
FW: Public Comment: February 24, 2026 Meeting – Oversight of Consent Calendar Expenditures

From City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Date Mon 2/23/2026 7:51 AM

To Agendadesk <Agendadesk@sanjoseca.gov>

From: brian darby <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, February 23, 2026 3:19 AM

To: City Clerk <city.clerk@sanjoseca.gov>

Subject: Public Comment: February 24, 2026 Meeting – Oversight of Consent Calendar Expenditures

[**External Email.** Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources. [Learn more](#)]

Dear Mayor Mahan and City Council Members,

I am writing to provide public comment on the February 24, 2026, Consent Calendar. While these items are presented as routine, I would like to highlight the following expenditures from an oversight perspective:

- **Public Safety Communications (Item 2.8):** The amendment to the AT&T FirstNet purchase order increases compensation by **\$1,000,000**, bringing the total to **\$4,500,000**. While public safety communication is vital, I urge the Council to ensure there is a clear audit trail for how these funds are allocated between hardware and ongoing service fees, especially as we extend these options through 2026.
- **Infrastructure & Consulting (Item 2.7):** The \$500,000 increase to the NewGen Strategies agreement for professional consulting brings that contract to **\$850,000**. I ask the Council to verify that this additional spending is yielding actionable data that justifies nearly doubling the original contract price.
- **Energy Management (Item 2.9):** The cQuant.io amendment increases compensation to a maximum of **\$1.4 million** and extends the term through 2031. For a contract of this length and value, what benchmarks are in place to ensure the software remains the most cost-effective option for San Jose's energy trading needs over the next five years?
- **Transit Maintenance (Item 2.10):** The \$550,000 annual lease for CNG shuttle buses at Mineta International Airport is a significant recurring cost. I encourage the Council to regularly review whether leasing remains more fiscally responsible than purchasing, especially as the city moves toward zero-emission fleet goals.

I am not necessarily opposing these items, but I am asking that the Council remains vigilant in auditing these "routine" amendments to ensure we are receiving the highest impact for every dollar spent.

Sincerely,

Brian Darby



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.