9/2/2021
uma seetha	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/2/2021
Murali Kolli	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Amy Nesbitt	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sweta Gupta	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Gaurav Yadav	Mountain View	CA	94043	US	9/2/2021
Lubna Afzal	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sheena Madan				US	9/2/2021
Arun Mariappan				US	9/2/2021
Srinath Chavali	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/2/2021
Harita Sanchala	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Manish Patidar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
George Mathew	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Reshma Salian	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Hanna Khan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Ayub Khan	Fair Oaks	CA	95628	US	9/2/2021
Nikhil Kolli	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/2/2021
Soni Chalasani	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Ranjit Lingaiah	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/2/2021
Samaikya Raja	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Suhas Atre	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Renu Kanumalla	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/2/2021
Kam Khatkar	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/2/2021
Chris Silva	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sowmya Mruthyunjaya	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
prasaad chalsani	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/2/2021
Randy Shree	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/2/2021
Kenji Ikegami	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Anandi Yadav	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/2/2021
Prashant Maloo	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Laura Adams	San Jose	CA	95119	US	9/2/2021
Artie Avlonitis	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Jayanthi Manam	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Danilo Blanusa	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Ronnie Ghuman	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/2/2021
Valerija Blanusa	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Joseph Liang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Tejaswi Kumar Sudha	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/2/2021
Padma Kolli	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/2/2021
Rajani Palacherla	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Ankita Patidar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Anil Gandhi	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sugandha P	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Bhaskar Rathod	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/2/2021

Rajgopal Methukupally	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Ravi Koganti	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sowmya Hariharan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
C Hui	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Prachi Deshpande	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Judy Hsu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Shprese Demiri-Head				US	9/2/2021
Dinesh Rtp				US	9/2/2021
Afrin Shafiuddin	San Jose	CA	9534	US	9/2/2021
Naaz Parveen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Hari Siripuram	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Viralkumar Rathod	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Deepak Palanivelu	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/2/2021
Preeti Joshi	Santa Clara	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
kaori Ikegami			94568	US	9/2/2021
Qefsere Pulatani	Jupiter	FL	33458	US	9/2/2021
Wendy Chen	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/2/2021
Vinay Chandran	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/2/2021
Chiachi Wei	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Paramesh Seeni	Danville	CA	94506	US	9/2/2021
Hiral Parmar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Shelialla Stokes	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Mahesh Srinivasan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Gourav Patidar	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/2/2021
Sridhar Kundur	Pleasanton	CA	94588	US	9/2/2021
Jarleen Val	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sakthi Malli	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Abi Bharat	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Nate Shree	Santa Clara		95054	US	9/2/2021
Reddy Tara	San Ramon	CA	94582	US	9/2/2021
Vahini Pobbathi	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/2/2021
Souradeep Sen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
mayur bhosle	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Kunal Soparkar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Kai Ikegami	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Wayneann Meikle	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/2/2021
Albert So	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Dangyi Liu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Nupur Gupta	San Jose	CA	95133	US	9/2/2021
Sandy Liang	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/2/2021
Nicolas Lin				US	9/2/2021
Gautham R	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Carin Bille	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Linda Murray	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Shilpa Pathak	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Venkat Gottipati	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/2/2021
Nelson Yee	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021

Krishna Panikkasseril	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Nagesh Pobbathi	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/2/2021
Krishna Achutuni	San jose	CA	95135	US	9/2/2021
Columbine Robinson	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Stephanie Lum	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Raam Thirugnanam	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Darshan EL	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Shundan Xiao	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/2/2021
Chandrasekharan Raman	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Hong Chu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Marac Weber	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Linna Mai	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Adam Chan	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/2/2021
Junyi Jiang	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/2/2021
Joseph Liu	Sacramento	CA	95823	US	9/2/2021
Jason Li	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/2/2021
Yuqi Wen	San Francisco	CA	94122	US	9/2/2021
Emma Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Cho qiu	Ceres	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Kevin Chen	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/2/2021
Jiarong Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Iris Xia	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Yufei Zou	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Qiang Lu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Joe B	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Olivia Hu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Jiayi Sun	San Jose	CA	95135	US	9/2/2021
Rachel Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Vanessa Macdonald	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/2/2021
Pascal Jansen Abdelrahim	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Michael Fang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Dian Yu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sudheer Kumar Vavilapalli	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Balakrishna Katha	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Dingle Zhang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Sham Chatterji		AL		US	9/2/2021
Lillian Chen	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/2/2021
Jimmy Hom	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Kaidiya Ailaiti	American Canyon	CA	94503	US	9/2/2021
DANHAN ZHENG	American Canyon	CA	94503	US	9/2/2021
Angelina Perez	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Shirley Chen	San Mateo	CA	94403	US	9/2/2021
Janice Chen	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/2/2021
Subhashree Ravishangar	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/2/2021
Joel Del Toro	SAN JOSE	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Monique Barajas	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/2/2021
Aishwarya Balakrishnan	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/2/2021

John Waldum	San Mateo	CA	94403	US	9/2/2021
Andal Janakiraman	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/2/2021
Kevin Vuong	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Diana Miller	Campbell	CA	95008	US	9/2/2021
Porsha Rubenaker	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/2/2021
Alma Goldchain	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Alma Andrade	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Yuyang Chen	San Mateo	CA	94404	US	9/2/2021
Phoebe Peng	Sunnyvale	CA	94087	US	9/2/2021
Tianyi Zhang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Neysa Lopez	East Palo Alto	CA	94303	US	9/2/2021
Nancy Brooks	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/2/2021
Anitha Chandran	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/2/2021
Tim Chen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Justin Chen	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/2/2021
Sindhu Shiv	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/2/2021
Yijie Sui	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Amanda Alimadadian	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Shweta Shingote	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/2/2021
Linda Nevis	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Chih Chi Liu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Kevin Wang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Maria Tsikina	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/2/2021
Geetanjali Moorthy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jonathan Moran	santa clara	CA	95054	US	9/3/2021
June Wan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Kunal Borkar				US	9/3/2021
Lora Maloof-Miller	Littleton	CO	80129	US	9/3/2021
Pratik Panjwani	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jian Zheng	Emeryville	CA	94608	US	9/3/2021
zoe liang	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/3/2021
Swati C	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Idolinda Garcia	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
Anna oei	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/3/2021
Jimmy Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Hanna Khan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
mukund Govindarajan	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Balazs Nagy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Peter WANG	san jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Chinping Lai	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
hsienyu lin	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/3/2021
Evan Liou	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Shenquan Zheng	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Yu Yu	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/3/2021
Clara Lai	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Corinne Stewart	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Yuxin Zhang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021

Melinda Lin	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/3/2021
Chris Lym	San Jose	CA	95148	US	9/3/2021
ChinShin Yang	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/3/2021
Jeffrey Warren	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/3/2021
Mandy Yang	Fremont	CA	94538	US	9/3/2021
John Sim	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Li-Chuan Chang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Vi Van	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/3/2021
Johnson Chu	San Jose	CA	95135	US	9/3/2021
Allan Yeh	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
YC Yang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Nikolay Tavluy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
El Lee	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Paul Lee	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Vicki Chen	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/3/2021
Ellene Chan	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/3/2021
Roshini Paul	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/3/2021
Rick Soriano	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Ichun Wang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Kelsey Mitchell	Ridgecrest	CA	93555	US	9/3/2021
Yuvi Yu	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/3/2021
Thomas Scott	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Hollie Post	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
Nasef Botros	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/3/2021
Winnie Wang	Fremont	CA	94538	US	9/3/2021
Nidia Coronado	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Dan Schlingmann	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Mike Lee	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/3/2021
Scott Johnson	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Julie Chee	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/3/2021
Xiuzhen Lin	Charlestown	MA	2129	US	9/3/2021
Clara Lai	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Kalaivani Sengottaiyan	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/3/2021
Cindy M	Los Gatos	CA	95032	US	9/3/2021
Ken Young	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Rachel Notario	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Pilar Bridenbaugh	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/3/2021
Yoko Lai	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Stefan D'Costa	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Kwok Lo	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Anita Lam	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
HUY NGUYEN	SAN JOSE	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Timothy Lo	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Hsinming Liou	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/3/2021
Nandish Kamat	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
William Wang	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jerry Kanz	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/3/2021

Anubhav Saxena	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jeffrey Stewart	SAN JOSE	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Nandini Ektare	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Juliana Formaro	Des Moines	IA	50311	US	9/3/2021
Margarita Tavluy	Hayward	CA	94541	US	9/3/2021
Anushree POLE	San jose	CA	94087	US	9/3/2021
Wesdroid Wes	Charlottesville		22903	US	9/3/2021
Vikram Chadaga	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/3/2021
Aljui Clarke	Griffin		30222	US	9/3/2021
Guddi Deshpande	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Nga Nguyen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Adrianna Valadez	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Thomas Doherty	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/3/2021
Yogesh Virmani	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jason Rought	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Pam Collazo	Poway	CA	92064	US	9/3/2021
Janice Costales	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Kiran Atmakuri	San Jose	OR	95120	US	9/3/2021
Stephen Timothy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Julianne Yoo	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
valdet demiri	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Bryan Nhan	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
Elizabeth Perez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
Yuandong Chen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jason Liu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
JF Bigras	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Yauhen Sedliarou	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
yaojen chang	San Jose	CA	95130	US	9/3/2021
Dale Henderson	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/3/2021
Lynda Tholl-Sisken	San Jose	CA	95124	US	9/3/2021
Samuel Godwin	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/3/2021
Elizabeth Martinez	San Jose	CA	95129	US	9/3/2021
Victoria Sedliarova	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/3/2021
Oleksiy Busaryev	Mountain View		94043	US	9/3/2021
Edwin Callard	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Shravanthi Mora	San Jose	CA	95124	US	9/3/2021
Maria Callard	Springfield	MA	1118	US	9/3/2021
Sheila Santiago	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Lin Hua Cheng	Los Altos	CA	94024	US	9/3/2021
Yakira Zhao	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Elio Marcolino	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jennifer Nguyen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Stacy Rowe	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Yutong Ding	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/3/2021
Bruce Cao	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Kitty Cook	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/3/2021
Wendy Pettus	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021

Hoan Nguyen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Bob Iofis	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/3/2021
Pavan Kollipara	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/3/2021
Jackie Diep	Sam Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Joseph Liang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Sherlynn Kung	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Nandita Shah				US	9/3/2021
Sumitra Ravi	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/3/2021
TS Ravi	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/3/2021
Joshua Lantrip	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/3/2021
Nandita Shah	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Anthony Diaz	San Francisco	CA	94119	US	9/3/2021
Shane Scott	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Anthony Diaz	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/3/2021
Kristy Chan	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/3/2021
Yihsiang Peng	San jose	CA	95117	US	9/3/2021
Atsuko Watanabe	San Mateo	CA	94403	US	9/3/2021
Stephen Wolfe	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Yasmeen Tan	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/3/2021
Ale B	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jeromy Diaz	Sunnyvale	CA	94089	US	9/3/2021
Wei Wei	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Lan Mi	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Sami Tolvanen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
LARRY JIANG	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Giyoung Yoon	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/3/2021
Xin Liu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jingjing Zhao	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
Simon Xu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
shawn citarelli	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Lu Sun	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Grace Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Sainesh Solanki	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
J J	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/3/2021
Mykyta Koniev	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Yevheniia Konieva	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/3/2021
Sridhar Krishnamurthy	San Jose	CA	95124	US	9/3/2021
Nikolay Em	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Grayson Bianco	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
shane W	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/3/2021
Jocelyn Ramirez	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/3/2021
Ashley Noll	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Julian Madero	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/4/2021
Kay Sahai	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/4/2021
Harsh P	San Jose	CA	95116	US	9/4/2021
Nandish THAMLAPURA RAMEGOWI	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/4/2021
Gabriel Madero	San Josa	CA	95128	US	9/4/2021

Jamie VanderPlaats	Sacramento	CA	95128	US	9/4/2021
Carina Chen	Oakland	CA	94603	US	9/4/2021
Jennifer Cheung	San Jose	CA	95116	US	9/4/2021
Sherry Brown	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/4/2021
Dan Ikegami	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Kevin Johnson	Sunnyvale	CA	94089	US	9/4/2021
Andrew Kulikov	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Kimberly Chen	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/4/2021
Reza yazdanpanah	san jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Ngoc mai	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Ken Tompkins	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/4/2021
Corina Victoria	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Harshil Parikh	San Jose	CA	95124	US	9/4/2021
Cheng Thai	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Wendy Geng	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Jordan Berry	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Selcan Akin	Sunnyvale	CA	94089	US	9/4/2021
Molly Sneed	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Lisa Claindoux	San Jose	CA	95132	US	9/4/2021
John Owl	San Francisco	CA	94105	US	9/4/2021
Nan Su	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/4/2021
Pavan Devaraj	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Apoorva S	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Leslie Herrera	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/4/2021
malik payton	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/4/2021
nidhi Jain	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/4/2021
Dharmesh Patel	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Mat Ventre	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/4/2021
Daniel Edwards	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Boravy Edwards	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Mary Martinez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/4/2021
Nsne Sjej	Columbus		43229	US	9/4/2021
Felix Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
patty hope	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Lynda Nguyen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Damir Khamidullin	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Zouniga Hernandez	San Francisco	CA	94134	US	9/4/2021
Kate Shchypa	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Ariana Swagerty	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Vivian Trieu	San Jose	CA	95132	US	9/4/2021
Kari Ritz	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/4/2021
Jonathan Mai	San Jose	CA	95132	US	9/4/2021
Arthur Boudeville	SAN JOSE	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Natalie Kessler	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Ramsey Fernandez	Honolulu		96817	US	9/4/2021
Tom Hangler	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/4/2021
Christelle Couturier	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021

Andrenisha Butler	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/4/2021
Keela Neumann	Quincy	IL	62301	US	9/4/2021
Komal Sharma	Antioch	CA	94531	US	9/4/2021
Beverly Bergthold	San Jose	CA	95118	US	9/4/2021
Bill Swagerty	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/4/2021
LuAnn Swagerty	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/4/2021
Jeremy Malave	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Nerissa Marquez	San Diego	CA	92126	US	9/4/2021
Bhavesh Patel	San jose	CA	8861	US	9/4/2021
chinhoh moeng	Santa Ana	CA	92705	US	9/4/2021
Donna Guadagni	Mountain View	CA	94043	US	9/4/2021
Darren Sandusky	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/4/2021
Sri Rajan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/4/2021
Chuda Rajan	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/4/2021
Aylet Torres	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Elizabeth Casey	San Diego	CA	92128	US	9/5/2021
Audishesha Kota	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Shafiuddin Syed	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Vickie Wei	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
N Triantafillis	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Iris Loke	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Keith Liang	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Debra Spencer	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/5/2021
Eric Chow	San Leandro	CA	94578	US	9/5/2021
Peter Wasowski	Mountain View	CA	94040	US	9/5/2021
Philip Wong	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/5/2021
Bryson Peng	Rocklin	CA	95765	US	9/5/2021
Reshma Cheriyanassaery	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/5/2021
perry couchell	San Jose	CA	95124	US	9/5/2021
Alyssa Cruz	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Patrick Cruz	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
bhavya gadipudi	Westport	CT	6880	US	9/5/2021
chris french	San Mateo	CA	94404	US	9/5/2021
Kathryn Ablaza	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Daryl Vergonio	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Darwin Vergonio	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/5/2021
Samantha Vergonio	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Virginia Thach	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/5/2021
Jennifer Seward	Tracy	CA	95391	US	9/5/2021
Alex Pham	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Eugene Camgros	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Puspesh Dwivedi	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Katherine Cruz	San Jose	CA	95127	US	9/5/2021
Brittany Olson	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Jon Zavala	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/5/2021
Claire Tang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/5/2021
Mengfan Cao	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/5/2021

Isabel Nie	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/5/2021
Amanda Agbayani	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/5/2021
Kara Gerdes	San Jose	CA	95118	US	9/5/2021
Mavic Magno	Santa Clara	CA	94605	US	9/5/2021
Mrinal Kumar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Maria Delatolas	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Sophia Lising	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Vimal Mohan Kothanath	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Zhiyu Long	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Ju Pan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Shrenik Jain	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Peng Peng Saw	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/5/2021
Aniruddha Talukder	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/5/2021
Hari Puthiyapurayil	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Julio Llerenas	San Francisco	CA	94105	US	9/5/2021
Ka-yiu Chan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/5/2021
Ceryn O'Rourke	Chicopee		1020	US	9/5/2021
RYAN OQUENDO	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Allison Rosa	Anderson	SC	29621	US	9/6/2021
Kannan Iyer	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Aileen Asi	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/6/2021
Kelsey Snook	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/6/2021
Maritza Chitnis	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/6/2021
Damian Ng	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Joanna Ayupan	Union City	CA	94587	US	9/6/2021
Alyssa Ng	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
ashton Ng	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Antonette Bajet	San Jose	CA	95148	US	9/6/2021
Max Wingfield	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/6/2021
giles ayupan	Union City	CA	94587	US	9/6/2021
Brian Adrian	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Ravindra Sawant	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Joey Costales	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Stephanie Goubert	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Thereza Costales	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Cherelle P	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Yuliia Ezzeddine	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Liza P	Hayward	CA	94541	US	9/6/2021
Jo chen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Sin Fok	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Anika Shahi	Oakland	CA	94611	US	9/6/2021
Peter Collins	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Wayne Limos	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/6/2021
David Chen	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/6/2021
Oto Slavos	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Alma Goldchain	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
finn williams	Omaha		68106	US	9/6/2021

Ricardo Moreno	San Jose	CA	95141	US	9/6/2021
Desiree Gustofson	Santa Cruz	CA	95065	US	9/6/2021
Harini Jayaraman	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Riley Foster	Grants Pass		97527	US	9/6/2021
Mia Periard	Akron		44321	US	9/6/2021
Leanne Lee	Aurora	IL	60502	US	9/6/2021
Sierra Lucht	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Alec Yenawine	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Bansari Patel	Monmouth Junction	NJ	8852	US	9/6/2021
Ieyna h	Oakland	CA	94601	US	9/6/2021
Yujeanne Lee	Sunnyvale	CA	94089	US	9/6/2021
Cody Conrad	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Lionel Davalos	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Kevin Selway	Emeryville	CA	94608	US	9/6/2021
Nash Gray	Emeryville	CA	94134	US	9/6/2021
William Wu	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/6/2021
Jonathan Perez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/6/2021
Karen Eung	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/6/2021
Jennifer Pak	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Feifei Xiong	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/6/2021
Oanh Vo	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Tyler Selewicz	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Linda Lua	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Amruta B	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/6/2021
Khurram Saqlain	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/6/2021
Yash Gupta	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/6/2021
Katharine Bartlett	Mountain View	CA	94040	US	9/6/2021
Marilou C	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Peter Tseng	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/6/2021
Alaia Lacerna	Fremont	CA	94538	US	9/6/2021
Michael Kim				US	9/6/2021
Kitt Bee	San Jose	CA	94542	US	9/6/2021
Chris Cheng	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/7/2021
Umair Bozai	Sacramento	CA	95828	US	9/7/2021
Karl Kohlsaatt	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Shawn Tan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Heidi Harlan	San Jose	CA	95130	US	9/7/2021
Ian Sun	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Martha Esparza	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Sam Privett	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Minju Park	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/7/2021
Brandon Jones	Reno	NV	89509	US	9/7/2021
Pinak Prajapati	Stockton	CA	95206	US	9/7/2021
Laura Tan	San Leandro	CA	94577	US	9/7/2021
Bob Jackson	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
David Fried	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Nicholas Quintana	Miami		33173	US	9/7/2021

Ly Fried	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Lyndsee Kowalski-Smith	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Sumit Kumar M	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Sarah Wright	San Jose	CA	45212	US	9/7/2021
Han Ngo	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Doa Vu	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Marie Kabahit	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/7/2021
Roopa Chadaga	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/7/2021
Michael Head	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Rich Shah	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Grant Kohlsaar	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/7/2021
Ross Indy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Kevin Zhao	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Elizabeth Rodriguez	San Francisco	CA	94110	US	9/7/2021
Gary Torres	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Kiran Gilvaz	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Feng Guo	Los Gatos	CA	95032	US	9/7/2021
Uthra natarajan	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
cindy liu	San jose	CA	94404	US	9/7/2021
Alex zhang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Naylet Sivira	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/7/2021
Jacky Zhang	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/7/2021
dimi Shahbaz	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Mahen Syed	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Anicia Dcosta	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/7/2021
Ejona Shehu	Queens	NY	11368	US	9/7/2021
Sandra Agsalud	Fullerton	CA	92833	US	9/7/2021
Eileen Ram	Santa clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Melwyn Dsouza	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/7/2021
Maya Hara	Berkeley	CA	94707	US	9/7/2021
Tracey Chang	San Jose	CA	94134	US	9/7/2021
Tri Ngo	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Bohan Wang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Brian Kozumplik	Berkeley	CA	94707	US	9/7/2021
Catherine Palfey	Arlington	VA	22207	US	9/7/2021
kathy shah	Ellicott City	MD	21042	US	9/7/2021
Dwayne Dickson	Stamford		6902	US	9/7/2021
Jinnyeng Son	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Joseph Matthews	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Mapich Herrera	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Jennifer A	san jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Jay Chitnis	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Grigory Golovin	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/7/2021
Yi Wang	Mountain View	CA	94043	US	9/7/2021
Yuki U.	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Jenny Carloni	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Venkatakrishnan Mandaram Parthasarathy	Sacramento	CA	95828	US	9/7/2021

Tanushree Kakani	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Jane Jiang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Deepak Doreswamy	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Alejandra Chavez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Venkatesh Balaji	Newark	CA	94560	US	9/7/2021
Paul Antony	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/7/2021
Andrew Cheung	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Naresh Balaji	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Harsh Sharma	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/7/2021
Parul Palki	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Archana Patil	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
pallavi Pattanshetti	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/7/2021
Milind Gokhale				US	9/7/2021
Patrick Chao	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/7/2021
Nic Otter	Des Plaines		60018	US	9/7/2021
Surbhi Agiwal	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Daniel Hsu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Cherie Lin	Baldwin Park	CA	91706	US	9/7/2021
Tina Jain	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Mini Hu	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/7/2021
Candice Pena	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Xinrui Zhang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Brandon Mitcham	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Kimberly Nguyen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Hanna Jang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Barton Firch	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Yunzhe Qiu	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Xiaoyu Wang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
poojitha Sathrasala	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/7/2021
Alex Guerrero	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Ida Di Venere	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Ashima Goel	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Leo Huang	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/7/2021
Andrew Coppolino	Sacramento	CA	95825	US	9/7/2021
Alen Handzar	San Francisco	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Rahul Jain	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Deepak Ravi	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Mario Medina	Santa clara	CA	95051	US	9/7/2021
Himanshi Verma	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Junxiang Wang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Ankur Agiwal	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/7/2021
Wei Wen Jeng	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Charlene Kliatchko	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Nancy L	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/7/2021
Neha Sinha	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
KH Cho	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Jaren Jaren	Winter Garden		34787	US	9/7/2021

Angelica Sanchez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/7/2021
Nicholas La Vrar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Carissa Solomon	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Rudra Bose	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Eugene Gonzales	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Jennifer Chau	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Raj Thiru	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Austinne Joyce Arandia	San Jose	CA	95127	US	9/7/2021
Pablo Garcia	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Connor Francis	Denver		80219	US	9/7/2021
Rebecca Trancoso	Solvang	CA	93463	US	9/7/2021
Harshita Oruganti	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Lori Lachner	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Kevin Brown	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/7/2021
Sushil N	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Elizabeth Carvalho	San Jose	CA	94587	US	9/7/2021
Gabriel Jasso	Columbus		31095	US	9/7/2021
Eudora Demiri-Worman	Syracuse	NY	13203	US	9/7/2021
Besim Demiri	Queens	NY	11372	US	9/7/2021
Janice Styles	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/7/2021
Hsienchi Pan	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/7/2021
Jianlin Zhang	New York	NY	95054	US	9/7/2021
Ruby Valera	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Cindy Lui	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Falak Dave	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Keoni Angel	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Marge Hutchinson	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/8/2021
Dexter Goody	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
April Olsen	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/8/2021
Tegan Van	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/8/2021
Meliza Lessard	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/8/2021
Synthiah S	Oakland	CA	94607	US	9/8/2021
Kris C	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/8/2021
Sandy Demiri	Brooklyn	NY	11218	US	9/8/2021
Larry Cheng	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Ryan M	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Dorothy Belk	Little Rock	AR	72206	US	9/8/2021
Jerika Reyes	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Francis Galang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Lizzie Kim	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
JM Galang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Jerika Galang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Atul Singh	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
L D	Danville	CA	94526	US	9/8/2021
Divya Pari		CA		US	9/8/2021
Daniel Solomon	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Jenelyn Taulealo	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021

jessica Tao	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Nicko Paulo Balois	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Mansi Chhichhia	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Joe Chang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Jennifer Meaney	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Riley Barrett	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Chris Mcnealy	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Zorina Mercado	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/8/2021
Tiffany Chang	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/8/2021
Anu Aggarwal	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/8/2021
Michelle Lim	Mountain View	CA	94043	US	9/8/2021
Sara Kwanhua	National City	CA	91950	US	9/8/2021
Jeff Zhang	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/8/2021
Aa Aggarwal	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/8/2021
Mary ramirez	Alviso	CA	95002	US	9/8/2021
Albert Calpito	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Thanh Tran	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Matthew Miao	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Ruchi Samdani	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
Yao-Nan Lee	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
Christine Hensley	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Zhouhui Miao	Saratoga	CA	95070	US	9/8/2021
Rodney Chiu	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
Patrick Murphy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Randy Ho	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
Dana Vincent	Sunnyvale	CA	94089	US	9/8/2021
Satya Mallya	Verdigris circle	CA	94541	US	9/8/2021
A Jain	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/8/2021
Mar P	San Francisco	CA	94110	US	9/8/2021
Neelam C	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/8/2021
Kalpna Reddy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Stephanie Wu	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/8/2021
Taeyeon Kim	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Manju Bhuria	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
Chandrakant & pravina Patel	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Joy Pinkerton	Santa Clara	CA	94110	US	9/8/2021
Akkini Kunju	Castro Valley	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Bhargavi Rajesh				US	9/8/2021
Austin Ward	Corvallis	OR	97330	US	9/8/2021
Wei Wei	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
LORI Galano	Redwood City	CA	94063	US	9/8/2021
Shruthi V	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/8/2021
Poonam Mhatre	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/8/2021
Robin Vincent	McDonough	GA	30252	US	9/8/2021
Daniel Huang	San Jose	CA	95129	US	9/8/2021
Cleyrissa Robinson	Los Angeles		90028	US	9/8/2021
Jimmie Garcia	San Lorenzo	CA	94580	US	9/8/2021

Amit Tiwari	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/8/2021
Nickey Holmes	Oakland	CA	94602	US	9/8/2021
Joseph Wu	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/8/2021
Lizza Castro	Las Vegas	NV	89135	US	9/8/2021
Thuylinh Nguyen	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/9/2021
David Coleman	Oakland	CA	94619	US	9/9/2021
Ne Ka	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/9/2021
Hope Casey-Allen	Indianapolis	IN	46220	US	9/9/2021
Michael Harris	Indianapolis	IN	46220	US	9/9/2021
Paul MacDonald	San Jose	CA	95113	US	9/9/2021
Catherine MacDonald	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/9/2021
zhengyan shen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Paul Wiczorek	San Jose	CA	95113	US	9/9/2021
Lily C	Newark	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Meng-Fu Shih	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Pelin Salem	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Jing-I Lu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Ricky Ochoa	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/9/2021
Ruhina Karmali	Sacramento	CA	95814	US	9/9/2021
Meiyi Yang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Hai Wang	San Jose	CA	95127	US	9/9/2021
Jesse Ruiz	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/9/2021
Faith Angel	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Whitney Ziesing	San Francisco	CA	94115	US	9/9/2021
Abigail Parsons	San Jose	CA	95110	US	9/9/2021
Yerong Chen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Raj R	Vienna	VA	22180	US	9/9/2021
Robert Hyslop	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Eduardo Saldana	San Juan		78589	US	9/9/2021
rick suarez	Port jefferson		117777	US	9/9/2021
Amanda Silveira	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/9/2021
Laura Amsler	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Paul Hunter	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Shaun Miller	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/9/2021
Manuel Fernandez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/9/2021
Nav Panjrath	San Jose	CA	95148	US	9/9/2021
Brady Erhart	Raleigh		27608	US	9/9/2021
Patrick Pete	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/9/2021
Nerissa Santos	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/9/2021
DEVA SURESH VEGESNA	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/10/2021
Madhupriya Pal	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Indrashish Basu	Gainesville	FL	32608	US	9/10/2021
Brenda Dohmen	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/10/2021
Olga Mustelier	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Xiaozhe Shen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Nikhita Kumar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Senshen Li	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021

Nisha Agarwal	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/10/2021
Sheena Madan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Tony Marino	Scottsdale		85260	US	9/10/2021
Cris Burns	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
George Condit	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Cynthia Gong	Kings Beach	CA	96143	US	9/10/2021
Carole Gong	Los Angeles	CA	90066	US	9/10/2021
Rajini Votari	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Anu Kathuria	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/10/2021
Jason Hsieh	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/10/2021
Shannon Visco	Marlborough		6447	US	9/10/2021
Crystal Wescott	Kansas City		64109	US	9/10/2021
Sam Leong	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/10/2021
Horace Randall	Pelham		31779	US	9/10/2021
Michael Lee	Piedmont	CA	94611	US	9/10/2021
Daniel Gong	Pasadena	CA	91104	US	9/10/2021
Emily Martin	Salem		97304	US	9/10/2021
Vivian Ho	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/10/2021
Justin Salazar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Ila Yadav	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Kim Leighty	Evansville	IN	47711	US	9/10/2021
Ssuping Teng	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/10/2021
Maggie Graves	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/10/2021
Neha Kansal	Pleasanton	CA	94588	US	9/10/2021
Guang Yee	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/10/2021
Adam Kaluba	Burleson	TX	76028	US	9/10/2021
Brandon Ellingson	Norwalk		6850	US	9/10/2021
Jenny wood	San Jose	CA	95129	US	9/10/2021
Mohini Suchanti	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/10/2021
ciara waters	Little Rock		72205	US	9/11/2021
Ashley Ma	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Hao Hao	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Haonan Wang	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/11/2021
Pearl Ram	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/11/2021
Yumeng Peng	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/11/2021
Flo Usita	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/11/2021
Sara Ghasemi	Hayward	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Hasan Eruslu	San Jose	DE	95134	US	9/11/2021
John Wu	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Sandra Fernandes	San Jose	CA	95150	US	9/11/2021
Yuhang Zhang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Xin Wang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Xin Wang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Kumar B	Malvern	PA	19355	US	9/11/2021
Jegan Mohan Uthaya Kumaran	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Jing Wang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Akalya R	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021

Mukilan R	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Hiren Madhavani	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/11/2021
Vivekanandhan Kumarasamy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Pauline Cheung	Foster City	CA	94404	US	9/11/2021
Silveny Luna	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/11/2021
Minh Luong	San Jose	CA	95148	US	9/11/2021
sampath thasampalayam	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/11/2021
Anastassia Taktejeva	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Sudhakar Marimuthu	San Francisco	CA	94131	US	9/11/2021
Qingyuan Ma	Saratoga	CA	95070	US	9/11/2021
Shrey Savur	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Nishi Savur	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Sowmya Bangalore	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
Praveen Krishnarajanagar Nataraj	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/11/2021
Cincinnati Chu	San Francisco	CA	95134	US	9/11/2021
cindy wang	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/11/2021
Sunita Yadav	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/11/2021
Wei Xiang	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/11/2021
Mona M	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/12/2021
Ganesh Alagarsamy Raja	Mountain house	CA	95391	US	9/12/2021
Bharat Ramakrishnan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Venkatesh Ranganathan	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/12/2021
Ying Wang	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Sanjana Srinivas	Sunnyvale	CA	94085	US	9/12/2021
Jennifer Situ	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/12/2021
Purushothaman Nandakumaran	San Jose	CA	95136	US	9/12/2021
Ram R	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/12/2021
Radhakrishnan Subbiah	San Ramon	CA	94582	US	9/12/2021
Kerrie Chabot	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/12/2021
Liqiong Zhao	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/12/2021
SUSHMITHA SUDINI	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/12/2021
Ramesh Sudini	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/12/2021
Sandip Madan	Bronx	NY		US	9/12/2021
Namrata Mehta	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/12/2021
Renuka CR	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Tanveer Raza	Campbell	CA	95008	US	9/12/2021
Saravanan Ugrapandian	Fremont	CA	94538	US	9/12/2021
Prashanth Mruthyunjaya	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Aruldass Anthony	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/12/2021
Melanie Teixeira	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Kuntal Parel	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/12/2021
Tami Sell	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/12/2021
Karthicprabu Marappan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Chitra Palanisamy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Larry Huynh	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/12/2021
Jiajing Wang	Palo Alto	CA	94303	US	9/12/2021
Yenlik Nurashveva	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021

Abhishek Bachchan	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/12/2021
Mei Guo	San Jose	CA	95135	US	9/12/2021
Banu Priya Rajasekaran	Fremont	CA	94538	US	9/12/2021
Debra Healer	Rio Vista	CA	94571	US	9/12/2021
Sowmya Mruthyunjaya	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Sat Sri	Milpitas	CA	95035	US	9/12/2021
Nitin Agrawal	Palo Alto	CA	94303	US	9/12/2021
Ofdlia Hernandez	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Amber Casperson	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/12/2021
N Agar	Atherton	CA	94027	US	9/12/2021
Raymond Feliciano	Rio Linda	CA	95673	US	9/12/2021
Davina Hernandez	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/12/2021
Chandrika Koganti	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
John John	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/12/2021
Eloisa Howell	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/12/2021
Ronald Scott	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/12/2021
Kane Howell	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/12/2021
Kelly Chen	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Priscilla Rodriguez	San Jose	CA	95128	US	9/12/2021
Sadeesh Duraisamy	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/12/2021
Albert Mendez	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Jacqueline Delafuente	San Jose	CA	95123	US	9/12/2021
Ponmalar Mani	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/12/2021
Michael Ramos	San Jose	CA	95141	US	9/12/2021
DEEPAK MANI	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Seetha Pinnamaneni	Danville	CA	94526	US	9/12/2021
Rodolfo Rodriguez	San Jose	CA	95138	US	9/12/2021
Aarthi Rajan	Sunnyvale	CA	94087	US	9/12/2021
James Hernandez	San Jose	CA	95138	US	9/12/2021
Bashi Mandava	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/12/2021
Olga Welch	Hayward	CA	94541	US	9/12/2021
Isabel Escalante	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/12/2021
Roxana Morales	Charlotte	NC	28226	US	9/12/2021
Lenny Welch	Palo Alto	CA	94303	US	9/12/2021
Tarun Gupta	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/12/2021
Anisha Raghavan	Brooklyn	NY	11201	US	9/12/2021
Arina Neshlyaeva	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/12/2021
Oleks Lev	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/12/2021
Pavi L	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/12/2021
Latha Dasari	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/12/2021
Kavin Karthik Ilangovan	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/12/2021
Sureet Aujla	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/12/2021
Becky Xu	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/12/2021
Shashikala Uthayakumar	Pleasanton	CA	94588	US	9/12/2021
Yee-Hui Hew	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/12/2021
Jerilynn Zaranda	San Jose	CA	95127	US	9/13/2021
Vaijyanthi Lalwani	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/13/2021

Jill Yeh	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Leona Carrara	Hayward	CA	94541	US	9/13/2021
Jasjit Madan	Cupertino	CA	95014	US	9/13/2021
Jessica Sanchez	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/13/2021
R Raji	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Audrey Snyder	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
RVR Nathan	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/13/2021
Archana Murthy	Newark	CA	94560	US	9/13/2021
Vanessa Aznaran	San Jose	CA	95132	US	9/13/2021
Madhu Peruri	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/13/2021
Jumay Empaynado	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/13/2021
KRS Lali	San Jose	CA	95131	US	9/13/2021
Joseph Ho	San Jose	CA	95135	US	9/13/2021
Lorri Geiger	Sunnyvale	CA	94086	US	9/13/2021
Jannette Snyder	Sunnyvale	CA	94089	US	9/13/2021
Brian Visitacion	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/13/2021
olegario avalos	San Francisco	CA	94107	US	9/13/2021
Tyza Garcia	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/13/2021
Saahithi Kolli	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/13/2021
Simone Sarracino	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Lydia Sanchez	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Ashok D	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Abby Gopa	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Coco B	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Claudia Rosas	Santa Clara	CA	95050	US	9/13/2021
Chan Maha	Sacramento	CA	95826	US	9/13/2021
shreyas gowda	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Hamsini muralidharan	Dublin	CA	94568	US	9/13/2021
Aru Lat	Sacramento	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Michel David	San Jose	CA	94040	US	9/13/2021
Jenny Bui	San Jose	CA	95121	US	9/13/2021
Hector Lopez	San Jose	CA	95127	US	9/13/2021
Shaily Bindra	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Neethu Dharan N	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Ranjithkumar Matheswaran	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
vivitha venugopal	Saratoga	CA	95070	US	9/13/2021
Sibi Sakthivel	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
michel david	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Sasikumar Sathasivam	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Babu Annadurai	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Ariana Alvarez	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/13/2021
Peri Sekar	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Kalyankumar K	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Kather Cumbum	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Shilpi Karan	Austin	TX	78705	US	9/13/2021
Kalpna Reddy	Ashburn	VA	20147	US	9/13/2021
Anna Giongco	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/13/2021

Priya Krishnan	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/13/2021
GAYATHRI N	Milpitas	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Suresh Ramasamay	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Sarah Biggs	San Jose	CA	95136	US	9/13/2021
Raj N	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Christine Liu	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
Patrick Lee	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
Sangeetha Suresh	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
A R	Santa Clara	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Anush Shankar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Prateek Kansal	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Edmund Ray Baraan	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
Bijayani Mishra	San Francisco	CA	94107	US	9/13/2021
Paula Miranda	Sunnyvale	CA	94086	US	9/13/2021
Joyce Yang	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Henry Chui	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
Pranav Shashidhar	Chicago	IL	60618	US	9/13/2021
Chitra MCA	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Narayanan Sundaram	Saratoga	CA	95070	US	9/13/2021
Lynda Ogilvie	Saem	OR	97302	US	9/13/2021
Jeeva Rakkiannan	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
V K	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Vijay Kumar	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Yuehtzu Huang	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
Sures Y.	Le Claire	IA	52753	US	9/13/2021
sky sky	Le Claire	IA	52753	US	9/13/2021
Alyssa Roy	San Jose	CA	95127	US	9/13/2021
Tanvi Bavishi	West Lafayette	IN	47907	US	9/13/2021
Ali Darwish	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Thangam Thirumoorthy	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/13/2021
Robert Daniels	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Rajesh Kumar	Chicago	IL	60601	US	9/13/2021
Catherine Houdek	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/13/2021
Phil Houdek	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/13/2021
Mario Melendez	San Jose	CA	95135	US	9/13/2021
Jed Empaynado	San Jose	CA	95122	US	9/13/2021
PRAVEEN NATH	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Sherry Weldon	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/13/2021
Christopher DKJ	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Jules K	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/13/2021
Rita Parrott	Campbell	CA	95008	US	9/13/2021
Anthony Johnson	Mountain View	CA	94040	US	9/13/2021
Robert Bivin	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Aly Lopez	San Jose	CA	95117	US	9/13/2021
Krishnamurti Subramanian	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Sanskruti Shah	San jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
MARK SCRIVENER	San Jose	CA	95111	US	9/13/2021

Raghavan Srinivasa	San Jose	CA	95120	US	9/13/2021
anup tirumala	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/13/2021
Kathy Doan-Walter	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
George Murguia	San Jose	CA	95126	US	9/13/2021
Navin Patel	San Jose	CA	95118	US	9/13/2021
Kumar Palanisamy	Coppell	TX	75019	US	9/13/2021
Venugopal Kathirvel	San Ramon	CA	94583	US	9/13/2021
Ramesh Ponnappalli	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Tracy Maples	Long Beach	CA	90815	US	9/13/2021
Tim Zadel	San Jose	CA	95118	US	9/13/2021
Bonifacio Capuyan	New York City	NY	10004	US	9/13/2021
Samtha Su	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/13/2021
Pok Wong	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/13/2021
Monh Pham	San Jose	CA	95132	US	9/13/2021
Archana Kruttiventi	San Jose		95123	US	9/14/2021
Sai Aduvala	Fremont	CA	94536	US	9/14/2021
Vinoc Kumar	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/14/2021
Kshama Krishnan	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/14/2021
Jole Consani	San Jose	CA	95112	US	9/14/2021
Rajaram Govindaswamy	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021
Mythri Nanjundappa	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021
Vijay Srinivasan	Santa Clara	CA	95054	US	9/14/2021
Kevin Noda	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021
Pandiyam Appavu	San Jose	CA	95125	US	9/14/2021
Lars Ulrich	Santa Clara	CA	95051	US	9/14/2021
Tsang Fan Su	Campbell	CA	95008	US	9/14/2021
Thulasimani Marimuthu	Sanjose	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021
Govardhani Edpuganti	Fremont	CA	94539	US	9/14/2021
Muru Moahn	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021
Krishna Balusu	Fremont	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021
Swathi Nekkallapudi	San Jose	CA	95129	US	9/14/2021
Venkata Gopi Kolla	San Jose	CA	95129	US	9/14/2021
Preethi Gedela	Folsom	CA	95630	US	9/14/2021
Padmanaban Padmanaban	San Jose	CA	95134	US	9/14/2021

Name	City	State	Date	Comment
Sheena Madan	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I live right next to this location and completely oppose this RV site. The city made this plan very quickly so it can also change it to another location quickly."
Arun Mariappan			9/2/2021	"Please consider this petition with upmost importance. Thanks,Arun [REDACTED]"
Manish Patidar	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I live right next to the complex"
George Mathew	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"Have great concern for the safety of my children and family."
Anitha T	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I live right next to this."
Soni Chalasani	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I am resident of California Impressions neighborhood.. we have all small children as safety we want to oppose the change"
Lubna Afzal	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I live around this neighborhood and we have been facing car breaks and vandalism for years. I am afraid this encampment will add to our problems of safety and noise"
Renu Kanumalla	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"Renu Kanumalla"
Samaiya Raja	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I live around this neighborhood and very concerned about the safety, noise and hygiene"
Shprese Demiri-Head			9/2/2021	"I fear for my children's safety and my safety . THIS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED especially in such proximity to a children's playground ."
Hari Siripuram	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"I'm concerned about the safety of kids & crime in the area"
Nicolas Lin			9/2/2021	"I have serious security concern of the relocation plan."
Gautham R	San Jose	CA	9/2/2021	"Our community is filled with kids playing in the park, teens/adults using the soccer field/basket ball court, dogs, dog owners and adults who love walking the streets. Everyone will be affected when this "Safe Parking Site" comes to fruition. Hoping that the city would reconsider their decision on this encampment project per the petition."
Kamala Seeni	Danville	CA	9/2/2021	"Security concerns around the park"

Sham Chatterji		AL	9/2/2021	"We already have our share of concerns around break-in's, mail theft, illegal parking, litter, noise, etc. The city has been near hopeless in helping our community, which comprises of kids and elderly, alike. Please do not make a bad situation worse by sneaking in a homeless encampment in our neighborhood, Mr. Cohen! Do your homework (and some leg work) by visiting the neighborhood and discussing your plans with the several communities in this neighborhood. We do not approve of your plans."
Anna oei	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"We saw so many neighbors from other complex park in our community. We also saw so many UHaul trucks or moving companies are parking their truck in the middle of the street to unload and load moving things from the apartment complexes. This neighborhood is totally out of control. We hope to keep our quality of living standards in urbanization since we are surrounded by high level high tech companies."
Thomas Scott	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"Our community was omitted from this decision process. We have a voice."
Thomas Scott	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"Why was our community omitted from the decision process? We have a voice. We are located in 'CITY OF SAN JOSE COUNCIL DISTRICT 4'. Our city Councilmember is David Cohen. David states on the district 4 website, "Our job is to listen to our constituents and be their champions at City Hall." and also states, "I want to make District 4 a place everyone can be proud to call home. You can reach me at _____ or email me at district4@sanjoseca.gov." Everyone, please call and email David Cohen to voice our objection of turning our neighborhood into an RV encampment. https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments/city-council/members/district-4 "
Stefan D'Costa			9/3/2021	"It's a bad idea"

Samuel Godwin	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"This is an abomination. How dare they just kick the homeless deeper into urban and technical home spots. Totally unacceptable to humans and animals"
Sherlynn Kung	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"I am a resident in the nearby community. This is not acceptable. I strongly against this."
Joseph L	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"This is never communicated with local neighborhood , but city governor only post on the next-door app few days ago before they are going to take action. we feel the way to deal with this is deliberately , and try not to give local community enough time to flight back. this area is full of residential people, with kids going to the 2 parks just near by, this movement will have big impact on the security and sanitation, and city government should consider to find other place with less crowd."
Joseph L	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"This is never communicated with local neighborhood , but city governor only post on the next-door app few days ago before they are going to take action. we feel the way to deal with this is deliberately , and try not to give local community enough time to flight back. this area is full of residential people, with kids going to the parks near by, this movement will have big impact on the security and sanitation, and city government should consider to find other place with less crowd."
Kristy Chan	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"I live in this community, and I concern the safety of this neighborhood. Strongly against a homeless encampment at 71 Vista Montana."
Giyong Yoon	San Jose	CA	9/3/2021	"We have built a nice park for kids to play soccer and roam about to get some exercise and build friendship. Now you are allowing homeless encampment right next to it. This is absurd and should not be allowed. Please reconsider for the kids."

Samuel Godwin	San Jose	CA	9/4/2021	"After attending the meeting today tripled down my Donation those city Council members thought that they were going to ramrod this down the neighborhoods throats without even telling us at the very last minute skirting all rules and decency totally for their own ego. This cannot stand and is totally a overreach of power and control by a few members of the city Council. This is wrong is cannot stand I am committed to making this very hard on the city to act. A class action lawsuit coupled with immediate injunction on services they have converted a resident a commercial area to a residential area for temporary housing without any permits. This cannot stand"
Molly Sneed	San Jose	CA	9/4/2021	"I want to keep neighborhoods with families safe from any increase in crime or destruction of property."
KRISHNA P	San Jose	CA	9/5/2021	""It is an experiment", said Cohen. This is no place to do an experiment! - A dense residential neighborhood, near two kids parks! Please do this experiment in a less dense, preferably non-residential area"
Jon Zavala	San Jose	CA	9/5/2021	"I have a son and I have pets I walk around my apartment. I have lived at Domain for 3 years have had my car broken into, seen homeless people sleep inside my apartment building floor. I believe the cause that the city is pushing is a great one. However the location is not ideal. We have families here. Children, soccer and basketball fields. The community does not benefit in having the homeless RV park. The last thing we need in our community is an increase in drug use, litter and crime."
giles ayupan	Union City	CA	9/6/2021	"Giles Ayupan"
Brandon Jones	Reno	NV	9/7/2021	"This is an atrocity. How can they put this nasty homeless camp between two luxury apartment buildings and a playground? The residents here were never given the opportunity to object to this. What the hell?!"

Sarah Wright	San Jose	CA	9/7/2021	"It has already been a few days since and already we have seen an increase of trash, drug needles in the streets, and loose pit bulls. I see many pets, children, and their families each day enjoying their time in a safe park. Kids walk to their designated school bus stops each day on these streets around Vista Montana. If we permit homeless RV parking, I fear that we will see an increase in crime and danger for our children and this community. I support the concerns outlined in the petition and hope to find a different solution."
Doa Vu	Santa Clara	CA	9/7/2021	"The city can not move the homeless encampment near the 2 parks where children play daily."
Arun Mariappan			9/7/2021	"This is a serious concern for 3000+ people living in this neighborhood."
Jacky Zhang	Milpitas	CA	9/7/2021	"i do not like this to happen."
Yi Wang	Mountain V	CA	9/7/2021	"This is not league"
Barton Firch	San Jose	CA	9/7/2021	"This is thoughtless and the way that they went about it was so shady that I doubt there is any true legal authority. Even if they managed to create some brain damaged legal justification it would still be immoral."
Besim Demiri	Queens	NY	9/7/2021	"Besim Demiri: this is just completely unacceptable to do to a community."
Samuel Godwin	San Jose	CA	9/8/2021	"Sneaky Dave and the goons from San Jose City Council setting up Homeless camp in commercial parking lot. Dave phd is in the pocket of Big tech and could careLess about the children"

Ravi Koganti	San Jose	CA	9/8/2021	"San Jose has neglected this area for years. San Jose did not stand up to the new stadium and the result is very clear. Game days, It is a parking nightmare. No enforcement.Used to be a beautiful neighborhoods around this area. Residents are very compassionate and welcomed the migration of people affected by Katrina (if anyone remembers that) into the low income housing in the area. San Jose city has done NOTHING to ensure safety and security of the residents or general population in these neighborhoods. Result is increased drug use, theft and car/house break-ins in what was once very Safe neighborhood."
Maritza Chitnis	San Jose	CA	9/8/2021	"I recommend reaching out to local soccer club teams - or putting up flyers at the soccer fields. Many youth club soccer teams use the soccer fields for practices and games. I would think that the soccer clubs and/or parents might want to know about this issue or they may pressure the club to not use the field any longer resulting in a loss of revenue for the city - good luck! I have signed and sent a message to my club team."
LORI Galano	Redwood Ci	CA	9/8/2021	"I do not agree with the decisions of moving them to an already crowded residential neighborhood. I feel Very unsafe walking around the neighborhood now. Can we find a better location for them to move into? Like Unused parking lot of church or old company buildings where there's not much traffic already going on."
Nav Panjra	San Jose	CA	9/9/2021	"It s important for the city residents and kids to stay safe."
Indrashish Basu	Gainesville	FL	#####	"I stay in the neighborhood of Renaissance Drive, and I am very much concerned about the security of the area with this move from the city."
Brenda Dohmen	San Jose	CA	#####	"Solidarity with neighbors adjacent to the new homeless RV parking area."

Sheena Madan	San Jose	CA	#####	"I live next to this and found a hypodermic needle on the sidewalk right next to a site, where a truck owned by homeless people parked. They also had an off-leash pitbull larger than my 3 year old daughter, who has nowhere "inside" to go.I really wanted to be wrong about how bad this program is. But given the city's track record for terrible execution, broken promises, and not even notifying the immediately adjacent neighborhood, I know it is awful.I tried to explain the situation to my kindergartener, that he should have compassion for their hard situation, but also understand that they could act unpredictably and violently and hurt him. He hasn't been able to sleep at night, which makes two of us.We want them to get help, but this is the wrong location! The city needs to fix this!"
C B	San Jose	CA	#####	"Zero transparency from Councilman David Cohen kept community in the dark purposely. ABC, Anyone But Cohen in 2024."
Xin Wang	San Jose	CA	#####	"As a daddy, I am concerned about the safety about this relocation."
Giyoung Yoon	San Jose	CA	#####	"Please pause the plan. It's creating so much stress to the residents already. Mr. Cohen, you told us that you will be our Champion in the City Hall. So far, that is not working out and I am deeply disappointed. People in the camp needs to be better served in the area where they can peacefully park and carry on with their lives not inside a caged environment where there are neighbors who do not appear to be welcoming them. Please please reconsider."
Sandip Madan	Bronx	NY	#####	"This is an absurd and socially destructive move. The relocated folks gain little in this facilities poor location, and the neighborhoods around them lose A LOT."
Tami Sell	San Jose	CA	#####	"So these RVs show up without any prior notice to the neighbors, and then Council Member Cohen is surprised that they are pushing back? He better start listening to his constituents. Why can't the SCC Fairgrounds be used for a new RV park?"

Thomas Scott	San Jose	CA	#####	<p>"Yup! It's all about community engagement. Here's a cut & pasted from David Cohen's San Jose District 4 web page (link included). Thanks Dave for sticking to your "Values":https://www.sanjoseca.gov/your-government/departments/city-council/members/district-4"OUR VALUESOur job is to listen to our constituents and be their champions at City Hall.We value above all else our ability to be of service to our constituents. We resolve issues with speed and acumen, we celebrate our community, and we make strategic investments wherever possible.We believe in the Golden Rule – Treat whom we deal with as we would like to be treated ourselves.We believe integrity matters. We are honest, we honor our commitments, we are consistent and fair.We value diversity, belonging and inclusion and use an equity lens to inform decisions."</p>
Michel David	San Jose	CA	#####	<p>"Please pause the plan. It's so much stress to the residents already. People in the camp needs to be better served in the area where they can peacefully park and carry on with their lives not inside a caged environment where there are neighbors who do not appear to be welcoming them."</p>
Lynda Ogilvie	Saem	OR	#####	<p>"As a previous resident (45 years) of San Jose, I have seen that while the City of San Jose intentions are in the right place, their implementation such plans fail miserably, especially in keeping the residents of these areas safe."</p>

				"The current relocation of the RV camp/homeless at 71 vista montana in San Jose will cause more damage than good. As far as concerns go, safety has already been a major issue that our community has been dealing with for years. From packages getting stolen, to vehicle thefts, vandalism, and robberies, we are fed up. With that being said, the newest "Safe Parking" addition to our community is the last thing we need to feel "safe". It is our duties as a parents to prioritize safety for our families and the well-being of our households. The lack of respect and communication for such a drastic addition was a major slap to the face. As a community we expected more from the city. Instead we feel back-stabbed as a major issue was shifted from one area to another area which we call home. We worked extremely hard to provide a safe environment for our families to enjoy, and to watch it be stripped from us without our consent is absolutely ridiculous. Proposed site the empty lot parked by VTA buses by 237/Zanker road. Mr."
Kathy Doan-Walter	San Jose	CA	#####	
				"People who can't take care of themselves should be in secure facilities where they can be given proper mental health and/or drug addiction treatment, and where they will not harm themselves or others."
Tim Zadel	San Jose	CA	#####	
				"The illegal squatters have caused millions of dollars in damages and turned down the offer "The company paid millions of dollars to put up most of the residents in motel rooms for nine months and offered them a year of case management services" there should be transparent discussions and establish agreement with the current residents so similar damages does not repeat and negotiate a new offer acceptable for Apple, the residents, and the illegal squatters"
Pok Wong	Santa Clara	CA	#####	
Rajaram Govindaswamy	Santa Clara		#####	"Rajaram Govindasamy"

Petition Stop the relocation from Orchard Parkway to 71 Vista Montana, San Jose City Council

URL: <https://www.change.org/p/david-cohen-stop-the-relocation-from-orchard-parkway-to-71-vista-montana-san-jose-city-council>

Councilmember Cohen from San Jose City Council announced -

"People who have been sheltering in their RVs and/or vehicles currently located at the encampment on Orchard Parkway will have the opportunity to relocate to the Vista Montana site once all the essential services to provide a safe, secure, and clean site are implemented. We anticipate people and vehicles will start to show up at the site between September 4th and September 7th. "

The neighborhood is concerned as a result, for several reasons -

1. Safety concern - There are daycares and several parks very close to this site. We are very concerned about the safety of our children.
2. Increasing crime - The city already has a bad track record of deterring crime in the area currently. Car break-ins, theft and suspicious people walking around are common and despite video evidence we do not seem to get timely help or action. The excuse being that there aren't enough resources with the city. At times we can't even report crimes because they are non-violent.
3. The plan was not disclosed earlier, and the neighboring communities were not given sufficient time and information to react. This feels deliberate.

4.San Jose has been trying to deal with current homeless encampments for years and they are out of control with rampant drug use, trash everywhere, and the recent fire on Orchard Pkwy. We do not trust the city to keep this sanctioned “RV parking lot” safe for the neighborhood. We completely oppose having this parking site, even temporarily.

5.The city has failed miserably in maintaining peace and safety and stopping illegal parking/parking violation with subsidized housing. We can't expect the city to do better with the encampment moving here.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas such as city-owned commercial property or the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds, that could be used for a “temporary” and safe RV parking lot.

As much as we understand that this is a problem that city needs to resolve and be compassionate at the same time, it is equally important that they consider the safety and other concerns the current residents of the neighborhood have.

Please sign the petition to make your voices and concerns heard so that the relocation stops.

**Concerned residents of the
neighborhood around 71 Vista Montana**

FW: City Council Meeting 9/14/21, Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: rajani palacherla <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:57 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov; Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City Council Meeting 9/14/21, Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To City Council,

I am a homeowner who have been living here on Renaissance/Vista Montana neighborhood for the past 22years. With the recent **high rise apartments** and the retail shopping, this neighborhood has become **heavily** populated. The **city gave permission** to these apartments even though **they don't have a parking plan**. Because of that, the residents of the apartment park in the middle of Renaissance Drive to load or unload items blocking the traffic. Too many cars are parked along the road side of Vista Montana and Renaissance Drive making a blind spot difficult for the residents to get into the street from the intersections/driveways.

I and we as a neighborhood is **not against the Homeless. we are against the city for making this decision without the involvement of the residents**. I want to be **part of the solution**. Unfortunately **city did not involve or notify us regarding this and the decision was made behind the closed doors**. This busy overcrowded neighborhood is **not** a right place to open a Safe Parking Site for homeless. City should find a better place where homeless have wraparound services, showers, water facility etc..It is not enough for the city to just show them a place to stay and don't bother about their needs. Homeless encampments in other locations in San Jose looks like a garbage pile because **the city didn't bother** about arranging garbage pickup on a weekly basis. Too much trash and dry garbage caused **lot of fires** in the homeless encampments. I urge city to do the humane thing as the homeless are also humans just like everyone else.

City should consider building a park or art/music center for kids and leave some open space at 71 Vista Montana to balance the dense population and high rise apartments.

There are **lot of questions** that are **not answered** for the residents since **the city did not involve us in the process.**

***Cohen mentioned this decision was made due to emergency. What is the emergency? How did the city treated this operation as an emergency? **Lack of planning on City's part doesn't constitute an emergency on mine.**

***What was the criteria used to pick this site? What are the reasons to choose this site despite being children's park, soccer park and day care being very close to the site, one park being 2 feet away from the site?

***Who are the decision makers on this? Who is involved?

***Cohen told the residents that this is an experiment or pilot program. When homeless encampments in several other places in San Jose are failing, some are being shutdown. then why is the city doing this experiment in the middle of a busy overcrowded neighborhood?

***Are people in this encampment added to Megan's Law map?

***Did the city do any research to see if it has any impact on safety, security and hygiene of the neighborhood before making this decision?

***Did the city consider what's the crime rate and the police report time before making this decsion? There is already growing number of thefts in the neighborhood from package thefts to car break-ins to Catalytic Convertor thefts and Mr. Cohen acknowledged that the police has the slowest response time in North San Jose

***Did the city consider how it handles and what would be the impact if more unlist homeless people move to the proposed site in the neighborhood?

I request the city to address these concerns/questions immediately.

Regards,

--Rajani(Resident of Renaissance Drive/Vista Montana, North San Jose)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:13 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Rajasekar N <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:57 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Cc:** Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; District1 <district1@sanjoseca.gov>; District2 <District2@sanjoseca.gov>; District3 <district3@sanjoseca.gov>; District4 <District4@sanjoseca.gov>; District5 <District5@sanjoseca.gov>; District 6 <district6@sanjoseca.gov>; District7 <District7@sanjoseca.gov>; District8 <district8@sanjoseca.gov>; District9 <district9@sanjoseca.gov>; District 10 <District10@sanjoseca.gov>; district11@sanjoseca.gov; The Office of Mayor Sam Liccardo <TheOfficeofMayorSamLiccardo@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

We already have our share of concerns around break-in's, mail theft, illegal parking, litter, noise, etc. The city has been near hopeless in helping our community, which comprises of kids and elderly, alike. Please do not make a bad situation worse by sneaking in a homeless encampment in our neighborhood, Mr. Cohen! Do your homework (and some leg work) by visiting the neighborhood and discussing your plans with the several communities in this neighborhood. We do not approve of your plans.

DO NOT EXPERIMENT with our lives and our community. Find an appropriate place for this experiment and provide them a safe and healthy environment. NOT where there is a CHILD PARK and densely populated neighborhood. We started to see abandoned vehicles, people sleeping in cars, needles and many things we haven't had for so many years. STOP this and do some homework before making decisions.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: City Council Meeting 9/14/21, Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Rajani Palacherla <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 9:59 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City Council Meeting 9/14/21, Open Forum: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

To City Council,

I am a homeowner who have been living here on Renaissance/Vista Montana neighborhood for the past 22years. With the recent **high rise apartments** and the retail shopping, this neighborhood has become **heavily** populated. The **city gave permission** to these apartments even though **they don't have a parking plan**. Because of that, the residents of the apartment park in the middle of Renaissance Drive to load or unload items blocking the traffic. Too many cars are parked along the road side of Vista Montana and Renaissance Drive making a blind spot difficult for the residents to get into the street from the intersections/driveways.

I and we as a neighborhood is **not against the Homeless. we are against the city for making this decision without the involvement of the residents. I want to be part of the solution.** Unfortunately **city did not involve or notify us regarding this and the decision was made behind the closed doors.** This busy overcrowded neighborhood is **not** a right place to open a Safe Parking Site for homeless. City should find a better place where homeless have wraparound services, showers, water facility etc..It is not enough for the city to just show them a place to stay and don't bother about their needs. Homeless encampments in other locations in San Jose looks like a garbage pile because **the city didn't bother** about arranging garbage pickup on a weekly basis. Too much trash and dry garbage caused **lot of fires** in the homeless encampments. I urge city to do the humane thing as the homeless are also humans just like everyone else.

City should consider building a park or art/music center for kids and leave some open space at 71 Vista Montana to balance the dense population and high rise apartments.

There are **lot of questions** that are **not answered** for the residents since **the city did not involve us in the process.**

***Cohen mentioned this decision was made due to emergency. What is the emergency? How did the city treated this operation as an emergency? **Lack of**

planning on City's part doesn't constitute an emergency on mine.

***What was the criteria used to pick this site? What are the reasons to choose this site despite being children's park, soccer park and day care being very close to the site, one park being 2 feet away from the site?

***Who are the decision makers on this? Who is involved?

***Cohen told the residents that this is an experiment or pilot program. When homeless encampments in several other places in San Jose are failing, some are being shutdown. then why is the city doing this experiment in the middle of a busy overcrowded neighborhood?

***Are people in this encampment added to Megan's Law map?

***Did the city do any research to see if it has any impact on safety, security and hygiene of the neighborhood before making this decision?

***Did the city consider what's the crime rate and the police report time before making this decision? There is already growing number of thefts in the neighborhood from package thefts to car break-ins to Catalytic Converter thefts and Mr. Cohen acknowledged that the police has the slowest response time in North San Jose

***Did the city consider how it handles and what would be the impact if more unlisted homeless people move to the proposed site in the neighborhood?

I request the city to address these concerns/questions immediately.

Regards,
--Sunil

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21,open forum:safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:13 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:12 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: City council meeting on 9/14/21,open forum:safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Shweta Shingote <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:00 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 9/14/21,open forum:safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi,

I am writing this email with a hope that our concerns and voices about the safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana will be heard at today's council meeting.

Our neighborhood is rightfully concerned about the city's decision to select this site which is right in the thick of residential homes and next to children's park.

The city not communicating this decision with the residents just makes it worse and a bigger issue of trust and transparency.

Regards,
Shweta Shingote

FW: City Council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: Sandip Madan <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:06 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City Council meeting on 9/14/21, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

This honorable Council has good intentions in setting up the homeless RV Park in our San Jose area but could hardly do it in a worse way. A good council (including one with a progressive outlook that we share) should be focused on lifting under privileged people up, not pulling neighborhoods down as is the case here.

What you intend as a temporary emergency measure is likely to remain indefinitely with court injunctions obtained by lawyers on behalf of any RV owners who are against ever moving out from here. We also sadly anticipate how the politics play out regardless of merits or fairness or logic of ending this so-called emergency measure. Recall how hard it has been for other cities like Mountain View to remove squatter encampments, leave alone something where you have actually allowed these (however temporarily) RVs to move in, in the the first place.

The irony is that the 20 or so RV occupants gain very little by being shoehorned here, while we face immense uncertainty and anxiety caused by an ad hoc encampment in our midst that is totally out of character with this neighborhood. And this doesn't even factor in the potential hold up of your entire project of building 500 plus affordable homes on this \$22 million piece of land! Talk of throwing away hard earned taxpayer money AND delivering a gut punch to surrounding homeowners and renters at the same time! To misquote a popular saying to describe this council's steps on RVs, never will so few benefit so little at the expense of so many.

It's not just a matter of us in the Vista Montana vicinity. All voters in San Jose should be worried if this is the way their elected members vote on these so called emergency measures. If it can happen to our locality it can happen anywhere. This is NOT a matter of NIMBY. Please do not be a reverse Midas, touching our neighborhood to turn it to crud! The City can be far smarter - buy or use vast tracts of open land outside San Jose at a tiny fraction of the \$22 million price to rapidly develop facilities and comfortably house many more than these 20 RVs.

We urge this Council to revisit their decision and proposed action. Thank you.

Respectfully,
Sandip Madan
Cell:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Safe RV Parking @ 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:13 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov> 1 attachments (38 KB)

71 Vista Montana 95134.pdf;

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:12 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Safe RV Parking @ 71 Vista Montana**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Julio Llerenas <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:07 AM**Subject:** Safe RV Parking @ 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello,

Please find attached my letter against the Safe RV Parking site at 71 Vista Montana San JOse

--

Julio Llerenas

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello

I am a resident of California Renaissance at/near Renaissance Dr. With the recent announcement from David Cohen about a temporary safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana for people sheltered on Orchard Parkway, I feel compelled to call and voice my concern. We are strongly against the city's decision.

Following is the list of facts for opposing this :

1. Lack of Transparency and adequate communications
 - a. The neighbourhood was not consulted with or given sufficient time and information. The City was actively working to keep the residents of this neighborhood in the dark, until it was too late to engage in any meaningful conversation or to be provided with any advance insight into the City's plans.
 - b. A resident alarmed the neighborhood of such plans on Nextdoor. Only then, 2 days after, he mentioned about a meeting to inform the community of the RV parking. The meeting was held before the three day holiday weekend. This was deliberate and invasive.
 - c. The City and Cohen have characterized its actions as necessary and appropriate due to this situation being an "emergency. The city is required to notify the residents of such emergencies. No notifications were posted.
 - d. Other corporate entities affected by this matter were well-informed of the City's plans. Security company, fencing/lighting company, portable bathrooms company and other city departments but not the residents.
 - e.
2. Altered Use Site plan was rushed to accommodate the timeline imposed by Apple. In doing so, the city and Cohen jeopardize the lives of many.
 - a. The City knowingly placed unhoused individuals on a land that has soil confirmed that arsenic is present above levels safe for residential use in the shallow soil in 7 out of 10 sampling locations and Pesticides and lead have also been found in some areas of the property above residential environmental screening levels.
 - b. The publication of this site on news outlets and Cohen posts has invited numerous unhoused individuals who don't have Rv's to park in the streets surrounding the "Safe" parking site. These individuals are in cars with expired plates, committing illegal littering, having a unleashed pitbull, running red lights and illegal parking. These individuals have not been vetted to be on this site.
 - c. The site is next door to kids park. The park has a high volume of families using the amenities catered to children. Mayor Sam llicardo instructed council

members that he would like to see these safe parking programs away from parks, child care and schools. This is an admittance that these parking programs can be unsafe for communities with such services.

- d. Security guards were hired to be on this site to keep who safe? This is another admittance to the unsafe conditions these programs pose to the community. Unfortunately, the security guards at this site are instructed not to take action in alarming situations and to call 911 for any emergencies. So why are they there?
 - e. Just like any community, we are impacted by car break ins, stolen packages, drug use and other illegal activities. However, we are affected by the lack of response time by the police enforcement and other city entities to help reduce illegal activities. We are located in the northernmost part of San Jose. The only time we see police come through here is the use of bathroom facilities at the Alviso police substation.
3. Failed program
- a. The City of San Jose Housing department executive officer Jacky Morrales Ferrand knows too well the Safe Parking Sites has failed at other sites. Seven Trees Community Center and Roosevelt Community Center sites were a failure due to the Department of Housing lack of established and proven non profit partnerships and allocating complete wraparound services. These sites brought in more unwanted illegal activity into the community and the centers. Life Moves failed to support the sites due to understaffing and lack of resources. The Department of Housing also has their set of problems. The culture of the department has employees quitting creating more understaffing issues. The department has been compromised by tech company big money. The department's current partner Home First has also created a way to use the tech money for profit rather than allocating humane resources to the homeless. Long after these programs have closed down, the unhoused are still parking illegally at these sites. It has been difficult to remove these individuals from the sites.

We understand there is a homelessness problem and we support solutions addressing it. But that does not mean we should create more problems that impact the lives of law abiding citizens while solving one.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas that could be used for a “temporary” and safe RV parking lot with a complete wraparound services and life essentials. The City should also start establishing policies to give 60 day notice to communities when homeless programs are being placed in their communities.

We can move towards solving the homeless issues if we all start working together.

Thank you

Resident of District 4

Fw: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:14 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Arun Mariappan <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:13 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City Clerk,

I am a resident of CA Impressions at/near Renaissance Dr. With the recent announcement from David Cohen through the San Jose City Council account on Nextdoor about a temporary safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana for people sheltered on Orchard Parkway.

I feel compelled to call and voice my concern. We are strongly against the city's decision.

This is a huge safety concern. There are daycares and two parks very close to this site. We are very concerned about the safety of our children.

The city already has a bad track record of deterring crime in the area currently. Car break-ins and theft are common nowadays despite video evidence, with the excuse that there aren't enough resources.

San Jose has been trying to deal with current homeless encampments for years and they are out of control with rampant drug use, trash everywhere, and the recent fire on Orchard Pkwy. We do not trust the city to keep this sanctioned "RV parking lot" safe for the neighborhood. We completely oppose having this parking site, even temporarily.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas such as city-owned commercial property or the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds, that could be used for a “temporary” and safe RV parking lot.

Since the city managed to come up with these plans so quickly and announce them on such short notice, the city should also be able to change them to a different location quickly.

Thank you,
Arun

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 10:21 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Anithakumari Thevasigamani <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:16 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City Clerk,

I am a resident of CA Impressions at/near Renaissance Dr. With the recent announcement from David Cohen through the San Jose City Council account on Nextdoor about a temporary safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana for people sheltered on Orchard Parkway.

I feel compelled to call and voice my concern. We are strongly against the city's decision.

This is a huge safety concern. There are daycares and two parks very close to this site. We are very concerned about the safety of our children.

The city already has a bad track record of deterring crime in the area currently. Car break-ins and theft are common nowadays despite video evidence, with the excuse that there aren't enough resources.

San Jose has been trying to deal with current homeless encampments for years and they are out of control with rampant drug use, trash everywhere, and the recent fire on Orchard Pkwy. We do not trust the city to keep this sanctioned "RV parking lot" safe for the neighborhood. We completely oppose having this parking site, even temporarily.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas such as city-owned commercial property or the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds, that could be used for a “temporary” and safe RV parking lot.

Since the city managed to come up with these plans so quickly and announce them on such short notice, the city should also be able to change them to a different location quickly.

Thank you,
Anitha

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 2:16 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

 4 attachments (1 MB)

Fw: Safe parking site 71 Vista Montana 95134; FW: I need to protect my toddlers - Please give me response; FW: Open forum: Safe parking site at71 vista Montana; Fw: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana;

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:22 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Fw: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Anitha <

Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 10:17 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: City council meeting on 09/14/2021, open forum: safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City Clerk,

I am a resident of CA Impressions at/near Renaissance Dr. With the recent announcement from David Cohen through the San Jose City Council account on Nextdoor about a temporary safe parking site at 71 Vista Montana for people sheltered on Orchard Parkway.

I feel compelled to call and voice my concern. We are strongly against the city's decision.

This is a huge safety concern. There are daycares and two parks very close to this site. We are very concerned about the safety of our children.

The city already has a bad track record of deterring crime in the area currently. Car break-ins and theft are common nowadays despite video evidence, with the excuse that there aren't enough resources.

San Jose has been trying to deal with current homeless encampments for years and they are out of control with rampant drug use, trash everywhere, and the recent fire on Orchard Pkwy. We do not trust the city to keep this sanctioned "RV parking lot" safe for the neighborhood. We completely oppose having this parking site, even temporarily.

The city should consider alternatives that are away from residential areas such as city-owned commercial property or the Santa Clara County Fairgrounds, that could be used for a "temporary" and safe RV parking lot.

Since the city managed to come up with these plans so quickly and announce them on such short notice, the city should also be able to change them to a different location quickly.

Thank you,
Anitha

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: I need to protect my toddlers - Please give me response

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 11:19 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: JIN NYENG SON <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 11:12 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** I need to protect my toddlers - Please give me response

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City,

I am a resident who lives near 71 Vista Montana, San Jose, CA 95134.

It really shocked me that the city decided to open a Safe Parking Site for the homeless just right next to a kids park.

I bring my kids to the park almost everyday and now I don't have confidence to bring them out there due to the Safe parking site.

Please reconsider to look for a better place for the homeless and for kids around this neighborhood.

Also, if the city cannot change anything right now, please CONFIRM San Jose city will remove all homeless and RVs on June 7, 2022 without FAIL.

Then, I will look forward to hearing from you soon.

Thank you.

Best,

Jinnyeng Son

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FW: Open forum: Safe parking site at71 vista Montana

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 11:18 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: JIN NYENG SON <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 11:16 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Open forum: Safe parking site at71 vista Montana

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear San Jose City,

I am a resident who lives near 71 Vista Montana, San Jose, CA 95134.

It really shocked me that the city decided to open a Safe Parking Site for the homeless just right next to a kids park.

I bring my kids to the park almost everyday and now I don't have confidence to bring them out there due to the Safe parking site.

Please reconsider to look for a better place for the homeless in order to make my kids safe around this neighborhood.

Also, if the city cannot change anything right now, please CONFIRM San Jose city will remove all homeless and RVs on June 7, 2022 without FAIL.

Then, I will look forward to hearing from you soon.

Thank you.

Best,

Jinnyeng Son

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: The American Rescue Plan & Rebuilding Your City

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 12:57 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: National League of Cities (NLC) <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 12:46 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** The American Rescue Plan & Rebuilding Your City

[External Email]

Register Now: Mayoral Roundtable on Digital Payments & Currencies

The logo for the National League of Cities (NLC) is displayed on a blue rectangular background. It features the letters "NLC" in a large, bold, white sans-serif font. To the right of "NLC", the words "NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES" are stacked vertically in a smaller, white, all-caps sans-serif font.

BROUGHT TO YOU BY



Mayoral Roundtable

Our Future: The American Rescue Plan

SEP 16**3 PM - 4 PM ET****REGISTER NOW****Frank D. Scott Jr**Mayor
Little Rock, AR**Regina Romero**Mayor
Tucson, AZ**Steve Benjamin**Mayor
Columbia, SC**Thursday, Sept. 16****3:00 PM EST****Virtual**

Join NLC and IGNITE Cities for a conversation about the American Rescue Plan Act and how the stimulus funds are critical to helping cities recover and rebuild a more equitable future.

Given the challenges that municipalities are facing today, generating natural growth within cities requires us to think bigger. COVID-19 has spread at an unprecedented pace, leading to inequities in education and healthcare.

In this conversation, local leaders talk openly about how they continue to fight back against COVID-19 and rebuild their communities using stimulus funds from the American Rescue Plan Act.

What You'll Learn:

- How cities are using their ARPA funds to recover
- Strategies for engaging the community in ARPA fund planning
- Tools that local leaders are using to boost vaccination accessibility and equity

Can't make it? No problem!

Go ahead and register for the webinar, and we'll send you the recording once it's ready.

REGISTER NOW

Helpful NLC Links:

[Articles](#)

[Upcoming Events](#)

[Resources & Training](#)

[Advocacy](#)



If this message is not displaying properly, please view the [online version](#).



You may opt out of email communications from NLC at any time. Update your [communication preferences](#).

This message was intended for: cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov

[Privacy Policy](#) | © 2021 NLC, All Rights Reserved

Powered by [Higher Logic](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Safe parking site 71 Vista Montana 95134

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Tue 9/14/2021 1:22 PM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov> 2 attachments (1 MB)

Comments.pdf; Signatures.pdf;

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: julio llerenas <**Sent:** Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:08 PM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Safe parking site 71 Vista Montana 95134

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hello,

We have 1000+ signatures in the petition. Please see attached (both signatures and comments) Please submit these documents for today's council meeting in the open forum section.

Thank you

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: City Council Meeting Open Forum: Transcript for records

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 8:27 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:43 AM

To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: FW: City Council Meeting Open Forum: Transcript for records

From: Bharatwaj Ramakrishnan <

Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 2:35 AM

To: david.sykes@sanjoseca.gov; Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>; Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; Matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov;

Ho, Wendy <

Cc: Aaron D. Zimmerman

< City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: City Council Meeting Open Forum: Transcript for records

[External Email]

Hi City Clerk,

Since we were not able to speak for two minutes on 9/14 in the City Council meeting during open forum, here is my transcript to be included in the records.

I'm Bharat Ramakrishnan, Elected President of HoA near 71 Vista Montana which is the RV Safe site. I come here with several concerns from not only me but several from our community and neighborhood.

The first concern: **The City did not notify us.** However, the city notified others: namely the contractors who worked on the site, the Non Profit and others. The only reason we came to know was that 50 from our neighborhood called the city on 9/1 and left messages asking for confirmation of this RV Safe Site. After the numerous calls, only our community was notified through Next Door. The neighboring communities still were not notified. This is extremely reactive and does not represent the spirit of Silicon Valley. In essence, we have been treated as a commodity. Why were we not notified and why are we being treated as a commodity?

Council member, you and your team mentioned this is an emergency. **If yes, did we follow all protocols and processes earmarked for emergency?** On 9/3, there was a commitment from the City Council to connect after the long weekend but the council member's office did not connect on 9/7. This shows the lack of commitment to answer the questions.

The next item I would like to bring up is **Kids Safety**. This site is located adjacent to a kids park. Several residents are concerned about violations and potential crime. Just a few days back, I was there with a few families and kids. These are kids who routinely cross the street in a crosswalk near the park. We saw a vehicle come out of the park, go fast and jump a red signal and we captured this on camera. This is exactly our concern.

Is it worth risking kids' safety by putting this RV park here? I would like the council to reconsider the decision and vote to move to another site that is not located 500 feet from kids park.

The next item I would like to bring up is **contamination**. There was a Memorandum from Kim Walsh to the Honorable Mayor and City Council in 2020 which states the following: "testing of the soil confirmed that **Arsenic is present above levels safe for residential use**". As we know from medical literature, Arsenic can cause cancer. In addition, there is also lead and elevated levels of pesticides.

I know contractors have been going in and out, working on the site. **Have the contractors been advised of Arsenic, Lead and Pesticide contamination? Have the RV campers in the site been advised?** How about the dust that could have come over to the neighborhood when this work was done since the memo from Kim Walsh states Arsenic is in shallow soil.

Why is the City negligent on these items?

Council members and Mayor, is short term gain here worth the long term damage to health of the homeless, health of the contractors, long term safety of kids, and the trust with the neighborhood that has been broken.

Please reconsider your decision of housing the RV Safe Park to another location that is more than 500 feet from the park.

Regards
Bharat Ramakrishnan

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: 71 Vista Montaña

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 8:29 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 7:43 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: 71 Vista Montaña

From: Alma Goldchain <**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 3:26 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>; 'david.sykes@sanjoseca.gov' ; <david.sykes@sanjoseca.gov>; Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>; Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** 71 Vista Montaña

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Dear Mayor Liccardo, City Clerk, and Members of the City of San Jose,

Since we were not able to speak 2 minutes yesterday in the City Council meeting during open forum, here is what I would have said had we been given 2 minutes like the others that went before us.

Please add my transcript and ensure it is included in the City Records of yesterday's meeting (9j/14/2021). Please confirm receipt of my email.

My name is Alma Goldchain. I am a resident of the California Renaissance Townhomes. I have lived there for 25 years.

This is my first time attending a city Council meeting and I am impressed and equally disgusted with what the city does and doesn't do when it comes to city initiatives.

I live right across the street from "71 Vista Montaña." Two days before Labor Day Weekend, Council member David Cohen only gave us a few days notice regarding the makeshift parking site in the middle of an already over populated corner of San Jose!

He placed the homeless residents of the new Apple Campus, where they had started a fire and because of that, they were sent into OUR neighborhood! Apple wanted them out right away and gave the City over \$3 million to

do it! The City jumped as Apple requested, because money talks!

They placed most of the homeless individuals in motel rooms, but these individuals that are parked in the Old Supertex site, rejected a free motel stay and because of that, they are now parked right next to a children's park and right across the street from a heavily used soccer field, basketball court, and another open play ground that many of the children of this neighborhood play in!

Although there is security provided to this site, the Security team is only responsible for what's inside the fenced area. They could care less and have no responsibility for what happens outside the fenced area and are not responsible for all the issues that have started arising.

But what really has disgusted me, and I'm glad that this is going on the record, is that in July of 2020, the city was informed that "71 Vista Montaña" has extremely high toxic levels of arsenic! So much so, that the city was instructed to dig 7 feet down to get rid of this poison! They failed to heed that warning and didn't blink an eye to place the homeless here. Is it to make them sick and get rid of them that way? It sure looks purposeful!

The city has placed a target on our lovely neighborhood and now the ex-residents of the Apple homeless encampment are now showing up to park and live here too! They think this is the place to be, even though they are not on the list for the fenced in RV site. No one seems to care except for the community residents! These people are starting to park their vehicles or trailers on our streets! Why does the City care so much for residents that are getting a free ride, as opposed to the people that pay high property taxes to live in this neighborhood!

Lastly, the late night artificial light is affecting the health and well being of the residents of the Domain Apartments and Vista 99 Apartments! Scientific Research suggests that artificial light at night can **negatively affect human health**, increasing risks for obesity, depression, sleep disorders, diabetes, breast cancer and more. This is a cruel punishment for innocent people who pay your salaries!

These homeless residents have no financial stake in our community! We are the ones that have invested in living here and in the City of San Jose.

I'll bet you thought we wouldn't say anything because this is a multi-cultural neighborhood with thousands of renters and hundreds of owners!

The only good thing that has come of this issue, is that it has brought our community together in a surprising way! We are **ALL** upset! We are **ALL RELENTLESS!** We will not rest and we will not allow you to rest with this decision either!

Regards,

Alma Goldchain

Sent from my iPhone

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: open forum Joint Meeting for the Rules and Open Government Committee

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 8:55 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 8:48 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** FW: open forum Joint Meeting for the Rules and Open Government Committee

From: k panikka <**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 8:35 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** open forum Joint Meeting for the Rules and Open Government Committee

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

This is regarding the so called safe parking site that the city clandestinely opened at 71 Vista Montana without transparency

I am bringing this to the attention of this committee, which as I understand is about open government

The action that I mentioned above by the government is the OPPOSITE of transparency. I have no clue how a government can act like this. These programs are run with my tax money, and I have my right to be part of these decisions.

The government is trying to push this as an emergency measure, and therefore had no time to be transparent. This is even more heinous! They are trying to hide this wrong doing of not being transparent under an emergency provision. There is no emergency here. City had years to do this job, and they did this at the last minute, and used the excuse of emergency to whitewash the lack of transparency.

The lack of transparency has resulted in one-sided action by the government. The residents of this community were purposefully kept in the dark about the plans.

The slew of issues created by this decision were discussed yesterday at the City council meeting (9/14/2021) in the open forum. Around 100+ residents expressed their concerns

The one sided action has resulted in long-term concerns for this community. Because of the non-transparency, residents are having to be reactive than the wisdom of being proactive. This is not acceptable in a well governed system. Therefore we request that this action must be undone asap

Thanks,
Krishna panikkasseril



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Rules and Open Government mtg Sept 15 public comment

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 9:35 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 9:18 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Rules and Open Government mtg Sept 15 public comment**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Sheena Madan <**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 9:01 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Rules and Open Government mtg Sept 15 public comment

[External Email]

To the committee:

I find it ironic that Councilmember Cohen is on this committee discussing transparency in government. He created the safe RV site at 71 Vista Montana without informing 2 of the 3 immediately adjacent communities, including mine which is TWO FEET AWAY over a short wall, and only informing 1 community 3 days before it was supposed to open on NextDoor.

We had to rely on screenshots from our neighbors to find out about this and get updates. I spent an hour digging for these posts on NextDoor before finally finding his profile, and I'm pretty tech-savvy. They're not searchable on Google or NextDoor. The posts were not open to comment for our neighborhood.

Our only opportunity to address Cohen directly was at a literal last (business) minute meeting before the RV site was open. It was at 4 p.m. to 5 p.m. on Friday before the long Labor Day Weekend. Given Cohen's evasive answers to what sites were considered and why they were ruled out. It gave us no time to consider options, leaving us reacting and cancelling vacation plans.

We were never told how and why Emergency Operations Center (EOC) operations protocols were invoked when the only emergency was a deep-pocketed private land-owner wanting a long-known encampment off their land immediately and paid the city a lot of money to make the problem go away. The city had done nothing and there was eventually a fire prompting this.

RVs scattering to North San Jose and parking everywhere was stated as the emergency, but many had to be towed into the site!

Please make it clear how and why emergency protocols are invoked, raise the standards for declaring an emergency, and notify the public before actions impacting the neighborhoods in the immediate vicinity are taken.

Thank you,
Sheena

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 9:34 AM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>**From:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 9:18 AM**To:** Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Fw: Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose**Office of the City Clerk | City of San José**200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: rajani palacherla <[REDACTED]>**Sent:** Wednesday, September 15, 2021 9:12 AM**To:** City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>**Subject:** Safe Parking Site at 71 Vista Montana, North San Jose

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Hi,

This is regarding the Safe Parking Site opened at 71 Vista Montana by District 4 Council member without notifying residents. I am bringing this attention to this committee because this committee is about Open Government. I want to be part of the decision because these programs are run with **my tax money** and this is **my neighborhood**. Unfortunately city **did not involve the residents** and the decision was made by the city **behind the closed doors**. The **Lack of transparency** resulted in one sided action by the government. The residents were kept in the dark purposefully. This is not acceptable in well governed system. We request that this action is undone **ASAP**.

Regards,

--Rajani Palacherla(Renaissance/Vista Montana resident, North San Jose)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Important Update: City Summit is Going Virtual

City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 1:44 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>; Taber, Toni <toni.taber@sanjoseca.gov>

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor

San Jose, CA 95113

Main: 408-535-1260

Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Clarence E. Anthony | National League of Cities (NLC) <

Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 1:16 PM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Important Update: City Summit is Going Virtual

You don't often get email from

[Learn why this is important](#)

[External Email]

Important Update from the National League of Cities



NLC NATIONAL
LEAGUE
OF CITIES



Dear Friends,

The health and wellbeing of our NLC community is always first and foremost in our mind. As we track the current trajectory of COVID-19, **we have made the decision to switch City Summit 2021 to a fully virtual attendee experience.**

While we were looking forward to bringing people together in person, given the uncertainty associated with the delta variant and potential travel and safety challenges, we believe a virtual conference experience will provide the best opportunity to learn, engage and be inspired.

We are grateful to our 2021 host city of Salt Lake City, Utah, and look forward to hosting City Summit there in the future.

I know there are still questions, so I would encourage you to [review our FAQs page](#) or reach out to our team at

We have learned a lot over the last year about how to support authentic and powerful connections in a virtual space. NLC is taking the best of what we learned last year and enhancing this year's City Summit experience!

I look forward to seeing all of you online for City Summit 2021.

Clarence E. Anthony



CEO and Executive Director
National League of Cities

Helpful NLC Links:

- [Articles](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Resources & Training](#)
- [Advocacy](#)



If this message is not displaying properly, please view the [online version](#).



You may opt out of email communications from NLC at any time. Update your [communication preferences](#).

This message was intended for: cityclerk@sanjoseca.gov



[Privacy Policy](#) | © 2021 NLC, All Rights Reserved

Powered by [Higher Logic](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Fw: Safe RV Parking at Vista Montana - full statement

Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

Wed 9/15/2021 4:12 PM

To: Rules and Open Government Committee Agendas <rulescommitteeagenda@sanjoseca.gov>

1 attachments (29 KB)

RV homeless camp in council stmnt 091421.pdf;

From: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 4:01 PM
To: Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Fw: Safe RV Parking at Vista Montana - full statement

Office of the City Clerk | City of San José

200 E. Santa Clara St., Tower 14th Floor
 San Jose, CA 95113
 Main: 408-535-1260
 Fax: 408-292-6207

How is our service? [Please take our short survey.](#)

From: Sandip Madan <Sandip.Madan@sanjoseca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2021 2:57 PM
To: Burton, Chris <Christopher.Burton@sanjoseca.gov>; Morales-Ferrand, Jacky <Jacky.Morales-Ferrand@sanjoseca.gov>; Liccardo, Sam <sam.liccardo@sanjoseca.gov>; Jones, Chappie <Chappie.Jones@sanjoseca.gov>; Jimenez, Sergio <sergio.jimenez@sanjoseca.gov>; Peralez, Raul <Raul.Peralez@sanjoseca.gov>; Cohen, David <David.Cohen@sanjoseca.gov>; Carrasco, Magdalena <Magdalena.Carrasco@sanjoseca.gov>; Davis, Dev <dev.davis@sanjoseca.gov>; Esparza, Maya <Maya.Esparza@sanjoseca.gov>; Arenas, Sylvia <sylvia.arenas@sanjoseca.gov>; Foley, Pam <Pam.Foley@sanjoseca.gov>; 'Matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov' <Matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov>; 'David.Sykes@sanjoseca.gov' <David.Sykes@sanjoseca.gov>; 'Wendy.Ho@sanjoseca.gov' <Wendy.Ho@sanjoseca.gov>
Subject: Safe RV Parking at Vista Montana - full statement

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [why this is important](#)

[Learn](#)

[External Email]

Dear Council Members and Officials,

Yesterday Sep 15 in the allowed 1 minute I got through half of my intended statement as attached for the record and will appreciate your seeing the later unsaid half that I'm also appending below for convenience.

It will be to your enormous credit (including Mr Cohen's) if you can reverse course due to feedback from your constituents (us).

Best regards,
Sandip Madan
Cell:

My unsaid part yesterday:

The irony is that the 20 or so RV occupants gain very little by being shoehorned here, while we face immense uncertainty and anxiety caused by an ad hoc encampment in our midst, that too in a dense residential area with young families, and a kids park right next to it.. And this doesn't even factor in the potential hold up of your entire project of building 500 plus affordable homes on this \$22 million piece of land! To misquote a popular saying to describe this council's steps on RVs, never will so few benefit so little at the expense of so many.

It's not just a matter of us in the Vista Montana vicinity. All voters in San Jose should be worried. If it can happen to our locality it can happen anywhere. The City can be far smarter - buy or use vast tracts of open land outside San Jose at a tiny fraction of the \$22 million price to rapidly develop facilities and comfortably house many more than these 20 RVs.

We respectfully urge this Council to revisit their decision and proposed action.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening, my name is Sandip Madan. This honorable Council has good intentions in setting up the homeless RV Park in our San Jose area, but could hardly do it in a worse way. A good council (including one with a progressive outlook that we share) should be focused on lifting under privileged people up, not pulling neighborhoods down as is the case here.

What you intend as a temporary emergency measure is likely to remain indefinitely with court injunctions obtained by lawyers on behalf of any RV owners who are against ever moving out from here. Recall how hard it has been for San Jose and other cities like Mountain View to remove squatter encampments, leave alone something where you have actually allowed these RVs to move in, even if you call it temporary.

The irony is that the 20 or so RV occupants gain very little by being shoehorned here, while we face immense uncertainty and anxiety caused by an ad hoc encampment in our midst that too in a dense residential area with young families, and a kids park right next to it.. And this doesn't even factor in the potential hold up of your entire project of building 500 plus affordable homes on this \$22 million piece of land! To misquote a popular saying to describe this council's steps on RVs, never will so few benefit so little at the expense of so many.

It's not just a matter of us in the Vista Montana vicinity. All voters in San Jose should be worried. If it can happen to our locality it can happen anywhere. The City can be far smarter - buy or use vast tracts of open land outside San Jose at a tiny fraction of the \$22 million price to rapidly develop facilities and comfortably house many more than these 20 RVs.

We respectfully urge this Council to revisit their decision and proposed action. Thank you.